

## APPENDIX A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly	)	No.: 1:21-cv-01490-CFC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	
Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B.	)	
Swartz, et al.	)	
Defendants.	)	

**Plaintiff's Motion to amend the complaint pursuant to FRCP 15(a)(1) and FRCP 15(a)(2) to include additional parties, eliminate a party, include additional facts and include additional requests for relief**

Plaintiff Meghan Kelly, Pro se, January 24, 2022, brings this motion pursuant to FRCP 15(a)(1) and FRCP 15 (a)(2) to amend the complaint to include additional plaintiffs, Delaware Supreme Court, a.k.a., Supreme, Court State of Delaware, Justice Gary F. Traynor, in his official and individual capacity, pursuant to *Ex parte Young*, Justice Karen L. Valihura, in her official and individual capacity, Chief, Justice Collins J. Seitz, Jr., in his individual and official capacity, Justice James T. Vaughn, Jr., in his individual and official capacity, Justice Tamika R. Montgomery-Reeves, in her individual and official capacity, to eliminate a party, Preliminary Investigatory Committee, include additional facts, and include additional requests for relief.

1. The Supreme Court members participated in the subject of the petition of the Board, *Kelly v Trump*, three of the justices rendered an order in that case, Justice Gary F. Traynor, Justice James T. Vaughn, Jr., Justice Tamika R. Montgomery-Reeves.

2. The Defendants allege in the August 23, 2021 letter that the pleadings in that *Kelly v Trump* are the source of Defendant's investigation.

3. I brought the petition to protect my free exercise of religious beliefs under RFRA to safeguard my life and liberty from government incited private and government sponsored persecution. I also brought two petitions for relief from attorney dues.

4. The source of De-Lapps attacks against me was my request for waiver of attorney dues addressed to Chief Justice Seitz and the Delaware Supreme Court. The second request was ignored by the Supreme Court, affording no opportunity to be heard.

5. The entire court may have reviewed the petitions relating to attorney dues.

6. Since, a proceeding before the Board begun, the Supreme Court conspired with defendants to deny me of a fair and impartial opportunity to defend my exercise of fundamental rights at a government compelled rushed secret hearing.

7. I was denied the opportunity to recover from not feeling well, compelled to attend a hearing with little sleep, and while ill, due to allergies. Defendants do not care about health, but care more about material gain at the cost of human health and life, my health and life and liberty.

8. I was denied the opportunity to call witnesses, gather evidence, research, use such evidence to present motions or to present a defense, and cross examine witnesses.

9. I was denied the opportunity to appeal decisions by the Court's conspiracy to collude with the Board, by signaling to the Board to render decisions to my motion in a non-appealable form. The Board made a determination via an informal unsigned email.

10. I was denied adequate notice of the hearing, 19 days as opposed to 20 days. I objected and reserved my objection.

11. The Delaware Supreme Court is partial to the state, and is incapable of providing a fair opportunity to be heard or a fair proceeding.

12. The Supreme Court made a decision on my defense prior to the hearing, denying me a fair opportunity to be heard, by deeming my request to research, gather evidence to prepare and present my case as frivolous, means the Court finds my defense of 1. lack of subject matter

due to illegality of proceeding, as applied to me, disparately brought to punish me for my religious beliefs and exercise of protected conduct, 2. Lack of subject matter jurisdiction due to the fact the Supreme Court is a partial forum.

13. The Supreme Court incited and contributed to the Defendants' attacks and the petition brought against me. The Supreme Court's members include necessary witnesses, specifically Chief Justice Seitz.

14. The Defendants knew I desired to subpoena Chief Justice Seitz back in October 26, 2021. (See Exhibit A.)

15. The Supreme Court agents or members are the apparent instigators of the petition brought to punish me for my religious beliefs, or poverty, and exercise of protected conduct.

16. The Defendants seek to further compel me to violate my religious beliefs, by examination. I object to examinations on religious grounds, and should not be forced to violate my faith, because Defendants attack my faith as a mental disability. Defendants do not meet strict scrutiny.

17. I am in immediate danger, and every day the threat of additional danger increases.

18. Sadly, it appears I cannot at this time remove David White or Kathleen Vavala as they appear to be participating in the unlawfully brought proceeding against me.

19. I am removing the Preliminary Investigatory Committee in the Amended Complaint. I am also including nominal damages, requesting declaratory relief, a writ of mandamus, additional counts, and more explicitly asking for damages relating to emotional distress.

