

No. 22-6269

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

DEC 06 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RONALD DeLeps PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

EASTON Housing RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

3<sup>rd</sup> CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

RONALD W. DeLeps  
(Your Name)

221 S. 4TH ST. EASTON PA 18042  
(Address)

EASTON, PA 18042  
(City, State, Zip Code)

484-903-3894  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

DID THE PETITIONER WAS DENIED A  
FULL AND FAIR HEARING?

IS THE BAN ON SMOKING INSIDE  
ONES HOME UNCONSTITUTIONAL  
A FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION VIOLATION

WAS PETITIONER ACCORDED  
IN ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL?

SHOULD HAVE THE MOTION FOR  
RESTRADING OR BEEN GRANTED?  
YES TIMELY MOTION.

### LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: DISTRICT COURT

THIS COURT MUST GET ALL THE  
PAPERWORK FROM DISTRICT COURT.

### RELATED CASES

PETITIONER LIES ON ALL HIS ARGUMENTS  
AND CASE LAW SUBMITTED TO THE  
DISTRICT COURT.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	District Court	1
JURISDICTION.....	ME	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....		
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	IS Smoking Unconstitutional	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	Denied full & fair hearing	
CONCLUSION.....		

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

U.S. Constitution  
Bill of Rights

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at See 3rd Circuit Appeals Court; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at //; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at 01; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the // court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was See Appellate Court

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts: N/A

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner filed a Section 73 in the District Court Against The EASTON Housing AUTHORITY FOR imposing a Ban on Smoking inside the Apartment. Petitioner objects and so does everyone else, including non smokers. Some fifteen residents from the Easton House sent letters to District court Judge Smith, objecting to the ban. Non smokers sent letters too according to the ban. It is wrong and the court has said so. The smoking ban inside one's home has no sound constitutional, no 1st, 4th, or 5th. The right to privacy in one's home is as precious as the freedom of speech. A man.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1) TO PRESERVE THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF AMERICAN CITIZENS. ELDER HOME AT HARBOR HOUSING HAROLD LEE

THE INVASION OF PRIVACY IS A DISGUSTING ABUSE OF THE CONSTITUTION. THE POLICE FATHERS KNOW TO BE TURNING OVER THEIR GRAVES!

WAKE UP AND DIE RIGHT JUDICIAL POLICE!

EASTON HOUSING ALSO CHARGED MAINTENANCE AS A REFUGEE FOR THE BAND. THIS IS HOGWASH. EASTON HOUSING HAS A LOT OF MAINTENANCE PROBLEMS AT THE HARLAN THAT THEY REFUSE TO THE MOST SERIOUS ONE IS

LEAD IN THE WATER SUPPLY. ROSEMARIE GRAY SPENT 3 DAYS IN THE HOSPITAL FROM DRINKING THE WATER HERE. NOW THE POOR WOMEN HAVE TO SPEND \$100 A MONTH FOR BOTTLED WATER. SHE HAS A FIXED INCOME. SHE KNOWS EASTON HOUSING. WHAT MORE DO YOU NEED FOR JUSTICE

Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

November 9, 2022

Mr. Ronald Telepo  
221 South 4th St., Apt. 911  
Easton, PA 18042

FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS  
PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS  
HE BE GRANTED CERTIORARI THAT  
HE BE APPOINTED COUNSEL.

THAT A RESTRAINING ORDER BE  
PLACED ON THE SMOKING BAN INSIDE APTS.  
UNTIL CASE IS FULLY ADJUDICATED.


THAT THE SMOKING BAN BE RULED  
AS UNCONSTITUTIONAL PURSUANT TO  
AMENDMENT, AMEND FIVE, AMEND FOURTEEN!

THAT EASTON HEARING AUTHORITY  
BE CHARGED WITH CAUSE AND ACTUAL PREJUDICE

NOTE: WE DON'T LIVE IN A DEMOCRACY  
WE LIVE IN AN HYPOCRACY!

AS CHIEF SITTING BALL SAID TO THE  
WHITE MAN.

YOU SPEAK WITH FORKED TONGUE!  
HOW TRUE



### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald W Jelapa

Date: 11 / 30 / 20