

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

APPLICATION TO EXPEDITE CASE NO. 22-6783

Petitioner respectfully prays that a motion to expedite the case distribution date, and conference date for Petitioner on her writ of certiorari before judgment in *Kelly v Swartz*, US Supreme Court Number 22-6783, due to the Third Circuit's bad faith scheduling of the Third Circuit's conference prior to this Court's conference to evade review, to prevent the deprivation of the 5th opportunity to be heard on appeal, to prevent irreparable injury in terms of loss of the right to exercise fundamental First Amendment rights, the 5th and 14th Amend property interests in my licenses and loss to harm to health and life.

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254.

STATEMENT OF CASE

I. BACKGROUND MEG IS PERSCUTED BASED ON HER PRIVATE-RELIOUS POLITICAL PETITIONS AND CONTSTITUTIONAL PROTECTED PRIVATE RELIGIOUS-POLITICAL BELIEFS INCLUDING HER BELIEF IN SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE TO PRESERVE BOTH THE CHURCH AND THE STATE

1. I brought a Religious Freedom Restoration Act law suit against former President Donald J. Trump to alleviate a substantial burden upon my religious exercise by President Trump's establishment of government religion through a course of conduct, including a series of executive orders which established an unlawful corrupt union of church and state, based on business. Former President Trump passed Executive Order 13798 ("E.O. 13798") which allows churches to use the parishioners' donations to back candidates and parties. This gives the government the backing of God by the backing of the church creating the dangerous

government-religious belief amongst the populace based on buying and bartering favor not freedom.

2. Presidents Trump, Bush Junior, Obama, and Biden also passed a series of executive orders, making us less free to worship, by buying the support of churches by paying them to perform government welfare duties, thereby, increasing waste by churches, organizations, not for profits and businesses, who seek to get as much as they can for as little as they can. Citing, Ex. Or. No. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021; Ex. Or. No. 13198, Jan. 29, 2001, as amended by Ex. Or. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021; Ex. Or. No. 13199, Jan. 29, 2001, as revoked by Ex. Or No. 13831, May 3, 2018; Ex. Or. No. 13279, December 12, 2002, as amended by Exec. Or. No. 13559, November 17, 2010; Ex. Or. No.13559, Nov. 17, 2010.; Ex Or. No. 13831, May 3, 2018; Ex. Or. No. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021, (Collectively “Executive Orders”).

3. The money used to barter favors between church and state is the reason why I believe people threatened me, threw substance at my car and talked about shooting me based on political religious perceived affiliation or speech, prior to Kelly v Trump. (See, 3DI-140-3, also see police report based on threats against me based on signs and police report regarding two bullets entering the home of Gregory Layton and his wife based on his religious-political protected First Amendment speech at 3DI-158-15, Exhibits 1 ,2, 3). I seek to preserve the wall between church and state to preserve both the church and the state, and the United States.

4. The Catholic entities’ partnerships with the government based on business is dangerous to me a Catholic, the President a Catholic, the Supreme Court justices who associate as a Catholic and to our nation.

5. Some people think Catholic teachings lead to damnation in hell and the pope is the antichrist. I do not believe that. Other citizens are angry at the Catholic Church because of alleged sexual abuse by priests towards children. Others are angry about Christians who mistreated Indians taken away and resettled within Christian orphanages who did evil under the feign of good. Other people are angry about past Christian's misconduct including but not limited to colonization in the name of Christ, the Spanish Inquisition, missionaries who exploit people to serve business greed or compelled belief in exchange with necessities. Not everyone in American associates as a Catholic or Christian.

6. The establishment of government religion through business by barter or exchange eliminates what is not for sale, freedom, by economic pressure. The elimination of free exercise of religion by the establishment of government endorsed, sponsored or backed churches incites physical, economic and social persecution against citizens.

7. A lot of people angry with my church, the Catholic Church, may become angry with the government or the President and justices who associate as Catholics, for associating with the church they are displeased with.

8. People have already indicated they think Catholicism leads to hell. Others have talked about shooting me. I went to the Delaware Courts for protection. They indicated my religious belief was a disability. Thus, if I am attacked again, but for disagreeing with the established government religion, the state will not protect me but would leave me to be harmed or die, as unworthy of life or liberty. My circumstances makes the establishment of Catholic government religion even more dangerous for me, a Catholic.