20. Defendants compelled me to attend the hearing when I was too tired and not feeling well to perform well. Defendants do not care about health or liberty just power.

21. I am so tired. I am sorry I do not have time to tailor the complaint, due to the continuous, immediate irreparable additional injuries, I continue to face. I remain in danger. Please help me.

22. Attached, please find a blackline of the changes listed as Exhibit B, and the Amended Complaint listed as Exhibit C.

22. The Defendants are not prejudiced, since service has not even been brought for the original complaint, and no attorney has made their appearance yet.

23. The attorney has notice of the additional parties as I mentioned I sought to include them in my last two motions.

Wherefore, I pray the Court grants my motion as justice requires.

Dated: January 24, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,



/s/Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire  
34012 Shawnee Drive  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
Pro se, not attorney  
(Word Count 911)  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com  
Bar Number 4968

I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: January 24, 2022

Meghan Kelly (printed)

Meghan Kelly (signed)

## APPENDIX B

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

The Honorable Colm F. Connolly  
Care of the Clerk of Court  
Office of the Clerk  
United States District Court  
844 North King St Unit 18  
Wilmington, DE 19801-3570

**RE: / Kelly v Swartz /doctored praecipe/ concealing elimination of key witness by retiring Arline Simmons and Katrina Krugar/ Free speech argument ruling that DRDC Rules 12 and 13 are unconstitutional per se and as applied**

April 26, 2022

Dear Honorable Colm F. Connolly:

On Friday, April 22, 2022, with a heavy heart, I went to the Chancery Court in Sussex County to pick up the attached praecipe, dated October 5, 2022, labeled as **Exhibit A**. A Chancery Court staff member, Arline Simmons wrote on the praecipe, without my authorization, testifying as a witness without cross examination, on a public court record, misleading courts on appeal, which contributed to the confusion as to why I could not serve US Attorney General, David Weiss in *Kelly v Trump* Chancery Court No. 20-0809, DE Supreme Court No. 119-2021, and United States Supreme Court No., 21-5522.

I did not know Arline Simmons wrote on it, and could not understand why I was not issued the Summons for the First Amended Complaint or the Second

amended Complaint for local counsel, US Attorney General David Weiss, through the civil process clerk. The handwritten notes give some clarity.

Per the attached exhibit, incorporated herewith as **Exhibit B**, I wrote a letter dated July 12, 2021 and filed with the Delaware Supreme Court, upon discovery that the Civil Process clerk's address was crossed off. Arline Simmons, flipped through the documents and instructed me to cross off the address, with initials. I complied, thinking she may have found a typo, not knowing this would prevent service to the Civil process clerk.<sup>1</sup> In tears I lamented, "I was booby trapped by the Court staff Arline Simmons." Attached, please find **Exhibit C**, the praecipe to serve Delaware local counsel, through the Civil Process clerk, with the address crossed off and my initials, through the instructions of Arline Simmons.

The signature pages were apparently switched. See **Exhibit D**, the praecipe with the switched signature page.

---

<sup>1</sup> I apologize that I am a poor typist. In high School I did so poorly in my typing class that I dropped out so I did not affect my GPA, which was over 100 because academic courses were weighted. My little brother, Andrew Patrick Kelly was valedictorian, class of 98 at Indian River High School, and my beautiful big sister Amanda Elizabeth Kelly Gordines and had a better GPA than I did too. I have family of beautiful, smart successful people. I am the least of these in my family and in the eyes of the world, but I am rich in faith for God, your honor. My father is a little ugly like I am, but my dad is my hero because he does that right thing, even at a material loss. My dad, the legendary R. Pat Kelly teaches high school, used to life guard at the beach, coached football and continues to coach basketball.

My dad makes doing the right thing look cool and he drove our prejudice against people of diverse races, socio-economic statuses and places of origin by using his coolness to drive out cruelty, when he taught Civics at Indian River High School, located in Sussex County Delaware. I was in Girl's State in High School only because my dad is smart. I listened to him speak of history on the car ride to school and repeated my father's captivating analysis, not mine, that dazzled my history teacher, Mr. Abbott. Mr. Abbott nominated me and Mary Wilgus. My Principal Dr. Patterson nominated me as student liaison. I had surgery in high school that made me forever weak. That is why I studied healthcare courses at Law School and a course at UD to improve our care that kills and harms and I believe damns people to hell. Just because I am poor, weak and ugly does not mean I am worthless your honor. I am priceless. I gave my life to God, not man or money that means I care about others as myself, and I cannot turn a blind eye in the face of oppression.

