

SEP 29 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

22-6273

No. 22-2469

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Courtney Green

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

Kansas public library - Waldo Brandt

RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit / Thomas F. Eagleton
US Courthouse

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Courtney Green

(Your Name)

Po Box 22444

(Address)

Kansas city, MO 64113

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

NO.

In the Supreme Court of The United States

Courtney Green ,
Petitioner ,

V.

Kansas city Public library- Waldo branch,
Respondent.

On Petition For Writ of Certiorari to
the United states Court of Appeals For the

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Courtney Green
P.O. Box 22444
Kansas city,MO 64113

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

- Courtney Green V. Kansas city public library - Trails West Branch ^{USCA} 22-2468
- Courtney Green V. Midwest Genealogy Center ^{USCA} 22-1915
- Courtney Green V. Midcontinent public library - North Independence
- Courtney Green V. ^{Schweitzer} ~~Switzer~~ Brentwood Branch library ^{USCA} 22-1906

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
- has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
- is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 28, 2022.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: September 7, 2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Question Presented

Whether these actions repeated in sequence over a course of time support claims of improper use of company software to carry out direct violations of the Petitioners personal privacy while using these methods to exploit personal information on more than one occasion in similar instances.

Petition For Writ of Certiorari

Petitioner Courtney Green respectfully requests the issuance of a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

Decision Below

The decision of the United States Court of appeals is published at the Eighth Circuit 2022.

Jurisdiction

The Eighth Circuit entered judgment 07/02/2022. The Petitioner submitted a petition for rehearing 09/07/2022 and was denied. The United States court of appeals ruled lack of jurisdiction due to untimely appeal.

Federal Rule Involved

Invasion of Privacy through the disclosure of private facts and intrusion of solitude, Illegal gathering and disbursement of private information, unfair business practices electronic communications privacy act, the stored communications act, the cybersecurity information sharing act, hacking.

Statement of Case

I the Petitioner Courtney Green am appealing a pro se lawsuit claim against the Respondent Kansas city public library Waldo branch for invasion of privacy and

the illegal transmission of personal information through cyber stalking and in person interaction. During the timeframe of May,19,2020- February 11,2021,August 5 2021- September 13, 2021 and February 7,2022 - present Employees of the Respondent Kansas city public Library Waldo branch openly participated in the act of monitoring and stalking of online activity continually without consent. Exposed sensitive and private information including but not limited to financial standings, business endeavors creating unfair business practices, social media interactions, accounts/records as well as leaked legal proceedings information through various ways including in person communication. Which in turn led to ongoing Controversy, unfair business practices and unwarranted exposure resulting in the defamation of my character.

I. Green's circumstantial evidence that Kansas city Public library Waldo branch openly participated in the act of cyber stalking.

Back between the months of June 2020 until the beginning of February 2021, again in August of 2021 until September 2021 and at the beginning of February until present , I have been visiting the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch to use the computer weekly during these dates. During this time I suspected that my online activity was being monitored as the same type of behavior occurred at other Library branches in the Kansas city, MO area. During the month of August 2021 I wrote a complaint to a cyber-security organization regarding this matter. In this complaint I listed all library branches that I had been to; Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch being amongst one of those. I explained that this had been an ongoing matter and that my personal information was being obtained and dispersed and in doing so I was having difficulties and issues with accounts being closed, services disconnected and or delayed, security breaches etc. During the month of May I began noticing that my online activity was being monitored by Employees of the branch. These actions were being carried out by employees of the branch somehow screen mirroring and/or using other methods to virtually monitor my online activity on several occasions stating aloud and I quote "there's more than one way to do it." In one instance around June of 2020 I noticed on multiple occasions that my email would often freeze, after attempting to refresh the browser multiple times with no result, I would have to close the browser and reopen Firefox

