

## APPENDIX A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA  
FIFTH DISTRICT

ZOE AJJAHNON,

Appellant,

v.

CASE NO. 5D22-2629  
LT CASE NO. 2022-CC-017074-O

SANDLER HOLDINGS, LLC,

Appellee.

/

DATE: November 21, 2022

**BY ORDER OF THE COURT:**

ORDERED that Appellant's "Motion for Emergent [sic] Review in Appeal From 11/15/2022 Order Denying Stay and 11/17/2022 Writ of Possession Order," filed November 18, 2022, is granted as to review. Upon review, the trial court's "Order on Defendant's Motion to Stay Writ of Possession," rendered November 15, 2022, is affirmed.

*I hereby certify that the foregoing is  
(a true copy of) the original Court order.*

*Sandra B. Williams*



SANDRA B. WILLIAMS, CLERK

Panel: Judges Lambert, Evander and Wallis  
CC:

James I. Barron, III      Zoe Ajjahnon

## APPENDIX B

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE NINTH  
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR  
ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 2022-CC-017074-O

SANDLER HOLDINGS LLC

Plaintiff,

Vs.

ZOE AJJAHNON

Defendant(s),

FINAL JUDGMENT FOR POSSESSION

This cause coming on to be heard this day upon Plaintiff's Tenant Eviction Complaint, the Court finds that a Default has been duly entered against the defendant(s), and the plaintiff is entitled to possession of the property as set forth in said Complaint, and that the defendant(s), and the plaintiff is entitled to possession by law from said property.

It is therefore,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

1. Final Judgment be made and the same is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff.

Against the following named Defendant(s) for possession of the following described premises:

ZOE AJJAHNON  
7457 DANIEL WEBSTER DRIVE Apt. No.: D  
WINTER PARK FL 32792

for which let Writ of Possession issue.

2. Plaintiff recover from said defendant(s) costs herein taxed in the sum of \$200.00 for which let execution issue. Sum will bear interest at the highest legal interest rate, and shall be whatever is stipulated and current under Florida Law as of the date of this judgment.

DONE AND ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ in Orlando, ORANGE County Florida.

Copies Furnished to:

Defendant(s)  
Above Address  
149440

  
eSigned by Andrew Cameron 10/24/2022 19:23:01 bMnDXjmm  
COUNTY JUDGE

## APPENDIX C

# Supreme Court of Florida

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 2022

**CASE NO.: SC22-1605**

Lower Tribunal No(s).:  
5D22-2629; 482022CC017074A001OX

ZOE AJJAHNON

vs. SANDLER HOLDINGS, LLC,

---

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

This case is hereby dismissed. This Court lacks jurisdiction to review an unelaborated decision from a district court of appeal that is issued without opinion or explanation or that merely cites to an authority that is not a case pending review in, or reversed or quashed by, this Court. *See Wheeler v. State*, 296 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2020); *Wells v. State*, 132 So. 3d 1110 (Fla. 2014); *Jackson v. State*, 926 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 2006); *Gandy v. State*, 846 So. 2d 1141 (Fla. 2003); *Stallworth v. Moore*, 827 So. 2d 974 (Fla. 2002); *Harrison v. Hyster Co.*, 515 So. 2d 1279 (Fla. 1987); *Dodi Publ'g Co. v. Editorial Am. S.A.*, 385 So. 2d 1369 (Fla. 1980); *Jenkins v. State*, 385 So. 2d 1356 (Fla. 1980).

No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by the Court.

A True Copy  
Test:

td

Served:

JAMES IVY BARRON, III

ZOE AJJAHNON

HON. TIFFANY MOORE RUSSELL, CLERK

HON. ANDREW LLOYD CAMERON

HON. SANDRA B. WILLIAMS, CLERK

## APPENDIX D

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA  
FIFTH DISTRICT

ZOE AJJAHNON,

Appellant,

v.

CASE NO. 5D22-2629  
LT CASE NO. 2022-CC-017074-O

SANDLER HOLDINGS, LLC,

Appellee.

---

/

DATE: December 01, 2022

**BY ORDER OF THE COURT:**

ORDERED that Appellant's "Emergent Motion for Written Opinion . . .," filed November 28, 2022, is denied. It is further

ORDERED that Appellant's "Emergent Motion for Reconsideration . . .," filed November 28, 2022, is denied. It is finally

ORDERED that Appellant's "Emergent Motion to Stay," filed November 29, 2022, is denied.

*I hereby certify that the foregoing is  
(a true copy of) the original Court order.*

*Sandra B. Williams*



SANDRA B. WILLIAMS, CLERK

Panel: Judges Lambert, Evander and Wallis

cc:

James I. Barron, III      Zoe Ajjahnon

## APPENDIX E

# Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 1, 2022

**CASE NO.: SC22-1605**

Lower Tribunal No(s).:  
5D22-2629; 482022CC017074A001OX

ZOE AJJAHNON

vs. SANDLER HOLDINGS, LLC,

---

Petitioner(s)


Respondent(s)

Petitioner's "Petition for Emergent Mandamus to Stay judgment Invoking the Court's Original Jurisdiction" and "Petition for Emergent Mandamus Invoking the Court's Original Jurisdiction" are hereby denied as moot.

A True Copy

Test:

  
John A. Tomasino  
Clerk, Supreme Court



lc

Served:

JAMES IVY BARRON, III  
ZOE AJJAHNON

## APPENDIX F

# Supreme Court of Florida

MONDAY, DECEMBER 5, 2022

**CASE NO.: SC22-1605**

Lower Tribunal No(s).:  
5D22-2629; 482022CC017074A001OX

ZOE AJJAHNON

vs. SANDLER HOLDINGS, LLC,

---

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)


Petitioner's Motion to Reinstate Petition for Emergent Mandamus to Stay Judgment Invoking the Court's Original Jurisdiction filed with this Court on December 2, 2022, and Petitioner's Emergent Motion to Stay Judgment Pending Outcome of Deliberation of Reinstatement Pleadings for Writ of Mandamus to the Fifth District Court of Appeals for Written Opinion Coming Under the Court's Original Jurisdiction Rule 9.030(3) filed with this Court on December 5, 2022, are hereby stricken as unauthorized.

**PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT THE ABOVE STYLED CASE IS FINAL IN THIS COURT AND NO FURTHER PLEADINGS MAY BE FILED. ANY FURTHER FILINGS WILL NOT BE RESPONDED TO AND PLACED IN A MISCELLANEOUS FILE.**

A True Copy

Test:

  
John A. Tomasino  
Clerk, Supreme Court



**CASE NO.:** SC22-1605

Page Two

lc

Served:

JAMES IVY BARRON III  
ZOE AJJAHNON  
HON. SANDRA B. WILLIAMS, CLERK

App. 6a cont.

## APPENDIX G

IN THE COUNTY OF THE NORTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA  
ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

<b>SANDLER HOLDINGS LLC,</b>	:	
<b>PLAINTIFF :</b>		<b>CASE NO.: <u>2022-CC-017074-O</u></b>
:		
<b>vs</b>	:	<b>MOTION TO DISMISS</b>
:		
<b>ZOE AJJAHNON,</b>	:	<b>and for</b>
<b>DEFENDANT :</b>		<b>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</b>
:		

---

**IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS  
AND FOR  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

---

I, Zoe Ajjahnon, Defendant in this matter, residing at 7457#D Daniel Webster Drive, Winter Park, FL 32792 moves for dismissal of the above-titled complaint and for the injunctive relief of '3 months rent' in dismissal with prejudice against future rent or possession of premises / eviction action for three months by Plaintiff against Defendant.

The motion is grounded in the unlawful conduct of the actor/Agent for landlord, Edward Sandler that directly caused Defendant's inability to pay rent and the irreversible harm of an eviction.

Mr. Edward Sandler, professional Real Estate Broker at Suncrest Reality is actor /Agent for landlord, Sandler Holdings, LLC. Mr. Sandler on October 12, 2021 participated in, facilitated, and permitted actor/agent for third party, Michael Reuben Bloomberg unlawful entry into Defendant's apartment in abuse and violations of Fla. Stat. 83.53 (2)(a)(3); Mr. Sandler, actor/Agent for landlord participated in, facilitated, and permitted actor/agent for third party Michael Bloomberg forcible entry into Defendant's apartment as defined at Fla. Stat. 82.01(1); Mr. Sandler's operations for landlord caused the irreparable harm of landlord's 10/10/22 eviction action and is grounds for dismissal and injunctive relief of '3 months rent' that is the dismissal of this action with prejudice for three months and for those 3 months landlord to be enjoined from rent or possession/eviction action against Defendant under the provisions of Fla. 83.64(2) that provides defense against a rent or possession action where Defendant proves proximate retaliation conduct.

## **I. Factual History**

1. Defendant first rented the premises from Plaintiff on April 3, 2020.

Then again from April 1, 2021 – March 31, 2022. The current lease agreement began on April 1, 2022 and ends March 31, 2023.

2. Full rent has been paid regularly and on time each and every month

until September 1, 2022. Edward Sandler acting for landlord on August 31<sup>st</sup> 2022 agreed in writing to partial rent of \$450 (one half the rent) by September 15<sup>th</sup> 2022 and the balance of \$546 (the remaining half + late fee also determined rent in the lease). (See attached email).

3. Notwithstanding, on September 12, 2022 Edward Sandler Agent for landlord, served a 3-day notice for \$996 (the full \$905 rent + \$91 late fee also considered rent).
4. The Agent served a further 3-day notice on October 2, 2022 for the remaining \$546 due.
5. On October 10<sup>th</sup> 2022 Defendant was served landlord's eviction complaint.

**II. Argument for Dismissal of Complaint for Eviction And for  
Injunctive Relief of '3 months rent' Enjoining Plaintiff  
from Future Eviction action for those 3 months**

6. At the time of signing the first lease agreement, in April of 2020, Defendant was exposed to securities risk from third party, Michael Reuben Bloomberg of Manhattan, New York. Mr. Bloomberg, owns several media outlets and runs a rental of computer terminals for real

time markets news on Wall Street. Since 2010 Mr. Bloomberg has been, by any means necessary, intruding into my internet and telephone communications for the expressed purpose for content for publications for Mr. Barack Hussein Obama, Washington, DC.

7. Defendant is a writer (since 2000) for LOI Network, Inc. a corporation that deals with global physical and economic securities. In this role, Defendant has written on the securities compromises domestic and international that Mr. Obama threatens since his theft of the US presidency in 2008. Mr. Obama is a member of the al Qaida terror group and his theft of the oval office was according to al Qaida's well-planned and well-funded scheme to plant an operative in the US Government.

8. Mr. Bloomberg in 2010 entered into a pact with Mr. Obama to present him to the public as, to use his words, "tough on terrorism". The context of this agreement provided for Mr. Bloomberg a vicarious assumption of the prerogatives of the US President and of full authority over the US government.

9. Mr. Bloomberg pursued 'bringing back' that assumed authority /vicarious head of the US Government in the 2020 presidential

elections and in his turn stole the US presidency in 2020.

10.Mr. Bloomberg acts under the assumption (for him the conviction) that he is “the US Government” has retaliated against any writing or complaint Defendant has made to legitimate governing bodies / government official about either his or Mr. Obama’s illegalities. Mr. Bloomberg’s pattern of retaliation is manipulation of Defendant’s verbal and written content obtained from intrusion / hacking of my telephone and internet devices. Where this content has to do with Defendant’s money, Mr. Bloomberg manipulates to steal it.

11.In January 2020 Mr. Bloomberg, monitoring Defendant’s communications put himself in touch with Defendant’s supervisors at a temporary Government job she held. Secondary COVID restrictions Defendant had to file for unemployment benefits. Mr. Bloomberg, under the assumption of ‘the US Government’ manipulated for the Government to not give Defendant any more than \$10,000 in unemployment and CARES Act benefits.

12.Mr. Bloomberg, obtained Defendant’s personal information from the online application for benefits, presented himself as authority over government money and hacked into the New Jersey Department of

**Labor (DOL) computers to cut in half the unemployment benefits**

**Defendant was approved and subsequently ended all benefits when**

**Defendant's benefits from Cares Act and unemployment reached just**

**under \$10, 000 at ~ \$9, 600. Mr. Bloomberg at that time hacked into**

**Defendant's personal bank account as well.**

**13. During this period, by virtue of his monitoring Defendant's online job**

**search, Mr. Bloomberg subjected Defendant to fraudulent**

**CareerBuilder job postings, a number of fake virtual job interviews**

**and months of harassing text messages, thwarting Defendant's ability**

**to secure an income.**

**14. Defendant changed her bank account number after the NJ DOL hack**

**event but that Mr. Bloomberg continues to intrude her telephone and**

**internet traffic this did not avail for his subsequent hacking into**

**Defendant's bank account a few months later on September 18<sup>th</sup> 2021.**

**15. On September 18<sup>th</sup> 2021 Mr. Bloomberg conducted a particular**

**vicious attack on Defendant's telephone, computer, and bank account**

**in retaliation for Defendant's September 15<sup>th</sup> 2021 letter brief to the**

**FBI of Mr. Obama's and Mr. Bloomberg's past and present threat to**

**national and international securities. Both the 9/15/2021 complaint to**

the FBI and a summary of the 9/18/2021 hackings are attached. The 9/18/21 summary further substantiates Mr. Bloomberg's violence in preventing Defendant from getting an income whereas this attack was made on a new Independent Insurance business Defendant started.

16. Defendant responded to the 9/18 attack by changing her telephone number, getting a new telephone number and carrier, discontinuing her then email address and swapping out her modem device for internet services. Mr. Bloomberg answered by contacting Mr. Edward Sandler to gain access into Defendant's dwelling to "get the unique IP address for the new modem".

17. Since 2010, Mr. Bloomberg has intensely pursued monitoring Defendant's "every word". In this pursuit Mr. Bloomberg sets up 'parasitic' rerouting means to engage those Defendant is likely to engage with long-term such as Defendant's family members. Mr. Sandler as actor/agent for landlord of Defendant's residence would be a projected long-term important contact wherefore in April 2020 he was 'brought into the conversation" as Mr. Bloomberg terms his harassing abusive manipulations of Defendant's telephone and internet communications.

18. Defendant in pursuit of establishing her Independent Insurance business on October 12 -14, 2021 attended a three-day training. Both Mr. Bloomberg and Mr. Sandler knew Defendant would be away from the premises 9am -5pm each of those three days. Mr. Bloomberg's fervent, "[I] have to get into that house" was permitted facilitated by Edward Sandler, actor for landlord.
19. On October 12<sup>th</sup> 2021 around 1pm Mr. Sandler permitted the actor/agent for Mr. Bloomberg into Defendant's apartment who then stole the unique IP address for Defendant's new modem.
20. Agent/ operator for landlord Edward Sandler is culpable of forcible entry in this and liable to Defendant for damages sustained from violations of Fla. Stat. 82.01(1) that makes illegal "[the act of] entering into and taking possession of real property with force – in a manner not easy, or open, even if such entry is authorized by a person entitled to possession of the real property and the possession is only temporary or applies only to a portion of the real property."
21. Third party Mr. Bloomberg's theft /taking possession of the unique IP address of Defendant's modem was forced [not 'easy' not prescribed by law] by actor /agent for landlord, Edward Sandler's permission

facilitation – his participation.

