

III /

No. 22-6278

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
DEC 01 2022
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Daniel Patrick DEGOTO — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Warden William S. Bottrick et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Daniel Patrick DEGOTO
(Your Name)

MCTC 18800 Proxbury Rd.
(Address)

Hagerstown, MD, 21746
(City, State, Zip Code)

None.
(Phone Number)

IV QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- Inmate Daniel P. DEGUOTO: Request the Court To Review the Entire Judicial Procedures and Proceeding For Procedural Defaults on all level of the Courts (State, Federal, and USCA4) in DEGUOTO Cases.
- Inmate Daniel P. DEGUOTO: Request the Court to Enjoin the state Illegal Prosecution (Detention, Conviction, and Extend Detention).
- Inmate Daniel P. DEGUOTO: Request the Court to Appoint Counselor(S) on Case For Proper Proceeding and Procedures according to All critical stage of the Judicial Process.
- Inmate Daniel P. DEGUOTO: Request Immediate release on Bail; Requested under FRAP 23, Pending Final Judgement Case, Because of liabilities Procedure and Proceeding, Mostly by the Prison Detention Center (PDC) multiples attempts of Threat, and Intimidation targeting Petitioner by Refusing Proper services Ranging From: Medical Health malpractices, and Legal Document Preparation Constraint Practices. . .

V/- LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- U.S. CA. 4 Panel of Judge: Judge WYNN; Judge THORER; And HEYTEN.
- Chief Deputy Clerk Nwamaka Anowi.
- Chief Judge Roger Gregory.
- U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander.
- Baltimore County Circuit Court Judge and Clerk: Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh, Clerk Joe Stephane; Trial Judge Imhith Ersoz; Administrative Judge Kathleen G. Cox, and Ruth Jakubowski.
- Public and Private Attorney: Elizabeth Millard, Chief Initial Team, and Sir Paul B. Dewolf. Private Allan Rombro (Trial).
- Baltimore County State Attorney and Assistant: Scott D. Stollenberger, Assistant Perry Wasserman, Dianna Abramowski, and Kim Heloff.
- Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland: Assistant Bar Counsel: John P. Fucetola, and Lisa M. Piccinini.
- Commission on Judicial Disability of Maryland: Investigative Director Tanya C. Bernstein.
- Police Detective Krauch ID# 5948.
- Inmate Grievance Office (IGO): Deputy Director Robin Woolford.
- MCTC Prison Complex Institution: Warden William S. Bohrer, Case Manager B. Bell, ofc. T. Leach and SGT ofc. Bair, Hearing ofc. Shannon Singleton. Jail Room official Mrs. McCullough.
- Psychological Dr. Claudia Howard, Georgette G. Hughes, And Dr. Bohrer.
- Dispensary Nurse Elizabeth, And Dr. Nimely, MD, Conkell.

VI - TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	Dismiss The Case without Prejudice.	1
JURISDICTION	U.S.C.A. 4, Baltimore U.S. District Court	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	multiple violation.	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	State, and Federal Procedures/Default.	37 Pages <u>XI</u>
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	2 Pages 6 Reasons	<u>XIII</u>
CONCLUSION		<u>XIV</u>

VII - INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	U.S. Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit. Case #: 22-6583. Panel of Judges.
APPENDIX B	U.S. District Court District of Maryland Judge Ellen L. Hollander Case No: ELH-21-2781.
APPENDIX C	Baltimore County, Circuit Court Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh Case No: 03-K-17-004364.
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

VIII / TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED
(cf. 4 Pages Attachment of Continuances).

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
1-Wilkinson v. Austin... (2005)	3
2-Parkes v. State ... (1969)	3. 12. 23.
3-Holmes v. State	3. 12.
4-Daunte Wright v. Kim Potter.	3. 17. 23.
5-Smith v. State, 41 Md... (1979).	4. 15. 23.
6-Inc. v. Ripez, 447 ... (1970)	7. 10.
7-Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 (1978)	9. 10.
8-Brown v. Wainwright (1986).	16. 25.
9-Webster v. Rees (1986).	16.
10-United State v. Makie (1982).	16. 17.
STATUTES AND RULES	Page Number.
- Fed. R. App. P. 35.	1.
- Fed. R. App. P. 41(d)	7.
- Fed. R. App. P. 23. Conditional Release Requested	6.
- 28 U.S.C. § 351 Case No: 04-22-30005	8.
- Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A); P. 4(a)(5); And F.R.A.P. 4(a)(6)	9.
- Fed. R. App. P. 35(2)(3)(4) or Rule 35(b)(3) Conflicted.	3.
- Rule 46 in order of 5/25/2022.	4.5
- Fed. Rule 55	14.
- MD Rule 18-421(b)	21.
- MD Rule 18-421(b)(F)(c)(1)(2).	22.
- MD. Code Ann. Corr. Serv. § 10-206(a).	26.
- DPSCS Procedure, Regulation Category II and III 203, 316	26.
- DPSCS DCM 130. 1	34.
OTHER	

U.S. Constitution violation:

- 1- Amendment.
- 5- Amendment.
- 6- Amendment.
- 8- Amendment.
- 14- Amendment.

- Maryland Constitution - Declaration of Rights.
- Article 19 and Article 24.
- Maryland Constitution.
- Article 1 section 9.
- Article 2 Section 9. ...

Petitioner Daniel P. DeGato Doc # 1472214

Table of Authorities Cited

Cases	Pa. Ges.
1/ Wilkinson v. Austin, 545 U.S. 209, 220-224 (2005) Due Process clause of 14 Amendment of U.S. Const.	3.
12/ Parker v. State 7 Md. App. 167, 224 A. 2d 381 (1969) "First Degree Murder is Homicide Committed with Intent without Proper Justification."	3.
39/ Holmes v. State, 362 Md. 190, 195-96, 763 A. 2d 737 "A sentence that is not Permitted by statute is an Illegal sentence."	3.
49/ "Daunte Wright v. Kim Potter", The Court cannot Depart From mitigating Factor by Judge Regina M. Chu	3.
59/ Smith v. State, 41 Md. App. (1979) "Homicide become Felonious if Act was Intentional and without Justification or Excuse".	4
69/ Inc. v. Piper, 447 U.S. 752, 767 (1970) "That Notice and opportunity to Respond must Precede the Imposition of Sanction..."	7
79/ Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 (1973) "Immediate and speedier release Sole Federal Remedy is a writ of Habeas Corpus."	9.
89/ Preiser v. Rodriguez (1973) "Immediate and speedier release Sole Federal Remedy is a writ of Habeas Corpus."	10
99/ Inc. v. Piper, 447 (1970) "That notice and	

NEXT Page.

- opportunity to Respond..." 10.
- 109/ Holmes v. State, 362 Md. 130, ... (2000) "A sentence that is not permitted by statute is an illegal sentence." 12.
- 111/ Smith v. State, 41 Md. ... (1379) 15.
- 129/ Parker v. State 7 Md. App. 167, ... (1369) "Murder is Homicide Committed with malice aforethought." 15.
- 139/ Brown v. Wainwright, 785 F. 2d 1457, 1464 (11th Circuit 1386) and Giglio v. United State, 465 U.S. 150 (1372) "Prosecutor Has a Duty Not to Present or Use False Testimony or False Evidence." 16.
- 149/ Webster v. Rees, 723 F. 2d 1078, 1098-99 (7th Cir. 1386) "Prosecutor Should Avoid Unfair or Improper Remarks about the Defendant" 16.
- 159/ United State v. Makie, 681 F. 2d 1121, 1122 (9th Cir. 1982) "Prosecutor shall not discriminate or contradict the Legislative Intent." 16-17.
- 169/ Dante Wright v. Kim Potter, ... (2021) 17.
- 179/ United v. Hamm, 659 F. 2d 624, 633 n. 1 (5th Cir. 1384 (Gee, J., Concurring)) "The Court Retains Sentencing Discretion, It Has Power and Duty to Supervised Conduct of Prosecutor in sentencing Process." 19.
- 189/ Killing Case: Cash v. Addington, 46 N.W. 451, 131 P. 2d 265, 266 "Instantly in Collision, ~~may~~ mean Next Page.

	that that death was instantaneous".	19
19	Longworth v. State, 46 Md. App. 116, 416 A.2d 1287, cert. denied, 450 U.S. 960, 101 S. Ct 1413, 67 L Ed. 2d 384 (1981) "All Flaws or Ill Crafted Medical Psychological Evaluation are resolved in Favors of Incompetency	20
20	Straw Derman v. State, 4 Md. App. 682, 244 A.2d 888 (1967), cert. denied, 252 Md. 733 (1969).	20
21	Murder Definition by Parker v. State, 7 Md. 382 (1979).	23
22	Smith v. State, 41 Md App. (1979)	23
23	D. Wright v. Kim Potter... (2021) Mitigating Factors	23
24	Brown v. Wainwright, 785 F.2d 1457, 1464 (11th Cir. 1986) and Giglio v. United State, 405 U.S. 150 (1972).	25
25	Hackney v. State... (2018).	33
26	Bernstein v. Roberts, 405 F. Supp. 2d 34, 41-42 (D.D.C. 2005)	35
27	Brown v. Youth 89 F. Supp. 2d... (DSD 2000).	35

Statutes And Rules.