I did not understand why no subpoena was issued to me for the Civil Process clerk. Per **Exhibit E**, attached hereto, in a letter dated, October 19, 2020, I wrote Master Patricia Griffin regarding inter alias, that Defendants had 60 days to respond to my complaint as opposed to 20 days like a normal person, since they were agents of the federal government. On a side note, that is unfair, granting partiality and the luxury of more time to the powerful federal government, and less opportunity for the common lay person to assert their grievances against the federal government. I also received disparate treatment by the Chancery Court staff, and noted I acted as a party not as an attorney advocate, to alert the court it is okay for staff to yell at lawyers, but it is prejudicial to yell at parties. *Id.*

In a letter attached hereto as **Exhibit F** dated November 10, 2020 to the assigned Vice Chancellor, I noted I served President Trump and William Barr the Complaint and Amended Complaint, and stated, “The US Attorney for the District of Delaware has not been served... I requested subpoenas, including the one for the civil process clerk, in a letter to the Honorable Master, dated October 30, 2020.” (**Exhibit F** at 3, and the entire document, also see **Exhibit G**, the letter to Master Griffin, dated October 30, 2020, albeit it related to time constraints and removal.)

We were in a dangerous part of the pandemic when former President Trump was diagnosed with Covid-19, and I erroneously thought William Barr also contracted Covid-19.

Despite, the perils of germ spreading to and from notaries, the Chancery Court staff required I notarize documents. So, I sought a waiver, which the Delaware Supreme Court had already granted unbeknownst to the Chancery Court staff and myself.

Per **Exhibit H**, through a letter dated, October 9, 2020 to Master Patricia Griffin, a letter dated October 7, 2020 to the assigned Vice Chancellor of the Chancery Court, and a letter dated October 7, 2020 to the Delaware Supreme Court, I requested a waiver of the notary requirements to prevent loss of life and health, and copied William Barr on these requests. <sup>2</sup>

The Delaware Supreme Court sent me a letter attaching the emergency order, while copying the Defendant's administrator to the Board of Professional Responsibility, Karlis Johnson. (**Exhibit I**).

Throughout this time I noticed disparate treatment towards me, based on religion, political beliefs or association or poverty in violation of the equal

---

<sup>2</sup> Albeit your honor I made a mistake by not sending copies to local counsel, David Weiss. My mistake is not a mental disability, but based on my desire to act quickly to prevent irreparable loss in terms of government incitement infringement and loss of my First Amendment rights. I did not have easy access to research or even a working computer, or a printer at the time. I did not make the same mistake twice in this case. I served the Defendants through their local counsel, Zi-Xiang Shen, despite the fact this court withheld issuing service of the complaint and amended complaint.

protections clause, by the Chancery Court staff, apparently based on religious belief, political beliefs and or poverty. Per **Exhibit J**, attached hereto, in a letter dated, December 1, 2020, I wrote the Master Patricia Griffin of the Delaware Chancery Court concerning the prejudicial treatment based on protected beliefs and activity, and attached emails to **Katrina Kruger and Arline Simmons concerning the same.** (Emphasis Intended)

On or about November 6, 2020, I wrote Master Patricia Griffin regarding her staff, Arline Simmons, misleading me to almost miss my filing deadline to appeal, attached hereto as **Exhibit K.**

I sought discovery and alerted the Defendants of potentially calling Arline Simmons as a witness in the state proceeding. To my absolute horror, as I picked up the Praeclipe dated October 5, 2020, on Friday April 22, 2022, I discovered Arline Simmons and Katrina Kruger were no longer with the Chancery Court, apparently encouraged to retire to protect themselves and the Court in this federal proceeding. Albeit I did not desire to sue or harm either of these individuals. Though, Arline Simmons mistreated me, she is my friend. I desired to safeguard my ability to worship Jesus without government incited economic, social, or physical persecution for my religious beliefs in God as savior, not as money as savior.

Defendants prevented discovery concerning potential witnesses to hide the fact these two witnesses with first hand knowledge, were no longer available through the state in the Board proceeding.

