



Andrew MORET  
16301890

Redmond K. Barnes, Case Analyst  
Scott S. Harris, CLERK  
The Supreme Court of the United States  
Washington, DC. 20543



1/22/22

Subject: Denied in 22-6131, and my gratitude, Rehearing?



Dear Gentlemen,

Usually I converse solely with Mr. Barnes but I had noticed that Mr. Harris had signed my 'Notice of the Court denying my Petition'. I was honored, thank you.

Thank both of you & your offices for being there for me. Your attentions did not go unnoticed. I hope I at least filed something you enjoyed reading.

I would lastly ask that you ensure the attached 'Petition for Rehearing' is read by those Justices who believe in a Woman's RIGHT to CHOSE. There's a gem in there they should really see.

I continue my support of the Court solely because

No: 22-6131

---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE  
UNITED STATES

---

ANDREW MORET — PETITIONER,

vs.

OREGON STATE HOSPITAL;  
POORNIMA RANGANATHAN;  
ANDREA DAILEY; — RESPONDENTS.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

PETITION FOR REHEARING OF  
ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI

scotus RULE 44. Rehearing

Andrew Guy MORET  
16301890  
777 Stanton Blvd.  
Ontario, OR 97914

DL SRC I Legal Professional Visit Authorization@doc.oregon.gov

"The ends never justify the means because  
Life is the journey not the destination."

©  Andrew Moret, 2022

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

I ask the Justice/s who denied my Petition to rehear it in a new light. I ask the Court to GRANT the Petition instead of denying it. Here are my reasons:

None of the Court's favored classes of litigants will ever seek CERTIORARI in a case that aids the mentally-ill like this one because the absence of a controlling authority here benefits tyranny and continues oppression of the weak and poor.

I've noticed this Court entertains issues such as gay rights and abortion, but let's take the abortion issue as an example:

Overturning *Roe v. Wade* didn't actually benefit anyone; no one's life got any better from that decision. But say that I agreed with your opinion there, it was still a form of opening Pandora's Box. The 'heartbeat' cannot determine 'life' because people can live with prosthetic hearts. And, anyone who thinks the World is bettered by more people in it is a 'real' mentally-ill party. Overpopulation *literally* is the destruction of Mother Earth.

Granting CERTIORARI in this case - my case would be like closing the door on Pandora's Box. Even if it were ultimately AFFIRMED it would shore-up the shaky walls of our insane asylums worldwide. We need it.

Would it bother the Doctors? the rich people? Is the Court against it because of money? Or is it simply because I'm pro se? No, I can't believe you'd be that shallow. So just give me something to go on, anything, give me a real reason: why not? Tell me and I'll believe you...

...for you are my greatest Court, and I'll still love you, no matter what you decide.

PETITIONED ON JAN. 22, 2023

BY:



ANDREW GUY MORET


No.: 22-6131

SCOTUS

\* CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE \*

MORET V. RANGANATHAN, DAILEY, OSH

I, Andrew Moret, the Petitioner do hereby CERTIFY  
that a copy of the Petition for Rehearing and  
a copy of the CERTIFICATION OF PARTY UNREPRESENTED  
BY COUNSEL were both sent to the Court and  
Respondents on 01/22/2023 via US Mail.

X   
PETITIONER: Andrew Moret  
16301890  
777 Stanton Blvd.  
Ontario, OR 97914

COURT: Clerk of the  
Supreme Court of the United States  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Respondents: Or. Atty. Gen. Ellen Rosenblum  
1162 Court St NE  
Salem, OR 97301