

1 Donnell Bledsoe
2 2038 E. Church St.
3 Stockton, Ca. 95205

4 PRO SE ATTORNEY
5 DONNELL BLEDSOE

1 of 15 pages

6
7 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
8
9

10
11 DONNELL BLEDSOE,
12 PLAINTIFF,
13 VS.

CASE# 22-6715

PETITION FOR REHEARING
UNDER RULE 44

14
15 MARK ZUCKERBERG
16 AND FACEBOOK
17 DEFENDANT'S,

RECEIVED

MAY - 2 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

18 I. Any petition for the rehearing of Any
19 Judgment or decision of the court on
20 the merits shall be filed within 25 days
21 after entry of the Judgment or decision.

22 under rule 15 I The plaintiff Donnell
23 Bledsoe Amend my complaint BACK TO
24 its ORIGINAL filing under 42 U.S.C
25 1983 and remove the motion
26 set before Default Judgment
27 under 585.5. This motion is Agreed
28 with the supreme court.

1 This case is of national issue about
2 UFO's caught on cell phone video
3 footage. I The Plaintiff Donnerl Bledsoe
4 have over 100 cell phone video coverage
5 of UFO's, Aliens, UFO's going into
6 portals live that The Human eye
7 cannot deny. I The Plaintiff have
8 evidence that the defendant's Mark
9 Zuckerberg and Facebook are Agents
10 of the federal government.

11 Facebook And Mark Zuckerberg, Defendant
12 fell to Address the Court with a
13 Brief by March 9, 2023. The defendant's
14 failed to respond to the brief and a
15 conference was suppose to be scheduled
16 for a later date. Under Rule 15. I
17 The plaintiff have Amended Complaint
18 back to it's original filing to the
19 Supreme Court by the Plaintiff Donnerl
20 Bledsoe on February 7, 2023. This is the
21 reversible Legal Remedy. Please refer
22 back to this original complaint. Filed
23 on February 7, 2023.

24
25 CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF
26 AMERICA 1789 (REV. 1992)

27 Congress shall make no Law respecting
28 an establishment of religion, or Prohibiting

1 The free exercise thereof; or abridging
2 the freedom of speech, or of the press;
3 or the right of the people peaceably to
4 assemble, and to petition the government
5 for a redress of grievances.

6 The Five Freedoms it protects speech,
7 religion, press, assembly and the right
8 to petition the government together, these
9 five guaranteed freedoms make the united
10 STATES of America the freest in the world.

11 The defendants are agents of the CIA
12 The CIA confirms Mark Zuckerberg
13 and Facebook is a CIA surveillance
14 program. Also news media such as ABC

15 & CBS news says social media is a
16 tool of the CIA seriously July 11, 2011
17 on April 28, 2022 The United States

18 District Court for the Eastern District of
19 California Judge filed findings and
20 recommendation (ECF no. 5) that stated for
21 me the plaintiff to explain how the defendant
22 Mark Zuckerberg and Facebook were

23 acting on part of the government within
24 14 days. I explain to the lower courts
25 that Mark Zuckerberg and Facebook,
26 defendants are agents of the "Facebook
27 CIA Surveillance Information Program
28 Violated my plaintiff Five freedoms

protected by the First amendment
That Congress shall make no law respect-
ing an establishment of religion or
prohibiting it free exercise. IT protects
freedom of speech, the press, Assembly,
and the right To petition the government
for a redress of grievances.

The defendant's MARK ZUCKERBERG AND
FACEBOOK, Agents for the United States of
America government "FACEBOOK CIA
SURVEILLANCE program who violated
Federal Constitutional or Statutory rights.
DEVEREAUX V. ABBEY, 263 F.3C 1070, Wt6

The defendants, MARK ZUCKERBERG AND
FACEBOOK ERASED OVER 2,000 of the plaintiff's
Donnell Bledsoe Private FACEBOOK PAGE (Donnell
Bledsoe (music Don Juan) religious scriptures,
pictures, music videos and personal religious
quotes.