9. Unelected bureaucrats create government-religious partnerships through regulations based on the executive orders which compel citizens to support the religious groups' government function in order to extract the government benefit from churches.

10. The establishment of government religion based on business not freedom creates the foundation that citizens may attack government agents under the guise of protecting freedom from compelled religious belief or support. The establishment of unions of church and state may lead to the dissolution of the government and the church. This Court must dissolve the partnerships between the church and state to preserve both.

11. This civil rights case arose as a result of the Defendants' and Delaware Supreme Court's members' or agents' (the "State's") attacks against me in my private Delaware Religious Freedom Restoration law suit *Kelly v Trump* US Supreme Court Number 21-2255 to cause me to forego my fundamental right to petition based on the State's disapproval of my religious-political beliefs, petitions relating to bar dues, and I believe my other religious petitions over the years.

12. I brought a law suit against the democrats to run for federal office without violating my religious beliefs against organized charity or fundraising or collection of signatures in violation of Jesus's teachings in *Matthew* 6:1-4. I also petitioned the Delaware Supreme Court for an exemption from swearing in, participating in family law, and other petitions regarding CLEs in order not to compel me to violate my genuine religious beliefs.

13. When I took the Delaware bar, the dorm room ceiling where I stayed at Weidner law School caved in with water leaking onto and destroying my books. I petitioned the state for relief, after Weidner refused to help me by replacing my books for the destroyed books. After I

petitioned the State, Weidner gave me books. I passed the Delaware Bar on my first try. Then I was sued in retaliation for complaining to the state for bar materials.

14. The state persecutes me to conceal its past retaliation against me for petitioning the state regarding destruction of my bar materials with partiality towards the wrong doer a government backed partner at Weidner law school. I was sued by the State for exercising my right to petition. I lost more than two million dollars in expected income, as outlined in my complaint and amended complaint and District Court Exhibits attached thereto. I incorporate herein by reference my salary engagement with Richards, Layton and Finger and related documents at DI 3, and 3DI- 158-15.

15. The Delaware Supreme Court placed my license on inactive disabled, indirectly indicating my religious belief in Jesus, not in the state's worship of money by business greed and glorification as my God and guide is punishable. (*John 2:16* (those who worship by business are not welcome in heaven). *Matthew 6:19-24*).

16. The lawless teaching that people must earn worth or contribute materially teaches the lie that the material gain extracted from oppressed people gives them worth when that is the moth and rust. Id. People are the treasures for governments to serve and protect not enslave and sell like disposable products. (*Matthew 6, Romans 13:8-14*)

17. I believe money stagnates and prevents innovation. If products and services improved without need of additional funding, the government funding or fundraising would stop. Those entities and individuals who receive government grants and contracts for research and work would receive no more money if the need to serve their business greed was resolved. I believe the minds of many, by their freedom of thought as opposed to dumbed down forced

standardized compelled thought, innovates by beautiful criticism which helps us improve and care about those we may not desire to care for. The healthy disorder as opposed to the unhealthy standardization is needed to improve products, services and safeguard people's liberty and lives from being eliminated by making the people no longer free but products to buy and sell in a forced market. I believe the government eliminates freedom by providing grants or contracting with private entities to perform a government function in a fixed subpar, forced, not free market which makes businesses and philanthropists above the law by the governments' collusion through backing, grants and contracts.

II. ATTACKS AGAINST THE COURT TO ELIMINATE LAWS THAT RESTRAIN BUSINESSES AND CHARITIES FROM OPPRESSING, KILLING, STEALING AND DESTROYING HUMAN LIFE FOR THE BOTTOM LINE TO SERVE BUSINESS GREED (THE MARK OF THE BEAST) UNRESTRAINED BY LOVE WRITTEN ON THE HEARTS OF MAN OR THE JUST RULE OF LAW

18. I believe business greed is the mark of the beast written in the Bible unrestrained by the just rule of law or love written on the hearts to restrain people within businesses, not for profits and charities from oppressing, controlling, killing, stealing and destroying human life, liberty or health for material gain. (DI 2, Complaint)

19. I read some of your opinions Justice Alito. I know you disagree with me. I believe that you are confused into safeguarding businesses by sacrificing the impartial rule of law forgoing the preservation of liberties that make us free and help us learn from one another. The Court creates injustice when it focuses on money and material gain at the exchange of sacrificing individual liberty or lives. It is First Amendment freedoms not mere money the Courts have an allegiance to protect.