and log back into my email. I informed a mail Employee of the branch about this issue and he told me to try google chrome instead. After this I began hearing that same employee conversing aloud with others hint at this and other tech related things. Following this incident Employees of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch began openly participated in the transmission of personal information through in person communication amongst colleagues as well as visitors that came into the library stating aloud things like exact amounts of money in my bank account after seeing that I had logged into my bank account and/or debit payment info, activities that I was doing while utilizing branch computer services, DOB info as well as implementing password or other sensitive information into conversation. These actions also included coming up with various ways to obtain password and account information such as disabling certain capabilities on the computers to delay or slow progress or to force visibility of sensitive information such as tax information, social security number, debit /credit card payment numbers and other information stating aloud again on multiple occasions and I quote "There's more than one way to do it"; While slowly gathering this information I began noticing that security breaches and past financial history from years back popping up through mail, phishing attempts through email etc. Which I also submitted a claim/complaint to a federal mail organization about while visiting this branch. On several occasions while attempting to log into Air BNB to reserve/book staying arrangements I would have issues with logging in often times having to go through the forgot my password process directly after just changing my password in the same sitting or be temporarily disabled from typing log in info at all, Also while logging in on multiple occasions I would have to do an email verification or phone call to gain access to my account. After Employees of the branch noticed and acknowledged this, Often times I would either not receive the verification email or would still have issues with the verification email link so I would be forced to use the phone call verification option, Employees of the Kansas City Public Library Trails west branch observed that I would plug in my ear phones to hear the verification code which at the time I was using a 3rd party phone service provider and alerted branch visitors as well as other staff to obtain phone number and or service provider. I start noticing that on certain computers the audio option would be disabled and on specific days when branch employees as well as visitors of branch would purposely time my visits to force me to sit at those computers. This behavior also occurred at other Missouri library branches as well. Upon figuring out ways to do so, the 3rd party phone service line was somehow miraculously

disabled, blocked and eventually disconnected and erased without my doing/consent. I reached out to the service provider about this issue on several occasions and was told that I had been disconnected from the server. While attempting to book/reserve Airbnb stays I would find that the booking options would disappear, I would reserve or book a stay and it would be canceled or I would not be able to reserve right away in efforts to manipulate missing out on the booking, prices would fluctuate right as I would be attempting to book. Employees of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch would study and gage my staying period and alert others and the next time I attempted to book upon logging in the stay options would already be set up to where a specific booking would not be visible, the price of booking would be an exact amount in my debit account for one night when usually that same price was for multiple nights or the price would be doubled to inconvenience or force me to spend more. I began booking 2 stays in advance in attempts to stay ahead and make sure I had a place for the night. After Employees of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch noticed this, I began having issues with pay options and charge offs, one in which my PayPal account was charged off due to a negative balance when I had sufficient funds on hand in both my PayPal account which was eventually charged off and forced closed as well as my debit account. I also submitted a civil case regarding this matter with the state of Nebraska (case, 8:22cv88, plaintiff Courtney Green v. Defendant Paypal Inc.). In some cases employees of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch would openly joke about these actions amongst each other as well as while conversing with visitors of the branch. I experienced these same issues with phone service as well as with online ads and postings when attempting to apartment search for stable living arrangements as well as job hunt which further alerted me that my online activity was being monitored. This was also mentioned and implemented in local news coverage channels such as fox4, ky3, Ozarks fox etc. referring to sites that I was using to search for stable living for example craigslist apartment listings and room shares as well as job opportunities I was pursuing. The mention of my living arrangements/me being homeless was also mentioned in cases with district court of New York in cases Green v. FOX Corporation Case Number:1:22-cv-00243-LTS, Green v. NBC Universal Media LLC Case Number:1:22-cv-00239-LTS. This behavior also occurred at other Missouri library branches as well. In August of 2020 I began applying and submitting weekly claims for unemployment, while doing so I saw my screen flickering as if my monitor was being screen shot or someone was actively clicking on and off to