I emailed Defendants I did not want Arline Simmons to get into trouble, before learning she was no longer with the Court. I merely desired the ability to exercise my constitutionally protected liberties without state persecution. I also noticed another government agent with a connection to me, Secretary of Education Dr. Celeste Bunting, retired, probably by state pressure after learning of her ties to me. (**Exhibit L**) Please note, I inadvertently sent the email, in Exhibit L, to the wrong Lisa, and meant to send it to Lisa Dolph, to end interference in my active case.

In addition, I noted Judge Smalls of the Court of Common Pleas, may have been forced to retire when the state learned, he told me to go back to Pennsylvania, not knowing I am from Delaware, showing prejudice based on place of origin. (**Exhibit L**). He was the first judge I made an appearance before, as an attorney on behalf of another lawyer who requested I fill in for them. Please see my letter to the Delaware Supreme Court concerning partiality by judges, incorporated herein by reference at D.I. 4 Exhibit 5. (Also see, D.I. 4, Exhibits 2, 3, 4 and 6. regarding partiality towards money and convenience at the cost of injustice by the state's agents, towards religious beliefs.)

I have been devastated, reasonably emotionally distraught, at the prospect Arline and Katrina may have lost their jobs because of the Defendants desired to conceal disparate treatment by state actors towards me based on disdain for my religious, political beliefs, speech, affiliation or poverty. I did not want them to lose their job. I did not desire to sue them either. I care about them. I merely love God more than them, other people and my own life, and must assert my right to love God without government economic, social or physical persecution. I gave my life to God your honor, not man or money.

I am also disappointed in Defendant David White, and Kathleen Vavala for persecuting me based on my religious-political petitions, speech, association and exercise. I sent them page 39 of the Fourth Industrial Revolution which includes the elimination of lawyers, as you know there is a plan to eliminate judges too, to automate justice in the decades to come. (Exhibit M)

David White knows I am a good lawyer. I drafted the attached pleading for an arbitration he handled upon my request for Insight Homes. (Exhibit N) I understand Insight retained him for other cases.

I believe the ODC's function worsens the practice of law and guarantees injustice by its focus on serving business greed not good, by focusing on what I believe is the mark of the Antichrist, partiality towards self, looking after their own, the appearance of the profession, money, convenience, positions and power,

not unearned required care for the public and professionals, while protecting individual liberties from satanic mob reign of lusts. I hate it when judges in courts misbehave by feigning humility to get out of doing their duty by indicating “the people elected the President or congress, I cannot overstep by rewriting the law, or acting as executive by cry babying “political question.”

The Court must balance these two imbalanced branches to protect individual liberty, the freedom of the individual from the satanic conditional conformed reign of lusts, with no ability to unconditional love. The Courts must exercise their duty to prevent the planned elimination of the dollar, the intended crash of the global economy, and the planned elimination of governments down the line. The Courts must not give into temptation to immediate gratification, ease, position, profit, only to lose it all down the line. There are plans for our Country’s harm and the world’s harm. The World Government Summit met on March 29-30, 2002, and alluded to a cold war after a hot war, and a depression and much more concerning issues. If they predict it, they plan it. The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, aka, IMF, also had their yearly meeting, April 18-24th and plan inflation. Your honor, can place his foot down on the President or Congress and prevent the planned inflation and worsening conditions to cause a crash of the dollar and world economy, albeit in another case. You are my hope of a hero, as well as the Delaware Courts, who persecute me now. Jesus says justice, with mercy and

faithfulness are more important commands than laws dealing with material gain.

Citing *Matthew 23:23*. God teaches partiality is sin. Justice in the courts is a command. *Amos 5:15*. You may save lives and eternal lives if you freely choose, your Honor. I hope you do.

With regards to this outside Court balancing the partiality and injustice guaranteed by self-regulation of state courts, lawyers, professional lawyer associations and Professional Disciplinary Procedures and counsel, the ODC stifles improvement and correction within by requiring training all lawyers through CLE's to equally provide poor service, seeking sameness, conformity, uniformity, not growth and learning.

As I mentioned previously in Exhibits and in my Complaint, comments of diverse suggestions for improving the practice of law, were not well received at continuing lawyer classes. The State through their agents desire “their will be done,” like Satan, controlling attorneys like widgets, human commodities, not caring for them and the public. (See, *1 John 5:19*, “We know that we are children of God, and that the **whole world** is under the **control of the evil one**.” (Emphasis intended), note the reference to control, not caring for humanity while protecting their freedom to choose even choose wrongly. When we force our will upon others, that is not freedom but tyranny, albeit just laws govern, guide and correct people who kill, steal and destroy to serve business greed, the mark of the beast,

conditionally caring for your own with no unconditional love); (See also , 2 *Corinthians 4:4*, “the god of this world [Satan] hath blinded the minds of them [with enticing temptations of reward, avoidance of harm, societal peer pressure, shame, praise and conditional caring with no God in them, controlling humanity through temptations] which believe not, lest the light of the glorious gospel of Christ, who is the image of God, should shine unto them.”)