20. Despite disagreeing with you, I have a duty to respect and protect you. Besides I am an imperfect human who is capable of being wrong too. Sharing diverse private beliefs may help us learn, even bad ideas. How can we learn if we are no longer free to speak on beliefs.

21. I also believe the courts including the United States Supreme Court are in danger. I do not need to agree with all of your opinions to uphold my duty to protect you as the Courts are the protectors of individuals and individual liberties.

22. Under information and belief, it is a national emergency when the courts are threatened, and there is evidence of a plan to eliminate you to be replaced with automation.

23. As imperfect people, we need imperfect judges to analyze the unique, not standardized facts, of each case to render justice based on truth not based on the standards of automation which does not take into account free exercise of liberties which do not conform to the fixed standards by the mob through majority vote or otherwise.

24. Without people judges, it is reign by lawless lusts, by violence, bribes and extortion. Without an impartial judiciary uncontrolled by self-regulation, Third parties or Congress, none are free. We are for sale products to whoever controls the government.

25. Should you think my concerns are unwarranted, please grant me time to persuade you otherwise, even if it is on another case on appeal.

26. I am not offended by those who disagree with me, or those who seek to point out my flaws. Correction helps me learn. I feel loved when others point out where I went wrong so I may improve. The caveat is I should not be destroyed and thrown away because others find my beliefs in Jesus Christ so repugnant though.

27. The calculated attacks on the court are incited with the intention to eliminate this Court down the line under the deception of fairness by standardization to automate. Please look at this you-tube link from the World Government Summit, dated 2018, where a speaker, Sebastian Thrun alludes to reducing to eliminate people lawyers and people judges. Please click this link

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NsdmPiBc9TI&fbclid=IwAR0Ls801sbf5jZqgYCPj82hvoCKyRzvPbCp9rUGbNloh_byF4ZtZdnzgz-Q

28. There are plans for the courts harm. I seek to preserve the courts while preserving the Constitutional law by limiting discipline of judges to case and controversies and impeachment.

29. While I personally drafted a disciplinary complaint against Justice Kavanaugh prior to his induction to the US Supreme Court, I do not think it fair or just to impeach Justice Kavanaugh or any other justice at this time. (3DI 155-1 Pages 145-152, not attached hereto) Justice is about improving not destroying people.

30. I believe the only way to correct or place a check on a judge is by a law suit where there is a case or controversy or through impeachment. See, *Flast v. Cohen*, 392 U.S. 83 (1968), regarding case and controversy related to me and Kelly v Trump. Any other regulation of judges creates injustice by requiring judges be partial towards regulations or regulators instead of impartial rule of law. I also oppose ending life time limits.

III. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND BAD FAITH EXPEDITION OF THIRD CIRCUIT CASE TO DEPRIVE ME OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD ON APPEAL AT THE US SUPREME COURT

31. On or about February 6, 2023, I filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari before judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2101 (e) to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, Case Numbers 22-8037 and 22-2079 to vacate a denial of a stay pending this Honorable Court's determination or denial of writ of certiorari of both the Third Circuit Reciprocal Disciplinary Case US Supreme Court Number 22-6584 and the Delaware Disciplinary case. US Supreme Court No. 22-6783.

32. On February 7, 2023, I filed Appellant Plaintiff Meghan M Kelly's Motion for an interim stay of the Proceeding until the conclusion of the appeal of this Court's Order at 3DI 131 denying a stay. (3D-138)

33. On February 9, 2023, Judge Phipps of the Third Circuit denied my motion for an interim stay. (3DI-141).

34. On or about February 9, 2023, I submitted an interim application for a stay to prevent irreparable injury to my life, liberty, licenses and eternal life that this Honor denied, I incorporate herein by reference.

35. Your Honor denied my application for an interim stay on or about February 22, 2023. I was intending to re-apply with another justice, but I do not have time needed to do as quickly as I hoped.