22. Mr. Sandler, a professional real estate Broker and landlord agent attempted to conceal his unlawful conduct in violations of Fla. Stat. sec. 83.53 (2)(a) that conditions entry into the apartment on prior notice to and consent of the tenant by texting Defendant upon this forced entry to “ASAP contact ‘the appraiser’ – giving no name nor contact information given the purported ‘appraiser’.

23. Defendant responded to the text at around 6pm when she first saw it – the phone having been left at the apartment at the time of the forced entry Defendant did not see the text / was unable to respond to it before she returned from the training.

24. The evidence of the forced entry was immediately apparent to Defendant on returning to the apartment. Actor /agent for Mr. Bloomberg, in addition to stealing the IP address noticeably pulled out a structure from the sofa next to the modem that was not reversible.

25. Mr. Sandler answering Defendant’s text provided the 407-506-6194 contact information for one “Barry”, the ‘appraiser’. This phone number is to a Barry Silvercole (the last name might be incorrectly spelt) at Keller Williams Realty at The Parks, Orlando FL. The Realty

has verified that a Barry Silvercole works for them as an appraiser.

The 'Barry' that showed up at Defendant's on 10/15/21 for the 'appraisal' seems to be a different 'Barry' based on voice comparison of Barry Silvercole voice mail message.

26. In giving the contact information for 'Barry' Mr. Sandler stated he had sent Defendant several texts about his need for the appraisal. This is completely untrue. Defendant received no such text (or otherwise) message from Mr. Sandler, actor/agent for landlord. The Barry that showed up (10/15/21) while Defendant was at home also proclaimed multiple attempts to get in touch with Plaintiff – this also is untrue. Defendant received no calls / messages from any Barry or appraiser neither "Barry" at Keller Williams nor this 'Barry' of subsequent scheduled entry.

27. Given these inconsistencies and the abrupt bald text from Edward Sandler at the time of the forced entry and theft at Defendant's apartment, the individual actor/agent for Michael Bloomberg of the 10/12/21 forced entry and theft of Defendant's unique IP modem address may be one and the same as the individual, actor/agent for landlord, Edward Sandler.

28. Getting the new modem IP address is important to Michael Bloomberg for conducting continuing manipulation of Defendant's internet use. And Mr. Bloomberg indeed continued the harassing abusive rights-violating intrusive manipulation of LOI's content and Defendant's job search.

29. Mr. Bloomberg spent \$700 million dollars in 2020 to 'bring back' Mr. Obama to the oval office by proxy in Joseph Biden and to 'bring back' for himself therein the assumption of full authority over the US Government. As such Mr. Bloomberg was able to manipulate for, literally, fake job offers to Defendant for Government related jobs she sought (i.e. the job offered was then 'yanked') this concurrent with ongoing ingenuine job interviews for both government and private sector roles.

30. Michael Bloomberg's retaliatory conduct of preventing Defendant an income or otherwise 'clean out her bank account" as attempted in the 9/18/21 hacking event, constrained Defendant to living only from her savings.

31. Defendant, consistently paid Plaintiff's rent on time and in full until September '22 when Defendant's savings were completely exhausted.

32.In a related matter, Defendant on October 13<sup>th</sup> 2022 submitted to the House of Congress and to the Senate articles of impeachment against Justice Samuel Alito and a proposed order for signature that reverses and dismisses the nonmeritorious ruling arising from the impeachable conduct to Senate Judiciary Committee lead Senators, U.S. Senator, Richard Durbin and U.S. Senator, Charles Grassley. A copy of the order for joint signature is attached.

33.It is 'related' because it is not the first submission and Michael Bloomberg at the founding of the irregularities of the case has been thwarting attempts to get this reversal/dismissal order before these Senators by email and telephone intrusion of calls, rerouted /prevented call completion (the phone does not ring on the other end). Defendant awaits the determination of the Senators to sign this order that will restore for Defendant economic security - the ability pay bills. The emergent need for the Senators' reversal order has been stressed.

34.Mr. Edward Sandler on October 12, 2021 operating for landlord permitted, facilitated, and participated in the illegality of unlawful and forced entry into Defendant's residence with the full knowledge that

this was intended to steal / obtain Defendant's modem IP address which was used in Michael Bloomberg's retaliation scheme to prevent income to Defendant.

35. Plaintiff for one year subsequent continued to benefit from Defendant's honor of the lease terms despite landlord agent's participation in third party Michael Bloomberg's harassing, abusive, rights-violating conduct and in his retaliation schemes against Defendant to prevent and has prevented income.

36. But for Mr. Sandler operating as landlord's participation in Michael Bloomberg's retaliation schemes preventing income to Defendant, Defendant would not now be faced with the irreparable harm of an eviction.

37. Edward Sandler, in his capacity of landlord, on October 12<sup>th</sup> 2021 entered or caused to be entered Defendant's apartment without prior notice in violation of Florida statutory law, Fla. Stat. 83.53(2)(a) that requires prior notice to and consent of Defendant for landlord to enter the apartment.

38. Edward Sandler, in his capacity of landlord, on October 12<sup>th</sup> 2021,

entered or caused to be entered Defendant's apartment in violation and abuse of Fla. Stat. 83.53(3) that makes it unlawful for 'the landlord to abuse rights to access.'

39. Edward Sandler on October 12<sup>th</sup> 2021 is culpable for unlawful and forced entry under Fla. State law, Fla. Stat. 82.01(1) that makes illegal "[the act of] entering into and taking possession of real property with force – in a manner not easy, or open, even if such entry is authorized by a person entitled to possession of the real property and the possession is only temporary or applies only to a portion of the real property." Mr. Sandler forced entry into Defendant's apartment in violations of Fla. Stat. 83.53(2)(a) and with the full knowledge that the forced entry was to steal Defendant's unique IP modem address, Mr. Sandler, agent for landlord consented to and participated in the theft of Defendant's (information) unique IP modem address property.

40. Edward Sandler is guilty of Fla. Stat. 82.01(1) violations, Mr. Sandler actor/agent for landlord is complicit in Michael Bloomberg's retaliation schemes against Defendant to prevent and that prevented Defendant an income or otherwise attempts to steal her existing funds. This is the direct cause of Defendant's inability to pay the full

September 2022 rent that gave rise to Plaintiff's cause of action  
whereas Plaintiff is suing for the remaining balance.

41. This motion for dismissal finds further grounds in Fla. 83.64(2) that provides defense against a rent or possession / eviction action where Defendant proves retaliatory conduct as proximate cause of action; further, the motion substantiates grant for injunctive relief to prevent the irreparable harm of Plaintiff's action.

42. WHEREFORE, Defendant moves for dismissal of this eviction action and for the injunctive relief of '3 months rent' to prevent the irreparable harm of an eviction plus any other punitive remedy the Court assesses given the particular egregious nature of actor for Plaintiff / Plaintiff in culpability for statutory violations and complicity in retaliatory conduct the illegalities proximate this cause of action.

### III. Conclusion and Relief Request


43. In view of the foregoing Defendant prays the Court to Dismiss this action with prejudice for three months upon grant of '3 months rent' Injunctive Relief of, for three months Plaintiff will be enjoined from rent or possession /eviction action against Defendant plus punitive

damages assessed by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct.

Respectfully Submitted,

Zoe Ajjahnon, Pro Se Defendant

By,   
Zoe Ajjahnon  
Zoe Ajjahnon, Pro Se Defendant  
7457#D Daniel Webster Drive  
Winter Park, FL 32792  
Ph.: 850-518-2739 or 551-315-2409

DATED: 17<sup>th</sup> October 2022

cc.: VIA Regular Mail, James I. Barron, III, 121 S. Orange Ave, Suite 1500,  
Orlando, FL 32801

**From:** Zoe Ajjjahnon  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 31, 2022 2:16 PM  
**To:** Ed Sandler  
**Subject:** RE: ZOE AJJAHNON, 7457-D, APRIL 1ST, 2022 - MARCH 31ST, 2022 LEASE AGREEMENT

Thanks.

Zoe

Sent from Mail for Windows

**From:** Ed Sandler  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 31, 2022 2:12 PM  
**To:** Zoe Ajjjahnon  
**Subject:** Re: ZOE AJJAHNON, 7457-D, APRIL 1ST, 2022 - MARCH 31ST, 2022 LEASE AGREEMENT

If you pay half of the rent by the 15th, and the balance by the end of Sept including the late fee, then I will accept that this one time. Using the security deposit is not an option. I will have to give you a 3day notice in case you do not follow through with this on time. But as long as you do this, I will not evict you.

**Edward Sandler, Broker**  
**Suncrest Realty**  
**407-869-7370**  
[eas32712@yahoo.com](mailto:eas32712@yahoo.com)

On Wednesday, August 31, 2022 at 02:34:20 PM EDT, Zoe Ajjjahnon <[zoeloip@outlook.com](mailto:zoeloip@outlook.com)> wrote:

Dear Mr. Sandler:

The rent due tomorrow Thursday, September 1<sup>st</sup> 2021 is a problem for me today.

I am asking you for 30-60 day extension to pay it with all late fees incurred and along with the whatever current month rent due at the end of the extension.

**BECAUSE WE REMEMBER / THE VICTIMS OF 9/11/2001 AND ALL SUBSEQUENT VICTIMS OF THE TERROR GROUP THAT PERPETRATED THAT ATTACK**

From: zoelei@eol.com  
To: gadornellas@fbi.gov <gadornellas@fbi.gov>  
Date: Wed, Sep 15, 2021 11:47 am

9/15/2021 LETTER BRIEF TO THE FBI

Dear FBI Agent Gerard Domellas,

The within is the email spoken of earlier. Since it speaks to inherent dangers currently from the US oval office, LOI intends to send by regular mail this information also to Speaker Nancy Pelosi, and to the CIA whereas these national securities risks compromise as well, international securities.

LOI is very grateful for the FBI's response to this.

Sincerely,  
Zoe Ajjahnon, Writer  
LOI Network, Inc.

Dear U.S. Intelligence / Government:

Coming under,

**\*115 STAT. 274 PUBLIC LAW 107-56—OCT. 26, 2001 / UNITING AND STRENGTHENING AMERICA BY PROVIDING APPROPRIATE TOOLS REQUIRED TO INTERCEPT AND OBSTRUCT TERRORISM (USA PATRIOT ACT) ACT OF 2001**  
TITLE VII—INCREASED INFORMATION SHARING FOR CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION Sec. 701. Expansion of regional information sharing system to facilitate Federal State-local law enforcement response related to terrorist attacks."

the LOI Network, Inc. forwards this set of independently verifiable facts concurrently to the FBI, CIA and the office of Speaker Nancy Pelosi.

The LOI Network is a company engaged in information analysis of global securities. We were incorporated on 31st August 2001, a matter of just 2 business weeks or ten calendar days before the 9/11/2001 attacks on America and have been investigating the Al Qaida terror group responsible ever since. On this 20th year of the attacks our company is giving to the US one of Al Qaida's leading members, responsible for staging the death of al Qaida leader Osama bin Laden on May 1st 2011 and for the subsequent killings of Americans on 9/11/2012 and August 26th 2021, to give just two dates. This actor is one Barak Hussein Obama.

As per al Qaida's plan to plant one of their operatives in the US government (our records put that plan - the serious steps to implement it anyway to before the symbolic 9/11/01 attacks, around mid-1990s), the designated al Qaida member, Barak Hussein Obama started to position himself in political circles. His first attempt at the senator's post failed. He won on the second try. Nothing unusual here, running again after losing an election, what sparked LOI's investigation was the campaign funds necessary for the back to back races. Our investigations uncovered that these races were paid for by terrorists.

Barak Hussein Obama's ambitions and means of achieving them matched al Qaeda's perfectly. Mr. Obama presents with Narcissistic Personality Disorder, a way of thinking, a disturbed egocentric thought pattern, that has the individual obsessed with being around people of 'power' (& top of social status) as they perceive it, and too that ultimately the Narcissist is most powerful (& topmost of social status). This insane grasp of reality forms the basis for a kind of "entitled" pursuit to realize the disturbed thought. These individuals are nothing if not manipulative and they are extremely dangerous. Behavioral choices is more "instinctive" than impulsive in this instance; to clarify, where to eat is as much norm as to kill the decision is the 'immediate' 'go to' behavior; however, eating to satisfy hunger might require the preparing of the meal, to kill, likewise 'normative' for problem resolutions, the act comes with calculation. Barak Obama, as does Al Qaida, murders with much planning / 'calculation'.

Barak Hussein Obama's ambition to be President of the USA and his violent means to achieve that end as understood in the Narcissistic Disorder accorded well with the al Qaida terror group's means and objectives. (To state in passing, the al Qaeda terror group is not unique in planting / or seeking to plant operatives in the government of the state/organization it intends to destroy.) That said, Barak Hussein Obama, as an al Qaida member is well invested in the terror group's objectives. Barak Obama was likely recruited into the group around the age of 18/19 when he began college in California. He fits the type, young, from a broken home, advancing academically, and Muslim. (The US media has since put that Barak Obama is Christian even pointing to the church he attends. The point here is that at age 18/19 at the Polytech institute he was a self-declared Muslim.) Against this backdrop we have the following synoptic account of his efforts in al Qaida's operation,

c. 2000 Barak Obama seeks government post, (recall the senate races were funded by terrorists) his primary 'contribution' as a senator was to announce himself 'present' at meetings. In 2008 his bid for the US president office was 'overfunded'. Barack Obama began campaigning early but that's not the reason for the \$600 million he got by the time he stole the election in November, 2008(a forensic analysis (using ANOVA for one) of the 2008 results support computer manufacture of the winning by the exact point lead of pre-election polls); in fact, \$125 million of that total came on the heels of the Sept. 8th 2008 financial meltdown (between mid-Sept. '08 and mid-Oct.'08, just 2 weeks before the theft of the president-elect title). And where most of this and other large lumpsum contributions came out of southern California LOI asks US intelligence to consider the likelihood of this being a region of a major cell, i.e. a cell of key (major) officials of al Qaida.

The 'announcement' to run from the living room of a professor known for his terrorist affiliation also stem from California (the media has concocted alternative facts in this regard, I have read quite another version of this 'announcement' - as pointed out by the late senator John McCain). Further, the decision of a terror attack on Americans 'shortly' after Mr. Obama would be in place was announced shortly after Barak Obama was seen in southern California in discussion with known terrorists. The US media covered up this citing of Mr. Obama, however, LOI alerted the FBI, CIA, and the UN of this planned attack that was determined for Massachusetts to 'prove Mr. Obama' [leadership] skills in such an event.' This was to take place shortly after the theft of the US oval office (within the first 100 days say). It was postponed to the first 100 days of the second installation of al Qaida in the US Whitehouse, and that not superfluously- a lengthier conversation to fully speak to the 'why' here, but a quick note, what informs 'leadership' here is changed to impute how well al Qaida's various moves might go 'undetected and undisturbed' by legitimate US government officials/ law enforcement officers.

