

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

George Cerron—PETITIONER

vs.

Personal Investment Inc.—RESPONDENT(S)

**ON PETITION TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT FOR A WRIT OF
CERTIORARI**

SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL (CASE 2D20-3160)

AND FLORIDA SUPREME COURT CASE NO.: SC22-1440

MANATEE CIVIL COURT CASE NO.: 2017CA004797

APPENDIX TO PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

George Cerron
7727 Heyward Circle
Bradenton, Florida, 34201
combate4@gmail.com

APPENDIX LIST	PAGES
Cover Page.....	23
Appendix List	24
Introduction	25
APPENDIX “A” decision of state court of appeals for case 2D20 3160 and order that denied motion for written opinion.....	26, 27
APPENDIX “B” decision of state trial court for Case 2017CA004797 denying motion to disqualify counsel with notice of appeal for case 2D20 3160.....	28, 29, 30, 31, 32.
APPENDIX “C”—decision of Florida State Supreme Court with case No.: SC22-1440 denying review for case 2D20 3160.....	33
APPENDIX “D”—order of state supreme court denying rehearing see last paragraph where the Florida Supreme Court states: “No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court”.....	34
APPENDIX “E” the Second District Court of Appeal issued an order where clearly acknowledged that the appeal case 2D20-3160 is a nonfinal appeal.....	35
APPENDIX “F” acknowledgment from the SDCA that the new case 2D20 3160 is a nonfinal appeal case.....	36
APPENDIX “G” order for filing fees case in 2D20-3160.....	37

INTRODUCTION

This appendix is conformed of the decisions and orders entered in the following courts; (a) The Second District Court of Appeal that entered the unlawful Dismissal of the nonfinal appeal case 2D20-3160, (b) The lower civil court, in case 2017CA004797, entered an order denying the Defendants' Motion to Disqualify the Plaintiff's Counsel, said order was the basis for the filing of the nonfinal appeal case 2D20-3160, and (c) The Florida Supreme Court that issued an order denying to review the unjustifiable dismissal of the nonfinal appeal case 2D20-3160 and denied a rehearing on October 27, 2022, the court in its order stated: "No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court"

No further documents were included in this Appendix because the Petitioners' facts of the case and arguments included on their Petition for Writ of Certiorari is self-explanatory; the Petitioners request for the correct interpretation and application of Rule 9130(a)(3)(E), and for this honorable court to uphold the more sacred law of the land that is written in the U.S. Constitution which is that all citizens of the United States have the right to due process of law and equal access to the protection of the laws. In this case it is evident that the Second District Court of Appeal rendered an order dismissing the nonfinal appeal case 2D20-3160 in an arbitrarily fashion without applying correctly Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 9.130(a)(3)(E) which allows for the nonfinal appeal case 2D20-3160 to proceed and not be dismissed.

**APPENDIX "A" Page 2 of 2; decision of state court of appeals for case 2D20
3160 and order that denied motion for written opinion**

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT, POST OFFICE BOX 327, LAKELAND, FL 33802-0327**

August 23, 2022

**CASE NO.: 2D20-3160
L.T. No.: 17-CA-4797**

GEORGE CERRON, ET AL.

v.

PERSONAL INVESTMENT INC.

Appellant / Petitioner(s),

Appellee / Respondent(s).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

This appeal is dismissed as from a nonappealable nonfinal order. See Fla. R.
App. P. 9.130 (listing the grounds upon which a party may appeal a nonfinal order).

KELLY, LaROSE, and BLACK, JJ., Concur.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the original court order.

Served:

BARBARA A. EAGAN, ESQ.
MATTHEW T. WASINGER, ESQ.
GEORGE CERRON

DAVID J. WINKER, ESQ.
CARMEN CERRON
ANGELINA M. COLONNESO, CLERK

ec

Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Clerk



APPENDIX "A"

APPENDIX "C" decision of Florida State Supreme Court with case No.:
SC22-1440 denying review for case 2D20 3160

Filing # 160086124 E-Filed 10/27/2022 11:20:14 AM

Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2022

CASE NO.: SC22-1440

Lower Tribunal No(s):

2D20-3160; 412017CA004797CAAXMA

GEORGE CERRON, ET AL. vs. PERSONAL INVESTMENT INC.

Petitioner(s)


Respondent(s)

This case is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. See *Wheeler v. State*, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); *Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy

Test:


John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court



APPENDIX "C"

APPENDIX "D" order of state supreme court denying rehearing see last paragraph where the Florida Supreme Court states: "No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court"

Filing # 160086124 E-Filed 10/27/2022 11:20:14 AM

Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2022

CASE NO.: SC22-1440

Lower Tribunal No(s):

2D20-3160; 412017CA004797CAAXMA

GEORGE CERRON, ET AL. vs. PERSONAL INVESTMENT INC.

Petitioner(s)


Respondent(s)

This case is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. See *Wheeler v. State*, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); *Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy

Test:


John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court



Electronically Filed: 10/27/2022 11:20:14 AM

APPENDIX "D"

APPENDIX "E" the Second District Court of Appeal issued an order where clearly acknowledged that the appeal case 2D20-3160 is a nonfinal appeal.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT, POST OFFICE BOX 327, LAKELAND, FL 33802-0327

November 04, 2020

CASE NO.: 2D20-3160
L.T. No.: 17-CA-4797

GEORGE CERRON, ET AL

v.

PERSONAL INVESTMENT INC.

Appellant / Petitioner(s)

Appellee / Respondent(s)

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

This proceeding is a nonfinal appeal, or an appeal of a specified final order, governed by Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.130. The initial brief and appendix shall be served within 15 days of the date of this order. The appellee(s) shall serve the answer brief(s) within 30 days of service of the initial brief.


I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the original court order.

Served:

MATTHEW T. WASINGER, ESQ.
GEORGE CERRON
HON. CHARLES SNIFFEN

CARMEN CERRON
ANGELINA M. COLONNESO, CLERK

mf


Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Clerk



FILED FOR RECORD
2020 NOV -4 AM 9:07
CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT
MANATEE CO FLORIDA

APPENDIX "E"

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
SECOND DISTRICT, POST OFFICE BOX 327, LAKELAND, FL 33802-0327

October 12, 2022

CASE NO.: 2D20-3160

L.T. No.: 17-CA-4797

GEORGE CERRON, ET AL

v.

PERSONAL INVESTMENT INC.

Appellant / Petitioner(s),

Appellee / Respondent(s).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

Appellants' motion for rehearing, rehearing en banc, clarification and/or certification of a question of great public importance is denied.

Appellants' motion for leave to filed amended appellants' motion for rehearing, rehearing en banc, clarification and/or certification of a question of great public importance is denied.

Appellants' motion to strike redundant appellants' motion for rehearing filed September 7, 2022, is granted. The September 7, 2022, motion for rehearing is stricken.

Appellants' reply to appellees response in opposition to appellants' motions for rehearing is stricken as unauthorized.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the original court order.

Served:

BARBARA A. EAGAN, ESQ.
MATTHEW T. WASINGER, ESQ.
GEORGE CERRON
HON. CHARLES SNIFFEN

DAVID J. WINKER, ESQ.
CARMEN CERRON
ANGELINA M. COLONNESO, CLERK

mep

Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Clerk