• Fed. R. App. P. 35 and 36. Decision of 08/23/2022.	1.
• Fed. R. App. P. 23 Condition released requested.	6
Next Page	

• Fed. R. App. P. 41(d) Stay until Final U.S. Sup.	7.
• Rule 35(2)(3)(4) (FRAP)	5.
• Rule 35(b)(3) (FRAP)	5.
• Rule 46. (FRAP)	4.5
• 28 U.S.C. § 351 Case No: 04-22-90005	8.
• Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A); Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5);	9.
And Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6).	9.
• MD Rule 18-421(b)	21.
• MD Rule 18-421(b)(F)(c)(1)(2).	22.
• Md. Code Ann. Corr. Serv. § 10-206(a).	25.
• DPSCS Inmate Hand book Procedure, Regulation	26.
and Rule: Category II and III; violation Rule	26.
203 and 316. "May NOT" Page 53, 54.	26.
• DPSCS General Inmate Right: Freedom From	27.
Abuse, Harassment, Subject to medical Pharmaco-	27, 28
centical Experiment Page 2.	27-28
• DPSCS Rule DCN 130.1 Sick Call Procedure.	34.

Others.

U.S. Constitution violation:	• Maryland Constitution	37
1- Amendment.	- Declaration of Right.	
5- Amendment.	Article B and Article	
6- Amendment.	24.	
8- Amendment.	• Maryland Constitution	
14- Amendment.	- Article 1, section 3.	
	- Article 2, section 3	

End of Page.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

18/OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at U.S. Court of Appeals For the 4th Circ.; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at U.S. District Court District of MD; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☒ reported at Belt. County Circuit Court; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

X / JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 10/12/2022.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 10/12/22, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

X1/ CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Remarque: Petitioner Daniel P. DE GOTO lack legal Constitution and statutory Provision knowledge. An Involuntary Pro-se Petitioner who is in need of Counsel Appointe, Base on Criminal Justice Act of 1964 or 18 U.S.C § 3006 A(d)(7), or under any other Applicable Federal Statute.

According To Crawford V. State 282 Md. 210 (1978) and MD Rule 4-231 "Counselor must Be Present during all critical level of the Court Pro ceeding"... For the Interest of Justice 28 U.S.C § 2073(b).

Constitution and statutory violations.

- 6th Amendment Right of Effective Counsel.
- 8th Amendment Free From Cruel and un-usual Punishment.
- 5th Amendment of Right of Equal Protection clause.
- 1st Amendment Right to Access Court with Delay.
- 14 Amendment violation of Due Process.
- Violation of Fed. App. P. 55 "Default Judgement by state".
- Procedural Default of U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander order of 12/02/2021 (cf. Attached).
- Procedural Default of USCA4 Judges Panel: Judge WYNN; Judge GE THACKER; Judge HEYTEN violation of Fed. App. P. 41(d) or Fed. App. P. 41(d)(1)...

Peltoner Daniel P. DEFO TO Doc #: 478214

XII/ Statement of the Case.

Table of Content of Official.

	Pages.
1 st U.S. Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit Panel of Judges: Judge WYNN, THACKER, and HEYTEN.	1.
2 nd Chief Deputy Clerk Nwamaka Anowi.	8.
3 rd Chief Judge Roger Gregory.	8.
4 th U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander	9.
5 th Baltimore County Circuit Court Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh and clerk Joe Stephan.	11.
6 th Administrative Judge Kathleen Gallogly Cox	13.
7 th Administrative Judge Ruth Jakubowski.	13.
8 th Assistant Public Defender Elizabeth Hilliard.	13.
9 th Chief Public Defender Tricia Latteau, and Public Defender Paul B. Dewolf.	15.
10 th Balt. County State Attorney Scott D. Stollenberger and Assistant: Pezay Wasserman; Dianna Abra- mowski; Kim Metcalf.	15.
11 th Private Attorney Allan Bombro.	17.
12 th Circuit Court Judge Judith Ensoz.	18.
13 th Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland: - Assistant Bar Counsel John P. Fuchola.	20.
- Assistant Bar Counsel Lisa M. Piccinini.	21.
14 th Commission on Judicial Disability of Maryland: Investigative Director Tanya C. Bernstein.	21.
15 th Police Detective Kraneh ID#: 5348	22.

NEXT Page.

	Pages.
16/- Inmate Grievance office (IGO):	25.
- Deputy Director Robin Woolford.	
17/- MCC Prison Complex Institution.	27.
- warden William S. Botter.	27.
- Case Manager B. Bell.	31.
- ofc. T. Leach and SGT ofc. Baiz.	31.
- Hearing ofc. Shannon Singleton.	32.
- Mail Room official Mrs. McCullough.	32.
- Dispensary Nurse Elizabeth; and Dr. NIMELY , MD, Cont.M.	33.
- Psychologist Dr. Claudia Howard; Clinician Georgette G. Hughes; And Dr. Botter.	34.
18/- EXHIBITE Requests.	35.
19/- End of Page.	37.

XII - STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Refer to 37 Pages Attachment Title: Petitioner name and Doc #: 472214; Table of Content; Attached Legal Court Document.

- Inmate DEWTO Daniel P. "First Degree Murder" Accusation, Conviction, and Detention is unconstitutional and Prejudicial. Base on state and Federal Authorities Conspiracies of Religious Persecution, Contradicting Constitutional "Freedom of Religion", PreFabricate, Illegal...
- Inmate DEWTO P. Daniel, Psychological Mental Evaluation For Competency to stand Trial are Inadequately Prepare By State (Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center) Department of Mental Health; And By Private Company name GPS Clinic Solution Draft. Psychological Mental Evaluation. Both Company issue Prejudicial Final Report on Petitioner DEWTO.
- State Attorney of Baltimore County, And Assistant Scott D. Shellenberger; Perry Wasserman; Dianna Abramowski; Kim Metcal are on Procedural Default due to multiples Illegal Practices: Presenting False Evidences; Defending False Evidences; Failed to Defend the case on Post-Conviction Petition; make False statement against Petitioner...
- Private Attorney Allan Rombro; And Public Defender Assistant Elizabeth Willard; Chief Attorney Initia Lattou and Public Defender Paul B. Dewolf Counseling Practices are not Effective.
- Judges Colleen A. Cavanaugh, and Judith Enos; Include Administrative Judge Kathleen Gallogly Cox, and Ruth Jakobowski issue Illegal order without statute...

Petitioner Daniel Patrick DEGoTo Doc #: 472214

XII/Statement of the Case.

1st/ U.S. Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit.

Inmate DEGoTo, Petition for ReHearing and ReHearing En Banc was Deny by USCA4 Judges WYNN; Judge THAKER; and Judge HEYTEN under Fed. R. App. P. 35.

USCA4 Judges names above, deliberately issues illegal order on 08/23/2022 To Dismiss Petitioner DEGoTo access To Court Hearing on Appeal case, using Deceptive Argument of "Delay Proceeding of Notice of Appeal", From Decision of U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander of 12/02/2022.

Remember: Judge Ellen L. Hollander Failed To Provided legal Procedure, To Follow after the order was issue To a Pro-Se litigant (cf. Attached).

Because of His Failure: Chief Judge Roger Gregory of USCA4 Provided Inmate DEGoTo with legal mechanism regulator, to correct Judge Ellen Hollander 12/02/2022 order on 01/27/2022 under Title: Judicial Complaint Case No: 04-22-30005 stating, a Default Procedure Proceeding (cf. Attached).

The legal Regulator was Provided by Chief Deputy Clerk Nwamaka Anowi on 02/14/2022 through His Correspondence as Attachment of "Notice of Appeal" two Pages, To Challenge Judge Ellen L. Hollander 12/02/2022 illegal order base on miss-Interpretation of legal languages

Next Page

2/37

of Habeas Corpus 28 U.S.C § 2254 on state mandate to challenge multiples constitutions violation, In DEBOTO Illegal case of First Degree murder Accusation and, then Conviction and Detention (cf. Attached).

