

No. 22-6733

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ATTN: Jurist, U.S. Court of Appeals

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JAN 23 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

John Myles — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Ron Neri, warden, et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Indiana Court of Appeals, 45A04-1611-P.C. 2602
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
writ of Habeas Corpus Petition

John Myles
(Your Name)

ONE PARK ROW
(Address)

Michigan City, Indiana 46360
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

Petition For AN Extraordinary Writ

07

Questions Presented

46601-0910-PC-09

I.

I.

Attorney Misconduct: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel, **MARK C. LATERZO.**

- (1) Whether the 6th amendment right to counsel was egregiously violated when counsel learned that the police had conducted a "warrantless home arrest" and he refused to file the 'appropriate' (i.e., the Brady motion) motion to preserve the issue for review?
- (2) Whether it was fraud and, thus, egregious misconduct that counsel presented me with two "fake" arrest warrants, and then he claimed that they were actual arrest warrants?
- (3) Whether it was fraudulent misrepresentation or deceit when Atty. Laterzo testified under oath that he thought that the sheriff's "Wanted Person Table" was in fact an arrest warrant?

II.

II.

Prosecutorial Misconduct: **MARK WATSON**

- (1) Whether or not it was willful, "knowing misrepresentation of a material fact" and the suppression of "material" evidence at trial and ^{P.C.} conviction hearing, and thus, misconduct, that the prosecutor omitted disclosing to me and the court that the police documented his admission that he had NOT been issued an arrest warrant?

Questions Presented

IV

V

Abuse of Discretion: Federal district Court, Judge Robert Miller

- 1) Whether Federal district Court improperly deferred to State Court of Appeals and abused its discretion when it decided that an arrest warrant had been issued?
- 2) Whether or not "circumstantial evidence" was enough to prove a warrant was issued?

VI

VI

Abuse of Discretion: United States Appeals Court

Whether or not the U.S. App. Court based its decision on an erroneous view of the Brady law, ruling contrary to *Brady v. Maryland*, that "circumstantial evidence" was evidence sufficient to determine factually that an arrest warrant was issued, and as a result, the state did not have to disclose the warrant?

John B. Myles
pro se

07

John B. Myles, #984466
One Park Row
Michigan City, Indiana 46366

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

The Lake Co. Post Conviction Court
The United States District Court
The United States Court of Appeals

RELATED CASES

John B. Myles vs. Ron Neri - 45601-0910-PC-09 - Consolidated with 008
John B. Myles vs. Ron Neri - 45601-0911-PC-10 - Consolidated with 007
John B. Myles vs. Ron Neri - 45604-1611-PC-2602 - Consolidated - Both

Consolidated, "Companion" cases