

1
2 Supreme Court of the United States
3
4
5

6
7 Petitioner: Albert B. Guzman

8 v.

9 Respondent:
10
11

12 on petition for rehearing: To the U.S. Supreme Court
13
14

15 Petition for rehearing
16
17

18 Pro se:

19 Albert B. Guzman

20 P.O. Box 5102, B-6 #107

21 Delano, CA 93216
22
23

24 **RECEIVED**

25 **MAY 22 2023**

26 **OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.**

27 **RECEIVED**

28 **MAR 24 2023**

**OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.**

RECEIVED

APR 18 2023

**OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.**

1 Petitioner wishes for the clerk to reference
2 this case to justice: Ketangi Brown Jackson, with
3 respect to the other justice's positions thank you.
4

5
6
7
8
9
10 Respectfully Submitted.

11 Albert Gyzman
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Petitioner is respectfully providing the Kern
2 valley state prison legal mail log, in exhibit
3 (A); During the week of recievement the prison
4 was on a two week prison search, not
5 allowing the petitioner to make copy's of the
6 legal documents regardless of the matter the
7 petition for rehearing is in accordance with
8 Rule 44.6 presenting the petition in good faith
9 not for delay.
10

11
12 Sincerely,

13 Albert Eyzman
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Certificate

The grounds presented to the court are of great importance in the united states of america, regarding policing in poverty struck neighborhoods, and how the police corruption and misconduct outweigh the law in america today.

Ground #1

Policing in america violates the Geneva convention Article (3) of (18 U.S.C. § 2340 (2) (d) (1) Subsection (c) (3) also (A) (B) (D), Due to the inhumane treatment and false convictions that take place within this case and in general regarding the petitioner and the american people.

Ground #2

upon Denial of the petition the court gave no opinion. The grounds presented in this case are what matter the police corruption & misconduct are not assumptions, "They are Facts", to be treated as facts and not speculation, these facts are to be granted and investigated.

Federal Statutes

(U.S. v. Sabri) 326, F3d, 937, 2003, U.S. App Lexis 6513 (8th cir 2003)

(Flynn v. U.S.) 75, 5, ct, 285, 99, L, Ed, 1298 (1955)

(Richmond v. Arizona) 434, U.S. 1323, 98, 5, ct, 8, 54, L, Ed
2d, 34, 1977, U.S. Lexis, 4367 (1977)

U.S.C.S.

(18 U.S.C.S § 666)

(10 U.S.C.S § 855)

Article (3) of (18 U.S.C.S § 2340) (2) (d) (1), subsection (c)

(3) (A) (B) (D)

Rules of court

(rule (14)) (rule (16)) (rule (44))

Appendix I

court proceeding

Exhibit (A) prison legal mail log

U.S. constitution

(6th amend) (14th amend) (equal protection clause)

1 A petition for rehearing of Denial of petition
2 for certiorari is part of the appellate procedure
3 authorized by rules of Supreme court, subject to
4 requirements of predecessor to rule (14) on
5 rehearings; right to such consideration was not to
6 be deemed an empty formality as though such
7 petitions would as matter of course be denied;

8 Denial of petition for certiorari should
9 not be treated as definitive determination in
10 Supreme court subject to all consequences of
11 such an interpretation; (Flynn v. U.S.), 75 S. Ct 285,
12 99 L. Ed. 1298 (1955); Petitioner ask the justice
13 court to reconsider the merits in light of the
14 police corruption and police misconduct. The sole
15 purpose of this case is for the judicial system
16 to change the course of policing in America.
17 respectfully, suggesting the facts of this case.

18 Petitioner respectfully ask the court to reconsider
19 the petition and "Grant" as the court deems
20 proper, for the people of America; (Richmond v.
21 Arizona), 434 U.S. 1323, 98 S. Ct 854, L. Ed. 2d,
22 34, 1977 U.S. Lexis 4367 (1977); Petitioner apply's
23 to individual justice of Supreme court for suspension
24 of order denying certiorari. And question under
25 such circumstances must be whether there is any
26 reasonable likelihood of court changing its position
27 and granting certiorari; petitioner's U.S. constitutional
28 rights were violated by corrupt officers, the petitioner

1 result, where is the integrity that the republic so
2 much has and thrives on, this nation is ready for
3 change, unfortunately this case is one of many
4 cases tried and ultimately convicted in a superior
5 court thru corrupted evidence, and if it wasnt
6 for corrupt officers, Issues such as the case
7 before you would not be a factor needing resolving.