The murder accusation of 08/13/2017, charged By Police Detective Kraneh and Baltimore County State Attorney Scott D. Stollenberger and his Assistant on 08/20/2017.

Police Detective Kraneh ID# 5248, was witnesses by Carter ID# 5693 order the charge of murder in case cc# 17-231-0635 on murder of 08/13/2017, when DEBOTO still inside Room E of BCPD, under Interrogation For investigation on the motive of 03 Am Incident in which involved DEBOTO Daniel and the victim Happy Raphael Michael the Decease (cf. Attached).

The Death was declared at 4:03 PM by Dr. Quraishi while still in custody of Sanai Hospital medical or 7Hr later after 3:00 AM incident.

The Cause of the Death was Declared, by Chief Medical Examiner of Maryland Dr. David R. Fowler after the 08/20/2017 Autopsy, made official on 01/26/2018 with case No 17-09348 on Page 10 and 7 "Lost of Blood volume".

The Cause of Death, due to lost of "Blood volume" Per Dr. R David R. Fowler; Prove to Petitioner Daniel P. DEBOTO: The Accusation of "First Degree murder of the
NEXT Page

3/37

State is an Absolute Pre-Fabricated Charge."

Due to Facts; THE Event of 08/13/2017 Happen around 3:00 Am, and the Death was report on 04/04/03 PM, or an Interval of 7 Critical Hours.

Remember! A Healthy Adult men Has 5 to 6 Liters of Blood volume To Survive.

5 to 6 Liters of constant lost of Blood volume between 1 to 7 Hrs Critical Survival Time is Prove the victim Death is Result of "Medical Maltreatment" NOT From Daniel P. DEGOTO 3:00 Am Injuries.

Because of this Scientific Demonstration! First Degree Murder accusation, Conviction and Detention of State Attorney Scott D. Stollenberger and Assistant are Total Pre-Fabrication and deem Un-Constitutional It stand For Fundamental Defect In Institution of Prosecution or Total Mischarge of Justice. Constitution Violation of Due-Process Proceeding and Procedure, "Due Process clause of the 14 Amendment is Designed To Protect Individuals against Arbitrarily Government Action, See: Wilkinson v. Austin, 545 U.S. 209, 220-224 (2005)".

And First Degree Murder is Homicide Committed with Malice Afterthought according To Parker v. State 7 Md. App. 167, 254 A.2d 382 (1969).

A sentence that is not Permitted by statute is an Illegal sentence: Holmes v. State, 362 Md. 130, 135-36, 763 A.2d

NEXT Page

4/37

737(2000), which contradict the mitigating event of 08/19/2017 interview between Krouch and DEGOTO inside Room E of BCPDC witnesses by Police Detective Carter.

By Judge Regina M. Chu on Daunte Wright Killing case: "THE Court Cannot Depart From mitigating Factors," in Hennepin County Minnesota, D. Wright v. Kim Potter, and in Parker Smith v. State, 41 Cal. App. 4th (1979) "Homicide become Felonious if Act was intentional and without justification or excuse."

Because of multiples Constitutional violation from state, and then from Federal Judge Russ - Interpretation of Habeas Corpus language.

Inmate DEGOTO, used Chief Deputy Clerk Nwamaka Anowi February 14, 2022 advised, by Filing THE "Notice of Appeal", to USCA4 on 04/15/2022, after the Complaint against Judge Ellen Hollander was Dismissed on 03/02/22.

Due to lack of legal knowledge on Pro-se Proceeding and Procedure, THE Judicial Complaint Proceeding was a Total mistaken Procedure. In reality Inmate DEGOTO try to File an Appeal of Judge Ellen L. Hollander order of 12/2/21.

From advise received on 01/27/2022 Chief Gregory Robert Odoez and statement, supported by Chief Deputy Clerk Nwamaka Anowi, THE Case of Appeal was Docketed in USCA4 on 06/13/2022, under Case No: 22-6983.

Through this Proceeding: Inmate DEGOTO, received an Order on 05/25/2022 For Appointment of Counsel under:

Next Page

5/37

"The Merit of the Case" (cf. Attached).

The Council Promised, by USCA4, has never been Answered on Case. And Multiple Motions was sent to USCA4, For Illegal Concerns Affecting Inmate DEGOTO and DEGOTO'S Families, while in state Prison Custody of DPCS in Hagerstown Maryland.

All the critical motion, has never been Answer by USCA4 or has been illegally Dismissed on 08/23/2022 under Judge WYNN; Judge THACKER; and Judge HEYTEN order of Delay Filing The "Notice of Appeal" (cf. Attached).

The order of 08/23/2022 has a Document Attached, which Provided Inmate DEGOTO, with Proper Avenue To Challenge USCA4 The Order under Title (4 and 3) Petition For Rehearing and Petition For Rehearing En Banc.

Title (3) Concerns a Procedural Default From District Court Judge Ellen Hollander Order of 12/03/2022.

Title (4) Concerns Question of Exceptional Importance.

The Procedural Default and question of Exceptional Importances was mentioned above this Argumentation starting From Judge Ellen Hollander; Chief Judge Roger Gregory; And Chief Clerk Deputy Nwamaka Anowi advised, which Prove in last sentence: Inmate DEGOTO Can Proceed on "Notice of Appeal" at His Wishes or whenever Desirable to Proceed in near or long Future.

After Judicial Council Decision of 03/02/2022; Inmate DEGOTO, Decided to Proceed with writ of certiorari

Next Page.

6/37

To U.S. Supreme Court, which, was not a proper vehicle to access the Court, Per clerk Scott S. Harris instruction of 04/01/2022 (Attached).

Because of Chief Deputy clerk Nwamaka Anowi legal guidance:

Inmate DEGOTO, Proceed with Case of "Notice of Appeal", To USCA4, which was Docketed on 06/13/2022 under Case No: 22-6583.

Deputy clerk Rickie Edward, Instructed Inmate DEGOTO; The Hearing is schedule between 3 To 6 months Pending Period of time for Judge Decision on the Petition.

Meanwhile, before the case was Docketed in USCA4; Inmate DEGOTO through statement sent to USCA4, Clerk Patricia S. Connor Provided a statement on Notice To Appointe Counsel, Base on merit of the case on 05/25/2022 order (lf. Attached).

Throughout, the Pending Period of time: Inmate DEGOTO sent to the Court a Requested of Conditional Release under Fed.R. App.P. 23, Include Multiples motions Dates: 10/20/2022; 10/11/2022; 10/07/2022; 10/02/2022; 09/29/2022; 09/28/2022; 09/27/2022; 09/12/2022; 09/08/2022; 08/06/2022; 07/11/2022; Etc...

The order of USCA4, Judge WYNN; Judge Thacker; Judge HEYTEN Failed Take into Consider the Procedural Default, and the Alteration, and miss-interpretation

Next Page

7/37

portion of Habeas Corpus Title 2254 language.
Remember: Judge Ellen L. Hollander Failed U.S. Supreme Court 13 Amendment on Roadway Express Inc. v. Piper, 447 U.S. 752, 767 (1980) "That Notice and Opportunity To Respond must Precede the Imposition of Sanction..."

USCA4 issue an Order on 08/23/2022 to close the case, and later Reverse by Issuing a "Temporary Stay Mandate For Rehearing and Rehearing in Banc on 09/07/2022 after 08/23/2022 motion for Reconsideration.

For the Conclusion: The Court Failed To Provide Inmate DEGoTo with: Certificate of Appealability; Failed to Appoint Counsel; Failed To Grant The Requested Provisional Bail; Failed To Respond to other motion For Pleading (Assistance Humanization); Etc...

The Court decided on 10/12/2022 To Dismiss The case, For the second time under the same Argument of late Filing, by Ignoring "Exceptional Importances created by Judge Ellen L. Hollander."

Inmate DEGoTo; Requested the Court To Stay the case under Fed. R. App. P. 41 (d), Pending The U.S. Supreme Court Petition For writ of Certiorari.

Base on Docketed Entry, Received Today 10/25/2022 The Case is Considered closed.

next Page

8/37

2/ Chief Deputy clerk Nwamaka Anowi

Chief Deputy Clerk Nwamaka Anowi, Provided Inmate DEBOTO, with "Proper vehicle", To Challenge U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander Order of 12/02/2022, through His 02/14/2022 Correspondance Attachment (cf. Attached).

