


22-7015

No. 20-1118

Federal Appeals Court
First Circuit



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

TIMOTHY REEVES — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

OSWALDO VIDAL — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME DISTRICT COURT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TIMOTHY REEVES
(Your Name)

35 HOLY FAMILY RD
(Address)

Holyoke

01040

SPRINGFIELD, MA 01104

(City, State, Zip Code)

413-532-3246
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

MISFEASANCE OF JUSTICE

FAIR TRIAL

DO PROCESS OF LAW

VIOLATING CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

AND ANY OTHER LAWS APPLICABLE

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

COM. V TIMOTHY ZENETTI

COM. V MARK MELON

INTRODUCTION ~~BY~~ OF STATEMENT MADE BY
A JOINT VENTURER.

STATUTES AND RULES

UNKNOWN

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at SUPERIOR COURT; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at SUPREME DISTRICT COURT; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix NA to the petition and is

☐ reported at UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the FIRST CIRCUIT court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at FIRST CIRCUIT; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was ~~10/18/2020~~
7/18/2022

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: ~~10/18/2020~~ 9/2/2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix UAK.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 3/27/2015
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.


☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 10/1/2015, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

THE COURT'S FAILURE TO ALLOW DEFENDENT ACCESS TO EXPERT TESTIMONY. PSYCHIATRIST TO ESTABLISHED THE PETITIONER COULD NOT AND DID NOT SHARE THE INTAKE OR THE REQUISITE STATE OF MIND. TO ENCOMPRISE THE CRIME OR MURDER IN THE FIRST DEGREE AS A DEINT VENTURA. WITH HIS CO-DEFENDANT BC OF HIS ~~ENG~~ INJURIES SUSTAINED FOR BRAIN DAMAGE. (TBI) BROKEN NECK, C5, C6 FRACTURE UNKNOWNLY CONSUMING WEED LAGED W/PCP (ANGEL DUST) AS WELL AS BEING LEGALLY DRUNK. AT THAT TIME THE REQ. OF THE LAW 0.8 THE PETITIONERS ALC BLOOD LEVEL WAS 0.25 WHICH WAS 3X'S OVER THE LEGAL LIMIT.



STATEMENT OF THE CASE

IN EFFECTIVE ASSIST. TRIAL COUNCIL. FAILURE
TO OFFER PROOF WHICH IS FAVORABLE TO THE
DEFEUDANT. FAILURE TO ADVERSE WITNESSES.
PSYCHOLOGIST OR PSYCHIATRIST.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

IN EFFECTIVE APPELLATE COUNCIL FAILURE
TO BRIEF ALL ISSUES AND CLAIMS. OR
DIRECT APPEAL. WHICH SHOULD NOT BE
HELD AGAINST DEFENDANT.

CONCLUSION

PETITIONER REQUESTING NEW TRIAL FOR THE
ABOVE MENTIONED REASONS. OR A REQUIRENT

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted. FOUND NOT GUILTY.
FOR THE REASONS STIPULATED ABOVE. (MENTION)

Respectfully submitted,

TIMOTHY REEVES (AO)

Date: JAN, 27, 2023