



Andrew MORET
16301890

Redmond K. Barnes, Case Analyst
Scott S. Harris, CLERK
The Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D.C. 20543



1/22/22

Subject: Denied in 22-6131, and my gratitude, Rehearing?



Dear Gentlemen,

Usually I converse solely with Mr. Barnes but I had noticed that Mr. Harris had signed my 'Notice of the Court denying my Petition'. I was honored, thank you.

Thank both of you & your offices for being there for me. Your attentions did not go unnoticed. I hope I at least filed something you enjoyed reading.

I would lastly ask that you ensure the attached 'Petition for Rehearing' is read by those Justices who believe in a Woman's RIGHT to CHOOSE. There's a gem in there they should really see.

I continue my support of the Court solely because

No: 22-6131

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

ANDREW MORET — PETITIONER,

vs.

OREGON STATE HOSPITAL;
POORNIMA RANGANATHAN;
ANDREA DAILEY; — RESPONDENTS.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING OF
ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI

scotus RULE 44. Rehearing

Andrew Guy MORET
1630 1890
777 Stanton Blvd.
Ontario, OR 97914

DL SRCILegalProfessionalVisitAuthorization@doc.oregon.gov

"The ends never justify the means because
Life is the journey not the destination."

© Andrew Moret, 2022

PETITION FOR REHEARING

I ask the Justice/s who denied my Petition to rehear it in a new light. I ask the Court to GRANT the Petition instead of denying it. Here are my reasons:

None of the Court's favored classes of litigants will ever seek CERTIORARI in a case that aids the mentally-ill like this one because the absence of a controlling authority here benefits tyranny and continues oppression of the weak and poor.

I've noticed this Court entertains issues such as gay rights and abortion, but let's take the abortion issue as an example:

OVERTURNING *Roe v. Wade* didn't actually benefit anyone; no one's life got any better from that decision. But say that I agreed with your opinion there, it was still a form of opening Pandora's Box. The 'heartbeat' cannot determine 'life' because people can live with prosthetic hearts. And, anyone who thinks the world is bettered by more people in it is a 'real' mentally-ill party. Overpopulation literally is the destruction of Mother Earth.

Granting CERTIORARI in this case - my case would be like closing the door on Pandora's Box. Even if it were ultimately AFFIRMED it would shore-up the shaky walls of our insane asylums worldwide. We need it.

Would it bother the Doctors? the rich people? Is the Court against it because of money? Or is it simply because I'm pro se? No, I can't believe you'd be that shallow. So just give me something to go on, anything, give me a real reason: why not? Tell me and I'll believe you...

...for you are my greatest Court, and I'll still love you, no matter what you decide.

PETITIONED ON JAN. 22, 2023

BY:

ANDREW GUY MORET

No.: 22-6131

SCOTUS

* CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE *

MORET v. RANGANATHAN, DAILEY, OSH

I, Andrew Moret, the Petitioner do hereby CERTIFY
that a copy of the Petition for Rehearing and
a copy of the CERTIFICATION OF PARTY UNREPRESENTED
BY COUNSEL were both sent to the Court and
Respondents on 01/22/2023 via US Mail.

X 

PETITIONER: Andrew Moret
16301890
777 Stanton Blvd.
Ontario, OR 97914

COURT: Clerk of the
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D.C. 20543

Respondents: Or. Atty. Gen. Ellen Rosenblum
1162 Court St NE
Salem, OR 97301