

22-6328

No. _____

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

SEP 10 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

VERSIAH Mansel Taylor — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

United States Of America — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Eleventh Circuit Court Of Appeals

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

VERSIAH Mansel Taylor

(Your Name)

10000 AER BASE ROAD \ PMB 2099

(Address)

Pollock, LA. 7146M

(City, State, Zip Code)

RECEIVED

DEC - 7 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

318-561-5300

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

NOV 15 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- I. IF THE USCA MISTAKENLY APPLIED HEIGHT OF SCREENING WHEN IT SCREENED? NOTICE OF APPEAL AND REASONS FOR C.Q.A.?
- II. IF THE USCA OVERLOOKED CONTINUED DISADVANTAGES WHEN IT LOOKED AT REASONS TO EXTEND R.F.R. OBJECTIONS WINDOW?
- III. IF THE USCA INADVERTENTLY ADDRESSED NON-CLAIMABLE COLLATERAL ATTACKS?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A USCA 11TH CIR. OPINION | MARCH 28, 2022

APPENDIX B ORDERS USDC N.D.FLA. | MAGISTRATE'S R.¹E.R.

APPENDIX C USCA 11TH CIR. REHEAR.DEN. JUNE 28, 2022

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MARCH 28, 2022.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: JUNE 28, 2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

U.S.C.A. 4TH

U.S.C.A. 5TH

U.S.C.A. 6TH

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION WAS SENT TO AN ADDRESS THAT HAD BEEN CLEARED DUE TO NATURAL CAUSES BEYOND THE CONTROL OF DUE DILIGENCE AND HAD BEEN SENT BACK ON A COUPLE OCCASIONS CAUSING THE ISSUER ON ITS OWN BEHALF TO ORDER ENLARGEMENT OF THE INTERVAL TO MAKE OBJECTIONS. (ID. AT APPENDIX B.)

HOWEVER, DUE TO EXPIRATIONS AND THE DELAYED RECEIPT OF THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS, AS WELL AS THE CONTINUED IMPACT OF DAMAGES THAT LEFT PETITIONER TAYLOR WITHOUT LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY (THE INITIAL PLEADINGS NEEDED TO ADEQUATELY OBJECT) AT THE NEW LOCATION, AN INDIVIDUALIZED MOTION FOR MORE TIME TO OBJECT HAD TO BE SUBMITTED AND AN ADDITIONAL REQUEST FOR A RULING ON THAT INDIVIDUALIZED PLEADING HAD TO BE REITERATED DURING CHALLENGES FOR VACATION OF THE ADOPTION OF THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND NOTICE OF APPEAL SEEKING ALSO A CERTIFICATE OF APPEAL. (ID. AT APPENDIX B.)

THAT WAS TO NO AVAIL AND IN THE WAKE OF THOSE MOMENTS NEW DEVELOPMENTS OF IMPERIAL DATA SHOWING NATIONAL EXPERIENCE UPGRADES THAT DIRECTLY FITS THIS CASE LIKE A GLOVE EVOLVED AND OF COURSE WERE DEEMED WASHED ABSENT OBJECTIONS PRESERVING ENTERTAINMENT, ALSO DEMONSTRATE OVERLOOKED DISADVANTAGE FOR REASONS BEYOND NORMAL FUNCTION AND CONTROL WHICH COULD HAVE BEEN CURED BY A GRANT OF LEAVE TO OBTAIN ALREADY ON DECK PLEADINGS FROM INSPECTOR STABES UP UNTIL THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS. (SEE REASONS TO REHEAR ID. AT APPENDIX C.)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE USCA DID NOT APPLY THE ADEQUATE HEIGHT OF SCREENING TO NON-WAIVABLE SEPARATION OF POWERS ISSUE, SPEEDY TRIAL ACT, RIGHT TO SELF-REPRESENTATION AND DISPARATE CLASS C FELONY SIMILARLY SITUATED ISSUES IN OTHER DISTRICTS JUST TO NAME A FEW JUGULAR ISSUES NOT TO FORGET MOST IMPORTANTLY EXPIRATION OF GRAND JURY THAT COULD NOT BE DISPUTED HAD DISCOVERY BEEN ENTERTAINED, AND ADEQUATE OBJECTIONS MADE. THESE ALLEGEMENTS HAD ALREADY BEEN SOLIDIFIED DURING THE INITIAL PLEADINGS AND JUST NEEDED TO BE USED FOR CROSS-REFERENCES DURING OBJECTIONS TO THE R.^E.R. AS WELL AS DURING THE NOTICE OF APPEAL DEMONSTRATING REASONS FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPEAL. (C.O.A.) (ID. AT APPENDIX A.)

FOR THESE REASONS PETITIONER TAYLOR DOES NOT OVERSTEP THE BOUNDARIES OF THE SMALL PERCENTAGE OF REVIEW GIVEN BY THIS HIGHER PANEL BY EXTREMELY DETAILING AND REITERATING STANDINGS THAT AGAIN HAVE BEEN LIMITED IN SCREENING BASED ON THE LACK OF NEEDED TOOLS TO PROMPTLY OBJECT AS WELL AS OTHER DISTURBANCES THAT ARE FAR ABOVE AND BEYOND DILIGENT EFFORTS WHICH SOME STILL EXIST IN A DIFFERENT FASION BUT I PRAY THIS REACHES THE PANEL AND IF SO UPON AN ORDER MORE DEMONSTRATION CAN BE MADE.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CURRENT DISADVANTAGES
YOU MAY CALL (318) 561-5300 AND SPEAK WITH W.
RODRIGUEZ WHO ALSO PROVIDES EMERGENCY MEMOS
WHICH I CAN SUBMIT UPON REQUEST BY THIS
TEAM IF NECESSARY TO SHOW WHY I'M ONLY
RELYING ON BAREBONES WRITING.

MOSTLY IMPORTANT YOU MAY ALSO PHONE
T. KLAY AT (803) 625-4607 TO VERIFY THE
OVERALL VERACITY OF EXISTENT, EXCEPTIONAL
AND EMERGENCY CIRCUMSTANCES.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Veronah M. Fayl

Date: SEPTEMBER 10, 2022