Through His Correspondance, He Advised Inmate DEBOTO to Proceed with Appeal, whenever desirable.

This is why the "Notice of Appeal", was Docketed in U.S. Court of Appeal on 06/13/2022 under Case No: 22-6583 (cf. Attached).

3/ Chief Judge Roger Gregory

Inmate DEBOTO, Case was Docketed in U.S. Federal Court on 01/04/2022, under Case No: 04-22-90005 of Title 28 U.S.C § 351 on Judicial Complaint against U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander for Illegal Practices and Procedural Defect, on Habeas Corpus 28 U.S.C § 2254 Petition of 10/13/2022.

Chief Judge Roger Gregory issue on 01/27/2022 Order and Judgement, by stipulating a requirement to Have a "Proper vehicle", To Challenge Judge Ellen L. Hollander Order of 12/02/2022.

Judge Roger Gregory "Proper vehicle", are Considered Contradictorie or Improper To USCA11 Panel of Judge: Judge WYNN; Judge THACKER; Judge HEYTEN base on
Next Page.

9/37

These Argumentation and Decision, under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A); Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5); And Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6), For Extending the case or Reopens the Appeal Periods For Proper Jurisdiction requirement.

The overall statement of Chief Judge Robert Gregory, Failed To Provide, the Time Element of Proper Appellate Proceeding and Procedure of Federal Court of Appeal, According To panel Judges: Judge Wynn; Judge Hacker; and Judge HEYTEN on Decision To Dismiss Inmate DEGoTo Case on 08/23/2022 and 10/12/2022, the Involuntary Pro-Se Petitioner.

Inmate DEGoTo, seek Federal Habeas Corpus based on the case law: Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973) "Immediate and speedier release sole Federal Remedy is a writ of Habeas Corpus". Due to multiple state Constitution violation, During 2013 Trial and the 12/11/2020 Post-Conviction Petition in Baltimore County Circuit Court Presided by Judge Judith Enser and Colleen A. Cavanaugh.

4/- U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander.

A petition of Habeas Corpus Date: 10/13/2021, was sent to U.S. District Court for Habeas Corpus 28 U.S.C. § 2254, For Proceeding and Procedure, based on multiple constitutional violations.

According To the case law: Preiser v. Rodriguez,
Next Page.

10/37

411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973) "Immediate and speedier release, sole Federal Remedy is a writ of Habeas Corpus."
US"

U.S. District Court Judge Ellen L. Hollander, decided to issue an Illegal Order on 12/02/2022, by modification of the Languages of Habeas Corpus 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and by implementing state mandate before Proceeding To Federal Court (cf. Attached Title 2254 Languages).

She issue an Illegal order which violate Due Process of U.S. Constitution, stipulate by U.S. Supreme Court acquiescence base on 13 Amendment in case of: Roadway Express, Inc. v. Piper, 447 U.S. 752, 767 (1970) "That Notice and opportunity to Respond must Precede the Imposition of sanction..."

And the Case of: Holmes v. State, 362 Md. 130, 135-96, 763 A.2d 737 (2000) "A sentence that is not Permitted by statute is an Illegal sentences".

Judge Ellen L. Hollander, Failed to Provide; Inmate DE-GOTO with "Notice" after issuance of 12/02/2022 Order.

This Failure to Provided, Inmate DE-GOTO ~~a~~ ~~is~~ an Involuntarie Pro-SE Petitioner to lost legal Guidance on Appeal Procedure and Proceeding of 30 Day after the order.

It is a Procedural Defected, because; It Failed to Provide the Petitioner a Proper legal Guidance resolving the
Next Page

11/37

the legal concerns, created deliberately by state.

Because, of this Procedural Defect: USCA4 Panel Judges, Refused to Provided Involuntary Pro-se Petitioner DEGoTO, Access To the "Court Hearing and Hearing in Banc."

5/ Baltimore County Circuit Court Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh and Clerk Joe Stephan.

Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh; The Appointed Judge on Inmate DEGoTO Case of Post-Conviction Petition of 12/11/2021 by Court, with Hearing schedule on 08/20/2021.

Judge Colleen Issues Order of Extension, Requested by Baltimore County State Attorney Scott D. Stollenberger and His Assistant, because; The State Failed to Provided Inmate DEGoTO a Response obligation of the State to Petitioner within 15 Day legal time limit.

The 60 Day Extension requested was Granted on 01/22/2021 to 03/22/2021 Expiration Date.

Prosecutors Failed to file responsive or Respond to Inmate DEGoTO, December 11th, 2020 Post-Conviction Petition.

Because of this Failure; a request of Default Judgment, under Federal Rule 55 was Entered by Petitioner DEGoTO, against Counselor of Public Defender office Elizabeth Hilliard, who informed Inmate DEGoTO to Engaged on Pro-se Petitioner Involuntarily (cf. April Telephone March to April Telephonic Conversation).

Next Page.

12/37

Judge Colleen, order Denial of the Rule 55 Prosecutor violation under, Pretext: "Post-Conviction Procedure is a civil matter on 06/07/2021. (cf. Attached).

Remember: Judge Colleen Granted the Extension in First place, and then issue a contradictory order (Challenging Her Previous Decision of Extension.

Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh and clerk Joe stephane Failed To Provided, adequate Post-Conviction Proceeding through, the Entire Procedure From 12/11/2021 to 06/17/2022.

They Both Issues Illegal order on: 06/07/2021; 08/18/2021; 03/14/2021; 10/20/2021; Etc... (cf. Attached).

Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh Has a Bias Proceeding and Procedure. She refused to Enforce the Rule of Proper, Pressing Judicial Authority, violating the case law: "Holmes v. State, 362 Md. 130, 135-36, 763 A.2d 737 (2000) A sentences that is not Permitted by statute is an Illegal sentences".

Judge Colleen Failed To Provided an Affirmatively ration To obtain a Substitut Counsel Requested on 07/26/2021; Failed To Address, Complaint of misconduct against assistant Public Defender Elizabeth Phillip of 06/20/2021; 08/06/2021 and the Request of Here Refusal of Request and Dismissal of Counsel, Failed to Provided Correction on Newical malpractice of 07/23/21 Engaged in Illegal and unilateral unlimited Postpo

Next Page

13/37

nement of the Court Hearing From 08/20/2021, to 03/04/2022 to 06/17/2022, without Proper justification or Reason.

6/ Administrative Judge Kathleen Gallogly Cox.
Judge Kathleen Gallogly Cox, Refused to Provided Inmate DEGOTO, with Adequate Assistance, requested against Assistant Public Defender Elizabeth Hilliard of 06/01/2021; The telephonic Conversation of 07/07/2021; The Complaint against Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh of 08/20/2021 (cf. Holmes v. State... (2000)).

7/ Administrative Judge Ruth Jakubowski.
Judge Ruth Jakubowski, Refused or Denie Inmate DEGOTO Requested of "Preliminary Injunction Restraining Order" of 12/21/2021, Through Her Seal order of 01/19/2022 (cf. Holmes v. State... (2000)).

8/ Assistant Public Defender Elizabeth Hilliard.
Assistant Public Defender Elizabeth Hilliard was Appointed by the Court, To Represent Inmate DEGOTO on Post-Conviction Petition of 12/11/2020 in Baltimore County Circuit Court Maryland.
She Failed to Provided; Inmate DEGOTO Proper Assistance, during the Post-Conviction Proceeding and Proceed-
next Page.

14/37

1120; Lead by Presiding Judicial officer Judge Colleen A. Cavanagh.

SHE, refused to Enter; Inmate Requested Default Judgement, After Prosecutor Failed To Respond to Post- Conviction Petition, within legal limite time periode, by violating Fed. Rule 55.

SHE refused to answer Inmate DEGRIO, Her Initial 02/10/2021 questionairs.

Inmate DEGRIO, Provided Counselor Elizabeth; on multiples occasions with Proper Informations, Requested for knowledges of the Case in Contention during the Trial To the Post-Conviction Petition of 12/11/2020.

SHE refused to Accepted Inmate Telephonic Calls of may and June 2021, during the most critical Periode of time before the 08/20/2021 Hearing.

SHE Engaged; In unilateral, an un-limited Postponement of the Hearing From 08/20/2022; To 03/04/2022; To 06/17/2022 without Proper Justification or Reason

Judge Colleen A. Cavanagh; Refused to Order Her Dismissal Requested on 08/14/2021, Instead Issue an Order on 10/20/2021 after Motion of Dismissal Telephonic Hearing Her stay Contradicting the 6 Amendment Constitution Requirement of Effective Counseling.

