

DOMENICO GALLUZZO
145 NEDELLEC DR.
SADDLE BROOK NJ 07663

Letter of reconsideration

SUPREMEME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
office of the Clerk
Washington D.C. 20543-0001
REF: No. 22-6797
ATT: Scott S. Harris, Clerk

May 16, 2023

FACTS OF THE CASE

Mr. Harris,

I am acting as pro se litigant so I will do the best I can, but this case has been going on since 2002 so I am not sure how brief I can make it. The ground for this case is mainly that the IRS lost in tax court appealed to 3rd circuit which held up the decision of the tax court and then went back and re-opened my bankruptcy from 2006 and this is where it gets me on the defensive. Judge Meisel took 2 years to decide which was completely different than what took place at the hearing (please see transcript). Her Honor made several erroneous statements in the 50 page decision and since then I have been able to locate documented evidence of the laws the IRS broke or sidetrack which is in the original petition you are holding such as them keeping my tax refunds starting in 2004 when they claimed the tax was accessed in 2005 and was late by 280 days, Not suppling us with the audit that we started asking for in 2005 stalling my attorneys and the forensic accountant I hired and in 2009 we received a letter from the IRS that no documents can be produced which by law should have abated the tax and removed the lien. I also have sent you copies of the tax returns for the 3 years in question which I have recently and luckily found that the courts have not seen because the IRS failed to produce them. In the bankruptcy of 2006, they put in a Proof of Claim with no documents to prove their claim as stated by rule 3001(b10) which my attorney failed in not asking for the documents. The bankruptcy court Confirmation did not fix the amount of the claim as read in the transcript "there are ongoing negotiations and when that amount is reached then if anything is owed it would be decided how to pay. Also in your possession is a certificate removing the lien from my wife Angela which should have never been put on her and took 10 years to get them to remove it as well as a credit for \$ 714,000.00 for the year 2000 when their Proof of Claim alleges I owe over

DOMENICO GALLUZZO
145 NEDELLEC DR.
SADDLE BROOK NJ 07663

\$300,000 and the fact that they renewed the lien in 2015 when we had won in court already and legally did not owe the IRS and again without any documentation that proved their claim. Can I just go and put a lien on a customer without showing any invoices or documentation, I do not think so. Again, as in my last letter, the IRS is not above the law. All the documentation I have sent shows at least 6 laws the IRS broke in this 20-year battle, yet they do not have one piece of paper to substantiate this claim. Judge Meisel while accusing me of dodging my taxes only did one thing which was hold up the original Confirmation which does not confirm the amount owed, only that there were ongoing negotiations which the IRS kept stalling until finally we received the letter in April of 2009 (also in your possession) stating they CAN NOT PRODUCE ANY DOCUMENTS! Sent from the same agent that signed the Proof of Claim, Mr. William McDaniel's. I understand the Court is sent thousands of applications and some or most may be bogus but not this one. This is the last stop for a grave injustice to be undone. I have made and signed a statement that this is not to stall but to get my life back on track and earn a living if I am still able to, the damage the IRS has done to my company and my name is irrevocable and I have been told and laughed at by legal professionals that say I do not have a prayer of get this case heard PLEASE do not make them right I have forwarded to you all documentation proving everything I have written this court is the last chance for justice to prevail its not a nothing case its my life. As far as Judge Meisel beside misstating many sentences all she did was take 2 years to affirm a confirmation that already existed, and Judge Salas took 4 years (2 before a life altering occurrence and 2 years after) and rubber-stamped Judge Meisel's decision. Again, I have signed and had notarized a statement that this is in good faith and aware of the consequences of lying under oath. In my original request are all the documents to prove what I have written; I could have sent a lot more. All the correspondence going back and forth between my then attorney's and the IRS, which I will bring with me if the Court chooses to hear this case. If not, then everything I have worked for and my belief in the justice system will all be for nothing. This is a lose all case please give me the courtesy and chance to be heard and justice will prevail the truth and poof is on my side now it is up to you to give me the chance the passed courts have not because they have not seen the additional documents just located and sent to you and have in my opinion not reviewed past decisions on this case.

Dominick Galluzzo

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dominick Galluzzo', with a stylized, flowing script.

DOMENICO GALLUZZO
145 NEDELLEC DR.
SADDLE BROOK NJ 07663

Letter of good faith

SUPREMEME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
Office of the Clerk
Washington D.C. 20543-0001
REF: No. 22-6797
ATT: Scott S. Harris, Clerk

May 13, 2023,

I Dominick Galluzzo swear and affirm that the letter for a re-hearing is not to waste or delay time but to have justice prevail that has not been in the previous courts. Under oath my case has been unfairly judged, which I have all documentation of and feel the Supreme Court will rectify this injustice by hearing this case.



Dominick Galluzzo

Notary.



ANGEL D FERNANDEZ
NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY
My Commission Expires February 16, 2028



RECEIVED

MAY 23 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

DOMENICO GALLUZZO
145 NEDELLEC DR.
SADDLE BROOK NJ 07663

Letter of reconsideration

SUPREMEME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
office of the Clerk
Washington D.C. 20543-0001
REF: No. 22-6797
ATT: Scott S. Harris, Clerk

May 16, 2023

Grounds of Substantial effects

Mr. Harris,

The grounds for the following facts of the case are there is documented evidence in the first request for a hearing that other courts have not seen because I have just recently located the documents. Some of these documents such as the tax returns themselves that we have been asking the IRS for since 2005 were in a box in my attic. Also, documents showing and proving the IRS broke the law by keeping my refunds 1 year before they claim the tax was accessed and up to 2013 when we won in tax court which they claimed there were no records of such refunds being kept. No other courts have seen these documents which prove the IRS has been misleading the courts as well as breaking at least 6 laws. For these reasons I am again asking for reconsideration for this Court to hear this case.

Truthfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dominick Galluzzo', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Dominick Galluzzo

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**