The ODC merely treats the practice of law as a business, cold hearted while feigning superficial optimism and concern to sell a product to the exploited public, while treating lawyers as human capital as opposed to human beings with souls.

The Courts provide a government service to all unearned, required, even to those with religious-political beliefs, religious-political exercise which state agents do not understand, disagree with and find repugnant, like my own your honor. Maybe your honor, may require the Board and the ODC to care for, not control lawyers, while encouraging improvements of the profession, instead of stifling innovation through conformed bad standards that exploit and oppress people for convenience and profit.

I filed the attached complaint against Judge Kavanaugh, and it appeared the ODC cowardly cared about retaliation from its ruler, the highest court, Supreme Court Justice Kavanagh, and did not improve the profession, just created a false appearance of justice. (**Exhibit O**).

The time for correction for Justice Kavanagh was during the appointment of nominees. That time has passed. Vengeance is injustice, with no room for correction that guides the misguided to become better by love, not by material gain or money. So, no impeachment should be made for him or for Justice Thomas regarding Anita Hills.

Upon learning this hard truth of the ODC's marketing function creating injustice guaranteed, I believe the Courts should not self-regulate, but should allow impeachment to be the only means of a government check. There is corruption and internal bias to look after your own at the public's expense, while creating the illusion of justice, it creates injustice guaranteed. That said, I do not desire Justice Kavanagh or Justice Thomas to be impeached for their past errors or with regards to Justice Thomas's, his wife's conduct relating to the attempted coup on January 6, 2020. Half of the nation were misled by former President Trump's sweet nothings. Courts must guide the misguided, not punish them for being human as opposed to cold hearted machines seeking cold hard or electronic currency.

I also desire to include additional count and claim, to declare Disciplinary Rules 13 and 14 Unconstitutional, in violation of our Constitutional protections relating to free speech, and inhibiting gathering aide in my defense to accusations against me for my political-religious petitions, speech, association and exercise.

Delaware Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, Rule 13 requires:

- a) Confidentiality. Prior to the Hearing Panel's submission to the Court of its final report, and except as otherwise described in these rules, disciplinary and disability proceedings and the official record in such matters are confidential. If the Hearing Panel's report recommends that the matter be dismissed, that a lawyer not be transferred to disability inactive status, or that a private sanction be imposed, any further proceedings by the Court and the official record in the matter are confidential unless and until otherwise ordered by the Court. (b) Protective orders. Upon proper application with good cause shown, or on a sua sponte basis, the Chair or Vice Chair of the Board, the Chair of the Hearing Panel, or the Court may issue appropriate protective orders with respect to any proceedings, reports, documents, or other information which may otherwise be made public, for the purpose of preserving confidentiality. (c) Complainant's right to appear. The complainant in a disciplinary matter, if any, shall have the right to appear at any Board hearing on sanctions or any Court hearing on a petition for interim suspension relating to the matter for the sole purpose of making a statement on the record regarding the matter. (d) Requests for confidential information. A request for the release of confidential information as described under these Rules shall be made by written application, with good cause shown, directed to the Administrative Assistant. Such application shall be considered and determined by the Court. (e) Release of confidential information. The work product of the ODC may not be disclosed or released except pursuant to Rule 13(f). The pendency, subject matter, or status of a disciplinary matter may be disclosed or released if: (1) the respondent has waived confidentiality in writing; (2) the proceeding is based upon allegations which include the conviction of any crime; (3) the respondent has been placed upon interim suspension or disability inactive status; (4) such disclosure or release is necessary to obtain the assistance of another person, agency, or organization, provided that such person, agency, or organization agrees to maintain the confidentiality mandated by these Rules; (5) the proceedings are based upon allegations which have otherwise been made public; or (6) with the approval of the Court, such disclosure or release is necessary in order to correct false or misleading public statements with respect to any otherwise confidential proceeding or information, or is necessary to prevent public confidence in the disciplinary system from being undermined. (f) Cooperation with criminal justice authorities. Any evidence or information obtained through the disciplinary process indicating criminal conduct by a lawyer, including documents,

transcripts, and work product, or any selected portions thereof, may be disclosed or turned over to the appropriate criminal justice authorities for their independent review and investigation. (g) Duty of participants. All participants in a proceeding under these Rules shall conduct themselves so as to maintain the confidentiality mandated by these Rules. (Amended, effective May 14, 2008.)

