

22-6877

ORIGINAL

Ramone Wright
Bennettsville FCI
PO Box 52020
Bennettsville SC, 29512

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

FEB 15 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Date 2-15-2022

certiorari to united states court of Appeals
before Judgment - pursuant Rule 11

Case NO 2:16-cr-00059-MHW United States v. Wright
Appeal 23-3114 (See Exhibit 1)

Syllabus: Departed from the accepted and usual
course of judicial proceeding or sanction, such a
departure by a lower court, as to call for an
exercise of this court's supervisory power

Rule 14(G) The question presented for review

I Does the clerk of court have the authority to correct the Record that was filed under oath and returned by the Jurors concurring in the indictment

II Does an error filed by the foreperson in the said charge count, constitute clerical error or legal error

III Does an indictment face that lacks the subsection (Nature of offense) (A) or (B) constitutes harmless error

Rule 14(b) A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is sought to be reviewed

Ramone L Wright (Appellant)
Bennettsville FCI
PO Box 52020
Bennettsville SC, 29612

(e) A concise statement of the basis for jurisdiction in this court, showing: Notice of Appeal - Interlocutory re[150] order filed by Ramone L Wright (see Exhibit A) and (see Exhibit B)

(g) The Appellant moves for release of grand jury testimony underlying his criminal in case NO 2116-cr-59 underlying Defendant's motion is his continued belief that he was indicted on only three counts but pled guilty to four counts

The Appellant was conducting post-conviction investigation into the vote to return indictment, the record the number of jurors concurring in every indictment and will file the record with the clerk, but the record may not be made public unless the court so orders

The Appellant wish to review certified copy of the docket entries since the sixth circuit stated that Defendant's "argument appears to be premised on a typographical error on the district court's docket at Record 12 - indictment as to Ramone L Wright counts 1, 2, 3" The error was corrected by a notation on December 21, 2016 - counts added: Ramone L Wright (1) count(s) 4" (Appeal NO 23-3114
(2)

Faulty indictment was vulnerable for insufficiency of statement before defendant entered his plea of guilty and was convicted, the face of indictment lack the nature of charge (A) or (B) SubSection (see Exhibit 1) The Grand Jury charges [Indictment] fails to meet minimum requirements pursuant to Rule 6 (see Exhibit 2) which concludes it was certainly not invulnerable to collateral attack

Reason for Granting: To prevent the risk of injustice to the parties in the current case, The risk of undermining public confidence in the judicial process

Respectfully submitted