monitor my screen. This information was openly shared with visitors of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch through in person conversation. While communicating with a business associate via email about a Tie design I was in the process of creating during the month of July 2020- August of 2020 I was further alerted that my online activity was being monitored and stalked when this endeavor was mentioned while viewing the 2020 summer Olympics by a sports anchor which was also stated in a case filing with the Southern district of New York Courts (Green v. NBC Universal Media LLC Case Number:1:22-cv-00239-LTS). Following this occurrence during this timeframe I began noticing delays in communications with this business associate as well as others. Also during this time frame while visiting the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch I logged in to financial accounts for business purposes, while doing so I begin noticing that employees of the branch were implementing account balances, passwords, financial institutions, and other sensitive information and online activity into conversations amongst colleagues as well as branch visitors referring to me at times as 4 as well as nudging in my direction etc. Employees from other branches began transferring to other branches to relay messages and aid in the continuing of this same behavior that had previously occurred such as employees from the Kansas City public Library Trails west branch as well as others. During the month of September of 2021, I had a scheduled appointment with the EEOC regarding an on the job harassment claim that had occurred back between the dates of February of 2021 through April of 2021. In September of 2021, an Employee from another branch transferred to the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch stating that they were from Illinois, where the EEOC claim was filed. During this time the same male employee of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch was point/nudging in my direction and implementing hints through conversation indirectly about my person that it was me which further led me to believe that my online activity was being stalked/monitored. The transferring of employees to different branches was also heard mention referring to employees who also participated in this behavior at the Schweitzer Brentwood branch Library in Springfield, MO; when Employees of the branch were alerted of legal actions being taken against them, which was also mentioned in case Green v. Schweitzer Brentwood Branch Library Case Number 6:22-cv-03008-FJG/USA 22-1905. The transferring of employees to other branches was also done at the Mid-continent Public Library North Independence branch. In December of 2021 I submitted multiple pro se case filings with the western district of Missouri as well as the southern district court of New York; one in which being

against the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch. I began visiting this branch again beginning February 15, 2022 and have been weekly in present. When visiting the branch again during this time I noticed that the same actions were happening as previously before and employees of the branch were devising methods and ways to figure out if I was the person who filed the suit and/or what I was doing. I also began seeing mention on local television stations for example Fox4 such as of my online activity such as apartment searching through craigslist ads or room shares, as well as mentions of commutes to the post office. During the month of February I again was attempting do a temporary change of address online via the usps postal site and still my card info was declined even though there were sufficient funds as previously mention in Green v. Schweitzer Brentwood Branch Library Case Number 6:22-cv-03008-FJG/USA 22-1905 filing. I also discovered that my online account had been disabled when attempting to do a po box payment. the In the time that I have been presently visiting the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch I have been scanning, printing documents, submitting and/or mailing legal proceedings their have been multiple mentions of hints of case filings from visitors of the branch as well as from branch employees one in which just recently occurred yesterday 05/12/2022 between the time 10am-1pm where multiple visitors came in and implemented mentions of these exact case filings amongst other things. Also during this week a male employee indirectly hinted at me the appellee using a black sharpie marker to block out sensitive information on documents further showing that this was and is currently happening in real time. Back during the week of 03/25/2022 I reached out to kc water about the quality of my water first via phone call and scheduled an appointment to come test the water. After doing so While visiting the library employees of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch stated aloud he just did it and walked by pointing and nudging in my direction. After this during the week of 04/05/2022 I reached out via email to government officials regarding this cyber-security matter happening in real time and employees of the Kansas City Public Library Waldo Branch saw these and alerted each other as well as visitors of the branch. After this incidents continued to occur but just more disguised due to being alerted of possible legal recourse. Consecutively beginning in August 2020 until February of 2021 and August 2021 until September 2021 and again beginning in february of 2022 until presently employees Kansas City Public Library Waldo branch openly and knowingly allowed and participated in the monitoring, internet stalking, invasion of privacy and the act of illegally

gathering and sharing personal information without consent or cause and not once informed me that my information had been hacked shared or obtained.

II. The United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit Ruled the Case be dismissed on the basis of lack of jurisdiction due to untimely appeal.