Delaware Rules of Disciplinary procedure Rule 14 provides:

“The ODC shall publicly disseminate all information relating to disciplinary matters and proceedings as is consistent with these Rules. In particular, the ODC shall transmit notices and information regarding the imposition of all public discipline, transfers to or from disability inactive status, or reinstatements to:

- (a) the disciplinary enforcement agency in any jurisdiction in which the respondent is admitted,
- (b) the chief judicial officers of all courts of this State,
- (c) the chief judicial officers of all federal courts located in this State, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court,
- (d) any national data bank maintained for the purposes of reporting disciplinary action relating to lawyers, and
- (e) the news media.”

Rule 13, allegedly protects the accused, including me, while protecting the ODC from libel law suits. It does not require I keep the proceeding confidential.

However, Rule 14, gives the illusion the State, ODC, and coconspirators have immunity to verbally persecute the accused, should they win, , including me inciting social, economic and physical persecution verbal government attacks cause, based on my religious-political beliefs, religious-political speech, religious political association, and religious-political petitions.

I argued the Defendants are not immune from suit and Rule 14 will not protect them should they attack me further in the future, per the analysis in my Complaint. See Id. 2.

With new and additional information commonly arising in my case, I have a running request to **amend the complaint to conform with additional and new evidence**, as they arise at the end of the proceeding, to include additional or new claims or evidence.

I am also mailing you and Defendants electronic copies

1. Video where I spoke at a Democratic function, showing I misbehaved by accepting donations from the Democratic party before I learned how it caused oppression and injustice per Jesus the Christ's teachings in *Matthew 6:1-4*. I sinned and I am sorry your honor.

2. Video of a vulture that was pecking at the window that was not scared of me despite yelling at it in the winter of 2022.

3. Video of me on TV in the news complaining of rats in my dorm apartment from a Christian School Duquesne Law School.

4. Pictures of Judge Hardiman and me in law school, to show my personal connection to argue he should not be assigned the case should he be chosen due to potential partiality.

5. Pictures of me attending a Democratic function, misbehaving, when I should have been available to all people, regardless of party to prevent **conditional conformity** with the party's agenda, which prevents **unconditional** love and service for all citizens, regardless of each individual's position and party affiliation.

6. Video of me lamenting about how Nancy Pelosi and congress people misbehaved by failing to impeach. Nancy Pelosi remarked on respecting the flag when she transferred the articles for impeachment, placing her hand to my face on my article of impeachment to safeguard the NFL player's freedom to associate and speak out on alleged disparate treatment towards Black Americans in the judicial system. Our officials put on a deceptive horse and pony show, feigning concern on government racist persecution of the people. They do not seek justice for the oppressed as I asked them to. They misbehave, and are need of Court correction and guidance.

7. PDF's of the pleadings I filed against the democrats, suing them without violating Jesus Christ's teachings by asking for donations or signatures, which I believe damns people to hell. I would be a bad leader if I encouraged conduct that harmed people in this life and damned them to hell forever your honor.

8. I also attached some of my marketing material so you can understand my heart, but I feel dirty. Government is a service not a business. Officials should be elected not based on appearance or popularity but based on their heart. The people should vote on their ideas not appearance. Jesus teaches us judge correctly, not based on appearance. The title marketing appears naughty to me, your honor.

I am not a bad guy. I am an imperfect Christian, not crazy. I freely choose to have the mind of Christ, not the mind of the world.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

April 26, 2022

Very truly,



/s/Meghan Kelly  
Meghan Kelly, Esquire  
34012 Shawnee Drive  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com  
Bar Number 4968  
( 4,039 Words)

## APPENDIX C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MEGHAN KELLY,

Plaintiff,

v.

: Civ. No. 21-1490-CFC

DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL PATRICIA  
B. SWARTZ, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

At Wilmington this 26<sup>th</sup> day of April in 2022, for the reasons set forth in the  
Memorandum issued this date,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Plaintiff's pending motions (D.I. 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41, 42, 47, 48, 54) are  
DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief Judge