The 'overfund' of al Qaida's Barak Obama's campaign for the US oval office is substantiated in the financial backing of operation by other terror groups, (the Taliban for one, Hamas for another), and Middle East factions such as the governments of Saudi Arabia and Iran. Iran paid into the operation for the US to reverse current policies/sanctions respecting its nuclear program. Within the first 100 days (April, 2009) Barak Obama would unilaterally change US policies to effect that, the eventual 2015 'Nuclear Deal' came after UN concerns checked lifting sanctions and importantly after Barak Obama's ambitions to do serious damage to the state Israel in 2013 was thwarted. (The related MH17 attack although it involved Barak Obama was not a 'true' al Qaeda attack it has to do with Barak Obama's personal anger at 'Russia's' interference that stopped Israel's a military engagement in Syria as opposed a specified plan of al Qaeda's. It was used as reason to increase sanctions on Russia. This anger is evidently not abated, as in dictates to Mr. Biden to carry out the provocative "Crimea" patrol we saw earlier secondary the current 'back again' usurp of the oval office.)

To speak to the dates of the 9/11/2012 and August 26th/2021 'killing of American's, al Qaida's planned attack that killed US Ambassador John Christopher Stevens and 3 other Americans in Libya was facilitated by Barak Obama as was the killing of 13/14 US marines by ISIS (ISIS is the arm al Qaida for major and even more extreme militant jihad(for example bombing mosques) in Afghanistan -using, the similar MO of divesting the intended victims of defenses and getting specific information for the planned murder. Before speaking more to Aug. 26th 2021 killing in Afghanistan, just a quick statement of the murder of the US Ambassador, he was killed to silence him according to LOI' intelligence finding that he was investigating the terror group and uncovered something threatening to their operation if countered. LOI asks therefore for an investigation into any communication he had with friends in DC or family members, he might have shared something that at the time might have seemed 'unlikely' but should be followed up on in light of his murder.

Not a part of al Qaida's plans nor objectives but what became indispensable to both was Barak Obama's 'homosexual pact' with Michael Reuben Bloomberg. In August of 2010 while vacationing on Martha's Vineyard, the then NYC mayor showed up to Mr. Obama and made him an offer he couldn't refuse (this is not meant to be as light as it might appear, Michael Bloomberg raped Barak Obama that day - rape might as well be murder) he would perform homosexual services on this sadist or face publication of theft of the US president's office and the fact that he is a terrorist. To save his ambitions, and al Qaida's he agreed. Michael Bloomberg began his blackmail for sex (as opposed money) then. (To reiterate, these facts are independently verifiable and LOI is willing to provide for that.)

Michael Bloomberg' expressed resolve to promote Barak Obama as "tough on terrorism" continues in force to the present date of this writing (9/11/21) This former Mayor of NYC the site of the Twin Towers attack began by defending Muslims' advance in September-October of 2010 to build a mosque at the site of the World Trade Centers. He progressed to ingratiating himself with media moguls easing his way with cash or kind, money for ads and with more substantive business deals and associations. This 'tough on terrorism' public perception provided a frame for success in various al Qaida operations, the staging the death of al Qaida leader Osama bin Laden on May 1, 2011- *this LOI writer is prepared to give the detailed analysis of this fact* - ( although to be clear in this particular Barak Obama's skills at manipulation were already at play before this definition of his public image; to stage the death of bin Laden was high priority, and he began getting the CIA to 'obey him implicitly' within the first 48 hours of the the theft when he met with the the agency); the murder of al Qaida held hostages; the release of suspected terrorist in Cuba; the murders in Libya; the quick rise of ISIS and the US part in strengthening al Qaida in the Syrian conflict; ISIS gains in Yemen, etc. How? this public perception by way of much and major media publication is held as fact/true/ the reality, so much so that however

unlikely a law professor or community developer would have access to such specific detail about al Qaida, the CIA nonetheless held Barak Obama's pointing to specifics about bin Laden even to the address of his compound (/ the compound of the staged death) and manipulating the Navy seals as 'helping' them 'capture' bin Laden. (Capture in quotes because as per the plan it was emphasized the Navy SEALs should kill [the al Qaida martyr that took bin Laden's place] as opposed normal CIA procedure to capture, if at all possible, bin Laden. Development of this staged death is elsewhere in LOI's works. The company is willing to give this over to US Intelligence.

The goal of al Qaida's operation from the US Whitehouse was and is not to facilitate whatever disturbed sense of self their operative Obama might have, nor was/is it to facilitate the sadistic pursuits of the former NYC mayor, Michael Ruben Bloomberg. Al Qaida's motto is 'death to America' and they are seriously determined to achieve that. The purpose of this communication is for the US to act, actually implement effective counterterrorism measures. To speak to Afghanistan, whereas the war on terror is global, global measures must be in place to effectively combat it. It is not that physical force is not an effective and at times necessary counterterror tool but using it to the negation of physically deterring individual terrorists is not indicated. The reason is multifaceted. To begin with, militant Islamic Jihad terrorism essentially this war arises from an ideological premise hundreds of years old and actually definitive of their culture, this is not displaced by physical force. Physical force appears to be limited in the kind of victory it secures i.e. it is important for 'individual' checks to commit terrorists acts as opposed the larger society of a state or even the corporate terror group. Arrest the activities of suspected terrorist wherever that is suspected by detaining or dispatching the suspect. The matter of Afghanistan shows this.

The LOI Network also asks for assessment of Michael Bloomberg's 'contributions' to Mr. Joe Biden's eventual 'president-elect title in Nov. 2020

Mr. Bloomberg spent ~ 100 million to 'get his foot in the door' to get respecting the Democratic party nominee the move was strategically, towards the end of the time to do this. Michael Bloomberg's moves secured Mr. Biden as party choice. He would put further \$600 million (matching the \$600 million of 2008 al Qaida run) for Mr. Biden to be elected. Mr. Biden had to be the pick for his bringing Barack Obama 'back' and in that we have al Qaeda operating again from the US oval office for to be sure, Barak Hussein Obama was in no way legitimately a president of the USA. Mr. Biden isn't either for the similar reason of fraud and not that he is a member of al Qaeda; however, Joe Biden presents with the dangers of Barak Obama whereas the election stolen for him to act in this capacity, i.e. do whatever this al Qaeda operative dictates. Note here, the bags and bags of illegal ballots are real not a figment of Donald Trump's imagination as real as the MO of planting stories to cover the violent activities of Obama-Bloomberg a matter that speaks to the bombs planted at the RNC and DNC ahead of the planned attack on the US Capitol on Jan. 6th 2021. The cover story that Trump would do violence was already out along with the theft of president-elect title. Effectively discrediting by using the exact opposite to cover their actions/intended actions. For one example, Barak Obama is "tough on terrorism" is the cover for his al Qaida terrorist activities; for another, it is Trump that will do violence in the 'transfer of power' (this came out of nowhere by the way, neither Trump nor any republican ever even intimated this prior to this media 'rumor') Bloomberg-Obama intended to violently usurp this power / steal the election and Trump will be held as perpetrating violence of this theft complete with suiting the actions to the word in the violence on the Capitol and other "posturing" pre- Capitol violence at Trump's campaign rallies - this writer understands.

Mr. Biden's 'divesting (/removing)-securities" steps that effected the speedy takeover of Afghanistan and ISIS getting the names of Americans hunted by the Taliban and the horror of August 26th 2021 where US soldiers were murdered by ISIS. The horror that US soldiers murdered ISIS/al Qaeda was facilitated by the US commander-in-chief here acting under al Qaida's directives, whereas the murders of 9/11/12 the US commander in chief help was more directly Barak Obama himself who appointed the Ambassador, denied his repeated calls for security (three of those calls was on 9/11/12 itself), was the one able to provide the blueprints of the compound for where to plant the fires according to eyewitness reports of the terrorist exacting placement of the fires for most effective to kill, dismissed any eyewitness account that supports a planned attack, etc.

U.S. guides for wire tapping after President Nixon do not preclude implementation of USA Patriot provisions to monitor the communications of these two, Barak Hussein Obama - suspected al Qaida terrorist and Michael Reuben Bloomberg, the sadist giving substantial aid to further Mr. Obama's suspected terror activities, and now too their communications with Mr. Joe Biden for reasons dealt with above. The audacity of these crimes, theft of the US president office and the subsequent use of that office to carry out terrorists agenda should not check Intelligence/law enforcement responsibilities to secure the nation because of the wide scope of it. There is enough verifiable information in this communication - once verified - to bring charges against these three.

The War on Terror is a global one (held as definitive of World War III by this LOI writer) and must be fought with more powerful comprehensive force than military engagement. Following Aug. 26th 2021, the media report of the CIA to focus on China and Russia vs. counterterrorism was understandable; however, LOI asks the agency to consider that conceding some kind of defeat in the war on terror actually compounds the dangers from China and Russia. This business of militant Islamic jihad is that intricate to global securities. Already China is making headways in increasing its singular risks to global securities by 'winning over the Taliban vis a vis the Uighurs and providing needed financial aid. This alone presents such 'deep and comprehensive' international securities

compromise, to do it justice /properly present these global risks, is outside the bounds of this email' self-constraints to just highlight the need for change in the US government from inaction to actually enforcing the legal provisions to counter terrorism.

The "more (deep and) comprehensive measures" to win in this war on terror, i.e. to end WWIII, see above, is copiously discussed and set out in various other LOI content. We look forward to hearing from you to answer any questions that may arise from this communication or perhaps any point of interest the FBI, CIA or Speaker Pelosi' office might have in these measures for change - positive change.

Sincerely,

/s/ Zoe Ajahnon

ZOE AJAHNON, Writer  
The LOI Network, Inc.  
110 Chestnut Ridge Rd.,  
Montvale, NJ 07645  
USA  
Ph.: +1-551-270-3966

4

**Fwd: SUSPECTED INTERNET FRAUD WITH THE WITHIN LINK**

From: zoe101@aol.com

To: larry.flnw@gmail.com <larry.flnw@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Sep 19, 2021 1:33 pm

SUMMARY OF 9/18/2021 HACKING

Larry,

I am resending because I added a sentence that has bearing on the probe.

Zoe

-----Original Message-----

From: zoe101@aol.com

To: larry.flnw@gmail.com <larry.flnw@gmail.com>

Sent: Sun, Sep 19, 2021 1:14 pm

Subject: SUSPECTED INTERNET FRAUD WITH THE WITHIN LINK

Hello Larry,

The Ready Virtual Center company (RVC or CSR or CSCbeyond from the email addresses below) I established the landing page at the link below is apparently a part of an internet fraud network.

These actors get the victims setup the landing page with your email and phone contact and they have your debit card # from the paypal transaction for the service.

The one designing the landing page as seen in the message below did call around 11 am to do the email blast at around noon but cautioned against doing the SMS before Monday.

Around 2pm yesterday I went on the internet. Everything was as usual until I tried to get on Youtube. The computer instantly generated this 'alarm' a message that kept repeating itself that 'there is suspected IP address theft someone has hacked the computer and I will lose all my documents if I attempt to turn off the computer - which was the only option, there was no exit option - and I must call the 800# flashing. I would have turned off the computer except I thought this had to do with the email blast maybe someone tried to or somehow got my contact information through the 'click here' link - which information by the way only this RVC would have here in an earlier email and telephone message on my concerns about prospective clients' privacy I was told by "Lauren" that only RVC would have my email and telephone contact information.

Once I dialed the number the actor assuming a 'Microsoft technician' role walked me through downloading Ultraviewer App, 6.4 Free with "Your ID": 43 399 036 and Password:7200 He then proceeded to ostensibly 'scan' the computer for where the 'problem' was. In running this App that allowed these changes all my information on the computer was compromised.

He kept asking about my WIFI connections what / how many devices were connected, my use of social media and my online purchases.

He then proceeded to get how much money was in my checking account and - while he was on the line - he was going to transfer me to the bank because "this is a clear case of someone having hacked my computer and of identity theft and all my internet connections are hacked - and so my telephone conversation was open to the thief, I need to stay on the line therefore while he connects me to a secure line and I can speak to the bank once we are connected to this secure line that no one else can now listen on, he stated.

I called Chase 800# customer service while these scammers were on the line. I was routed to another actor this time she was the alleged Chase Customer service representative. She confirmed her accomplice' statement of clear fraud and ID theft and that there was a 'pre-approved' transfer of \$3,560 USD (almost the total of the bank balance I told accomplice, Microsoft technician") to an online betting place in Russia and previous attempts were made to drug cartels in Mexico and other places, she continued that since this is an overseas / international transaction I have some three hours to stop the transfer of the funds but she - the bank can't do that this is fraud and only the FBI working with the bank can stop it. Enter accomplice, calling himself FBI agent who sounded just like Microsoft Technician, so I think I dealt with just 2 fraudsters instead of 3. They all speak English with an Indian accent. "All" means these two (or three?) from yesterday as well as the three different RVC 'personnel, I have spoken with, one going by Elijah Jordan who gives his # then as 1-405-883-5291. 405 is the area code for Chase international customer service.

FBI Agent (/Microsoft Technician?) accomplice gave his # as 1-202-852-4366 and cautioned much secrecy as he walks me through getting an encryption code to help the FBI stop the transfer of funds. He was very big on the Encryption bit.

I was told to buy 4 \$500 gift cards totaling \$2000. I bought one Publix would not sell more because they are aware of this scam going around the manager told me.

They have the TJ Maxx gift card #: 600176 0871 1125 15065 ; Pin # / CSC: 2261 (like CSCBeyond above???) Accomplice, FBI Agent guy was rather upset at this 'mistake' since he insisted that I should have gotten a Target card as he insisted. He also insisted on getting Walmart Visa gift cards (it has to be Walmart - these act like accounts I was told) or the card must come from a Quicky Mart store or Dollar General store. FBI Agent guy calling himself at that time anyway, Mark Hamilton, gave me what he calls a "registration #" for TJ Maxx card # - this to speak to that specific encryption code. RVC seems big on using registration #'s to seem authentic. The Elijah salesman one when I called him about your never having heard of the company was quick to refer me to a 'registration #' online for their patent for this email blast service.

They did not use the funds on the TJ Maxx gift card when I made a purchase with it a couple hours later. The \$500 was still there - my concern is that the card # ID is intended for quite another purpose to establish an encrypted route for funds in my debit card account- he

made sure I paid for the gift card with my debit card.

I just want you to know all this since my ID might also be used by RVC to scam prospects from the blast email and sms and that scam done using the various ID info they have on me from the Ultraviewer scan that would include the all the contracting information with the carriers and theft through my bank card.

3,500 sms are supposed to go out tomorrow, I don't know how they plan to use the texting platform but accomplice FBI agent kept harping on Monday for the Encryption numbers to be verified. I questioned him about this. He hung up around then.

I will be stepping back from FFL in light of this and of course will be taking steps to restore securities.

This email will be given to police when I make the report today.