Here Actions was deliberated without Proper justification or any Reason (Legal).

Next Page.

FR/21

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28
	29
	30
	31
	32
	33
	34
	35
	36
	37
	38
	39
	40
	41
	42
	43
	44
	45
	46
	47
	48
	49
	50
	51
	52
	53
	54
	55
	56
	57
	58
	59
	60
	61
	62
	63
	64
	65
	66
	67
	68
	69
	70
	71
	72
	73
	74
	75
	76
	77
	78
	79
	80
	81
	82
	83
	84
	85
	86
	87
	88
	89
	90
	91
	92
	93
	94
	95
	96
	97
	98
	99
	100

[illegible][illegible]

1st. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
2nd. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
3rd. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
4th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
5th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
6th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
7th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
8th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
9th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
10th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
11th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
12th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
13th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
14th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
15th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
16th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
17th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
18th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
19th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
20th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
21st. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
22nd. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
23rd. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
24th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
25th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
26th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
27th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
28th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
29th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
30th. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower
31st. May 1952	It is a Tolerant flower

[illegible]

1	1. The first of the two main parts of the report is the introduction.
2	2. The second part is the main body of the report.
3	3. The third part is the conclusion.
4	4. The fourth part is the references.
5	5. The fifth part is the appendix.

	THAT PAGE	Through and Processing under the Federal Tax Act
--	--------------	--

16/37

st Trial or the Post-Conviction Court Access.

Contradicting or violating The Case law: Brown v. Wainwright, 785 F. 2d 1457, 1464 (11th Circuit 1986) and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972) "Prosecutor Has a Duty not to Present or use False Testimony or False Evidences".

Baltimore County Prosecutor Scott D. Stollenberger and Assistants: Presented, use False Testimony and False Evidences on Inmate DEGoTO Prosecution, Conviction and Detention.

They deliberately violate Fed. Rule 55 Default Judgment Contradicting; Judge Colleen order of 01/22/2021, by making a False Testimony on Page 5 of requested to Deny Inmate DEGoTO Post-Conviction Petition Entered in 12/11/2020 (letter of 07/15/2021 Page 5).

The # Pages of letter of 07/15/2021 Answering Post-Conviction Petition of 12/11/2020 state: "... (Inmate) Inappropriately Blame the Police...", Contradicting Inmate DEGoTO state of mind and character which lack any legal Proceeding and Procedure, And Heavily in need of legal Counsel for 02/20/2021 Court Hearing.

State False Testimony Contradict the Case law: "Webster v. Rees, 723 F. 2d 1078, 1038-39 (7th Cir. 1986), stating "A Prosecutor Should Avoid unfair or improper remarks about the Defendant", And "Prosecutor shall not discriminate or contradict the legislative Int-

NEXT Page.

17/37

ent" (United States v. Makie, 689 F. 2d 1121, 1122 3rd Cir. 1982).

stake Failed To update the Discovery Document; Failed to Engaged in Mitigating Circumstance, Expand over 12 Months Period of Constant Illegal Practices, Perpetrated by the victim Happy and Happy's wife against DEGoTO and DEGoTO's Families (include sick peoples); He Failed to Produce Document requested: Protective order of 2017 in Baltimore County District Court Catonsville Maryland, In which District Judge refused to Granted to Inmate DEGoTO for Families Protection; multiples witness of U.S. Army Commander (Reserve unit), To Church leader, Etc..., All member of the Society Refused To Provided Inmate DEGoTO assistance towards the victim.

All of those Document of Mitigating Factors has been Exclude in DEGoTO Case Contradicting the Case of "Judge Regina M. Chu on Dante Wright Killing Case," "The Court Cannot Departe From Mitigating Factors" Hennepin County, Minnesota D. Wright v. Kim Potter (2021).

10/- Pivotal Attorney Allan Bombro

Allan Bombro, is Inmate DEGoTO Counselor during the Pre-Trial; Trial; and Post-Trial Proceeding and Presence.

He Failed the Entire, Judicial Proceeding and Proceed-
Next Page.

12/37

uze.

He Failed the Entire Judicial Counseling duty of Representing Inmate DEGOTO on legal Counsel, during the Criminal Proceeding and Procedure, in Baltimore County Circuit Court under Presiding Judicial officer Judith Ensor.

All the requested Documents Submitted at His Disposition Has never been ^{used} during Court Proceeding and Procedure.

He Failed to Provide adequate Counseling on inmate DEGOTO criminal Prosecution case. Thus, ~~at~~ ^{up} ~~the~~ ^{hold} cause the State to ~~at~~ ^{up} ~~of~~ ^{hold} His False accusation and Testimonies violating Inmate DEGOTO Constitutional Right of effective Counseling, of 6 Amendment Right to Counsel.

This Action; Lead to Inmate DEGOTO, Illegal Prosecution, Conviction and Detention, inside MCTC Prison Center in Hagerstown Maryland.

He managed to collected over \$10,000, From DEGOTO's Families, without Providing Proper legal Proceeding and Procedure Counseling Duty.

12/ Circuit Court Judge Judith Ensor of Baltimore County Maryland.

Judge Judith Ensor Failed, Inmate DEGOTO Criminal Justice Court Procedure and Proceeding, during the Pre-Trial Duty, in Case: United v. Hamm, 659 F. 2d

NEXT Page

19/37

624, 633 n. 1 (5th Cir. 1981 (Gee, J., Concurring)) "The Court Retains sentencing Discretion, It Has Power and Duty To Supervised Conduct of Prosecutor in sentencing Process". In DEGOTO Case; Prosecutor Control the Entire Proceeding and Procedure.

Judge Judith ENSOZ Failed DEGOTO, Medical Psychological Evaluation Conducted through: Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center by Evaluators names James Hegarty, DO and Annette Hanson, MD Final Report of 03/22/2018; Include GPS clinic Solution Draft Psychological Evaluation PHD Kiu E. Smith Final Report of 11/12/2018.

Both Institution Conducted a Flaw reports by Excluding: Critical Medical Record from veteran Administration; Infusion of multiples Contradiction and Illegal statement between Police Detective Kranch and Carter, And the victim Happy wife, And DEGOTO P. Daniel statement; Refusing to consider statement on Page 14, 15, 16 of 13 Page Document include Page 1, 2, 3, 4, 5... of 13 Page Doc.; Contradicting the Legality of word "Killing" in case CASH V. ADDINGTON, 46 N.M. 451, 131 P. 2d 265, 266 "Instantly, In Collision, may mean that death was instantaneous, etc.", Contradiction are locate on Page 2, 3, or 4 of 13 DEGOTO statement to Howard County 911 Police call of "Hurt somebody" on 08/13/2017 @ 3:30 AM and Baltimore Polices on scene of the event, include wit-

NEXT Page

20/37

nesses...

Because of those Elements (Defected), both Psychological Mental Evaluation are Ambiguities and Illegal in case: "Langworthy v. State, 46 Md. App. 116, 416 A. 2d 1287, cert. denied, 450 U.S. 960, 101 S. Ct. 1419, 67 L. Ed. 2d 384 (1981)" All Flaws or Ill Crafted Medical Psychological Evaluation are Resolved in Favors of Incompetency".

This mean DEOTO, was Incompetent to stand Trial of 2013, Presided by Judge Judith Enser, because it Fail the Degree of Proof ^{of Guilt} on Final report in case: "Staw Dermon v. State, 4 Md. App. 683, 241 A. 2d 888 (1967), cert. denied, 252 Md. 733 (1969)".

130/- Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland.

- John P. Facekula (Assistant Bar Counsel) on Case #: 2021-1479 on Baltimore County State Attorney Scott D. Stollenberger claim of 08/11/2021, Refused to Address & Inmate DEOTO Grievance of Illegal Practices against State Attorney.

John P. Facekula, decided to Postpone the against the State, until DEOTO Hearing Court Proceeding and Procedure found Resolution (cf Attached).

John P. Facekula state are Illegal and Contradictory to the Investigative Duke.

Next Page.

21/37

- Lisa M. Piccinini (Assistant Bar Counsel), Received the Transfer Case File No: 2021-1110, on Complaint of Grievance of 07/22/2021 of Assistant Bar Counsel /s/ CHER McSpaden, against Assistant Public Defender Elizabeth Hilliard for multiples Complaint of Misconduct, during the Court Proceeding and Procedure of Post-Conviction Hearing total Failure.