8 Instances like these happen in the united
9 states poverty struck environments. This is not just
10 a police corruption and police misconduct issue. But
11 a Humanitarian one depriving U.S. citizens of there
12 GOD given rights every citizen of the world is
13 entitled too, life liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

14 The denial of such a case, determines that the
15 poverty struck oppression is of no care and does
16 not avail, the petitioner was arrested thru out his
17 entire life from the second grade by the pasadena
18 police, until the age of seventeen, racially profiling
19 harassing and falsely accusing and convicting the petitioner.

20 If allowed the opportunity to be herd and
21 considered, this can change the future oppressive
22 tactic poverty struck minority's deal with on a
23 daily basis. This is a fact that needs
24 resolving in this day and age not in the future
25 to come. (18 U.S.C. § 666) is legitimate exercise of
26 congress undisputed power to make law that is
27 necessary and proper for carrying out its enumerated
28 power to provide for general welfare of united states.

1 allowing this oppression to continue in america, for
2 instances like these are no different than a
3 genocide conducted by blind dictators oppressing
4 a people. It is no different than a Nazi
5 Germany gathering the jewish people and putting
6 them in ghettos and concentration camps.

7 This is modernized oppression that needs to
8 be abolished thru proper legislation and rule,
9 may the court rule in the favor of the
10 people of the united states.

11 Article (3) of (18 U.S.C. § 2340 (2) (d) (1)
12 Prohibited conduct, in subsection (c) (3), the term
13 grave breach of common article (3) means any
14 conduct (such conduct constituting a grave breach of
15 common Article (3) of the international conventions
16 done at Geneva August 12, 1949), as follows (A)
17 torture. The act of a person who commits, or
18 conspires or attempts to commit, an act specifically
19 intended to inflict severe physical or mental pain
20 or suffering, (other than or suffering incidental to
21 lawful sanctions). upon another person within his
22 custody or physical control for the purpose of
23 obtaining information or a confession, punishment
24 intimidation, coercion, or any reason based on
25 discrimination of any kind, (B) cruel or inhuman
26 treatment, the act of a person who commits or
27 conspires or attempts to commit, and act intended
28 to inflict severe or serious physical or mental

1 minority's in the whole entire united states of america,
2 if this domestic problem is of no concern than the
3 veil is still covering the fact that there is a
4 problem needing resolving, this violates the 8th amendment
5 cruel and unusual punishment clause (10 U.S.C.S § 855)
6 the petitioner is entitled too, may the court
7 consider and grant the petition for rehearing.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sincerely,

Albert Elyman

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Appendix I

**Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

February 21, 2023

Mr. Albert B. Guzman
PO Box 5102
Delano, CA 93216

Re: Albert Bautista Guzman
v. Christian Pfeiffer, Warden
No. 22-6197

Dear Mr. Guzman:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott S. Harris", written in a cursive style.

Scott S. Harris, Clerk

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit (A) legal mail log

Kern Valley State Prison - Legal/Confidential Mail Record

AM-5178 Guzman

Report date:

3/22/2023

7:52 AM

Outgoing Legal Mail

date	CDC#	inmate name	recipient
3/8/2023	AM-5178	Guzman	Internatioinal Court of Justice; Peace Place, Carnegie Plain 2; 2517 KJ The Hague, Netherlands
3/17/2023	AM-5178	Guzman	Supreme Court of the United States; 1 First St NE; Washington, DC 20543
3/17/2023	AM-5178	Guzman	Solicitor General of the United States; 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW; Washington, DC 20530

Incoming Legal Mail

date	CDC#	inmate name	sender
3/1/2023	AM-5178	Guzman	Supreme Court of the United States; Washington, DC 20543

[CDCR-119]

**** RECORDS COMPLETE THROUGH 3/21/2023
(LAST FULL MAILROOM WORKDAY) ****