

T. Matthew Phillips
Attorney-at-Law
4894 W. Lone Mtn. Rd.
Las Vegas, Nev. 89130
(323) 314-6996

Dec. 27, 2022

Via E-File and U.S. Mail
(Orig. + 11 copies)

Clerk-of-the-Court
United States Supreme Court
1 First Street Northeast
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: **Ali Shahrokhi vs. Kizzy Burrow [Docket No. 22-6224]**
Notice of Related Cases

Hello,

The below-listed cases are “directly related” within the meaning of Rule 14.1.(b)(iii); however, these cases were inadvertently omitted from Petitioner’s *Petition for a Writ of Certiorari*, (filed Nov. 26, 2022).

State of Nevada Cases:

- BURROW v. SHAHROKHI – a paternity petition, custody dispute, currently open, Case No. D-18-581208-P, (a sealed case).
- BURROW v. SHAHROKHI – a child support case, currently open, Case No. R-21-218156-R.

State of Oregon Cases:

- BURROW v. SHAHROKHI, a registration of foreign custody order, change of jurisdiction, Case No. 22DR14283.

State of Nevada—Appellate Cases:

- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, November 6, 2019, GRANTING Petition for a Writ of Mandamus in Part and Denying Petition in Part, Case No. COA-79336, Nevada Court of Appeals.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, June 9, 2020, GRANTING Petition for a Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 82803, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, January 2, 2020, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. COA-80277, Nevada Court of Appeals.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, February 6, 2020, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. COA-80447, Nevada Court of Appeals.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, July 28, 2020, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. COA-81218, Nevada Court of Appeals.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, September 18, 2020, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. COA-81791, Nevada Court of Appeals.
- SHAHROKHI v. BURROW, May 12, 2022, Appeals affirmed, three combined cases, Case Nos. 81978, 82245, 83726, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, July 30, 2021, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 83164, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, October 13, 2021, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 83558, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, November 16, 2021, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 83772, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. BURROW, October 28, 2021, dismissing appeal for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction, Case No. 83726, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, February 2, 2022, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 83973, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, December 23, 2021, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 83927, Nevada Supreme Court.

- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, February 18, 2022, No action was taken on Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 84043.
- SHAHROKHI v. NEVADA COMMISION on JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE, February 10, 2022, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 84124, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, April 29, 2022, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 84189, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, March 18, 2022, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 84341, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, December 6, 2022, Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Case No. 85655, Nevada Supreme Court.
- SHAHROKHI v. EIGHT JUDICIAL DISTRICT, Currently Pending, Case No. 85705, Nevada Supreme Court.

Federal Cases:

- SHAHROKHI v. HARTER, et. al., 2:20-cv-01019-APG-VCF, case dismissed under *Younger* abstention.
- SHAHROKHI v. HARTER, et. al., 2:20-cv-01623-JAD-NJK, case currently STAYED under *Younger* abstention.
- SHAHROKHI v. TAO, et. al., 2:20-cv-02346-GMN-VCF, case dismissed.
- PHILLIPS, et. al., v. OCHOA, et. al., 2:21-cv-00483-APG-NJK, case dismissed under *Younger* abstention.
- SHAHROKHI v. HARTER, et. al., 2:21-cv-00557-APG-BNW, erroneous dismissal by the district court stating: The plaintiffs have no case pending before Judge Harter, so they cannot show they have suffered particularized and concrete injury in fact. They thus lack standing to assert these claims. [Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins, 578 U.S. 330, 339-40 (2016)].
- SHAHROKHI v. THRONE, et. al., 2:22-cv-00001-JAD-VCF, case dismissed under *Younger* abstention.

Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Cases:

- PHILLIPS, et. al., v. VINCENT OCHOA, et. al., 0:2021cv16030, Affirmed, court stated *Younger* abstention does not apply to this case, yet affirmed based on issue preclusion.
- ALI SHAHROKHI, et. al., v. USDC-Nevada, 0:2021op71158, Petition for Writ of Mandamus denied, Petitioners have not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus.
- ALI SHAHROKHI v. TAO, 0:2021cv16171, affirmed.
- ALI SHAHROKHI v. DAWN THRONE, et. al., 0:2021cv16171, currently pending before the three-panel court.
- ALI SHAHROKHI v. HARTER, et. al., 0:2022cv15276, currently pending before the court.

Respectfully Submitted,



T. Matthew Phillips, Esq.
U.S. Bar No. 317048
Petitioner's Counsel
4894 W. Lone Mountain Rd.
No. 132
Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
Tel.: (323) 314-6996
TMatthewPhillips@aol.com

////

////

////