Regards,  
Zoe

—Original Message—

From: [csr@cscbeyond.com](mailto:csr@cscbeyond.com)  
To: [zoeloi@aol.com](mailto:zoeloi@aol.com) <[zoeloi@aol.com](mailto:zoeloi@aol.com)>  
Cc: report <[report@cscbeyond.com](mailto:report@cscbeyond.com)>  
Sent: Fri, Sep 17, 2021 2:45 pm  
Subject: RE: Follow up to your campaign

Zoe,

Thank you for the feedback.

We will give you a call tomorrow at 11 am to discuss this further.

We're always happy to hear from you.

Let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,  
Ready Virtual Center  
Office: [919-324-6506](tel:919-324-6506)  
Lauren: [919-321-2211](tel:919-321-2211)  
E-mail: [csr@cscbeyond.com](mailto:csr@cscbeyond.com)

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Re: Follow up to your campaign  
From: [zoeloi@aol.com](mailto:zoeloi@aol.com)  
Date: Fri, September 17, 2021 12:28 pm  
To: "csr@cscbeyond.com" <[csr@cscbeyond.com](mailto:csr@cscbeyond.com)>

Hi Lauren,

Thanks so much. It seems ready for tomorrow just I might not be - a car issue since we last spoke. It might about a week to resolve (getting the parts)

I will not know till later if I'll have transportation for appointments between now and then.

Could I finalize with RVC by 11am tomorrow if I need to postpone the blast?

Zoe  
551-270-3966

----- Original Message -----  
From: [csr@cscbeyond.com](mailto:csr@cscbeyond.com)  
To: [zoeloi@aol.com](mailto:zoeloi@aol.com) <[zoeloi@aol.com](mailto:zoeloi@aol.com)>  
Cc: report <[report@cscbeyond.com](mailto:report@cscbeyond.com)>  
Sent: Fri, Sep 17, 2021 2:15 pm  
Subject: Follow up to your campaign

Zoe,

We hope that you are having a pleasant day.

Here is the link for your updated landing page:  
<http://dev284.rvclients4.ncitsolutions.com/>

We're always happy to hear from you.

Let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,  
Ready Virtual Center  
Office: [919-324-6506](tel:919-324-6506)  
Lauren: [919-321-2211](tel:919-321-2211)  
E-mail: [csr@cscbeyond.com](mailto:csr@cscbeyond.com)

# IN THE UNITED STATES SENATE

***IN RE. ZOE AJJAHNON***

***CASE NO.: 21-5018***

**ORDER FROM THE UNITED STATES SENATE TO  
ENFORCE CONFORMITY TO THE CONSTITUTION  
ARTICLE IV LAWS ENACTED BY CONGRESS FOR  
REGULATION OF THE CONSTITUTION'S ARTICLE III  
FEDERAL COURTS AND ENSURE ARTICLE VI GUARD OF  
THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES**

The record of this matter begun, August 20<sup>th</sup> 2019, in the New Jersey district court, evinces that the inferior NJ district court violated Congressional regulations for federal court proceedings, specifically, the district court defied Federal Rule for Civil Procedure, 55(a) in abuse of judicial powers by purposefully failing to enter a decision on motion for default judgement against defaulting parties St. Joseph's University Medical Centre and RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc.

The Constitution in Article III sec. 2 cl. 2 establishes the appellate justiciable resolve from lower courts judicial process abuse in the petition for Writ of Mandamus to the nation's one Supreme Court. In aid of the Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction a petition for writ of mandamus to

order the NJ district to enter the default judgment was entered in the US Supreme Court, July 1<sup>st</sup> 2021.

For judicial resolve, Congressional regulations following Art. III sec.2. cl.2.4 of the Constitution require the US Supreme Court to “confine the lower courts to their jurisdictional limits” in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction.

THE SENATE FINDS that Congress's establishment of the Article III federal courts authorizes the one national Supreme Court to review decisions of the state courts and inferior district courts in two procedural mechanisms, 1) appeals and 2) petition for writ of certiorari.

The laws of Congress allow the Supreme Court to exercise its discretion to grant or deny review of a question on petition for writ of certiorari, by contrast however, these laws do not leave it to the Supreme Court's discretion for exercise of its appellate jurisdiction.

The Supreme Court is required, mandated under the Constitution Article III text and under Congress's Article IV regulations for the Court to exercise appellate jurisdiction in a case properly before it on direct appeal, Art. III sec.2. cl.2.

It is evident in this matter of *IN RE.: Zoe Ajjahnon*, Case No.: 21-5018, the US Supreme Court did not exercise appellate jurisdiction as mandated under the Constitution and Congressional regulations by denying mandamus to the NJ district court to order it to enter the default judgment as federal procedural law, FRCP, Rule 55 (a) mandates.

The Senate finds that the US Supreme Court in willful intentional denial to “confine the NJ district court to its jurisdictional limits” and order the district court to comply with federal regulations for federal courts procedure and enter the default judgment, the US Supreme Court’s November 15<sup>th</sup> 2021 denial of mandamus stands in violation the Constitution Article III section 2 and Congress’s Article IV mandates, rendering the denial an illegality, without merit at law, without legal force.

Whereas this unlawful denial arises from impeachable conduct in the final Court of appeal, namely the one national Supreme Court, there now exists no alternative at law but a dismissal in the Senate. Dismissal of this matter’s unlawful, legally without merit, legally nonbinding statement from the US Supreme Court is under the sole purview of the Congress and specifically the Senate as given in Court teaching whereas the Constitution provides no further judicial standard to resolve it. Teaching on the nonjusticiable matter,

Court doctrine established in Chief Justice William Rehnquist opinion for the Court in *Nixon v. United States, et al* 506 U.S. 224 (1993) for the political doctrine trigger where the text of the Constitution offers no judicial standard to resolve an issue and the resolution lies outside the court system and is a matter for the Senate, guarded in Senate Rule XI. Specifically, the doctrine states: "A controversy is nonjusticiable where there is "a textually demonstrable constitutional commitment of the issue to a coordinate political department; or a lack of judicially discoverable and manageable standards for resolving it ...." *Baker v. Carr*, 369 U. S. 186, 217. These two concepts are not completely separate; the lack of judicially manageable standards may strengthen the conclusion that there is a textually demonstrable commitment to a coordinate branch." [Pp. 228-229].

WHEREFORE the Senate of this 117<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congress is moved to guard the Constitution's clear edict to the Supreme Court of this federal democracy in declaring the Court's denial of mandamus to the NJ district court without legal merit, and is further moved to ensure conformity to the laws of Congress for Court proceedings by the exercise of its vested Constitutional powers over the federal courts it establishes under Article III and regulates by the laws it enacts as declared at Article IV of the

Constitution and dismiss the US Supreme Court's denial of mandamus to the NJ district court in the district case no.: 2-19-cv-16990-BRM.

It is **ORDERED** therefore in the U.S. SENATE:

1. The NJ District Court, Judge Brian R. Martinotti upon receipt of this Order enter the default judgement in the district case of, *Zoe Ajjahnon v. St. Joseph University Medical Center and RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc*, Case No.: 2-19-cv-16990 as set out in this Order.
2. St. Joseph's University Medical Centre, 703 Main Street Paterson, NJ 07503 is ORDERED to pay to Zoe Ajjahnon, the default judgement demand total of \$3, 442, 978.40 ON or BEFORE OCTOBER 17<sup>th</sup> 2022.
3. RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc., 950 Old Short Hills Road, West Orange, NJ 07052 is ORDERED pay to Zoe Ajjahnon the default judgment demand total of \$4, 695,190.00 ON or BEFORE OCTOBER 17<sup>th</sup> 2022.

**DONE AND ORDERED** in the United States Senate this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 2022.

---

RICHARD DURBIN  
UNITED STATES SENATOR,  
CHAIR OF THE SENATE  
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

---

CHARLES GRASSLEY  
UNITED STATES SENATOR,  
RANKIN MEMBER OF SENATE  
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

**SERVICE TO BE PERFECTED AS FOLLOWS:**

1) A copy of the signed Order to be served on ST. JOSEPH'S UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER, at the hospital's attorney **VIA FAX AND MAIL AND EMAIL** at:

Jeffrey A. Krompier, Esq.  
Krompier & Tamn, LLC, 8 Wood Hollow Rd., Suite 202, Parsippany,  
NJ 07054    Ph.: 973-428-1000, Fax.: 973-428-1088  
Email: [jkrompier@krompiertamn.com](mailto:jkrompier@krompiertamn.com)

2) A copy of the signed Order to be served on RWJ BARNABAS HEALTH, INC., at the hospital's attorney **VIA FAX AND MAIL AND EMAIL** at:

Robert J. Logan, Esq.; Lauren M. Strollo, Esq.  
Vasios, Kelly & Strollo, P.A., 2444 Morris Ave., Suite 304, Union,  
NJ 07083 - 5711  
Ph.: 908-688-1020, Fax.: 908-688-1403  
Email: [LStrollo@vasioslaw.com](mailto:LStrollo@vasioslaw.com)  
Email: [RLogan@vasioslaw.com](mailto:RLogan@vasioslaw.com)

3) A copy of the Order from the Senate to be served on the NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT HON. BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI at:

Hon. Brian R. Martinotti, USDCJ  
Office of the Clerk,  
U.S. District Court of New Jersey  
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse,  
50 Walnut St., Room 4015,  
Newark, NJ 07101   Ph.: 973-645-3730

Thanks so very much Senators.

Respectfully,  
/s/Zoe Ajjahnon  
Zoe Ajjahnon  
7457 #D Daniel Webster Drive, Winter Park, FL 32792  
Ph.: 850-518-2739 or 551-315-2409

Case No.:

---

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

ZOE AJJAHNON, Plaintiff/Petitioner

v.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, Defendant/Respondent

---

**ON MOTION FOR WRIT TO THE FBI FOR ALL THE BUREAU's DOCUMENTS  
/RECORD OF 2008-2022 OF OR RELATED TO MICHAEL REUBEN BLOOMBERG  
TO BE REVIEWED BY A COURT APPOINTED AD HOC TASK FORCE  
/COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INDICATED MEASURES TO  
RESTORE NATIONAL SECURITIES**

---

ZOE AJJAHNON, *Pro Se* PETITIONER  
110 Chestnut Ridge Road,  
Montvale, NJ 07645  
Ph.: 850-518-2739  
Email: [zoeloip@outlook.com](mailto:zoeloip@outlook.com)

## THE PARTIES

- 1) I, Zoe Ajjahnon, Pro Se Plaintiff/ Petitioner in this matter is a Writer at LOI Network, Inc., a global securities information analysis company. In this capacity petitioning party has provided intelligence to the FBI on the threat to national securities this matter's Michael Bloomberg himself committed plus fostered in facilitating the dangerous activities of others by manipulation of media content and US law enforcement intelligence agencies.
- 2) Defendant/ Respondent the Federal Bureau of Investigations headquartered at 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20535-0001 Ph.:202- 324-3000 has been instrumental in Michael Bloomberg's retaliation scheme of this matter, the conclusive evidence of this includes, Michael Bloomberg's manipulation of the FBI to hack Petitioner's internet devices, telephone, and Bank account on 9/18/2021 (App. G, 28a – 29a). Michael Bloomberg former mayor of NYC and for 3 weeks purported 2020 presidential candidate, manipulated the FBI in similar tactics of hacking and hijacking of securities information as the retaliation scheme's to: prevent prosecution of the 2008 presidential election by the al Qaida terror group; to cover up ensuing al Qaida terror activities against Americans, that includes the murder of US Ambassador John Christopher Stevens; to prevent media coverage of the national and international securities compromise of the staged death of al Qaida leader Osama bin Laden under al Qaida's manipulation of the CIA; to prevent prosecution for his illegal election contributions to Mr. Joseph Biden in the 2020 elections, his subsequent theft of the 2020 presidential election for Mr. Joseph Biden, his subsequent complicity in the Jan. 6<sup>th</sup> '21 insurrection on the Capitol, his related actions in the deaths of American and allied forces in Afghanistan Aug. 26<sup>th</sup> 2020, and to divert the CIA from prosecuting him on charges of illegally manipulating US armed forces done specifically in and for preventing NATO or other allied forces from militarily answering Russia's invasion of Ukraine in his unilateral grant of this pivotal guarantee in aid of Russia's Crime of Aggression (Art. 8 bis, Rome Statute) constituted in its invasion of Ukraine Feb. 24<sup>th</sup> 2022. Wherefore any attempt to secure NATO protection by a member State has been thwarted so that NATO member State Poland continues to face the securities threats of the war on its borders even after requesting NATO services the State pays for, the reason Mr. Bloomberg Special Pentagon Advisor gave: that might bring in NATO Air forces.

**RELATED ACTION TO ACCOMPANYING PETITION FOR CERTIORARI TO THE FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS AND MOTION TO DISMISS AND REVERSE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 10/04/2021 (11/15/21 Rehear) ORDER DENYING MANDAMUS TO THE NJ DISTRICT COURT IN CASE NO.: 2-19-CV-16990 BRM AND REINSTATE *IN RE. ZOE AJJAHNON*, CASE NO.: 21-5018 TO GRANT THIS CURE UNDER FRCP 60 (b)(d)(1)**

**UNDER COURT RULE 42 (a)(3) THAT PROVIDES FOR ORDERS ON CONSOLIDATED DIRECTLY RELATED FACTS OR LAWS ON A QUESTION BEFORE THE COURT PETITIONER MOVES BEFORE THE COURT ON:**

**MOTION FOR WRIT TO THE FBI FOR ALL THE BUREAU's DOCUMENTS /RECORD OF 2008-2022 OF OR RELATED TO MICHAEL REUBEN BLOOMBERG TO BE REVIEWED BY A COURT APPOINTED AD HOC COMMITTEE / TASK FORCE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INDICATED MEASURES TO RESTORE NATIONAL SECURITIES**

WHEREAS the actor Michael Reuben Bloomberg of this Petition for Certiorari presents clear, present and substantial threats to national securities – both physical and economic, Petitioner consolidates a motion to the Court to Writ FBI records of or related to the allegations supporting the motion.

**ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR WRIT OF FBI 2008-2022 RECORDS CONCERNING MICHAEL REUBEN BLOOMBERG:  
THE REASONS THIS MOTION SHOULD BE GRANTED**

This consolidated motion arises from copious independently verifiable evidence of deep and substantial national securities risks Michael Reuben Bloomberg of instant Petition for Certiorari currently presents to the nation.

Among the illegalities of this actor Michael Bloomberg is the disturbing control of US Intelligence, the FBI subjected to be instrumental in the retaliation scheme of instant Petition for Certiorari. Michael Bloomberg's manipulation of the FBI to hack Petitioner's internet devices, telephone, and bank account on 9/18/2021 (App. G, 28a – 29a) provides conclusive evidence of this.