The courts ruled on July 7, 2022 that appeal 22-2469 Green v. Kansas City Public Library Waldo branch be dismissed due to lack of jurisdiction due to untimely appeal. The said occurrences outlined in the body of statement of facts took place from the timeframe of august of 2020 up until february of 2021. In this timeframe other actions were taken such as filing complaints with cyber security organizations as well as reaching out to tech companies regarding services being abused regarding this incident, which was also all stated in the body of appeal 22-2469. The initial pro se lawsuit was filed in December of 2021, which fell in the same year of said occurrences. The initial filing was Then dismissed on January 10, 2022, by Kansas city,MO district pro se courts.The petitioner wrote into the courts regarding the dismissal of this case as well as 4 other similar filings regarding the same matter,resenting corrections to defective documents and even submitting filings January 18,2022. The petitioner expressed to court clerks that he had received zero correspondence from the courts regarding these cases even after sending in updated address information. Due to this lengthy drawn out process and hearing no response the petitioner sent in a motion to reconsider March 12, 2022 to reconsider/reinstate along with more supporting evidence of said occurrences and proof of actions taken in the allotted time frame (copies of receipts and dated emails). After receiving no up date or response from the courts. The petitioner expressed his feeling that this was a way of staling due process among other methods of writing off this case as well as other filings in which said actions were similar. (For reference 22-1915,22-6468,22-1905 etc.) A clear and accurate account of negligent actions were outlined in the

statement of facts along with supporting documents and subpoenas for visual proof. Each location openly participated in these actions and should equally be held liable/accountable. The courts strictly enforce the 14 day response timeframe for the response to the deposition and the petitioner Courtney Green showed without doubt that timely actions were taken during the time of said occurring incidents and thereafter. Appeal 22-2469 is a vital portion and very much relevant to adjoining appeals (For reference 22-1915,22-6468,22-1905, NY usca 22-722,22-898,22-899 etc.)

Reasons For Granting the Writ

The court should grant Writ of Certiorari to clarify an accurate portion of the chain of events that aided in acts that have sequentially taken place over the course of three years.

The court should grant review in this case to oversee lawful integrity, examine factual findings and measure these actions along the legal scale. Weighing whether these actions were intentional and meant to target and cause unforeseen hardship and/or Malice to the petitioner. Taking into consideration the fiscal evidence stated outlining the straining circumstances repeatedly endured over the course of time, not only degrade and undermine the value of one's person but display these methods were in many ways used in attempts to conform and control the petitioners way of thinking and living. Repeated occurrences of similar incidents abandon the thought of coincidence and raise the suspicion of orchestrated plots. These chain of events along with the shown repetitive occurrence show that the petitioner was indeed targeted and these methods collectively aided in calculated misfortunes and clearly exhibits how easily information can be used to derail/delay and negatively impact someone's life if cyber security goes unaddressed. The show of consistency

with daily visits further prove that These events could only be carried out by careful planning and some form of studying one's habits. Information being exploited could only be obtained through the breach of cyber data and/or the physical viewing thereof. It is shown, The petitioner Courtney Green showed without doubt that timely actions were taken during the time of said occurring incidents and thereafter; including but not limited to reaching out to cybersecurity organizations and other government resources regarding said issues, contacting company resolution centers regarding breaches and ongoing issues as well as pursuing legal proceedings. Due to the case being dismissed on the basis of untimely filings, lack of jurisdiction as well as other miscommunications with defective documents; it is strongly implied that this factual information was overlooked or never reached the point of review by District Pro se court or the St. Louis, mo United states court of Appeals. Appeal 22-2469 Green v. Kansas city Public library Trails Waldo branch is one of five similar filings that are connected and aid in supporting other NY usca filings which make it a vital portion and very much relevant.

Conclusion

The petitioner Courtney Green respectfully asks that the court issue a Writ of Certiorari in United States Court of Appeals case 22-2469 Green v. Kansas City Public Library Waldo branch.

Respectfully Submitted,

Courtney Green

Petitioner

P.o. Box 22444

Kansas City, Mo 64113