

DESIGN DIRECTOR: Ken Wixey

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Kentucky – Southern Division at London

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Patricia Ann Solomon

ROBERT H. CARR
FAY'S ORIGIN COURT

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

AT CONVICTION
PARDONED, 1883

Case Number: 6:13-CR-40-SSSS-ART-05

USM Number: 07260-104

Travis Alan Rossman

DISCUSSION

THE DEFENDANT:

pleaded guilty to count(s) _____

pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) _____
which was accepted by the court.

was found guilty on count(s) 15 (DB #279) _____
after a plea of not guilty.

The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:

<u>Title & Section</u>	<u>Nature of Offense</u>	<u>Offense Known</u>	<u>Count</u>
21:846	Conspiracy to Distribute a Quantity of Pills Containing Oxycodone	05/22/14	1s

The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 7 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.

The defendant has been found not guilty on count(s) _____

Count(s) 2s [DE #279] and the underlying Indictment [DE #121] is are dismissed on the motion of the United States.

It is ordered that the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances.

August 30, 2016

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

Rule 49.1. Privacy Protection For Filings Made with the Court

- (a) **Redacted Filings.** Unless the court orders otherwise, in an electronic or paper filing with the court that contains an individual's social-security number, taxpayer-identification number, or birth date, the name of an individual known to be a minor, a financial-account number, or the home address of an individual, a party or nonparty making the filing may include only:
 - (1) the last four digits of the social-security number and taxpayer-identification number;
 - (2) the year of the individual's birth;
 - (3) the minor's initials;
 - (4) the last four digits of the financial-account number; and
 - (5) the city and state of the home address.
- (b) **Exemptions from the Redaction Requirement.** The redaction requirement does not apply to the following:
 - (1) a financial-account number or real property address that identifies the property allegedly subject to forfeiture in a forfeiture proceeding;
 - (2) the record of an administrative or agency proceeding;
 - (3) the official record of a state-court proceeding;
 - (4) the record of a court or tribunal, if that record was not subject to the redaction requirement when originally filed;
 - (5) a filing covered by Rule 49.1(d);
 - (6) a pro se filing in an action brought under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241, 2254, or 2255;
 - (7) a court filing that is related to a criminal matter or investigation and that is prepared before the filing of a criminal charge or is not filed as part of any docketed criminal case;
 - (8) an arrest or search warrant; and
 - (9) a charging document and an affidavit filed in support of any charging document.
- (c) **Immigration Cases.** A filing in an action brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 that relates to the petitioner's immigration rights is governed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.
- (d) **Filings Made Under Seal.** The court may order that a filing be made under seal without redaction. The court may later unseal the filing or order the person who made the filing to file a redacted version for the public record.
- (e) **Protective Orders.** For good cause, the court may by order in a case:
 - (1) require redaction of additional information; or
 - (2) limit or prohibit a nonparty's remote electronic access to a document filed with the court.
- (f) **Option for Additional Unredacted Filing Under Seal.** A person making a redacted filing may also file an unredacted copy under seal. The court must retain the unredacted copy as part of the record.
- (g) **Option for Filing a Reference List.** A filing that contains redacted information may be filed together with a reference list that identifies each item of redacted information and specifies an appropriate identifier that uniquely corresponds to each item listed. The list must be filed under seal and may be amended as of right. Any reference in the case to a listed identifier will be construed to refer to the corresponding item of information.
- (h) **Waiver of Protection of Identifiers.** A person waives the protection of Rule 49.1(a) as to the person's own information by filing it without redaction and not under seal.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Rule 5.2. Privacy Protection For Filings Made with the Court

- (a) **Redacted Filings.** Unless the court orders otherwise, in an electronic or paper filing with the court that contains an individual's social-security number, taxpayer-identification number, or birth date, the name of an individual known to be a minor, or a financial-account number, a party or nonparty making the filing may include only
 - (1) the last four digits of the social-security number and taxpayer-identification number;
 - (2) the year of the individual's birth;
 - (3) the minor's initials; and
 - (4) the last four digits of the financial-account number.
- (b) **Exemptions from the Redaction Requirement.** The redaction requirement does not apply to the following:
 - (1) a financial-account number that identifies the property allegedly subject to forfeiture in a forfeiture proceeding;
 - (2) the record of an administrative or agency proceeding;
 - (3) the official record of a state-court proceeding;
 - (4) the record of a court or tribunal, if that record was not subject to the redaction requirement when originally filed;
 - (5) a filing covered by Rule 5.2(c) or (d); and
 - (6) a pro se filing in an action brought under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241, 2254, or 2255.
- (c) **Limitations on remote Access to Electronic Files; Social Security Appeals and Immigration Cases.** Unless the court orders otherwise, in an action for benefits under the Social Security Act, and in an action or proceeding relating to an order of removal, to relief from removal, or to immigration benefits or detention, access to an electronic file is authorized as follows:
 - (1) the parties and their attorneys may have remote electronic access to any part of the case file, including the administrative record;
 - (2) any other person may have electronic access to the full record at the courthouse, but may have remote electronic access only to:
 - (A) the docket maintained by the court; and
 - (B) an opinion, order, judgment, or other disposition of the court, but not any other part of the case file or the administrative record.
- (d) **Filings Made Under Seal.** The court may order that a filing be made under seal without redaction. The court may later unseal the filing or order the person who made the filing to file a redacted version for the public record.
- (e) **Protective Orders.** For good cause, the court may by order in a case:
 - (1) require redaction of additional information; or
 - (2) limit or prohibit a non-party's remote electronic access to a document filed with the court.
- (f) **Option for Additional Unredacted Filing Under Seal.** A person making a redacted filing may also file an unredacted copy under seal. The court must retain the unredacted copy as part of the record.
- (g) **Option for Filing a Reference List.** A filing that contains redacted information may be filed together with a reference list that identifies each item of redacted information and specifies an appropriate identifier that uniquely corresponds to each item listed. The list must be filed under seal and may be amended as of right. Any reference in the case to a listed identifier will be construed to refer to the corresponding item of information.
- (h) **Waiver of Protection of Identifiers.** A person waives the protection of Rule 5.2(a) as to the person's own information by filing it without redaction and not under seal.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
SOUTHERN DIVISION
LONDON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)	Case 6:13-cr-00040-GFVT-HAI-5
V.)	Case 6:13-cr-00040-GFVT-HAI-7
)	Case 6:13-cr-00040-GFVT-HAI-8
PATRICIA ANN SOLOMON,)	
LUCILLE M. FRIAL-CARRASCO, and)	ORDER
CARROLL LOYD ELLIOTT,)	
)	
Defendant.		

*** * * * ***

This matter is before the Court on the Government's motion to dismiss forfeiture judgments for Defendants Patricia Ann Solomon, Lucille M. Frial-Carrasco, and Carroll Loyd Elliott. [R. 1042.] Upon remand from the Court of Appeals of the Sixth Circuit and pursuant to the standards set by *Honeycutt v. United States*, 137 S. Ct. 1626 (2017), the Government has determined that the evidence required was not developed during investigation. *Id.* Accordingly, and the Court being sufficiently advised, it is **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** that the forfeiture money judgments against Defendants Patricia Ann Solomon, Lucille M. Frial-Carrasco, and Carroll Loyd Elliott [R. 941] are hereby **DISMISSED**.

This the 5th day of February, 2018.



Gregory F. Van Tatenhove
United States District Judge

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**