To list other securities risks of national (and international) concerns arising from Michael Bloomberg former mayor of NYC and for 3 weeks purported 2020 presidential candidate subjection of the FBI are where he has: manipulated the FBI in hacking and hijacking of securities information (reminiscent of this matter's retaliation scheme) to prevent prosecution of the 2008 presidential election by the al Qaida terror group; manipulated US intelligence agencies to cover up ensuing al Qaida terror activities against Americans, that includes the murder of US Ambassador John Christopher Stevens; manipulated to prevent media coverage of the national and international securities compromise of the staged death of al Qaida leader Osama bin Laden- done under al Qaida's manipulation of the CIA (Seals), this agency's NSA under al Qaida's control in Barack Obama, over two years handed over to the terror group data constructing the pattern of behavior of US citizens nationwide – in context, beneficial for optimum time and place to plant bombs in a coast to coast attack on the USA, or otherwise the nation's electric grids compromise that 'the practice run' in California under Barak Obama adopted CIA Seals precision, (See, the 2015 news reports of this, that somehow no one was able to conclude just who compromised the grid and of course no one has since 'investigated'); manipulated to prevent prosecution for his illegal election contributions to Mr. Joseph Biden in the 2020 elections, his subsequent theft of the 2020 presidential election for Mr. Joseph Biden, his subsequent complicity in the Jan. 6<sup>th</sup> '21 insurrection on the Capitol, his related actions in the deaths of American and allied forces in Afghanistan Aug. 26<sup>th</sup> 2020, and manipulated to divert the CIA from prosecuting him on charges of illegally manipulating US armed forces done specifically in and for preventing NATO or other allied forces to militarily answer Russia's invasion of Ukraine upon giving Russia's President Vladimir Putin this pivotal guarantee,

Vladimir Putin used Russia's forces to invade the sovereign nation of Ukraine, February 24<sup>th</sup> 2022 in Crimes of Aggression, as determined in Article 8 bis of Rome Statute. Under NATO protocol any member State may invoke the full complement of NATO forces. Given the proximity of the securities risks to European member States Russia's war in neighboring Ukraine presents. Poland a NATO member State, attempted to get NATO protection, the State's defense pays for in its request to "close the skies" at start of the war, this however was denied in the US member State. Where Vladimir Putin announced the invasion as a *Special Military Operation* – Michael Bloomberg to assure him that his control over US armed forces and NATO would not respond in sending NATO forces, created and filled his matching *Special Pentagon Advisor* role. In this capacity, Michael Bloomberg immediately dismissed U.S. Secretary of State, Anthony Blinken's consideration to Poland's request to close regional air space. The given reason is that that might bring in NATO Air forces.

Petitioner is a writer at LOI Network, Inc. located at 110 Chestnut Ridge Rd., Montvale, NJ 07645 since 2000, and writes on national and international physical and economic securities. Michael Bloomberg became aware of Petitioner's work in 2008 through his association with members of the US Dept of Justice by way of LOI's communication to DOJ's intelligence agency, the FBI (as well as the CIA) of a planned terror attack on the U.S. for the state of Massachusetts. Petitioner/LOI writer also provided intelligence of clear conclusive evidence that al Qaida planned (since c. 1995) to plant an operative in the U.S. Government and in the 2008 elections stole (by computer manipulation) the U.S. president's office.

Michael Bloomberg used this information in a blackmail deal with the al operative Barack Hussein Obama in August of 2010 (App. G, 24a – 27a) of instant Petition for Certiorari.

Specifically what Michael Bloomberg did was to fund his 2009 NYC mayor campaign with \$100 million dollars (much more than he spent on his two previous NYC mayor campaigns) to assure himself that the \$600 million dollars campaign funds of al Qaida's 2008 theft of the US oval office was *not* a function of the amount of money funding the campaign *but rather that it was in fact the act of stealing* the election that manufactured the president-elect title for the al Qaida operative, Barack Hussein Obama.

LOI had already provided the U.S. Government with the mathematical (scientific) conclusive evidence that Barack Obama could not possibly have won the election with the exact percentage lead in the polls he had going into the election. For all Michael Bloomberg's \$100 million spent on his 2009 third term NYC mayor campaign and his 11% - 15% going into the election, he won by only ~ 5% of the votes. Michael Bloomberg took this 'confirmation' of al Qaida's theft of the US oval office to Martha's Vineyard in August of 2010 while Mr. Obama was on vacation there and contracted with him (Barack Obama) to provide him (Michael Bloomberg) with homosexual services in exchange for his covering the fact that he stole the 2008 election and that he is a terrorist. Michael Bloomberg initiated the pact in the rape of Barak Obama. (App. G, 25a)

LOI can provide evidence of Barak Obama's subsequent sexual services to Michael Bloomberg in exchange for him sustaining the public image that he, Barack Obama, the al Qaida terrorist is, to use Michael Bloomberg's own words, "tough on terrorism".

Petitioner labors this initiating event for Michael Bloomberg's 'assumption and exercise' of the authority of the US president's office since this – the dynamics of this (i.e., Michael Bloomberg presents as a text-book sadist and is sexually excited by destruction – controlling/manipulation of others in acts of "inescapable" subjection and ultimate devastation

wherein he aims for complete/maximal destruction – matching his maximal excitement of the manipulation/control) - is what was ‘brought back’ in his (Michael Bloomberg’s) theft of the US president’s office in the 2020 elections.

The dangers to national securities are stark for the combined individual respective threats from Michael Bloomberg and the al Qaida operative he sustained in fraudulent media reports and brought back in his theft of the 2020 elections for Mr. Joseph Biden. In ‘bringing back’ al Qaida’s 2008 theft of the US oval office and his vicarious assumption of that authority in subjecting Barack Obama, Michael Bloomberg aimed to recreate as exactly as possible the context of the blackmail that provided for him the imagined control of the U.S. president’s office he held to 2010-2017 in the subjection of Barack Obama by way of the blackmail. The \$100 million to “get his foot in the door” is the ‘brought back’ \$100 million in the NYC mayor race spent to get the ‘confirming’ blackmailing information, the \$600 million spent in the theft of the president-title for Mr. Joseph Robinette Biden is the ‘brought back’ \$600 million that funded Barak Obama’s theft of president-elect tittle in 2008, and Mr. Biden – who came into or more accurately appointed / made (by Michael Bloomberg) to come into the race strategically towards the final months of the campaign and only after Michael Bloomberg ended his 3-week bid and advanced the \$600 million to fund for Mr. Biden’s “campaign” – was ‘brought back’ for his VP role with Barack Obama, term limits preventing Barack Obama

himself.

Michael Bloomberg's activities of severe national securities threats, among other illegalities, are managed by hacking into and hijacking physical and economic securities information, not the least seized from LOI; in fact, the 2010 blackmail to create and sustain Barak Obama's public image as 'tough on terrorism" was to subvert and counter LOI's securities threat intelligence to the media and U.S. intelligence agencies on Mr. Obama's al Qaida operation from the oval office. Enabled through control of the FBI/U.S. Intelligence agencies, Michael Bloomberg manipulates to prevent law enforcement that halts/reverses these national securities threats and funnels money into and otherwise ingratiate himself with mass media –national public, traditional, and social media, to prevent publication of serious illegalities.

He manipulates the media to publications instead the false and deeply compromising opposite of the threat that provides smoke screen /cover-up for the threatening reality. For example, a terrorist in the oval office is consistently published as 'tough on terrorism' so that the terror activities continue, as in App. G, 25a record of al Qaida's 9/11/12 attack in Libya in which the US Ambassador, John Christopher Stevens was murdered – as planned and orchestrated by Barak Obama – the Ambassador, innocent of the knowledge of the level of the dangers he was in because of the Michael Bloomberg manipulated fraudulent media coverage of Barak Obama, appealed to al Qaida led CIA under Barak Obama for protection and was three times denied the day of the al Qaida's Barak Obama planned execution. The cover-up continued in al Qaida's Barack Obama's ability to remove /dismiss eyewitness accounts of the attack that contradicted Barak Obama's statements of his planned murder of the Ambassador, as for instance that the al Qaida terrorists, according to eyewitness knew exactly where to plant the fires and the attack on

the Benghazi compound was not done by ‘random’ protesters in a demonstration some 100 miles away as given in Barak Obama’s replacement story for this eyewitness report. Evidently, the ‘blue print’ of the compound was already provided the al Qaida operatives that set the fires in executing the Ambassador. In the interests of national securities, Petitioner volunteers LOI’s intelligence that the Ambassador was killed to silence him before he could divulge intelligence he had been investigating. Someone in Washington DC where he had friends might know what that is since the fires, as intended, destroyed his computers and any device or files he had in this investigation.

Michael Bloomberg’s danger to national securities in covering over the staged death of al Qaida leader Osama bin Laden had the media calling on past Presidents Bush and Clinton to publicly ‘congratulate’ Barak Obama to lend credibility to non-existent evidence that Osama bin Laden was killed. LOI’s intelligence conclusive evidence that Osama bin Laden was **not** killed by the CIA’s SEALs on May 2, 2011 known to the FBI is record ‘relating to’ Michael Bloomberg. FBI record (more directly) ‘of’ Michael Bloomberg would be, for example, his activities in and of the \$700 million theft of the 2020 election.

The FBI’s record evinces both ‘passive’ and ‘active’ Bureau facilitating acts regarding Michael Bloomberg’s ongoing and present threats to national securities. Not only did the FBI passively not prosecute for the \$600 million poured into **just** candidate Joseph Biden (recall, at this point ‘the party’ had just then settled on the latest and last candidate Mr. Joseph Biden, and the \$600 million in funds are ‘contributed’ benefits the ‘race’ of this sole candidate) the FBI actively planted misinformation / attempted to defraud the public about a possible derailing (as to Joseph Biden’s candidacy) story about Mr. Biden’s son by telling Twitter, Facebook, media to

disregard the evidence of the damning report as Russia disinformation.

The FBI's failure to prosecute for the \$600 million to Joseph Biden is against this Court's teaching in *McCutcheon v. Federal Election Commission* (April 2, 2014) where, "Chief Justice John Roberts writing for the majority reaffirmed the federal government's right to place certain limits on campaign contributions 'to protect against corruption or the appearance of corruption' adding, the federal government can only limit 'quid pro quo' contributions. [Clarifying], spending large sums of money in connection with elections, but not in connection with an effort to control the exercise of an officeholder's official duties, does not give rise to quid pro quo corruption."

It is abundantly clear that this case of 'bringing back' Joseph Biden to the US oval office (term limits preventing Barak Obama himself) for the sadistic pleasure Michael Bloomberg's \$600 million – gone unprosecuted – pays for, goes far beyond the normally expected 'quid pro quo' to the deeply alarming securities threats this actor (a sadistic individual who is pleased by the control- and control for that which creates mayhem, humiliates, devastates, and ultimately destroys) is to the nation in his assumption and exercise of the office of the President of the USA, Mr. Biden the conduit of the assumed powers/ the vehicle through whom this actor's desired foreign and domestic policies for the government of the nation are made.

The FBI's campaign of misinformation to the public for possible derailing facts concerning Mr. Joseph Biden's son is appreciated by this LOI writer/ Petitioner for the more direct relevance to Mr. Biden suitability as Democratic nominee and possible derail of the 2010 'brought back' (sadistic) assumption of the president's office that Michael Bloomberg pursued. Mr. Bloomberg did not so much contribute \$600 million dollars to the Democratic party as he matched the \$600

million dollars of Barak Obama's 2008 theft of the oval office and to state again, term limits preventing Barak Obama himself it had to be his VP Joe Biden or no one.

Petitioner draws the Court's attention that in al Qaida's planned plant of an operative in the US Government that in context it was not so much Barack Obama that chose Joseph Biden as running mate as it was al Qaida, wherefore the information on his son might be more pertinent for directly damning Joe Biden as opposed indirectly, as to his son. Petitioner inserts the 10/07/22 LOI communication to the UK' Serious Fraud Office. The SFO is a non-government specialist that prosecutes authority and tackles the top level of serious or complex fraud, bribery and corruption.

"The LOI Network like your organization is a non-government entity. We have been incorporated since 2001 in the USA. Our business is analyzing global physical and economic securities affairs to the end of setting out the measures to establish or otherwise restore these securities.

The pertinent matter in this request is for the SFO's dossier on Mr. Joseph Biden in the US oval office and his son Mr. Hunter Biden. Its importance concerns Mr. Biden's former tenure in the US government as VP to Mr. Obama. The SFO should know that Mr. Obama is an al Qaeda operative, has been since at least his late teens. Mr. Obama 's 2008-2016 time in the US oval was in effecting /conducting a well-planned and well-funded al Qaeda operation.

We are trying to assess if Burisma Holding Ltd. provided any 'money laundering' coverage for the terror group and if Joe Biden, former Senator from Delaware might be implicated in US PATRIOT Act violations. Is consolidation of Burisma Group, 2006 and 2007 as major shareholder of Delaware corporation Sunrise Energy Resources implicated in the money laundering charges alleged against Mykola Zlochevsky?

Other troubling associations: the ease with which al Qaeda has manipulated the CIA in its operations as in operative, Obama's using the CIA [Seals ] to stage the death of the group's leader Osama bin Laden in May 2011, preventing CIA protection of US Ambassador J. Christopher Stevens that Mr. Obama /al Qaeda murdered in 9/2012, [using the CIA to chart the behavioral patterns of US citizens nationwide over the span on two years (in context, appreciated for beneficial intelligence to al Qaida for optimal time and place to plant bombs in a coast to coast attack on the US)], etc., does the Board of Directors appointment in 2017 of Joseph Cofer Black

former director of the Counterterrorism Center of the Central Intelligence Agency (1999–2002) in the George W. Bush administration and former Ambassador-at-Large for counter-terrorism (2002–2004), have any linkage to this "ease - of - CIA manipulations by al Qaeda .

... Moreover, in April 2014, Devon Archer, a former senior adviser to the John Kerry 2004 presidential campaign, and Hunter Biden, an attorney and the son of then-US vice president Joe Biden, joined the board. Mr. John Kerry was given role of Secretary of State in al Qaeda' 2008-2016 operations he like Joe Biden is back again under a different set of founding dynamics (having to do with Mr. Michael Reuben Bloomberg's motivation for and successful theft of the 2020 US President election) but the physical - and very much economic securities risks are present and growing and LOI is trying to [put] together effective measures to restore securities – meaning, setting out the legal guides to be enforced to end these looming international securities threats.”

Michael Bloomberg's theft of the 2020 elections is not the figment of someone's imagination or an unfounded statement by President Trump or others. The FBI has done nothing about the physical evidence of bags and bags of un-postmarked ballots that were counted for Joseph Biden nor anything about the Trump's recount of votes showing that counties in Pennsylvania had twice as many votes than resident's living in the county. Nor has the intelligence agency investigated the activities of Michael Bloomberg's planting of the story that President Trump would be violent when (not if) he had to hand over the presidency. Upon this out-of-the-blue (neither Trump nor anyone associated with him intimated any such violence) media story, disturbances began at Trump's rallies by what one eyewitness called 'planted actors'. Indeed, Trump supporters at the rallies were not fighting/ being violent against themselves.

