

22-6400

ORIGINAL

No.

FILED

DEC 20 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

José L. Canales Cancel — PETITIONER

vs.

The U.S. Government, The U.S. Department of
Justice, AEELA, Commissioner of the Social Security
Administration Kilolo Kijakazi

— RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for The First Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

José L. Canales Cancel

Parcelas Mora Guerrero 65 Calle 2

Isabela, Puerto Rico

00662-4144

787-219-7945

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Whether my claim is properly presented under 28 USC § 1331 which is jurisdiction on all civil actions.
2. Whether 42 U.S. Code § 2000dd is still effective and up to date. and applicability of the Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution on this same subject in favor of petitioner.
3. Whether still applicable my right to privacy and First Amendment provisions on religious beliefs and redress of grievances, in acts, and jurisprudence, including Social Security act for people with disabilities, 42 U.S. Code § 901.
4. Whether The Fourteenth Amendment. Equal Protection and Race, includes me and a broader application on this same subject.
5. Whether 28 U.S. Code § 4101 can be applied in favor of petitioner.

Tanvir v. FNU Tanzin, No. 16-1176. United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit,
Judgement entered May 2, 2018

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
King v. U.S. Bank National Assn 53 Cal.App.5th 675 (2020).....	5
Simers v. Los Angeles Times Commc'ns, LLC 18 Cal. App. 5Th 1248 (2018).....	5
Toussaint v. Brigham & Women's Hospital SUCV20142253B (2018).....	5
 STATUTES AND RULES	
42 U.S. Code § 2000dd.....	4, 5
15 U.S. Code § 7a-3.....	4, 5
18 U.S. Code § 1513.....	4, 5
42 U.S. Code § 901.....	4
33 U.S. Code § 908.....	4
42 USC Ch. 21.....	4
42 U.S. Code § 2000d.....	5
15 U.S. Code § 15.....	4
31 U.S. Code § 6711.....	4

2.

[] For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at ; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at ; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[X] For cases from federal courts: The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was November 1st, 2022.

[X] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: , and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix .

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to

3.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

U.S. Const. amend. V, AMENDMENT 5—RIGHTS OF PERSONS—No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation., 1325, ... but other overtly coercive tactics as well have been condemned. 1329, ... the product of coercion, either physical or psychological, cannot stand.

U.S. Const. amend. VIII, AMENDMENT 8—Protections Against Cruel Punishments, Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted. 1573, ... or “the total destruction of the individual’s status in organized society.”

U.S. Const. amend. XIV AMENDMENT 14—Citizenship, Equal Protection, and Other Rights of Citizens, All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. 14.S1.1— Overview of Fourteenth Amendment Due Process, ... The Supreme Court has held that this protection extends to all natural persons (i.e., human beings), regardless of race, color, or citizenship.

5.

categories on different documents to be submitted, categories attorneys know, other than a directive to dismiss, which did not applied. After 146 days the appeal was dismissed.

The petitioner had to remove defendants from the civil lawsuit, there was enormous pressure for removing the government officials. Because of the facts brought up on all documents, the petitioner and his relatives had been victimized, more than the violations already described in the statement.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

After September 1, 2011, I was not able to work, after the wrongfull, retaliatory, 18 u.s. code § 1513 and discriminatory termination of employer AEELA, 15 U.S. Code § 7a-3, the United Auto workers Union and the entire Rama Judicial have accounts in that institution, as well as other federal employees and local government employees, Simers v. Los Angeles Times Commc'ns, LLC, 18 Cal. App. 5th 1248 (2018).

In August 2014, the lawsuit for the complaint presented on the courts is pending, correct payment for all acts of Torture, 42 U.S. Code § 2000dd, as well as other related on the archive of The Court and the appeals. King v. U.S. Bank National Assn, 53 Cal.App.5th 675 (2020), Toussaint v. Brigham & Women's Hospital, SUCV20142253B (Mass. Super. Aug. 20, 2018) . Social Security is been whithold 42 U.S. Code § 2000d.

The petitioner has followed all the rules and guidelines to plead in a clear and concise manner, to the agency, legal officials, and the government to rectify and

7.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, José L. Canales Cancel respectfully requests that this Court issue a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

Date: *December 19, 2022*

Respectfully submitted,



José L. Canales Cancel, Petitioner

Parcelas Mora Guerrero, 65 Calle 2

Isabela, Puerto Rico, 00662-4144

Tel. (787)-219-7945

E-mail: canacancel@yahoo.com

canacancel@gmail.com