36. On or about February 14, 2023, I filed Appellant Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's Motion to recuse the Honorable Peter J. Phipps twice a nominee to US Supreme Court by President Trump to preserve my Due process Rights under the 5th, I incorporate herein, with attachments thereto. (3DI-142)

36. Judge Phipps was twice placed on a list to be nominated to the US Supreme Court by former President Donald J. Trump.

37. The Defendants (herein collectively with the Delaware Supreme Court referred to as the “state”) admitted to bringing a disciplinary case against me based on beliefs in Jesus Christ, (See State’s Petition at 7) outlined in my petitions in *Kelly v Trump*, and my First Amendment exercise of religious-political speech, religious-political association, and my right to privately petition. US Amend I, XIV.

38. The state seeks to eliminate my right to private petition, to religious belief, religious exercise of belief, and association as a Christian, lawyer, Catholic, democrat by deeming my exercise of religious belief a disability unworthy of protections and worthy of punishment. The State seeks to forbid me of buying and selling as an attorney but for my religious beliefs in God as God not money, merriment and material gain as God and guide in my life.

39. I seek damages under the witness intimidation statute 42 Section 1985(2), emotional distress, First Amendment violations, voiding of *Kelly v Trump* and other relief based on a law suit against President Trump as well as based on other unlawful conduct by the state in this case. There is a conflict of interest that Judge Phipps may unconsciously be tempted to rule against me based on his desire to be nominated to US Supreme Court by Mr. Trump. Mr. Trump is running for President again. There is a real possibility Justice Phipps may be nominated to the US Supreme Court again by President Trump.

40. I face irreparable injury, in term of loss of the right to exercise fundamental rights of private petition, private religious belief, private exercise of beliefs, private association, and my

5th and 14th amendment right to my property interests in my license(s) to buy and sell as a lawyer as well as harm to health, life and eternal life.

41. I cannot risk the possibility of partiality by judges' apparent conflict of interests. I also petitioned the Court to recuse Justice Montgomery Reeves since I moved to place her as a Defendant for her participation of state attacks against me during Kelly v Trump, and other violations of law discovered after the case concluded. A party to a case cannot render a fair proceeding. I indicated my belief the individual judges of the Delaware Supreme Court incited, colluded and participated in attacking me in interference of my exercise of fundamental rights and fraudulently colluding to fix the outcome in the state proceeding by concealing evidence and denying me of procedural due process rights and other misconduct which shocks the conscience (3DI-142, DI 2, DI3, DI 20, DI 21, DI 34-35, DI 39, DI 43, DI 58). The Delaware District Court never rendered an Order on my motion to amend the complaint as a matter of right before serving the complaint to add each of the five Delaware Supreme Court members in their individual and personal capacity. (DI-43, DI-60).

42. Judge Phipps allowed the recusal of Justice Hardiman due to my personal past relationship as clerking with him during law school, but denied my motion to recuse Justice Montgomery-Reeves as not ripe, since she was not sworn in the Third Circuit. (3DI-132).

43. I drafted a letter noting additional conflicts of interests I have with Justice Phipps. He taught at my law school, Duquesne. In the video evidence you will see I was on tv because I complained for help when my law school apartment was infiltrated by a female rat who had babies during my last semester at law school while I was studying for the PA bar exam. I complained. Duquesne increased my rent in retaliation for my exercise of petitioning for help. In addition, Judge Phipps and I both know and respect the President of Duquesne President

Kenneth Gormley. His ties of loyalty to Duquesne may make him partial to demean me as disabled but for my complaints against the Christian law school, Duquesne.(3DI-143).

44. On or about February 16, 2023, I filed a Renewed Motion to screen and recuse the Honorable Justice Tamika Montgomery-Reeves from participating in this Case to preserve my Due process Rights under the 5th. (3DI 145)

45. On or about February 16, 2023, I also filed a Motion for the Third Circuit to waive costs for preparation and transmittal of the record to the US Supreme Court, should the Court require the record and other costs, fees, expenses, taxes or charges. (3DI-146)

46. On or about February 16, 2023, the Court calendared the hearing date for Tuesday, 04/11/2023. (3DI-147).

47. I called the Court and talked to a represented of the Third Circuit. He indicated it appeared notice may have been sent out to the other party by Linda on or about January 25, 2023, but no notice was sent out to me regarding whether this date may be good. So, I immediately drafted and filed Appellant Meghan Kelly's Motion to Postpone scheduling any hearing, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 26 (b) for good cause, respectfully requesting additional time before scheduling new date, objecting to the hearing date, lack of notice and the scheduling in bad faith in retaliation for my petitions to stay the case with the threat of compelling me to violate my right to religious belief in exchange for access to the courts, and request for an Order on motion to exempt costs prior to scheduling any hearing on February 16, 2023.