The bombardment of this planted story – 'planted' since it did not come from acts or statements from Trump or his supporters, rather had the President and Senator Mitch McConnell defending against these publications in statements that [a] handover would be peaceful. Nevertheless, this unfounded crying wolf, on election day, effected merchants barricading their

places of business against Trump's pre-announced violence. The bombardment of this manufactured story metaphorically anticipated as in projection (onto Trump as the violent candidate) of the violence the story 'planter' Michael Bloomberg intended evinced in his premeditated acts of inciting violence at Trump's demonstration January 6<sup>th</sup> '21, what mushroomed into/became the riot on the Capitol.

According to eyewitness report on public radio, similar to those who caused disturbances at Trump's rallies, were seen 'planted actors' at the steps of the Capitol on Jan. 6<sup>th</sup> 2021 before Trump supporters (peacefully) walked to the Capitol. They were dressed in army fatigues according to this eyewitness report and apparently started the riot that Trump supporters took part in (and should be duly punished for) as in a mob riot. It is these planted actors then that incited the riot on the Capitol. This should be investigated especially since it comes in the context of the premediated and conveniently, FBI –informed planting of two bombs the night before, one at the RNC and one at the DNC. This motion puts that the premeditated planting of the bombs was by the same entity (i.e., Michael Bloomberg) that planted the story that Trump would be violent, and planted actors at Trump's rallies to start violence to build the image he intended to cast Trump and his supporters as belligerent violent actors in the 2020 presidential election campaign. Michael Bloomberg intended to do (physical) violence in ratifying the (non-tactile) violence of his theft of the US president office and began the coverup story/ the smoke screen in projecting onto his victim (President Trump) his own illegalities, as the seasoned criminal would.

Michael Bloomberg's smoke screen/ cover up for his own illegalities of the planted story that Trump would do violence has come to be: Trump actually did do the violence as the planted

story said he would and that violence was inciting the Jan 6<sup>th</sup> riot on the Capitol. Nothing could be further from the truth.

An impeachment hearing has concluded the President did not incite the violence but further, Petitioner draws the Court's attention to the *actions* of, and that became, the riot on the Capitol – and Michael Bloomberg's actions in them. Whatever Trump said then or since –*these are two different things* – the 1) inciting the insurrection on the Capitol, and 2) Trump's attempts to stop the ratification of the theft (from him and the American people) of the US president's office.

Michael Bloomberg's threats are very much of national economic securities. The bill calling for monitoring \$600 by 87,000 new IRS agents is an attempt to retro control of the \$600 in CARES money and the more recent omnibus bill that gives some \$13 billion to the FBI -not specifying what for, are both expressions Michael Bloomberg at play with the US treasury – his private 'piggy bank' of tax payers money from whence in his manipulation of US armed forces to grant conditions preventing NATO forces from a military answer to Russia's 'special military operation' to takeover Ukraine, he also used tax payers dollars/ the nation's money to increase oil purchase from Russia to offset the effects of sanctions that would be the alternative to the necessary military action to drive out or otherwise punish the invader for the planned invasion was indeed meant to be a short-lived military operation to takeover all of Ukraine in the same style of Barak Obama's plan that facilitated the Taliban's quick takeover of Afghanistan – even to offering President Zelensky on the day of the invasion 'safe passage' out of Ukraine as was offered the President of Afghanistan – a takeover that came with the deaths of US and allied forces service personnel. (App. G, 25a)

Where Michael Bloomberg's blackmailing pact called for subverting all LOI's content that

opposes his efforts for the public image of Barack Obama as tough on terrorism, his operations consistently pervert the facts (i.e., fraudulently represent the exact opposite of the fact) or normal state of an issue or system leading to the inevitable destruction of the entity/legal or natural person. Since his 2010 blackmail of / pact with Barack Obama he has strengthened ties with the FBI and has used this association in the US DOJ to carry out or otherwise coverup his substantial illegalities.

As to Petitioner, Michael Bloomberg's retaliation scheme of this matter has employed US DOJ and FBI services as in the September 2020 hacking into Petitioner's NJ DOL unemployment and private bank accounts and again a year later in the Sept. 18<sup>th</sup> 2021 attack on Petitioner's Independent Insurance sales business, private Chase bank account, and internet and telephone devices. (Appendix G, 11a-13a)

The way in which Michael Bloomberg, in association with the FBI conducted the 9/18/2021 hack into Chase bank computers was alarming enough for Petitioner to alert Chase Headquarters of the way in which the compromise of the bank' computers was done – an invasion that continued the intrusion even when the bank tried to close the hacked account evinced in a message on the ATM not before seen on Chase computers coincided with the manager's actions to close the account – as if riding on the regular computer language of the bank, in the same way, the hack was to have statements of money through encryption, riding on the sum of money withdrawn (hacked from) from the bank. The letter to Chase is attached as CHASE-Exhibit to this motion.

The matter is significant for the possible way in which Michael Bloomberg is suspected of

stealing on Wall Street. It appears that under similar computer manipulation Michael Bloomberg, who runs a computer terminal rental business on Wall Street, abused the technology to quickly and exactly double \$24 billion dollars between 2010 and 2014. The way in which the second (i.e., the 9/18/21) hacking into Petitioner 's Chase bank account was done shows how this doubling could be done and shows also why it had to be the exact double of the \$24 billion as opposed some other sum. After this exact doubling Michael Bloomberg's wealth has gone back to the measured increase before initiating this suspected theft.

The exhibit shows also that Petitioner filed a report with the FBI. However, like all Petitioner's other reports to the FBI of actor Michael Bloomberg's cyber-hacking and fraud of which Petitioner is the direct victim, it was not investigated by the FBI.

As to national and US allies international securities, Michael Bloomberg's ongoing FBI facilitated intrusion into LOI content has aimed to thwart LOI's efforts to the United Nations and allied NATO European countries to engage NATO to stop the war in Ukraine that compromises regional NATO members securities for that which NATO was in fact established to prevent – the outworking of his Russia invasion facilitating manipulation of NATO protocol, creating and filling the Special Pentagon Advisor (SPA) role to provide the guarantee to Russia's Vladimir Putin that NATO forces will not resist the planned invasion to takeover Ukraine. This SPA role deprived NATO member State Poland of its national defenses against the bordering securities compromise secondary the war that developed, as pointed out in the preceding.

LOI puts as alternative to a NATO engagement, enforcement of the international law allowance to arrest Vladimir Putin for Crimes of Aggression under Rome Statutes Article 8 bis.

The Statute, as of 17 July 2018, provides the International Criminal Court jurisdiction to

prosecute any referral from a permanent UN Security Council State, non-permanent States may conditionally invoke universal jurisdiction to refer for prosecution for the Crime of Aggression. (See, Germany's invocation of universal jurisdiction to prosecute violations of international laws in the Syria matter, 01/14/22)

The Rome Statutes determine *Crimes of Aggression* in: planning, preparation, initiation or execution, by a person in a position effectively to exercise control over or to direct the political or military action of a State, of an act of aggression which, by its character, gravity and scale, constitutes a manifest violation of the Charter of the United Nations, and the *Act of Aggression* which conduct is the use of armed force by a State against the sovereignty, territorial integrity or political independence of another State, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Charter of the United Nations. Any of the following acts, regardless of a declaration of war, shall, in accordance with United Nations General Assembly resolution 3314 (XXIX) of 14 December 1974, qualify as an act of aggression:

- (a) The invasion or attack by the armed forces of a State of the territory of another State, or any military occupation, however temporary, resulting from such invasion or attack, or any annexation by the use of force of the territory of another State or part thereof;
- (b) Bombardment by the armed forces of a State against the territory of another State or the use of any weapons by a State against the territory of another State;
- (c) The blockade of the ports or coasts of a State by the armed forces of another State;
- (d) (An attack by the armed forces of a State on the land, sea or air forces, or marine and air fleets of another State;
- (e) The use of armed forces of one State which are within the territory of another State with

the agreement of the receiving State, in contravention of the conditions provided for in the agreement or any extension of their presence in such territory beyond the termination of the agreement;

- (f) The action of a State in allowing its territory, which it has placed at the disposal of another State, to be used by that other State for perpetrating an act of aggression against a third State;
- (g) The sending by or on behalf of a State of armed bands, groups, irregulars or mercenaries, which carry out acts of armed force against another State of such gravity as to amount to the acts listed above, or its substantial involvement therein. (*Rome Statute Art. 8 bis*)

**Determined in Rome Statute Article 8 bis(a)(b)(c)(d) &(g) Russia's President Vladimir Putin is guilty of the Crime of Aggression in his acts in the 2/24/22 invasion of Ukraine and blockade of its ports.**

It is the labor of this argument that the government of permanent UNSC member State the USA, neither the Executive (for Michael Bloomberg, SPA manipulation of the oval office) nor Legislative branch (perhaps out of consideration for positive political media and keeping the party in power both brought under Michael Bloomberg's purview in his manipulation of the media and large party donation in getting his theft of the US president office ratified) will comply with UN Charter Chapter VII responsibilities to restore and maintain international securities. **WHEREFORE, Petitioner in this motion, leans on the government's Judiciary branch to refer Vladimir Putin's Crime of Aggression to the International Criminal Court for prosecution. The nation's Supreme Court appreciates the inescapable significance of this - the indictment and arrest of Mr. Vladimir Putin ends the war in Ukraine brought on**

***in Mr. Putin's acts of Aggression. Further, this is in compliance with the Charter duties for the State to 'restore and maintain international securities' as opposed to causing securities risks as effected in the US' Special Pentagon Advisor role conduct of Michael Bloomberg.***

In support of this motion to Writ FBI intelligence record of/relating to Michael Bloomberg illegalities that compromise securities, this argument's reason for grant highlights that Michael Bloomberg's dangers prominently feature as catalytic for ongoing destruction and deepening of the initiating securities risks they set in motion, wherefore to end the destructive mechanisms this actor's illegalities begin, it is important to deal with the destruction at the source – Michael Bloomberg, the actor per se. To do that, is to do away with his smoke screens / coverups in their varied and many forms, smoke screen of manufactured coverup media stories, deceptive money advance, and /or as with Ukraine, U.S. arms sent to the nation fighting a war he is complicit in setting in motion.

This smoke screen of arms to Ukraine is particularly informative and typical of Michael Bloomberg's frauds and deceptions used to mask the dangers he presents where the reality as in this particular smoke screen is effectively concealed. The factual history of these glowing publications on how much the US is helping Ukraine by sending the State arms (and indeed these arms have decidedly helped Ukraine) masks his acts of guaranteeing Russia military conditions as the two engaged in / coordinated efforts for Russia's planned invasion and takeover of Ukraine, where, in addition to creating and filling a Special Pentagon Advisor role Michael Bloomberg increased US spending in Russia / gave Russia money against the impact of sanctions, See also media reports where this actor may have provided for Russia out of US treasury. A few weeks into the war i.e., in March '22 Russia was somehow able to satisfy a debt

in US currency as stipulated even though US banks, abiding by sanctions requirement were not converting the Russian Rubel and secondary trade embargoes it was troubling where the money came from in the first place.

Michael Bloomberg's aid is still first to Russia despite the fraudulent deceptive smoke screen of military equipment to Ukraine that continues (not end) the war that began upon his favorable military promises given Russia as well as money in the increased oil trade to offset sanctions.

Further evidence of the reality that he is first for Russia is evinced in his cover/smokescreen manipulation of the media publication aimed to 'not embarrass Russia' where this actor flaunting control of US treasury gave (needed) money to Ukraine to have the soldiers determined to stay at the Azovstal Steelworks plant rather be killed by Russia, in an aim to put Russia as opposite of the atrocious actor in this war, Michael Bloomberg manipulated US treasury to package for Ukraine the largest sum of money from the US to date and under manipulated glowing media image that the Azovstal soldiers were hero/'defenders' that should now leave the plant, these soldiers left and went to their certain death, as one soldier had said just hours before this Michael Bloomberg interference. Petitioner writing for LOI had been working on this story and this is an example of the ongoing intrusion in LOI's internet and telephone communications where LOI's email to NATO Secretary General, Jens Stoltenberg for forces respecting the Azovstal Steelwork came under Michael Bloomberg's interference to negate anything of LOI's content. Michael Bloomberg's actions here was concurrent LOI's communication with the NATO Secretary General despite this specific Azovstal matter was several weeks before in the media.

## **RELIEF SOUGHT**


This argument evidences that Michael Bloomberg's clear, present, deep, and substantial dangers to national securities will not be checked by initiative from the DOJ/FBI, concluding therefore that to end these securities threats to the nation (and allied nations) require an independent body to pursue law enforcement. Therefore, Petitioner moves the Court to appoint an ad hoc Committee / Task Force to review FBI's documentation/record of this motion's indictable charges against Michael Bloomberg for the purpose of investigation and prosecution in neutralizing this source of the severe national and international securities compromises substantiated herein.

## **CONCLUSION**

Petitioner having substantiated that Michael Bloomberg's manipulation of the FBI perpetuates substantial dangers to the nation (and its allies) this prayer to the Court for Writ for all FBI intelligence 2008-2022 of or relating to Michael Reuben Bloomberg to be reviewed by a Court-appointed ad hoc Committee/Task Force in the interests of restoring national securities should be GRANTED.

Respectfully submitted,  
**ZOE AJJAHNON, PRO SE PETITIONER**

By:

  
**ZOE AJJAHNON, Pro Se PETITIONER**

110 Chestnut Ridge Road,

Montvale, NJ 07645

Ph.: 850-518-2739; Email: [zoeloip@outlook.com](mailto:zoeloip@outlook.com)

**DATED:** January 3<sup>rd</sup> 2023

**ZOE AJJAHNON**  
110 Chestnut Ridge Road,  
Montvale, New Jersey 07645  
USA  
Email: [zoeloip@outlook.com](mailto:zoeloip@outlook.com)  
t.: +1-850-518-2739

*Monday, 25<sup>th</sup> October 2021*

JPMORGAN CHASE NEW YORK HEADQUATERS  
383 Madison Ave., New York, New York 10017  
And

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK HEADQUATERS  
1111 Polaris Parkway, Columbus, Ohio 43242

**RE.: CYBERFRAUD ON CHASE COMPUTERS**

Dear Chase Cyber Securities Team:

Following on my 10/21/2021 telephone communication to both Customer Service and the NY headquarters of within concerns, attached is a copy of the 09/19/2021 police report of the hack on Chase bank computers on 09/18/2021.

The suspected hackers include, but are not limited to, a company going by the name, Real Virtual Center (RVC) purporting to drive customers to 'new' businesses by way of thousands of email blasts and SMS these actors got my email and telephone number. On the day of the purported blast (9/18/2021), capitalizing on possible unknown from the blast, they did a common enough hack job, that of flashing a message on my computer screen of loss of all files if Microsoft isn't contacted right away. That an 'email blast recipient' might have hacked my computer system was possible, that Microsoft needed to download an Ultraviewer App. to fix it was not Microsoft' protocol but was very necessary to be able to control my computer remotely. Hackers using the must-call-number-on-screen to prevent computer failure or loss of files tactic have been known to ask for payment at this point, these hackers however combined yet another common scam that neither the hackers' remotely controlling my (/a) personal computer nor the common

scam of stealing the funds from a gift card would be of interest to Chase, except in this case these actors used these two scams to hack Chase computers system and compromise it by creating the ability to remotely manipulate the bank' real-time financial transactions.

