


No. 22-6695

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Kupsky, Ronald — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Randall Hepp — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Kupsky, Ronald
(Your Name)

Jackson Correctional Institution PO Box 233
(Address)

Black River Falls WI 54615
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

IS Petitioner entitled to an appeal?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Ronald Lema Kupsky v Randall Hepp NO 21-CV-0040-bh1
District Court, Eastern District Wisconsin. Judgment entered ~~5/18/2022~~ 5/18/2022

Ronald L Kupsky v Randall Hepp NO 22-1908
United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit
Decided November 22, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	15
JURISDICTION.....	6
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	7
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	8
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	9
CONCLUSION.....	10

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

None

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

None

OTHER

None

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was ~~December 2021~~ Nov 22, 2022

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

None

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Kupsky Filed a habeas motion, but it was denied. He tried to appeal, but the "certificate of appealability" was not issued. Thus the appeal was denied as well.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The court should grant the petition for review, because without fully going through all the appeal process the court will deny any future habeas act.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Kupsky Ronald Gilbert

Date: 1-3-23