48. Despite the irreparable injury to me in term of loss of fundamental rights, First Amendment liberties, and continued diminishment to my health, the Third Circuit in bad faith, expedited my case with the intent to deprive me of the 5th Amendment opportunity to be heard

by this Court on appeal, without notice afforded to other lawyers and apparently served on opposing counsel, but not me on or about January 25, 2023 disparately treating me in this case. (See, US Amend I, V, regarding the 5th Amendments Equal protections component based on religious-political beliefs, speech, association and exercise).

49. The Third Circuit scheduled a hearing before briefing was complete, with outstanding motions, and without affording me notice that was customarily given to other claimants and lawyers, apparently even disparately treating me by affording notice to opposing counsel but not me.

50. I asked opposing counsel whether she received a courtesy letter. Opposing counsel did not respond.

51. On February 16, 2023, the Court noticed “U.S. Supreme Court. Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed by Meghan M. Kelly on 02/06/2023 and placed on the docket 02/15/2023. Supreme Court Case.” (3DI-148).

52. That same day, February 16, 2023, I filed a Motion to postpone scheduling any hearing, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 26(b) for good cause requesting additional time before scheduling new date, objecting to hearing date lack of notice and the scheduling in bad faith in retaliation for my petitions to stay the case with the threat of compelling me to violate my right to religious belief in exchange for access to the courts, and request for an Order on motion to exempt costs prior to scheduling any hearing. (3DI 149).

53. I emailed opposing counsel and requested she not object to my motion in order not to prejudice me and to prevent irreparable injury.

54. Instead of working with me, opposing counsel responded by filing her acknowledgment of the meeting. (3DI 150)

55. I also asked opposing counsel her stance on waiving oral proceedings due to impracticability. I am poor and cannot afford the transportation to go Philadelphia. I do not have stable phone or internet.

56. I wrote inter alias:

“Dear Zi-Xiang Shen and Caneel,
I cannot afford the drive to Upper Delaware, and my internet is unstable. People have a hard time hearing me on my phone at times.

I may object to the hearing as lack of notice of contents or waive my right for a hearing to do things on paper.

I was intending to do the same before the US Supreme Court.

I just do not have access to stable working internet and phone services. Your clients agent made up stuff and put it on the transcript. Then your other client and the Court who did not attend the hearing at the Board relied upon prejudicial inaccurate testimony. I objected to the transcript and maintain my objections. The court lamented my computers did not work. Zi-Xiang Shen hears me cry baby about internet and broken computers which break more than I tell you. I cry as I type this.

In addition, I cannot afford transcript costs.

You could let me know your position on a motion to waive my opportunity to be heard in person as opposed to on paper at both the US Supreme Court level and at the Third Circuit.”

57. I object to any transcript in the Delaware Disciplinary case as inaccurate and prejudicial. The reporter did not transcribe my testimony accurately and made things up outlandish things. Defendant Kathleen Vavala did not attend the hearing. Kathleen and the Delaware Supreme Court belittled me because I did not have a working computer to assist them in prosecuting me for my faith in Jesus and my petitions to defend my religious beliefs and exercise of fundamental rights.

58. I have two petitions with this United States Supreme Court. *Kelly v Third Circuit Court of Appeals*, Number 22-6584, and *Kelly v Swartz*, the appeal to this case, US Supreme Court Number 22-6783.

59. For my appeal to this case No 22-6783, opposing counsel has until March 17, 2023 to respond. According to this Court's case distribution schedule, it appears the appeal of this case to your Court will be conducted after any hearing will occur at the Third Circuit, apparently making my case moot. Albeit granting a stay may still prevent irreparable injury to me in terms of requiring other Courts to grant me time to sustain my life, licenses and my liberty.

