

**AAPENDIX SECTION**

## APPENDIX

|   | Page(s) |
|---|---------|
| <b>Exh.P Counsel's First Amended Motion &amp; Pro se Amended...</b> |         |
| physically attached pro se motion.....                              | 26-69   |
| <b>B1. Motion court'd First finding of Fact,Conclusions....</b>     |         |
| of Law.....   | 70-73   |
| <b>C. Appellate Court Reversal &amp; Remand of Pro se claim...</b>  | 74-75   |
| <b>D. Supplemental Findings &amp; Conclusions of Law.....</b>       | 76-83   |
| <b>A.1 Appeals court rejection of counsel's filing.....</b>         |         |
| of pro se claims on Supplemental Appeal.....                        | 84      |
| <b>App.F Missouri Special Rule 380.....</b>                         | 85      |
| <b>App.G Letter from counsel he's going to file whatever...</b>     |         |
| claims he thinks are meritorious.....                               | 86      |
| <b>ItemC. &amp; Exh.M letters from counsel promising to.....</b>    |         |
| file pro se claims with his brief on appeal.....                    | 87-88   |
| <b>ItemP. Motion to File Pro se Brief out of Time.....</b>          | 89-91   |
| <b>Exh.Q. Magistrate Memorandum &amp; Order "in part".....</b>      | 92-95   |
| <b>A.2 Eighth Circuit denial of COA.....</b>                        | 96      |
| <b>App. Motion court denial of abandonment motion.....</b>          | 97      |
| <b>A.J. Denial state habeas petition rule 91.....</b>               | 98      |
| <b>Exh.EE Denial state habeas petition may 2012.....</b>            | 99      |
| <b>Exh.TT. State appeals court recall of mandate 2015.....</b>      | 100     |
| <b>App.GG. Eighth circuit recall mandate 2015.....</b>              | 101     |
| <b>B2. State appeals court recall of mandate 2017.....</b>          | 102     |
| <b>Exh.AA. Eighth circuit application to file second.....</b>       |         |
| and successive habeas petition.....                                 | 103     |
| <b>Exh.RR Missouri Supreme Court denial of state.....</b>           |         |

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| habeas petition 2018.....                                 | 104     |
| Exh.S. State appeals court denial of 74.06 (b) (4).....   |         |
| motion.....   | 105     |
| Exh.E State circuit court denial of Declaratory.....      |         |
| Motion of counsel's right to file pro se brief.....       | 106     |
| Exh.BB Application to file second & successive.....       | 107     |
| Item.K Letter to appeals court of counsel's conduct.....  | 108-09  |
| ItemTwo Letter to counsel asking that he file.....        | 110-111 |
| Exh.H State admits argument concerning fingerprint.....   |         |
| evidence was meant to induce jury to believe.....         |         |
| petitioner handled broken glass.....                      | 112     |
| Tr.98-100 State stipulations in judge's Chambers.....     | 113     |
| Exh.C Alan Derrickson's stipulated testimony.....         | 114     |
| Tr.681 State's elicitation of perjured testimony.....     | 115     |
| Tr.427-28 Det. Ewing petitioner's fingerprints.....       |         |
| were not on broken glass.....                             | 116     |
| Tr.780-81 State now offers the absence of petitioner....  |         |
| 's fingerprints to prove his guilty.....                  | 117     |
| Tr.808-09 State capitalize on perjured testimony.....     |         |
| in closing argument.....                                  | 118     |
| Exh.I Application to file second successive petition....  | 119-40  |
| Exh.Z State's Suggestions In Opposition to Application... |         |
| To File Successive Habeas Petition.....                   | 141-48  |

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

No: 22-2360

---

Cornell White

Petitioner

v.

Bill Stange, Warden

Respondent

---

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis  
(4:03-cv-00415-FRB)

---

**JUDGMENT**

Before LOKEN, GRUENDER, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judges.

The motion for authorization to file a successive habeas application in the district court is denied. Mandate shall issue forthwith.

August 02, 2022

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

---

/s/ Michael E. Gans