Debbie MAimon - September 7, 2022 in what
is shaping up AS a LANDMARK FIRST Amendment
CASE, noted Civil Rights organization has joined a
lawsuit filed by the Attorney General of Missouri.
and Louisiana AGAINST The Biden Administration
that HAS Blown the lid off of what they call is a
wide ranging campaign of Government censorship.

The lawsuit by new civil Liberties Alliance
(NCLA), Missouri ERIC SCHMITT, and LOUISIANA'S

1 Jeff Landry, has found "scores of federal
2 agencies and officials across at least eleven
3 federal agencies secretly instructing social
4 media giants such as Facebook, Twitter,
5 Instagram and Youtube to censor and suppress
6 private speech that federal officials disagree,
7 a statement from the attorney's.

8 The topics subjected to censorship included
9 information sharing about the pandemic,
10 vaccines, injury updates and posts about
11 election integrity and security that the
12 government would prefer Americans do not
13 hear about.

14 The agencies named by the lawsuit who
15 engaged in this covert but far reaching
16 censorship include the White House, HHS,
17 DHS, CISA, CDC and NIAID. Also identified
18 as defendants are the Census Bureau,
19 the FDA, the FBI and the State Department.
20 The Treasury Department and the U.S.
21 Election Assistance Commission.

22 The NCLA joined the lawsuit after a
23 federal judge in the Western District
24 of Louisiana granted expedited
25 discovery in the case. Federal agencies
26 were the subpoenas with request for
27 "ALL communication from January 1, 2020
28 to the present."

1 These internationally renowned experts
2 had crucial insights to share on the
3 flawed reasoning and science underlying
4 lockdowns, mask and vaccine mandates, "NCLA
5 Attorneys Jenin Younes said in a statement.
6 The governments sweeping campaign to
7 suppress their perspectives, and the views
8 of others like them, represent the most
9 abrogation of the First Amendment in
10 modern times and concluded that Mark
11 Zuckerberg and Facebook, the defendants
12 "acted as a United States government
13 entity who acted under the color of state
14 law, violation federal constitutional or
15 statutory rights." Devereaux v. Abbey, 263
16 F.3d 1070, 1074.

17 The defendant's Mark Zuckerberg and Facebook
18 actions as Agents for the U.S. government
19 had an obligation to respect the Plaintiff
20 Donnell Bledsoe 1st Amendments Rights
21 protected by the United States Constitution.
22 Instead the defendant's listed erased
23 between 2,500 - 5,000 posts of Bible
24 scriptures, religious post, pictures UFO's/
25 Starships video's and music video's.
26 The actions by the defendant's Mark
27 Zuckerberg and Facebook and their
28 secret relationship with the "Facebook

CIA surveillance program" has stop
the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe's production
for a twelve year documentary. The
defendants MARK ZUCKERBERG and FACEBOOK
actions deterred and chilled my
plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe's political
speech and expression and such deterrence
was a substantial and motivating factor
in the defendant's MARK ZUCKERBERG and
FACEBOOK ties with The U.S. Government
"FACEBOOK CIA surveillance program."

653.2 PC ELECTRONIC CYBER HARASSMENT

LAW

"CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE 6532 PC MAKES it
a crime to send electronic communication
(such as emails or TEXT MESSAGES) with
the internet or placing the plaintiff in
reasonable fear for his or her safety or that
of his or her immediate family."

MARK ZUCKERBERG and FACEBOOK, defendants
with the "FACEBOOK CIA surveillance program"
sent the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe text messages
repeatedly suggesting friend request as spam
to my cell-phone (I-phone) to hack data
causing plaintiff's -I-phone to heat up. FACE-
BOOK has sent the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe
over 500 same-name FACEBOOK request

under the name "Richard Black" and various other names with the intentions of hacking the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe personal data. MARK ZUCKERBERG AND FACEBOOK with the "FACEBOOK CIA surveillance Program" HAS sent these SPAM Emails To the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe Email DonnellBledsoe08@gmail.com

I The plaintiff ask The court for a criminal investigation into these matters by the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE and the U.S. ATTORNEY General office as a referral for a Forensic examination of The Email placed to my (Plaintiff's) Email in violation of 653.2 PC The Cyber Harassment Law. The Defendant's MARK ZUCKERBERG was named by The CIA AS "THE OVER LORD" and has won a Medal of commendation from The CIA.