60. I noticed all courts I require time in order not to die or harm my health. I had surgery which has made me weak. I have religious objections to healthcare and mental healthcare. (DI 4 at Exhibit 43, 3DI-154 , page 1-12). My health has diminished, but I fight for my liberty to believe in Jesus and my eternal life which is more important than my mere life. So, I cannot give up.

61. According to the case information sheet if Defendant waits until March 17, 2023 to file her response, March 23, 2023 List 2 (IFP) would likely be the distribution date April 14, 2023 appears to be a likely conference date. This conference date is after the April 11, date potentially rendering my writ of cert. before judgment moot, without allowing the US Supreme Court to grant me relief.

62. The Third Circuit in bad faith expedited my case despite irreparable injury to me to evade review as to deny me my Fifth Amendment right to petition, and due process rights to be heard.

63. Can you please expedite the distribution of this matter and conference date so as not to deny me an opportunity to be heard until it is too late. Could you please convene a determination before the week of April 11, 2023 so as not to render my petition moot.

64. I note, I filed a motion objecting to the hearing or conference date with the Third Circuit. However, it has been a few days since the time has passed for opposing counsel to respond to that motion with no Court Order. My motion has not been granted to date, and I face irreparable injury in terms of loss of fundamental rights, licenses, harm to life and eternal life.

65. I am prejudiced. I have other reciprocal cases based on the malicious Delaware disciplinary law suit the State brought against me in bad faith with religious-political-poverty animus to punish me for my exercise of First Amendment rights, including but not limited to the private right to petition, private right to religious-political speech, private right to run for office, private right to religious-political belief, private right to exercise religious-political belief, private right of association.

66. I should not have to compromise my eternal life by compelled religious violations in my belief in Jesus Christ in order to buy and sell.

67. Nor should I be denied the opportunity to be heard because of the bad faith expedition of the Circuit Court's case unusually and in bad faith scheduled prior to completion of briefing, despite notice of irreparable injury to me.

68. Even if this Court finds my religious political beliefs repugnant, please do not deny me the opportunity to be heard. Even those with repugnant religious beliefs are protected under the First and Fifth Amendment.

69. I am fighting for my licenses, livelihood, life, liberty, and eternal life. I had intended on mailing out thousands of pages. I received permission from our case manager to include exhibits without the appendix page. One of the Exhibits was 529 pages 158-15. I actually have been trying to print out exhibits since last Saturday, and I am not done.

70. I do not have the means to scan in large documents at home. Del Tech local college can only scan in about 200 documents at a time. So, I am grateful for the verbal permission by our case manager to upload the documents without appendix pages since some exceed 200 pages.

71. Please see the documents attached hereto to confirm evidence of my belief the Delaware Supreme Court members colluded in inciting the State's attacks against me in Kelly v Trump and in the Delaware Disciplinary case. Please see my motions where I moved or expressed my intent to add the members as a party. Please see, the District Court's Order at DI 60 never ruled on my motions to amend my complaint as a matter of right before serving the Defendants in its order at DI 60 attached hereto.

72. Please see the District Court documents, including two books written by the founder of the world economic forum where they outline their agenda which harms our government's ability to govern and guide.

73. I am also attaching affidavits 11 and 12 which are placed on the District Court and the Third circuit Court of appeals, which contain the latest motions I refer herein.

74. I do not feel well. I am dehydrated and collapsed on the floor and PA ODC did not care. I got the shingles during the Delaware Disciplinary proceeding. Defendants did not care despite the notice I gave to all opposing counsel that I require time to hydrate, exercise and rest

so I do not faint, harm my life, or die due to severe dehydration associated with bad healthcare I received as a teenager. I gave them notice and my health record. The State cared not, that people threatened me, even talked of shooting me, which made me sacred. I do not have time. I am prejudiced. So, there are typos, and I do not have easy access to research. I write in haste to prevent irreparable injury.

75. I am asserting my rights, humbly. I have no rights if I do not assert them. They are waived if I do not assert them.

76. Please help the least of these, please grant me an opportunity to be heard, which is not guarantee my writ will be granted.

X. CONCLUSION

Wherefore I pray this Court grants this application.

Dated: March 3, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Meghan Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
302-493-6693

Not acting as a lawyer on behalf of another
US Supreme Court Number 283696