Creating an encryption code from a gift card # and PIN CSC # the hackers have been (as in present tense) remotely controlling Chase computers. On 9/18/2021 the fraudsters routed my call to Chase' 1-800-272-9935 customer service number to themselves, using a Washington, D.C. guise, that # might have been, 1-202-852-4366. All my subsequent transaction at Chase have been remotely manipulated by these hackers. The attempt to close the account tied to Chase debit card used to purchase the gift card resulted in an initial \$0.03 balance left in the account (it wasn't closed). The further transaction to end the account, read as much on bank computers used by the banker/tellers, but stated the transaction differently on the ATM computer screen, designating it a 'Phone Deposit'.

Closing the account was done with a banker inside the branch. I have never made a 'phone deposit' ever and the banker looking at that designation for the closing of the account transaction said he, quote, "had never seen that before". The 'Phone Deposit" statement is of the exact time the banker ended the account – Chase normal computer coding for ending an account was remotely controlled to read 'phone deposit'. This is very serious that an entity can so manipulate financial transactions remotely at a given financial institution.

The encryption has allowed the fraudsters access to 'view' my Chase accounts and whatever action taken on those accounts they can, and do, freely manipulate. An attempt to cash out the new account was barred by a computer reading that the bank needs to hold \$88.00. The teller assumed the account needs to have those funds available to cover pending charges. No pending charge subsequently subtracted any portion of this \$88.00 in the following 4 weeks; further, a subsequent deposit that initially brought the balance to \$138.00, about 2 weeks later gave it to be \$140.00 in this checking account. I am not aware of interest earnings in this checking account and I did not make an interim deposit of \$2.00 into it. In addition, a yet subsequent deposit directly to the new account – using

the new account' number inside the branch - initially defaulted to the old account, the ATM statement balance reflecting the addition of the deposit to the new account but not showing the deposit itself. The new account and debit card along with the PIN are apparently known – at any rate are definitely subject to these hackers' manipulation. My savings account that read a balance of 0.00 when I cashed it out is later stated variably a balance of \$0.13 or \$0.03, the interest earnings on a \$0.00 balance? This account was also not closed, is the point.

Small sums to be sure, as if by contrast, declaring the magnitude of this security breach. In this case where scammers used common methods to steal personal bank account information as opposed the more common money from said bank account, Chase should be aware that this customer's information is privy to others possibly complicit in this scheme of manipulating / compromising Chase computers, who are likely to use this way of defrauding banks, that is, using (or, more accurately in their case, establishing) an account at the bank as point of entry to destabilize accurate measure of bank reserves. There is nothing barring these actors' ability to open a Chase account, as is common enough, buy a gift card, as is also common enough, but here, use the numbers on the gift card for 'piggy-back' / real-time computer technology purposes in fraudulent banking transactions ordering the bank computers ((re-)routing computer codes) to read whatever it wishes.

Chase built-in security measures in gauging customer behavior patterns (that might alert for amount of deposit say) may check large manipulated 'deposit' sums, and other checks in federal guides might come into play but these actors are obviously astute enough to temper such fraudulent gains with such 'normalcy' the behavior /pattern of behavior goes unnoticed. Another possibility is non-existent funds may be used to satisfy debts / pay routine bills– all under the ability to manipulate Chase / the financial institution' computers.

Broadly speaking, the ability to 'view' Markets financial transactions for real-time

computer talk is basic to Michael Reuben Bloomberg's Bloomberg Terminals. The corruption of this technology in re/routing / manipulating / controlling the funds in financial transactions (as opposed, disseminating relevant Markets data of financial transactions), was evinced previously in a hack on NJ government computers and my Chase bank account that led to that account closed in February of this year. The hack job, this time on Chase computers, that led to the closing of the account in September, may likely include the actors of the 'corruption of Bloomberg terminals technology' in the NJ state computer hacking, among them, the former NYC mayor Bloomberg himself was identified as complicit in the police report of that NJ government computers hack, not directly perpetrating the act, but directing (/ordering) it.

My Primary Account # is:

My Checking Account # is:

The Current Debit Card # is:

My Saving Account # is:

Sincerely,

/s/: Zoe Ajahnon  
ZOE AJJAHNON

**ZOE AJJAHNON**  
110 Chestnut Ridge Road,  
Montvale, New Jersey 07645  
USA  
Email: zoeloip@outlook.com  
t.: +1-850-518-2739

*Monday, 25<sup>th</sup> October 2021*

FBI HEADQUARTERS  
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20535-0001

**RE.: PAPER /REGULAR MAILING OF BECAUSE WE REMEMBER THE VICTIMS  
OF 9/11/2001 AND ALL SUBSEQUENT VICTIMS OF THE TERROR GROUP THAT  
PERPETRATED THAT MAILING**

Dear FBI Agent,

As gathered from the subject matter, the within email is being resubmitted in ordinary mail format. Previously sent on Sept. 15<sup>th</sup> 2021 to Gerard Dornellas, FBI Agent, it is resent with the updated telephone and email contact information.

Sincerely,

/s/: Zoe Ajjahnon  
ZOE AJJAHNON

Case No.:

---

In The Supreme Court of The United States

---

ZOE AJJAHNON, Plaintiff/Petitioner  
v.  
ST. JOSEPH UNIVERSITY MEDICAL HOSPITAL  
AND  
RWJ BARNABAS HEALTH, INC., Defendants/Respondents

---

**ON MOTION TO DISMISS AND REVERSE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 10/04/2021  
(11/15/21 Rehear) ORDER DENYING MANDAMUS TO THE NJ DISTRICT COURT IN  
CASE NO.: 2-19-CV-16990 BRM AND REINSTATE IN RE. ZOE AJJAHNON, CASE  
NO.: 21-5018 TO GRANT THIS CURE UNDER FRCP 60 (b)(d)(1) THAT PROVIDES  
RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT WHERE THE FACTS AND LAWS OF THIS MOTION  
JUSTIFY RELIEF FROM THE DENIED MANDAMUS ORDER AND THE COURT  
MAY ENTERTAIN AN INDEPENDENT ACTION GRANTING PETITIONER RELIEF  
FROM JUDGMENT, ORDER, PROCEEDINGS**

---

ZOE AJJAHNON, *Pro Se* PETITIONER  
110 Chestnut Ridge Road,  
Montvale, NJ 07645  
Ph.: 850-518-2739  
Email: [zoeloip@outlook.com](mailto:zoeloip@outlook.com)

## **PARTIES TO PROCEEDINGS**

1. Pro Se Plaintiff / Petitioner, Zoe Ajjahnon has the address, 110 Chestnut Ridge Rd., Montvale, NJ 07645; Ph.: 850-518-2739; Email: zoeloip@outlook.com
2. Defendant, St. Joseph's University Medical Center is one of the healthcare services providers of St. Joseph's University Medical Centre. Instant emergency room (ER) is located at this facility: address: 703 Main Street, Paterson, NJ 07503; Ph.: 973-754-2000.

Counsel for Defendant: Jeffrey A. Krompier, esq - Krompier & Tamn, LLC, 8 Wood Hollow Rd., Suite 202, Parsippany, NJ 07054;

3. Defendant, Robert Wood Johnson Barnabas Health, Inc. / RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc., announced its formation on March 31, 2016 from a merger of St Barnabas Medical Center and RWJ University Hospital Hamilton. In 2006 St. Barnabas paid \$265 million dollars in penalties from a qui tam False Claims Act suit. RWJ Hospital Hamilton, in 2010 paid the government \$6.3 million dollars for similar charges. The new corporation is registered a not-for-profit entity. It is a network of several hospitals that provide healthcare services in New Jersey to approximately 5 million people or ½ the State's population. Clara Maass Medical Center, at 1 Clara Maass Drive, 1 South Annex Unit, Belleville, NJ 07109; Ph.: 973-450-2000 is the corporation's short term care facility provider of this case. RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc. gives variably two corporate addresses, 950 Old Short Hills Road, West Orange, Essex County, N.J. 07052; Ph.: 973-322-4328 and 2 Crescent Place, Oceanport, Monmouth County, N.J. 07757; Ph.:732-923-8000

Counsels for Defendant: Robert J. Logan, esq.; Lauren M. Strollo, esq. Vasio, Kelly & Strollo, P.A., 2444 Morris Ave., Suite 304, Union, NJ 07083-5711;

4. The New Jersey trial Court, the district Court, the Honorable Brian R. Martinotti, USDC Judge, Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse, 50 Walnut Street, Newark, NJ 07102

**RELATED ACTION TO ACCOMPANYING PETITION FOR CERTIORARI TO THE  
FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS AND MOTION FOR WRIT FOR  
FBI 2008 – 2022 RECORD / INTELLIGENCE OF OR RELATING TO MICHAEL  
REUBEN BLOOMBERG**

**UNDER COURT RULE 42 (a)(3) THAT PROVIDES FOR ORDERS ON  
CONSOLIDATED DIRECTLY RELATED FACTS OR LAWS ON A QUESTION  
BEFORE THE COURT PETITIONER MOVES BEFORE THE COURT ON:**

**MOTION TO DISMISS AND REVERSE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 10/04/2021  
(11/15/21 Rehear) ORDER DENYING MANDAMUS TO THE NJ DISTRICT  
COURT IN CASE NO.: 2-19-CV-16990 BRM AND REINSTATE *IN RE. ZOE  
AJJAHNON*, CASE NO.: 21-5018 TO GRANT THIS CURE UNDER FRCP 60 (b)(d)(1)  
THAT PROVIDES RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT WHERE THE FACTS AND LAWS OF  
THIS MOTION JUSTIFY RELIEF FROM THE DENIED MANDAMUS ORDER AND  
THE COURT MAY ENTERTAIN AN INDEPENDENT ACTION GRANTING  
PETITIONER RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT, ORDER, PROCEEDINGS**

WHEREAS the actor Michael Reuben Bloomberg of instant Petition for Certiorari is complicit in the falsification of the complaint in the NJ trial court of this matter's proposed reversal order for writ of mandamus to the NJ district court, Case No.: 2-19-cv-16990 BRM and that the proposed order for dismissal and reversal was material in the Florida Orange county trial court for this actor's retaliatory conduct of the Petition for Certiorari. (App. G, 30a – 35a), Petitioner under FRCP 60 (b)(d)(1) seeks relief from the nonmeritorious order.

**ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF / REASONS MOTION TO DISMISS AND REVERSE  
U.S. SUPREME COURT DENIED WRIT OF MANDAMUS IN *IN RE.: ZOE  
AJJAHNON*, CASE NO.: 21-5018 RELIEF SHOULD BE GRANTED**

*This matter is before the Court (essentially a second time) because of the depth and severity of the violations and abuse of Art. III Courts regulations; yet another time before the Court for the substantial breach of federal laws that informs this motion.*

Michael Bloomberg of the Petition for Certiorari's retaliation scheme and of the Motion for Writ of FBI records concerning him, actively engaged one US DOJ Assistant Attorney, Andrew Caffery III and Barak Obama appointed Hon. Brian Martinotti to falsify Petitioner's

complaint in the initiating False Claims Acts case of proceedings. Petitioner brought the FCA action before the NJ district court in pursuit of personal vindication for damages sustained from Defendants FCA violations.

The facts evince that where Andrew Caffery III, (the same Michael Bloomberg associate featured also in the subsequent hack into NJ Government Dept. of Labor computers to end Petitioner's unemployment account and of the simultaneous hack into Petitioner's private bank account (App. G, 11a-12a), cyber-hacked the case from Petitioner's email transmission to the Office of the U.S. Attorney General sent to apprise that office that there is recidivism / ongoing FCA practices at past penalized FCA offender, Defendant RWJ Barnabas, and that FCA violations inform medical malpractice at Defendant St. Joseph emergency room. Upon this abusive email intrusion, the pair, Michael Bloomberg and Andrew Caffrey concocted the story that Petitioner was after US Government money – and Michael Bloomberg convinced that he is 'guardian' of the US treasury would prevent that. 'Guardian' in quotes for sarcasm to make the point that Michael Bloomberg guards nothing but self-interest and his interests in the US treasury is to control it/ bring the American people tax dollars under his manipulation. This is the thought pattern for the 87,000 IRS to 'retro' control the \$600 in CARES stimulus checks he was against; unilaterally increasing oil trade with Russia upon his catalytic aid to Russia for its 2/24/22 invasion of Ukraine; monies for the Jan. 6<sup>th</sup> breach on the Capitol where those media stories (founded in his original planted story painting and projecting Trump would do violence) have so far covered over his violence in the non-tactile breach of the Capitol – i.e., the theft of the 2020 president-elect title and his subsequent premeditated acts (projected to Trump in this cover) of physical breach of the Capitol in the insurrection he incited by his actions discussed at pgs. 9 -10

of consolidated Motion to Writ FBI Record (2010 -2022) of or relating to Michael Bloomberg, and other appropriation of funds at his pleasure. Michael Bloomberg's private use of U.S. Government funds/tax payers dollars is made possible by the Jan. 20<sup>th</sup> 2020 ratification of his theft of the president's office and the continued hold to the various cover-ups and smoke screen he has put out to do just that – keep the frauds and deceptions going and continue his very grave illegalities. As a writer at LOI where company analyses of physical and economic securities are routine, Petitioner could speak more about the specific dangers Michael Bloomberg is to national economic securities but suffice it to say, it cannot be overemphasized that under Michael Bloomberg's sadistic pleasure in having the national treasury subject to his will is alarming for ending national economic securities, to the extent his manipulations in the retaliation scheme mechanism for his sadistic pleasure over Petitioner's economic securities in this matter ended money to Petitioner.

The NJ district FCA case was most assuredly not a qui tam action in pursuit of a percentage of money the Government may recover should they prosecute. Petitioner did not send anything 'under seal' as that would require – and importantly - the relief pursued is for damages Plaintiff (*not* the U.S. Government) sustained, wherefore none of the judgment award from Defendants has anything whatsoever to do with % of government recovery. Petitioner's action was solely in pursuit of vindicating her own cause / justice in her individual case.

The case progressed where Andrew Caffrey pretending to speak for the US Government (there is nothing official about this since the case never got to the OAG and he was never assigned to it therefore, the record of this is at pgs. 28-29 of the Petition for Cert. in this matter, Case No.:20-7606) literally wrote to the NJ district, quote "suggestion [to dismiss]" the case.

From LOI's intelligence, Petitioner was aware that Caffrey was in contact with both Defendants in his efforts to cast the case as qui tam and have it dismissed for unallowed *pro se* action taken on behalf of third party the U.S. Government.

Wherefore, both Defendants, assured of prevailing, did not trouble to Answer the complaint. RWJ Barnabas filed nothing at all with the court, and as to St. Joseph, this Defendant served no answer on Plaintiff as required by federal law, *FRCP 12*.