ABA Lisa M. Piccinini deliberately, and unilaterally decided to close the case in September 1st 2021 statement. She refused to Re-open the case, After DEOTO, Provided more Evidences of Counselor malpractices.

14/- Commission On Judicial Disability of Maryland,
Investigative Director Tanya C. Bernstein.

Tanya C. Bernstein, Refused to Provided Immo DEOTO Assistances on Complaint of Misconduct, against Judge Colleen A. Cavanaugh and Administrative Judge Kathleen Gallogly Cox, For Failure to up Hold Impartial Judicial Presiding Authorities during the Post-Conviction Hearing Procedure and Proceeding of 08/20/2021, 03/04/2022; Etc... (unlimited Postponement).

The Rule 18-421(b), used by Inv/Director Tanya C. Bernstein to sustained Her Argument on both judges are Illegal.

For Rule 18-421(b) to be Effective according to the official "Annotated ~~Art~~ Article of legislative", It Has to Have

Next Page

all the Elements mentioned in sub Committee Note section (b), Section (F), (c) (1) (2). (cf. Attached).

Here Final report on Both Judges are similar in Content and Shape; It Prove lack of Preliminary Investigation.

Thus, Cause Inmate DEGOTO, to Believe in Flaw or Illegal report, by Attributing more opportunity for Judicial malpractices Proceeding and Procedure, Contradicting the Constitution value Base on Amendment.

Inv/Director Tanya C. Bernstein Engaged, In Illegal Administrative Duties of Commission on Judicial Disabilities in Case File No: FY 22-038 Cal/DeGoto of 03/14/21, and Case File No: FY 22-064 Cox/DeGoto of 03/15/2021, by Miss-Interpretation of the Rule 18-421 (b). Causing Pre-Judicial Procedure to DEGOTO Grievance (cf. Attached).

15% Police Detective Krouch ID#: 5948.

Detective Krouch accused Defendant DEGOTO on 08/13/2022 at 06:17:30 PM in case #: 17-231-0635 for "murder" witnessed by Detective Carter #5693 During THE Investigative Interview inside BCPD Room E. in Case Number Above (cf. Attached).

THE "murder", accusation was transformed into First Degree murder on 08/20/2021 by state Prosecutor Scott D. Stollenberger and Assistant.

THE murder or First Degree murder Accusation are
NEXT Page.

23/37

well organised by Detective Kranch and the Prosecutor team.

Detective Kranch, the lead Interview Investigator inside BCPDC, Has a legal Knowledge of mitigating Factors before making Decision of murder charge and then First Degree murder the next Day.

"Murder" is defined Has Homicide committed with malice aforethought in Parker v. State, 7 Md. ... 381 (1969) or Homicide became Felonious if Act was intentional and without justification or excuse in Smith v. State, 41 Md App. ... (1973). Those case contradicted Inmate DEGOTO Interview statement of BCPDC in Room E Case #: 17-231-0635 and Police GIZZO F. ID #: 5756 C#: 4160812359 Date of Incident 28 August 2016 at 2025 PM HARRY Residence 4434 Glenmore Ave in which Police GIZZO F Advised Harry to obtain Protective Order against DEGOTO, Daniel (cf. Attached).

If Harry was Really a Prophet of Real God; He should obtain the Protective order against DEGOTO for Peace Reason of state, But He Refused to Proceed, Instead Continue through His Immoral Practices targeting DEGOTO's and DEGOTO wife, without Proper Reason for over 12 months Period of time.

Detective Kranch with legal Knowledge contradict Judge Regina M. Chu on Dante Wright Killing case, state: "The Court cannot Depart From Mitigating Factors

Next Page

24/37

"in Hennepin County, Minnesota D. Wright v. Kim Potter (2021).

Detective Kranch was on scene of 08/13/2017 incident (Liberty Rd and Washington Ave). He knew the victim Happy Raphael Michael Pass away from his injury around 4:03 PM inside Sano Hospital Declared by Dr. Quraishi, while inmate DEGoTO was inside BCPDC under his investigation interview start around 11 AM to 6 PM under his supervision with witness by Police Detective Carter (cf. Attache DEGoTO interview at BCPD).

The victim Pass Away 7 Hrs after the Incident of 3 AM on 08/13/2017.

The Autopsy Report Conduct by ^{Chief} Medical Examiner of Maryland Dr. David R. Fowler on Case No. 17-09348 Page 10 and Page 7: "The Reason of Happy Raphael Death was 'LOST OF BLOOD VOLUME', and the Injured Sustained a Defensive, All the 'Internal Organs are Intact or Un-Damaged'."

Base on this Report from State Authorities: Inmate DEGoTO believe without Doubt or Beyond Reasonable Doubt; the victim Happy Raphael Death as a ^{negligent of} "Standard Medical Treatment". Because Healthy Adult Man; Base on scientific Demonstration that 6 litres or 5 to 6 liters of Blood volume to survive. Between 1 to 7 Hrs of Blood lost, 1 liter to 5 or 6 liters Equate to Total lost of Blood volume Follow by

Next Page

25/37

Death.

Inmate DETRO to Conclude murder accusation of Police Detective Kramch ID#: 5248 is a Forgerie. In case: "Prosecutor Has a Duty NOT To Present or Use a False Testimony", Brown v. Wainwright, 785 F. 2d 1457, 1464 (11th Cir. 1986) and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972).

Detective Kramch Actively Participated, and used False Testimony of murder on 08/19/2017 and Accomplice with State Attorney on First Degree murder Charge, Conviction and Detention of Inmate DETRO.

16/- Inmate Grievance office (IGO).

- Deputy Director Robin Woolford.

All the Information was reported to IGO Deputy Director Robin Woolford, for medical maltreatment, received by Inmate DETRO in July 4, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20th 2021 During "AM Nurse Treatment" of Blood Pressure monitor on newly received refill medication.

IGO Director, assigned Case #: 2021 0829 on original Complaint Date: 07/23/2021, And Answer 08/18/2021.

Director Robin Woolford response statement, Contradict MTC Dispensary sick Call Procedure DC17130.4 Documented in Page 35 of Inmate Handbook from DPSCS with Md. Code Ann. Corr. Serv. § 10-206 (a).

Both Procedures and Regulation are Part of DPSCS

Next Page

26/37

Institution (cf. Attached).

D. Director Robin Woolford statement of 08/18/2021 under Title § 10-206(a); Inmate DEGTO provided Him with newly received Case of Illegal mail Postage, with Security Protocol Breach, Inside MCTC cell Dormitory Tier.

The Security Breach Concerns; Require legal Protocol of DPSCS on all Incoming Mail Packages Inside MCTC Institution must Pass Security screening, According to Inmate Handbook Page 33 Mail Room Sub-Section 3.

The Package, received by Inmate DEGTO, on 08/13/2021 violate DPSCS Procedure mentioned Above.

After reception of Inmate Grievance of mail Package Security Breach; According to D. Director Robin Woolford own statement of 08/18/2021, under Title § 10-206(a).

Inmate DEGTO, Provided Director Robin Woolford all the Factual Evidences on Security Breach for Investigation. IGO Director Decided to Provided IGO Case No: 2021 1027; and 2021 1026 on one(1) Case of Complaint, or Deputy D. Robin Woolford Give two Cases Number on one(1) Concerns.

Inmate Considered this Tactic a Deceptive and manipulative Practices for Confusion and Illegal for the state.

Next Page

27/37

17/- MCIC Prison Complex Institution.

- warden William S. Botter.

• ARP#: 163580 of 08/14/2021 for Medical Malpractice and Contraband of Mail Delivery, under ARC Case No: MCIC-0643-21 stamped on 08/17/2021, Has been Re-Submitted by ARC recommendation to warden office Deadline of 09/13/2021, because, It has multiples concerns that need to be Address singularly.

The Re-Submission under ARP#: 0118550-1 for Medical Malpractices of July 4, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20th, 2021 Has been Submitted on 08/30/2021 to the Authority of the Unit.

The second re-submission under ARP#: 0118551 for mail Package Contraband Has been Submitted on 08/30/2021 to the officer in charge of the unit (cf. Attached).

Both ARP's, remain silent, after the Re-submission.

• ARP#: 0169580 of 01/12/2022, for Federal and State mandate COVID 13 Test, Has been Submitted to MCIC Authorities, under Case #: MCIC 0036-22 Date: 01/13/2022. The ARP was Issue because of C. Tilchatt Arrested of 01/12/2022 under False Pretext of Refusal to Participate in "Mandatory Education and Rule 203"; and "Disobeying order Rule 316." Both Rules Falls under Procedure Regulation, and Rule Category II and III of Inmate Handbook From DPSCS Page 53 and 54; and Page 2.

