No. 22-_____

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

MAJOR MIKE WEBB, D/B/A FRIENDS FOR MIKE WEBB (C00591537),
A/K/A MAJOR MIKE WEBB FOR CONGRESS (H8VA08167),
Pro Se Petitioner/Appellant,

v.

CITY OF FALLS CHURCH and CITY OF ALEXANDRIA
Respondents/Appellees.

***Webb v. City of Falls Church, et al.*, Record No. 22-1699 (4th Cir. 2022), on petition for writ of mandamus from *Webb v. City of Falls Church, et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:22-CV-00668-MSN-WEF, (E.D.Va. 2022)**

Appendix of Authorities: Court Orders
Petition for Certiorari

MAJOR MIKE WEBB, *PRO SE*
Counsel of Record
955 S. Columbus Street, Apartment 426
Arlington, Virginia 22204
(856) 220-1354
Mike.Webb84@gmail.com

RECEIVED
FEB - 6 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division

MAJOR MIKE WEBB,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF FALLS CHURCH, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00668-MSN-WEF
Hon. Michael S. Nachmanoff

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff Major Mike Webb's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. See Dkt. No. 2. Plaintiff's application reflects that he has significant assets along with a monthly income of $6,584.46. *Id.* Further, plaintiff has filed numerous cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia and previously been found ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* with respect to at least two other matters. *See, e.g.*, *Webb v. Office of Management & Budget (OMB), et al.*, Case No. 3:22-cv-00418 (denying *in forma pauperis* application), *Webb v. Northam*, Case No. 3:22-cv-00222 (same); *see also Webb v. Kimmel, et al.*, Case No. 3:22-cv-00392, *Webb v. Federal Elections Commission*, Case No. 3:22-cv-00047, *Webb v. Fauci, et al.*, Case No. 3:21-cv-00432, *Webb v. Northam*, Case No. 3:20-cv-00497, *Webb v. Newman, et al.*, Case No. 1:19-cv-00822, *Webb v. Beyer, et al.*, Case No. 1:19-cv-00808, *Webb v. U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board*, Case No. 1:19-cv-00257.

* * *

Accordingly, plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **DENIED**. The Court **ORDERS** plaintiff to pay the required filing fee on or before July 8, 2022. All existing deadlines and consideration of all motions will by **STAYED** pending receipt of that filing fee.

The clerk is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.

It is **SO ORDERED**.

June 17, 2022
Alexandria, Virginia

/s/
Hon. Michael S. Nachmanoff
United States District Judge

EXHIBIT B

FILED: August 17, 2022

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1699
(1:22-cv-00668-MSN-WEF)

MAJOR MIKE WEBB, a/k/a Major Mike Webb for Congress (H8VA08167), d/b/a Friends for Mike Webb

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

CITY OF FALLS CHURCH; CITY OF ALEXANDRIA

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER

The court grants leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

For the Court--By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk

EXHIBIT C

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1699

MAJOR MIKE WEBB, a/k/a Major Mike Webb for Congress (H8VA08167), d/b/a *Friends for Mike Webb*,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CITY OF FALLS CHURCH; CITY OF ALEXANDRIA,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Michael Stefan Nachmanoff, District Judge. (1:22-cv-00668-MSN-WEF)

Submitted: December 20, 2022 Decided: December 22, 2022

Before [illegible] and [illegible] Circuit Judge[illegible] and FLOYD, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Michael David Webb, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Major Mike Webb appeals the district court's order denying his motion to proceed in forma pauperis. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons. Webb v. City of Falls Church, 1:22-cv-00668-MSN-WEF (E.D. Va. June 17, 2022). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRM

EXHIBIT D

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

MAJOR MIKE WEBB, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:22cv392

JAMES CHRISTIAN KIMMEL, *et al.*

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. The Court has received *Webb's Second* Amended Praecipe containing the list of individuals to be served for each Defendant. *(ECF* No. 13.)

The Court DIRECTS the Clerk to issue the summonses in this matter.

The Court DIRECTS the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to Webb at his address of record.

It is SO ORDERED.

Date: 12/12/2022
Richmond, Virginia

/s/
M. Hannah Lauck
United States District Judge

EXHIBIT E

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

MAJOR MIKE WEBB

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:22cv392

JAMES CHRISTIAN KIMMEL, *et al.*

Defendants.

ORDER

Having reviewed Plaintiff Major Mike Webb's Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and the financial affidavit filed herein, it is hereby ORDERED that Webb is permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the Motion is GRANTED. (ECF No. 1.)

The Court DIRECTS the Clerk to file the Complaint, (ECF No. 1-1), and the Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 1-6).

The Court has received Webb's Praecipe, (ECF No. 1-3), containing a list of Defendants and their addresses. The Court ORDERS Webb to provide the Clerk's office with the name of an individual to be served for each Defendant so that the Clerk may issue the summons and [illegible] for service.

The Court DIRECTS the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to Webb at his address of record.

It is SO ORDERED.

Date: 10/24/22
Richmond, Virginia

M. Hannah Lauck
United States District Judge