

No: 22-71

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

MAR 17 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Tanya Winters - Petitioner
vs.

Industrial Commission of Arizona (etal).
ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ARIZONA SUPREME COURT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Tanya Winters
PO Box 778
Tonto Basin AZ 85553
928 951-4201

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Is ignorance or deliberate ignorance possibly used in this and possibly other cases when/while:

1). Knowingly depriving including and not limited to 2 children

(ATLAS No.) A). Megan Winters 000210877300/301;

(ATLAS No.) B). Tyler Winters 000210877301/300 •

(AZ DES p-1755225), (DO200037).

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Is deliberate ignorance of the truth being used to justify the Arizona aid deserved still?

QUESTIONS

- Is it possible Gila County is violating Constitutional Rights knowingly?
- Is it possible the Town of Payson is violating Constitutional Rights knowingly?

I) QUESTIONS

- A) Is it easily read in English seen in the submitted case (AZ DES p-1755225) found in the Discharge dated June 24, 1998 the Petitioner/Plaintiff is an injured Worker Type on June 1, 1998 possibly seen found in the Programs Operational Manual System as DI-52120.020(3)(b)?
- B) Is it mandatory the State of Arizona stops violating Constitutional Rights before or after representation is appointed in this pro-se case/cases?

(i).

I). QUESTIONS

- A) Is representation needed to stop the already admitted violation or violations of Constitutional Rights?
- B) Is it likely possible a conspiracy is taken place with the decision or decisions already made in this case?
- C) Does this Court have the authority to release any not yet released aid while decisions remain used with Awarded. Reverse Raman 2021 AZ DES ERAP p-1755225 while being distributed Identity Hold to determine without good cause to Violate Constitutional Rights?

(i)

I) QUESTION

A) Is it known the judge in this case (or judges) do not have authority to decide in this type of case?

(i)

I). QUESTION

A) Is it likely possible a conspiracy is found to be a part of the Vidations now believed seen?

(i)

I) QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- A) Is deliberate ignorance of the truth still being used for gain while still not releasing the deserved Workers Type of aid?
- B) Is a given disability more logical to be that of a Worker Type possibly found in the Programs Operational Manual System DI-52120.020(3)(b) when viewing the submitted documents including but not limited to the Discharge dated June 24, 1998

I) QUESTIONS

How long is the State of Arizona allowed to knowingly continue to violate one or more Constitutional Rights to one or more of the Public possibly or knowingly?

I). QUESTIONS

- A) Does a Court guard have the right to attempt to steal a purse?
- B) Does law enforcement have authority to steal/ Snatch a phone from a member of the Public possibly known due to recording then being seen?
- C) Does an ALJ have the authority to demand two not guilty pleas in person?
- D) Does a Public Servant in the Courts have the authority to deny filings?
- E) Does the Court or does law enforcement have the authority to ask for or place an arrest without committing a crime?
- F) Does law enforcement have the right to dig through purse to find drivers licence before booked in jail while not committing arrestable offence.

- Does Pro Temp Sherwood Johnston have the authority to hold this trial made with forced two not guilty pleas and nights in jail after getting charged with obstruction when it is easily known does not give authority to place in jail overnight.
(22-cv-0012)

It is now known throughout Arizona Courts deliberate ignorance of the truth is still being used after the Awarded appeal that is decided the denial is Reversed and remanded and again now only a few hundred dollars a month. (AZ/DES p-1755225).

The Arizona IV-D Program is believed to have began September 2000 when Arizona held the Custodial Parent/Paintiffs garnished child support wages and Federal Tax's and possibly more. ~~effect~~.

Sought is one million dollars for every year a known identity hold is used to verify eligibility for gain as admitted in the Awarded appeal (AZ/DES p-1755225)

I) QUESTIONS

Is Constitutional Rights possibly
or knowingly seen in the submitted
recordings and pictures spending
the night in jail and the aftermath
due to our Public Servants in
Payson/Gila County, and denied
during a forced (after 3rd) arrest
while Charged w/ yet seen on video

It is believed it is now known with every Court needed Arizona is violating believed now the people's rights to aid being held by the State of Arizona knowingly.


* It is now known throughout the Arizona Courts deliberate ignorance of the truth is being used by the State of Arizona knowingly to gain and deprive the petitioner/plaintiff and now believed possibly other public members with or without proper representation.

When does Arizona have to stop knowingly violating Constitutional Rights?

I) QUESTIONS

- A) Does pro temp Sherwood Johnston have the authority to hold a trial in this case in which not guilty is pled twice and without representation?
- B) Is it likely probable the videos and camera footage is denied during trial with a conspiracy?
- C) Is it a violation of Constitutional Rights when seen by public servants or the public servants taking a purse away.
- D) Is it fair to force a plea two times with the same judge?