Service of the complaint was perfected on both Defendants on Feb. 7, 2020. Court regulations explicitly given in the Summons state: "*Within 21 days after service of this summons on you ... you must serve on the plaintiff an answer or a motion under Rule 12 of the F.R.Civ.P. The answer or motion must be served on plaintiff ... if you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.*"

On Feb. 26, 2020 plaintiff received correspondence from Defendant, St. Joseph Univ. Medical Center naming their representation and including a certification of service of an 'Answer' sent to 'all counsel off record'. The correspondence did not include this "Answer", wherefore Plaintiff contacted St. Joseph's attorneys. Plaintiff's telephone calls went unanswered and messages left, not responded to. Wherefore on March 09, 2020 Plaintiff contacted the district court and was told that there was indeed a filing by Defendant, St. Joseph. Plaintiff paid for a copy of this filing from the court. The receipt of this transaction is appended to the July 6<sup>th</sup> 2021 filed Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

Wherefore, in light of the prima facia fact that both Defendants defaulted Petitioner motioned for summary [default] judgment filed March 20<sup>th</sup> 2020, founded in the fact that Defendant, St. Joseph's did *not* serve an Answer on Plaintiff as required by federal regulations of the court and

the filing at court is not valid therefore as legitimate Answer to the complaint and the fact that co-Defendant, RWJ Barnabas did not answer the complaint or otherwise response to it conclude summary/default judgment as matter of law – *these facts determining that Defendants do not dispute Plaintiff's claims.*

The motion logically asked for the relief of the complaint - the damage total of the judgment respective Defendants defaulted on. Expediently, the NJ district court of Hon. Brian R. Martinotti did not rule on /decide this default judgment motion.

The NJ district court's failure to adjudicate the default judgment motion was expedient/strategic for the court's ultimate purpose to dismiss the case. The court's inaction on the default motion prevented what would have been fatal to its aim in falsifying the complaint in order to dismiss it. The case was clearly in pursuit of Plaintiff's individual damages and the court's falsification of it was constituted in, practically verbatim Andrew Caffery's "suggested" argument for dismissal and that was the concoction that Petitioner/Plaintiff is in pursuit of a % of possible Government recovered money and may not prosecute on behalf of the US Government. The district *completely falsified of the case*, in flagrant abuse of judicial discretionary powers. See, *Wheat v. United States*, 486 U.S. 153, 164 (1988), generally on discretion.

The Petition for Writ of Mandamus argued that it is in aid of the Court's 'appellate' jurisdiction [to 'confine the lower district court to its jurisdictional limits'] in regards the clear abuse of judicial powers in the NJ district court in this case. The petition spoke to the district's deliberate purposeful falsification of the case as warranting issuance of the petitioned writ of mandamus to treat the district's denial of Constitutional legal provisions and defiance of federal regulations in the judicial process in not adjudicating a process/motion before it - **and that**

**intentionally to prejudice the case for two defaulting Defendants.**

The petition quotation of Cornell Law School' Legal Information Institute (LII) publication on "Judicial Power and Jurisdiction - Cases and Controversies", "The potential for abuse of judicial power was of concern to the Founding Fathers, leading them to establish limits on the circumstance in which the courts could consider cases. Th[e] passage, and the language of Article III, § 2, makes clear that the Framers did not intend for federal judges to roam at large in construing the Constitution and laws of the United States, but rather preferred and provided for resolution of disputes arising in a "judicial" manner.", came against the judicial abuse evinced in the NJ district court's abuse of jurisdictional limit to 'consider' a case Not before it, or more pointedly, came against how the NJ district's discretionary powers abuse is substantiated in the way this 'consideration' of a false case was done to dismiss Plaintiff's case. The critical/expedient failure to rule on process in not deciding the default /summary judgment motion in the district / trial court intended to cause an outcome that deprived Plaintiff of the relief sought – **and the dismissal of Plaintiff's case not based on the merits of the case but on the court's purposeful intentional willful defiance of federal regulations for the Constitution's Art. III courts and falsification of the complaint.**

A writ of mandamus to the district court to enter the default judgment treats the evident affront to Article III sec. 2 of the Constitution the petition argued and continued, abuse of judicial powers in present matter in the clear falsification of the case (the complaint is clearly and expressly, not in pursuit of third-party damages), and where the court denied deciding /ruling on process that would invalidate the district's opinion for dismissing the case (the summary judgment is *prima facie* counter the district's given statements for dismissal of being in pursuit of the U.S. Government's cause) the Court finds comprehensive violations of the Constitutional

privileges entrusted to the lower court.

Whereas "Judicial power is "the power of a court to decide and pronounce a judgment and carry it into effect between persons and parties who bring a case before it for decision."

*Muskrat v. United States*, 219 U.S. 346 (1911), in this case that power was abused in failure to adhere to prescribed Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Furthermore from this abuse of judicial power is that the summary [default] judgment motion's reliance on statutory regulations and precedent in law (e.g., *Nolte v. Nannino* 107 N.J. L. 462 154 A. 831 (1931) was rendered incompetent to bring about the action's pursuit for the relief/remedy for Plaintiff's unique damages (or a fair trial for said relief/remedy) where the district so flagrantly defied Federal laws for court proceedings and denied the Constitutional provisions of these relied on grounds for remedy sought in a court of law. The argument showed that Congress' established FCA statutes of the complaint's claims were not so much misinterpreted as defied in the trial court's wrongful act of attributing to the case third party 'representation' - a falsification of Petitioner's action so that defaulting Defendants would prevail – as intended from the outset in the hijack of the case from the email transmission to the Office of U.S Attorney General by Andrew Caffery acting for / facilitating Michael Bloomberg's frauds against Petitioner to prevent money. (See, the Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus pgs.7-13)

(Petitioner clarifies here that the focus on the monetary award is necessary for the specified relief/specific damages sought, however, the complaint pursued what it calls a 'special relief' in proposing new standards for FCA violating Defendants healthcare services provisions to Medicaid and Medicare recipients that would significantly check the kinds of FCA conduct of

this case. The action was not at all confined to ‘getting money’ as Michael Bloomberg proffered and the district ‘accepted’ in its turn in deliberately falsifying the complaint essentially as such in and that that money from the U.S. Government in % recovered. The proposed standards of this ‘special relief’ were before this Court, March 11, 2021 on Petition for Certiorari to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, U.S. S. Ct. Case No.: 20-7606 at pages 22-28.)

Where the nonmeritorious denied mandamus is nonjusticiable coming out of the US Supreme Court, Petitioner pursued dismissal and reversal of it in the U.S. Senate under the given Court teachings of the inserted proposed order:

“

IN THE UNITED STATES SENATE

*IN RE. ZOE AJJAHNON*

*CASE NO.: 21-5018*

ORDER FROM THE UNITED STATES SENATE REVERSING A NONJUSTICIALE  
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ORDER IN CONFORMITY WITH THE  
CONSTITUTION ARTICLE IV LAWS ENACTED BY CONGRESS FOR REGULATION OF  
THE CONSTITUTION’S ARTICLE III FEDERAL COURTS AND ENSURING ARTICLE VI  
GUARD OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES

The record of this matter begun, August 20<sup>th</sup> 2019, in the New Jersey district court, evinces that the inferior NJ district court violated Congressional regulations for federal court proceedings, specifically, the district court defied Federal Rule for Civil Procedure, 55 in abuse of judicial powers by purposefully failing to enter a decision on motion for default judgement against defaulting parties St. Joseph’s University Medical Centre and RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc.

The Constitution in *Article III sec. 2 cl. 2* establishes the appellate justiciable resolve from lower courts judicial process abuse in the petition for Writ of Mandamus to the nation’s one

Supreme Court. In aid of the Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction a petition for writ of mandamus to order the NJ district to enter the default judgment was docketed in the US Supreme Court, July 6<sup>th</sup> 2021. For judicial resolve, Congressional regulations following *Art. III sec.2. cl.2.4* of the Constitution requires the US Supreme Court to "confine the lower courts to their jurisdictional limits" in exercise of its appellate jurisdiction.

THE SENATE FINDS that Congress's establishment of the *Article III* federal courts authorizes the one national Supreme Court to review decisions of the state courts and inferior district courts in two procedural mechanisms, 1) appeals and 2) petition for writ of certiorari.

The laws of Congress allow the Supreme Court to exercise its discretion to grant or deny review of a question on petition for writ of certiorari, by contrast however, these laws do not leave it to the Supreme Court's discretion for exercise of its appellate jurisdiction.

The Supreme Court is required, mandated under the Constitution *Article III* text and under Congress's *Article IV* regulations for the Court to exercise appellate jurisdiction in a case properly before it on direct appeal, *Art. III sec.2. cl.2*.

It is evident in this matter of *IN RE.: Zoe Ajjahnon*, Case No.: 21-5018, the US Supreme Court did not exercise appellate jurisdiction as mandated under the Constitution and Congressional regulations by denying mandamus to the NJ district court to order it to enter the default judgment as federal procedural law, FRCP, Rule 55 mandates.

**The Senate finds that the US Supreme Court denied to "confine the NJ district court to its jurisdictional limits" and order the NJ district court, The Hon. Brian R. Martinotti, to comply with federal regulations in federal courts procedure and enter the default judgment, the US Supreme Court's 10/04/2021 (11/15/21, Rehear) denial of mandamus**

**stands in violation the Constitution Article III section 2 and Congress's Article IV mandates, rendering the denial unconstitutional, without merit at law, without legal force.**

Whereas this denial arises from unconstitutionality in the final Court of appeal, namely the one national Supreme Court, there now exists no alternative at law but a dismissal in the Senate. Reversal of this matter's unlawful, legally without merit, legally nonbinding statement from the US Supreme Court is now under the sole purview of the Congress and specifically the Senate as given in Court teaching whereas the Constitution provides no further judicial standard to resolve it.

Teaching on the nonjusticiable matter, Court doctrine established in Chief Justice William Rehnquist opinion for the Court in *Nixon v. United States, et al* 506 U.S. 224 (1993) for the political doctrine trigger where the text of the Constitution offers no judicial standard to resolve an issue and the resolution lies outside the court system, is a matter for the Senate, guarded in Senate Rule XI. Specifically, the doctrine states: "A controversy is nonjusticiable where there is "a textually demonstrable constitutional commitment of the issue to a coordinate political department; or a lack of judicially discoverable and manageable standards for resolving it ...." *Baker v. Carr*, 369 U.S. 186, 217. These two concepts are not completely separate; the lack of judicially manageable standards may strengthen the conclusion that there is a textually demonstrable commitment to a coordinate branch." [Pp. 228-229].

WHEREFORE the Senate of this 117<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congress is moved to guard the Constitution's declaration to the Supreme Court of this federal democracy in pronouncing the Court's denial of mandamus to the NJ district court without legal merit, and is further impelled to ensure conformity to the laws of Congress for Court proceedings by the exercise of its vested

Constitutional powers over the federal courts it establishes under *Article III* and regulates by the laws it enacts as declared at *Article IV* of the Constitution and reverse the US Supreme Court's denied mandamus to the NJ district court in the district case no.: 2-19-cv-16990-BRM.

It is **ORDERED** therefore in the U.S. SENATE:

1. The NJ District Court, Judge Brian R. Martinotti upon receipt of this Order enter the default judgement in the district case of, *Zoe Ajjahnon v. St. Joseph University Medical Center and RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc*, Case No.: 2-19-cv-16990 as set out in this Order.
2. St. Joseph's University Medical Centre, 703 Main Street Paterson, NJ 07503 is ORDERED to pay to Zoe Ajjahnon, the default judgement relief demand total of \$3, 442, 978.40 ON or **BEFORE DECEMBER 16<sup>th</sup> 2022.**
3. RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc., 950 Old Short Hills Road, West Orange, NJ 07052 is ORDERED pay to Zoe Ajjahnon the default judgment relief demand total of \$4, 695,190.00 ON or **BEFORE DECEMBER 16<sup>th</sup> 2022.**

**DONE AND ORDERED IN THE UNITED STATES SENATE THIS 12<sup>TH</sup> DECEMBER 2022  
BY SENATE SIGNATORIES**

---

NAME OF SENATOR  
U.S. SENATOR FROM (STATE)

---

NAME OF SENATOR  
U. S. SENATOR FROM (STATE)        ”

(See also instant Petition for Certiorari to Fla. 5<sup>th</sup> DCA, App. G, 30a-35a)

However, that the pursued dismissal and reversal of the Court's denied mandamus has been

brought into this matter in Florida's Orange County court and is now material in this consolidated motion, Petitioner further moves before this Court to cure the earlier denial of mandamus defect and dismiss and reverse the denied mandamus to the NJ district court.

### **RELIEF SOUGHT**

**WHEREFORE**, that it is evident in this matter of *IN RE.: Zoe Ajjahnon*, Case No.: 21-5018 that the U.S. Supreme Court did not exercise appellate jurisdiction as mandated under the Constitution and Congressional/Federal regulations in denying mandamus to the NJ district court to order it to enter the default judgment as federal procedural law, FRCP, Rule 55 mandates, this Court finds that the *IN RE.: Zoe Ajjahnon Court* was derelict in its Constitutional required duties/mandate to "confine the NJ district court to its jurisdictional limits" and order the NJ district court, The Hon. Brian R. Martinotti to comply with federal regulations for federal courts procedures and enter the default judgment, and that the US Supreme Court's 10/04/21 (11/15/2021, Rehear) denial of mandamus stands in violation of the Constitution *Article III section 2* and Congress's *Article IV* mandates, rendering the denial unconstitutional, without merit at law, without legal force; the ends of justice requires therefore a Grant of this motion before the Court to dismiss and reverse the unconstitutional nonmeritorious 10/04/21 (11/15/21, Rehear) denied mandamus order.

The GRANT of writ of mandamus to the NJ District Court, Judge Brian R. Martinotti will order: Upon receipt of this Order the district to enter the default judgement in the district case of, *Zoe Ajjahnon v. St. Joseph University Medical Center and RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc*, Case No.: 2-19-cv-16990 BRM as set out in this Order.

1. Defendant, St. Joseph's University Medical Centre, 703 Main Street Paterson, NJ 07503 is ORDERED to pay to Plaintiff Zoe Ajjahnon, the default judgement demand total of \$3,442,978.40 ON or BEFORE (Date to be determined by the Court)


AND

2. Defendant, RWJ Barnabas Health, Inc., 950 Old Short Hills Road, West Orange, NJ 07052 is ORDERED to pay to Plaintiff Zoe Ajjahnon the default judgment demand total of \$4,695,190.00 ON or BEFORE (Date to be determined by the Court.)

#### **CONCLUSION AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Petitioner showing that the U.S. Supreme Court denied mandamus in In Re., Zoe Ajjahnon Case No: 21-5018 is without legal merit for just cause showing that it should be Dismissed and Reversed prays the Court to Grant this relief.

Respectfully submitted,  
ZOE AJJAHNON, *PRO SE* PETITIONER

By:   
ZOE AJJAHNON, *Pro Se* PETITIONER  
110 Chestnut Ridge Road,  
Montvale, NJ 07645  
Ph: 850-518-2739; Email: zoeloip@outlook.com

**DATED:** January 3<sup>rd</sup> 2023