On April 25, 2023 AN AIRFORCE 737 Flew over the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe House (Directly 5 Times) at 10:40 am, 10:48 10:55 A.M., 11:05 am and 11:13 am. This Plane is owned by the United States Airforce. I BELIEVE it is A 737 AIRFORCE surveillance plane that HACK Data off of The I-cloud I-cloud. AS SOON AS PLANE went directly over House Internet WAS Disturb and

Went off Line. I The plaintiff know
that there is a pattern to the Airplane
Hacking data, because after plane
leave so does the data. It's a
pattern very noticeable. Also a U.S.
Coast Guard (surveillance) plane
constantly fly directly over the
plaintiff's house. Hacking information
once plane flies over Internet is
disturbed and data goes deleted.

A claim has facial plausibility when
the pro se attorney pleads factual content
that allows the court to draw the
reasonable inference that the defendant's
Facebook and Mark Zuckerberg with
the "Facebook CIA Surveillance Program"
is liable for the violations and damages
inflicted on the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe's
1st Amendment Rights under 42 U.S.C.
1983.

I The plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe Request
Relief for Damages listed - Loss of
Community, Punitive Damages, Statutory
Damages, Pain and suffering and whatever
the court recommends. Plaintiff Donnell
Bledsoe seeks \$ 100,000,000.

THE RECORD DOES NOT SUPPORT THE ORDER

The factual "RECORD" cited in the order does not show that the Plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe was likely to succeed on the merits of his claim. The order does not rely upon any of the plaintiff's evidence; instead, Scott Harris found his own evidence.

I The plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe Pro Se Attorney HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN THE FOLLOWING COURTS.

- 1) Superior Court of California, San Joaquin County. Bledsoe vs. NATIONAL TRADEX & Etal. Default Hearing successful.
- 2) United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Bledsoe vs. Sgt. MARTINE Settlement Conference successful.
- 3) California Supreme Court - Townes v. Bledsoe successful.
- 4) United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. BLEDSOE vs. GRANBERRY successful.

On November 2, 2021 Scott Harris and Susan Frimpong violated my plaintiff Civil Rights under 42 U.S.C. They denied my plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe Petition for Rehearing on CASE # 21-5416 Bledsoe

1 V. FACEBOOK SAYING my Petition for
2 rehearing WAS late when my Plaintiff
3 petition deadline was November 8, 2021.
4 I The Plaintiff called Scott Harris to
5 Inform him that The deadline was on
6 November 8, 2021 And He gaslighted me
7 like he's trying to say I'm not fit for
8 The Supreme Court. IT'S ALL LIES. Scott
9 Harris hung up on The plaintiff Donnell
10 Bledsoe BECAUSE Plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe
11 called out Scott Harris for his error see
12 exhibit # "A-1" Letter From Scott Harris
13 And Susan Frimpong.

14 After I The plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe sent
15 BACK in my Petition for rehearing Scott
16 Harris And Susan Frimpong said it was
17 late And The Deadline was November 8,
18 2021. So The plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe
19 Petition for rehearing RECEIVED BY The
20 COURT AND on Time November 2, 2021.

21 I The Plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe have
22 the right not to have A bias Employees
23 of The United States Government have
24 anything to do with this case AND TO
25 REMOVE Scott Harris AND Susan
26 Frimpong FOR The Big MISTAKE November
27 2, 2023 CASE # 21-5416 EXHIBIT # "A-1"
28 IS NOT on The RECORD in THAT case.

