

22-6949

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.

FILED

FEB 10 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Christopher Maurice
McDowell — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

① Carlton W. vs.
REEVES — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF
APPEALS 600 S MAESTRI PI, NEW ORLEANS

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

LOUISIANA 70130

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Christopher McDowell
MIDDLE NAME MAURICE

(Your Name)

143 1NE 2 OWNERS
DRIVE

(Address)

JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI
39212.

(City, State, Zip Code)

(786) 668-9930

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

① Is, I want the Supreme Court of Washington D.C to see that the 3 year of Statute of Limitations can be (waive) because if I ^(please), don't know how to file a lawsuit, complaint or can't find an attorney, that is not my fault. This case 310 cv 647 McDowell vs Reeves was not filed. This is an opinion law because it is not set that this is gonna happen in (3 years.) That an overturned law all the way and to my acknowledge it is just for civil rights claims. Not all lawsuits has those laws. (Also)

② Absolute judicial immunity
Is that I did not file a lawsuit on Judge Carlton W. Reeves but on his decision. It's in records all the way through.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

① Carlton
W.
REEVES
AND (22-60295)
(AC)

YES
All PARTIES
DO APPEAR
(321 CV 00722)
(DC)

RELATED CASES
Thomas D. HARDIN
(MEDIATOR)

① Christopher MAURICE
McDowell (Plaintiff) VS JOSE
GONZALEZ AND DAVID COLON
Defendant

US district COURT of S. D. FLORIDA
19 CV 23110 Applicable
28 U.S.C. 1983 Law:

CAUSE 1983 Civil Rights
NATURE of Suit 440 Civil Rights
OTHER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

① CASES PAGE NUMBER
Appeal Cited CASE to 22-60295
AND 310-CV-722, 19-23110 ~ McDowell
IS. GONZALEZ ET AL July 26, 2019 ①
CATEGORY Judicial Publications ② Collection
UNITED STATES COURTS OPINIONS ③
SuDoc CLASS Numbers JU 4.15.
④ COURTS TYPE District ⑤ COURT
STATUTES AND RULES NAME

OTHER

NONE.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at WAS DENIED ON 11-28-2022; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

DATE
LAW SUIT COMPLAINT

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at WAS DENIED ON 4-6-2022; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

LAW SUIT COMPLAINT

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix NONE to the petition and is

reported at NO STATE COURT; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the NO STATE COURT court appears at Appendix NONE to the petition and is

reported at NONE; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was November 28, 2022

FILED DATED

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case. *No Did file for a REHEARING.*

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: NONE DID NOT file, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix NONE. *DID NOT file*

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including Now filing 2-2 (date) on None (date) in Application No. A None now filing now filing

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

*lawsuit
complaint
FILED ON
11-08-21*

For cases from **state courts**:

NONE

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was NONE. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix NONE.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: NONE, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix NONE

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including None (date) on None (date) in Application No. A None

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

*lawsuit
complaint
FILED ON
11-08-21*

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

① Absolute judicial Immunity
ON CASE 310cv722 AND APPEAL
22-60295 dismissal, is that
NO LAW PROTECT A (judge) of ANY
SORT TO FAILURE TO SEE AN ^{ERROR}
ON ANY CASE OR TO KNOWING WHAT
THE COURT IS ASKING IS THERE BEFORE
COURTS EYES AND IT'S MY FAULT THE
JUDGE ON THE CASE MISS IT. THAT IS
A OVERTURNED CASE AND THE APPEAL
IS (GRANTED) IN THE PETITIONER FAVOR
BECAUSE COURT ERRORS THE CASE NOT
THE PETITIONER. ^{34 ERRORS}

② Statute limitation is that on CASE
310cv722 AND 22-60295 dismissal,
IS I, CHRISTOPHER McDOWELL HAVE TO KNOW
AT THE TIME RELEASED FROM MDDC ON 3-19-2012
TO 3-19-2015, HOW TO FILE A LAWSUIT
OR AN ATTORNEY CALL TO FILE IT WHICH IS
(OPINIONLY) BECAUSE IT THEIR CALL TO FILE IT OR
TO ACCEPT THE CASE NO MATTER WHAT, RICH OR POOR

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The facts of case 3:10 CV 722 AND 22-60295 is that, I Christopher McDowell did have my ARP in case 3:10 CV 647 my habeas corpus and the courts could not the district courts in Jackson, MS 39201, could not have dismissed the case unless I failure to go through the state MDOC to get release from their custody. My lawsuit complaint 3:10 CV 00722 was about I committed to what Mr Carlton W. Reeves asked me in his final order dismissed on 7-21-11. My ARP was in my habeas corpus before Mr. Carlton W. Reeves got my case back in 2011. My ARP was from pages 19-25 in my habeas corpus 3:10 CV 647, asking state of MDOC to release. So number one, the dismissal on 4-6-2022, by

THE DISMISSAL OF CASE
310 CV 647, 310 CV 722 AND 22-60295
(PAGE 1) REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

① MY NAME IS CHRISTOPHER MAURICE McDOWELL
AND ON 11-21-2021, I FILED A LAWSUIT ON
CARLTON N. REEVES IN THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT IN JACKSON, MS 39201
ON HIS DECISION for judging
my HABEAS CORPUS WRONG FILE ON 11-08-
2010. I HAD A EXPUNG BY EXECUTIVE
ORDER FOR A WRONGFULLY INCARCERATION
HELD ON 2-10-2010 THUR 6-6-2010, PLUS
FOR NOT BEING VIOLATED WHILE ON ERS A RELEASE PROGRAM IN MDOC.
I PROVIDE INFORMATION IN MY HABEAS
CORPUS ON 11-08-2010, FILED SHOWING
WHERE I EXHAUSTED MY STATE REMEDIES
AS OF IN I FILED MY ARP AND
WENT THROUGH STATE OF MISSISSIPPI MDOC
TO GET RELEASED. THAT WAS THE FIRST STEP
OF GETTING RELEASE WHILE HELD ON ERS
A ILLEGAL INCARCERATION. I WAS
TOLD BY DISTRICT COURT ^(Judge) HENRY T.
WINGATE ON JANURARY 27, 2011

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher Maurice McDonell
Date: 2-2-2023