Rule category II and III has optional languages "May NOT"; and Page 2, Title: General Inmate RIGHTS. Freedom From

Next Page

28/37

Abuse, Harassment, subject of Medical, Pharmaceutical Experiment".

After the Arrested of 01/12/2022; Inmate DEGOTO was Escorted out of Hu 6-C Tier into Isolation Single cell Administrative Segregation Hu. 7-A-15, because of 1 question for ofc T. Leach to Prove His COVID19 mandate Document official signed by MCTC Authority. Remember Regular DEGOTO Hu. 6-C Bulletin Board don't have a mandate COVID19 Test from State or Federal. It was a surprised, and un-Proven statement made by ofc T. Leach, later supported by A. Segregation Hearing officer Shannon Singleton (cf. Attached).

Hearing ofc. Shannon Singleton issue an unilateral order on 01/14/2022 Decision, to strip Inmate DEGOTO from, legally Earn Private Prison Discipline, Privileges, include Prison Education Attendance since 2017. • ABP# : 0143201 of 01/28/2022 on the same concerns of State and mandate Federal COVID19 Test, under Case No: MCTC 0086-22 was sent to the Warden Authorities for Illegal Practices of 01/12/2022 and 01/14/2022 Illegal Hearing Case of violation of Rule 203 and 316 of DP SCS Inmate Handbook. All the Requested for Assistant Resolving the Illegal Detention, has never been Answer by warden or warden official on Part-B of the ABP numbers Above (cf. Attached).

• ABP# : 0143040 of 05/03/2022, under ARC Case No: MCTC

Next Page

29/37

0384-22 for Deliberate Delay of Court Document on "Notice of Appeal" to U.S. CA 4 from 04/15/2022 to 05/13/2022 on Prepaid Certified mail.

For the First time since the Inmate DE650, ARP Filing. The warden official decided to Respond to the Case Number mentioned Above (MTC-0384-22), with Part-B Answer Field was Stamped by MTC ARP Highest Authorities (cf Attached).

After reception of the Document Filed; The Answer of warden provided limited Argument on Inmate DE650 legal mail concern, deliberately Bloc by mail Room personnel (cf Attached mail Room statement). Inmate provided warden with the rest of the limited statement for Full Picture of the Illegal Action on Inmate, Certified mail on Notice of Appeal, causing major Delay in the Case of Appeal at USCA4 Contradicting Prison Mail Procedure and Proceeding in Case: Hockney v. State... (2018).

On Top of those Irregularities, mentioned above, a Fraudulent Signature has been signed on Part-C of the ARP#: 0149040, violating the Due Process of legal Document. (cf Attached).

• ARP#: 0181761 of 07/01/2022 with ARC Case No: MTC 0538-22; Has been issue for the Replacement of 06/21/2022 of ARP#: 0149071, on case #: MTC 0510-22. Include ARP#: 0181762 Case No: MTC 0510-22 (2 Case number was Assigned on two (2) Different ARP Number).

Next Page

Between two Different ARP's of two Different issues Presented to nctc Authorities on 07/06/2022; only 1 (one) ARP # '0181762 Case No: nctc 0510-22 Has been ~~received~~ received a very limited Resolution of stamp only without Comment or any Argumentation. The Rest of the ARP # '0181761 Case No: nctc 0538-22 of Deliberated obstruction of State Court Access remain Un-Resolved

ARC Decision on Part-C of ARP # '0181762 Case No: nctc 0510-22 are COMAR 12.02.28.11A(2)(1)(i) with Deceptive Conclusion: "Still multiples Complaints".

After 07/24/2022 Handwritting Complaint to war-
den; An Investigation ^{Final} report was of 08/10/2022 Title: Daniel DEGOTO, Doc # 472214 / SIDA 3111 434 HU # 6-C-1-18A Case No: ARP nctc 0384-22 (legal mail); ARP nctc 0389-22 (legal mail); ARP nctc 0510-22 (You Failed To Resubmitted; ARP nctc 0538-22 (Dismissed by ARC). All the ~~Pa~~ Parenthesis Report are In a Curate and contradict A.R.C. Final Report (cf. Attached)

The Requested for Humanitarian Assistance of 03/08/2022 Has never been address (cf. Attached).

All the ARP's Provided by Numbers Above Hasnot been Address Properly by nctc Authority. Only one issue received a Partial Resolution (certified mail of 05/03/2022 ARP, Contradicting the Administrative Remedy Procedure of DPSCS).

31/37

MCTC Case Manager B. Bell:

Inmate Daniel P. DEGRIO, MCTC Case Manager B. Bell refused or Partially Provided Assistance, according to the order of "Notification of security Reclassification" schedule From 03/21/2022 To 04/22/2022. (cf. Attached).

Officer T. Leach and Sergeant ofc. Baiz:

ofc. T. Leach Decided to Order Arrested of Inmate DEGRIO on 01/12/2022 for violation of Category II and III Rules, Policies, and Procedure statement on Page 53 and 54 of Inmate Handbook from DPSCS, which has legal language of "May NOT", or optional language. Giving wide range of Avenue to choose the Best option to Participated or not to Participated in any Mandatory Education (Rule 203) and to refused the mandate Covid 19 Test of State and Federal (cf. Attached violation Doc.).

Remember: the state and Federal Covid 19 Test of 01/12/22 mandate statement of ofc. T. Leach was Un-known to Inmate Housing unit 6-C-Tier Bulletin Board.

After He requested to Provide the mandate material; ofc. T. Leach order Inmate Arrested and deported into HU-7-A-15 from 6-C-5 ordinary Dorm.

The multiples Request, initiated by DEGRIO for mandate Document from SGT Baiz, after Force obligation to accepted Covid 19 Test of 01/17/2022 and 02/16/2022, remain un-Resolved or silent. (cf. Attached).

Next Page.

12/1

There are three different types of...

After the first type of...

The second type of...

With all these types...

The third type of...

On all these types...

The fourth type of...

At the time of the...

According to the...

For the purpose of...

Under the provisions...

The fifth type of...

Under the provisions...

The sixth type of...

Under the provisions...

The seventh type of...

Under the provisions...

The eighth type of...

Under the provisions...

The ninth type of...

Under the provisions...

The tenth type of...

Under the provisions...

The eleventh type of...

Under the provisions...

The twelfth type of...

Under the provisions...

The thirteenth type of...

For mail delivery to the Courthouse with the Date: 05/03/2022; 07/05/2022; 07/14/2022; 08/03/2022; and 09/05/2022. The Certified Mail Tracking Number: 7021.0350.0001.6146.7845 Has been Miss Handbe using Irregular Route to get to the Court House, because the mail Room Personal lost Track Number of this Certified mail. (cf Attached).

All the "Outgoing mail Record" are in-accessible to Inmate DEOTO, After multiples requested (cf. Attached). Because of un-availability of outgoing mail Record for Personal Documentation; Inmate DEOTO believe in lack of Transparencies and Accountabilities of Prison Mail Box System in violation of case law: "Hackney v. State. (2018)".

Because of legal mail Irregulars Procedure and Proceeding. Inmate DEOTO, become liable to not C Prison Institution Default Procedure and Proceeding on legal mail illegal Practices.

MCTC Dispensary Nurse Elizabeth, and Dr. Nimely, MD, Contact.

Inmate Daniel P. DEOTO, received a medical treatment at the MCTC Dispensary from newly received Blood Pressure Pills on July 4th, 2022, and July 16, 17, 18, 19, 20th 2021.

The Notice of uncomfortable medical Health from newly received Pill can be detect Immediately after the ~~Con~~ Consumption of the Newly Received medication.

next Page.

34/37

The Negative Effect became Noticeable after the clinic order Inmate to Report report for the First time since 2013 Arrival, for "AM Nurse Treatment" of 07/16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21. The Inside the clinic, became suspicious, because of the Nurse Confusing Attitude accompanying with staff member (cf. Attached).

Nurse and Dr Nimely after Request for Interview refused to Provide Better and Fast service According to DPSCS Inmate Handbook Procedure DCM 130.1 (cf Attached Medication in question and Nurse Elizabeth Hamd writing, Include "AM Nurse Treatment" of clinic Presence). Dr. Nimely, MD, Contact Never respond to Inmate DEGOTO Sick Call request for Interview (cf. Attached).

The medical Requested (medical Record) deliver on 11/04/2021 Has missing medical maltreatment of July 2021, Include the Pills delivery to Inmate DEGOTO in Attempted to conceal or hide official Document because of Fear sent. (cf. Attached).