(22-cv-0012)



LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

1 CAUB 22-0109

1 CAUB 22-0431

AGES P-1788665-001B

• AGES P-1755225

ICA Claim No: 98155295758

Ins. Claim No: 2620028906

AW Case No: AW 0020594

II) LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of this cover page.

RELATED CASES

- 1CAUB22-0109, 1CAUB22-0431,
- CV-22-0308SA, CV-22-0172PR,
- P-0400-CV-202100404/202100404,
- P-0400-CV-2021-00413/202100413,
- 22CV01375PHXDWL,
- AZDES p-1755225, P-178665-001-B,

III) TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW — 1

Jurisdiction — 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS — 3

STATEMENT OF CASE — 4

REASONS GRANTING WRIT — 5

CONCLUSION — 6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Az DES (ERAP), Decision 8-17-21. Tanya
Patchell 9-8-21. Reverse and Remand. 2 pgs

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

AZDES P-1755225
98155295758 ICA/claim 2620028906
22-CM-0012

STATUTES AND RULES

ARS 13-2009

OTHER

18 USC Sec. 242

IV) TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	Pg. Number
<u>AZDES p-1755225</u>	_____
<u>22-CM-0012</u>	_____
<u>ATLAS# 00021087301 / 00021087301</u>	_____
<u>ICAN'S</u>	_____
_____	_____

STATUTES AND RULES
(ARS 13-2009) (Rule 56)
(ARS 13-204)
(ARS 13-915)

OTHER
(31 USC ARS 3729-3733) (DI 52120.020(3)(b))
(Sec. R9-22-1101) (18 USC Chapter 47)
(Sec. 242 of Title 18)
(18 USC 242)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at Supreme Court of AZ; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Industrial Commission of AZ court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at Appeals Division One; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was August 24, 2022.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Identity theft Act 1998

ARS 13-2009

ARS 13-1304

18 USC Section 242

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Finally received the 2021 DES Reverse and Remanded appeal seen DES using "deliberate ignorance of the truth" with a Decided "Hold-Identity Issue", in which is known to be distributed.

Now this case is filed in the Payson's Gila County Superior and relating in the Payson's Magistrate with denied filing in each seen on videos submitted and public servant #13 as an escort to witness yet not written in the daily report when public servant #2 destroys filings and more until attacked/arrested without committing a crime (it is heard in the video #2 filed when public servant begins speaking of searches with attorney.

STATEMENT

June 1, 1998 is a workers injury seen in the submitted discharge (AZ DES P-1755225) and today seen in the above Reverse and Remand with a Decided Hdd-Identity Issue.

One of the known false identities the State of Az give is that of an SSA Adultchild seen in the SSA Denial dated November 14, 2017 in which is not a workers injury.

STATEMENT

Previously given an eviction notice at the beginning of the year 2021 and soon after ERAP aid began. The eviction notice is given due to landlord wish's to sell due to mildeu. Rent kept changing higher during the lease and after coming home in July to find the doors locked and personal items outside and some in storage that leaks and while raining without warning

(AZ DES-ERAP P-1755225),

(21CV0364).

Refused filing (21CV0364) - Paul Sexton - ^{ERAP}landlord
Refused filing 21CV0404/0413 - ERAP

(Emergency Rental Assistance) Found (Workers Program)

STATEMENT

Previously given an eviction notice at the beginning of the year 2021 and soon after ERAP aid began. The eviction notice is given due to landlord wishes to sell due to milddue. Rent kept changing higher during the lease and after coming home in July to find the doors locked and personal items outside and some in storage that leaks and while raining without warning

(AZ DES-ERAP P-1755225),

(21CV0364).

Refused filing (21CV0364) - Paul Sexton - ^{ERAP}landlord
Refused filing 21CV0404/0413 - ERAP

(Emergency Rental Assistance) Fund (Workers Program)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Due to the well developed facts it is pleaded this Court makes a Decision now rather than allowing this to continue in the lower Courts.

This Petition is found to be a right to petition the government for a redress of grievances and is protected by the 1st Amendment. The right to petition government for redress of grievances is the right to make a Complaint to, or seek the assistance of one's government, without fear of punishment or reprisals.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Due to the well developed facts it is pleaded this Court makes a Decision now rather than allowing this to continue in the lower Courts.


This Petition is found to be a right to petition the government for a redress of grievances and is protected by the 1st Amendment. The right to petition government for redress of grievances is the right to make a Complaint to, or seek the assistance of one's government, without fear of punishment or reprisals.

Requested now is transparency
and accountability:

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: December 28 2022