1 Scott Harris And Susan Frimpong
2 Violated the plaintiff Donnell Bledsoe's
3 Civil Rights under 42 U.S.C. 1983 And
4 acted under color of LAW. LAW Enforcement
5 agents, Clerks, Judges etc. under 'color
6 of LAW', Are not Allowed to use their
7 Authority to: unlawfully falsify records.
8 acts under "color of LAW" Include acts
9 not only done by federal, STATE, OR local
10 officials within the bonds OR limits of
11 Their lawful authority, but also acts
12 done without and beyond the bounds
13 of their lawful authority; Provide that,
14 in order for unlawful acts of any
15 officials to be done "color of any means."
16 Summary section 242 of Title 18 makes
17 it a crime for a person acting "under
18 color of LAW" To willfully deprive
19 a person of a right or privilege protected
20 by the constitution or laws of The
21 United STATES. Scott Harris And Susan
22 Frimpong Deprived the plaintiff Donnell
23 Bledsoe The right To have his (Plaintiff
24 Petition for REHEARING Pursuant To
25 Rule 44 when his Petition for
26 REHEARING WAS received by the court
27 on november 2, 2021, Deadline
28 november 8, 2021.

1 AT This Time I would like the
2 Supreme Court AND The Judges to
3 ASK Scott Harris AND Susan Frimpong
4 For conflict of Interest. I The
5 Plaintiff Donnell Bledsøe ASK Scott
6 Harris AND Susan Frimpong to remove
7 Excuse themselves & HAVE AN un-bias.
8 Representative to review my re-hearw.
9

10 Truthfully Yours,
11

12 Donnell Bledsøe
13 Pro Se attorney
14 april 25, 2023
15
16

17 SEE ATTACHED
18 NOTARIAL
19 CERTIFICATE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CALIFORNIA ACKNOWLEDGMENT

CIVIL CODE § 1189

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

County of San Joaquin

On April 25, 2023 before me, America Kimberly Andrade, Notary Public

Date

Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer

personally appeared

Donnell Bledsoe

Name(s) of Signer(s)

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/~~she~~/they executed the same in his/~~her~~/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/~~her~~/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.



I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

America Andrade

Signature of Notary Public

Place Notary Seal and/or Stamp Above

OPTIONAL

Completing this information can deter alteration of the document or fraudulent reattachment of this form to an unintended document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: Petition For Rehearing Under Rule 44

Document Date: April 25, 2023 Number of Pages: 16

Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: None

Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)

Signer's Name: Donnell Bledsoe

☐ Corporate Officer – Title(s): _____

☐ Partner – ☐ Limited ☐ General

☐ Individual ☐ Attorney in Fact

☐ Trustee ☐ Guardian or Conservator

☒ Other: Prose Attorney

Signer is Representing: _____

Signer's Name: _____

☐ Corporate Officer – Title(s): _____

☐ Partner – ☐ Limited ☐ General

☐ Individual ☐ Attorney in Fact

☐ Trustee ☐ Guardian or Conservator

☐ Other: _____

Signer is Representing: _____

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

* You must serve each document you file by sending or delivering to the opposing side. Complete this form, and include it with the document that you file and serve.*

1. Case Name: Bledsoe vs. MARK ZUCKERBURG & eta

2. Case Number: 22-6715

3. What documents were served? (Write the full name or title of the document or documents) Petition FOR REHEARING
under Rule 44

4. How was the document served? (check one)

☒ Placed in U.S. Mail

☐ Hand-delivered

☐ Sent for delivery (e.g., FedEx, UPS)

☐ Sent by fax (if the other party has agreed to accept service by fax)

5. Who did you send the document to? (Write the full name and contact information for each person you sent the document.)

MARK ZUCKERBERG 1 HACKER WAY
MENLO PARK, CA. 94025

FACEBOOK 1 HACKER WY. MENLO PARK, CA
94025

6. When were the documents sent? April 26, 2023

7. Who served the documents? (Whoever puts it into the mail, faxes, delivers or sends for delivery should sign, and print their name and address. You can do this yourself.)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature:

Cozette Foley

Name:

Cozette Foley

Address:

2038 E. Church St.

Stockton, CA 95205

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (DISTRICT COURT)