Those Irregularities Cause Severe Emotional Disturbance to Inmate DEGOTO, because of sudden stop of Daily Pills Consumption to Control Blood Pressure Rise, leading to other Health concerns.

Psychologist Dr. Claudia Howard; and Clinician
Georgette G. Hughes; Include Dr. Potter.

All the Psychologist, mentioned by name Above re-
NEXT Page.

failed to Provide Proper Mental Health Concerns, deliberately Cause by state Institution (Prison, Courthouse), ranging From: Blood Pressure medication; unwanted COVID19 Test; Access To the Court; mail Contraband and legal mail irregularities; un-necessary Housing unit change; Insult by Mental Health personnel; unavailability of MHC services and Authorities to Resolved Inmate Concerns intimately Fashion; Etc... (cf. Attached).

All of those Irregularities Cause Inmate DEGRTO severe Mental and Emotional Distress. According to the case: Bernstein v. Roberts, 405 F. Supp. 2d 34, 41-42 (D.D.C. 2005) and Brown v. Youth 83 F. Supp. 2d... (D.S.D. 2000). They violate DPSCS DC M 130.1 Rule in Inmate Handbook Page 35 and 37 Mental Health Service Procedure.

Exhibits Requests

Inmate DEGRTO request the Court For all the omitted Documents: Update Both Psychological Evaluation for the Pre-Trial order by Judge Judith Enser to include Veteran Administration Army Medical Health Record according to state Standard; subpoena all the individual involved in case Directly or Indirectly 2 US Army Reserve Captains and 1 First Sergeant for refusing To Provide Assistance to Soldier up on Requested; subpoena District Court Judge of Baltimore County Catonsville MD who refuses

NEXT Page

to Granted Immo DEGoTO "Protective Order requested" in ear-
 lier 2017; Retrieve Bank loan Extortion Attempted by the
 victim Happy Raphael Michael using Immo DEGoTO
 Delusional wife as Co-sponsor against DEGoTO requested
 not to Participated to the Bank loan Application; Retrieves
 video Evidences of Happy Raphael Stomped on Mrs Rosi-
 ne DEGoTO while suddenly Fall on Floor of Church name
 Power House Apostolic Church 5418 Bell Air Rd Baltimore
 MD 21206 Co-Pastor Josiah Smith Phone: 443-768-2605;
 "Retrieve Happy Raphael Stalking Patterns Itinerary From
 Deep Forest of Central Africa (cf. Page 138), to North Nigeria
 (Terrorist Control Zone), To ISia, To Middle East, To USA state
 of Maryland Hyattsville (Prince George County) Immo Pre-
 vious Residence of Oglethorpe (2005 BLDG); To Bell Air Bal-
 timore City; and then Into Windsor Mill Area (cf. Page 138
 Happy Statement: "In USA on Special Assignment" or
 Predators Looking For Preys Tactic of aggressive stalking"
 ; Retrieve the missing Baltimore 911 Call by Happy against
 DEGoTO. Daniel of Happy Residence on Saturday of verbal Al-
 tercation between DEGoTO, Mrs DEGoTO and Happy in Presence
 of Police Decision to owe DEGoTO to vacate Happy Premise
 without Mrs DEGoTO for Church Cancer Treatment (upposed
 by Northwest Hospital Doctor on 08/23/2027); Subpoena
 DEGoTO Families for Happy Abuse, unwanted constant Pres-
 ence at DEGoTO Residence of Windsor Mill while DEGoTO
 Go to works and leave when DEGoTO Come Home; Retrieve
 NEXT Page.

All Happy Electronics Tracking Information related to DEGO TO Daniel and DEGO TO'S Families on National and International level, include Internal Network of Friends and a complice of His "USA. special Assignment", The sponsor(s), and the role of Targeting DEGO TO'S; Etc...

Inmate DEGO TO; Request the Court to Investigate the True Reason Contributing to Happy Raphael Michael Ikenwilo Death of 08/13/2017 at 04:03 PM, Declare by Dr. Qutash at Sana'i Hospital. Because; According to Chief medical Examiner Dr. David R. Row Fowler of Maryland The Autopsy Report of 08/20/2017 in Case No. 17-09348 Page 10 and 7 "lost of Blood volume" is the Reason of The Death. And the victim's Internal organs are Intact no Damage, and the two wounds sustains by the victim ~~are~~ are to the Extremities from Defensive Actions.

For Inmate DEGO TO Daniel and Happy Families Satisfaction.

Remember: A Healthy Adult Human Has 5 to 6 liters of Blood to life live. The Incident Happen around 3 AM, the Death result at 04:03 PM or around 7 critical time to save the victim from Blood volume lost of around one liter Per Hour while Medical Emergencies Doctor(s) are Present.

XII) REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1/2

The Court Discretionary Jurisdiction are Base on: Judge Ellen L. Hollander Procedural Default in Order and statement made During the Habeas Corpus, Petition of 10/13/2021, decided on 12/02/2021, by "Altering the language" of Habeas Corpus to "State Mandate Extension", before Petitioned Federal Court. Contradicting: Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 500 (1973), "Immediate and Speedier Release, His sole Federal Remedy is a writ of Habeas Corpus (cf. Attache

Reason 1: The writ of certiorari should be Granted, because USCA4, Panel of Judges: Judge WYNN; Judge THACKER; and Judge HERTEN Final Decision to Dismiss the case, base on Judge Ellen Procedural Default, by Exploiting Petitioner DEGOTO an Involuntary Pro-Se litigant, who received Advised From Chief Deputy Clerk Nwamaka Anowi letter of 7/14/2022 To Proceed with "Notice of Appeal" whenever Desirable. Petitioner Believe It is Part of Exceptional Importance according to USCA4 08/23/22 Decision.

Reason 2: U.S. CA4 Promiss of Legal Counsel of 05/25/2022, has never been Accomplish. Violating Due Process Requirement of Counsel mandate During all critical level of Court Decision in Crawford v. State... (1970) and Miranda v. United State... (1972).

Reason 3: The First Degree Murder of Criminal law Article 2-201 and 2-208, 1 0990 against Petitioner DEGOTO are False, Contradicting Police Detective Krane ID# 5248 Case #: 17-231-0635 of 08/19/2017 Interview inside BCPD witnesses By Police Detet Carter. In case Dante Wright v. Kim Potter... (2021) "The Court Cannot Departed From Mitigating Factors", Include Police of. GIZZO F. ID #: 5756 cc#: 410-812-353, and Holmes v. State... (2000) "A sentence that is not Permitted by statute is an Illegal Sentences", Judge Judith Enns Decision of 2013 For 50 + years Detention is illegal. It Court contradict Chief Medical Examiner Dr. David R. Fowler Autopsie Final Report of 01/26/2018 of "Lost of Blood volume"

Petitioner Daniel P. DEGoTO Doc# 472 214.

XIII. - Reasons For Granting The Petition.

Has a Reason of the "Victime Death" in Sana'i Hospital at 4:03 PM Declare by Dr. Qazash, Case No. 17-08348 Page 10 and 7, For a 3:00 Am to 4:03 PM incident; or 7 critical hours for constant lost of 5 or 6 liter of blood, will eventually lead to death of an Adult Person.

In United v. Hamm... (1981) "The Court retains sentencing Discretion, It Has Power and duty to supervised Conduct of Prosecutor in sentencing Process. Judge Judith Enser Failed that Duties in DEGoTO Case.

Reason 4: state violate Fed. Rule 55 of Default Judgment, Contradicting: Brown v. Wainwright... (1986) and Biglio v. United state... (1972) "The Prosecutor Has a duty Not to Present or use False Testimonies." Judge Judith Enser and Colleen A. Cavanaugh Failed that Dutie in Case.

Reason 5: Judge Judith Enser Final medical Psychological Evaluation Conducted by Public and Private Entities: Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center and G.P.S. clinical Solution Draft, are Ambiguity Contradicting Case: Longworth v. state, 46 Md... (1981) "All Doubts or Flaws Psychological Evaluation Final report stand For Incompetent to stand Trial", Both judges Failed DEGoTO Case.

Reason 6: Private and Public Counsels Failed To Provided adequate Counseling on Inmate DEGoTO Case.

Reason 7: The Entities of state, Federal, and USCA, Failed to Provided Inmate DEGoTO Proper Procedure and Proceeding in Case. Include Temporary Bail (~~Fed.~~ FRAP 23).

XIV/- CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

 _____

Date: 10/30/2022