

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ANTHONY ROHLF--PETITIONER
VS.
BOBBY LUMPKIN--RESPONDENT

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEALS, 5TH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING

ANTHONY ROHLF
1391 fm 3328
Tenn. Colony, TX. 75880

To the Honorable Justices of the United States Supreme Court,

Comes now, Anthony Rohlf 2089530, and files this petition for rehearing seeking review of this court's order of denial on 3-20-23 in the above styled and numbered cause. Please consider the following;

Delay And Good Faith

This petition was not brought for the reason of delay, and is presented in good faith. I seek only what is just and in line with the united states way of life.

Grounds

My substansive grounds are thus:

1) I believe the court overlooked how far departed from the usual or accepted course of judicial proceeding this whole criminal conviction and it's post conviction proceeding have gone.

I am currently in prison for an act of self-defense that was found to be acceptable, and therefore acquitable. The resulting jury verdict is

truely in conflict, yet a ruling on this has never been made. My trail attorney does not even know that the defense instruction given at trial was a multiple-assailents self defense charge, therefore imparting a duty on him to investigate, prepare for, and present such a defense. He then committed a misrepresentation and/or perjury in the state habeas proceedings, for which the federal cout rufuses to rule on as a perjury/ fraud upon the court.

2) The federal Fifth circuit has a long history of making rulings in conflict with this Honorable court's rulings, and this caseis no different. If this is allowed to stand, it creates a golden ticket for the Fifth circuit to continue to create it's own law, fully out of line with the laws off the United States of America.

I believe this Honorable court should utilize it's supervisory power to issue an order to the fifth circuiit to reverse it's ruling, remand the 60(b)(3) motion to the federal district court with orders to rule on the allegations of fraud, and further order the Fifth circuit to abide by the rulings of this Honorable court in the future.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the fact still remains that I have no adequate remedy at law to repair the damage done by my trail attornies acts of misrepresentation and fraud, no ruling has yet been made on said acts, and I have a right to be free from these misrepresentations in legal proceedings.

I am requesting this Honorable court, in the intrest of justice, utilize it's supervisory power to repair the injury done to me.

Prayer

I pray that this Honorable court reconsider the writ of certiorari, and grant review.

~~Respectfully,~~

~~Anthony Rohlf~~ 2089530
1391 FM 3328
Tenn. Colony, TX. 75880