

22-6068

No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.

FILED

NOV - 8 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Anthony Braxton

(Your Name)

— PETITIONER

vs.

Judge Maribeth Raffinan

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Superior Court of the District of Columbia

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Anthony Braxton

(Your Name)

1901 D St. SouthEast

(Address)

Washington, D.C 20003

(City, State, Zip Code)

NA

(Phone Number)

Case No

is be
against them.

8884

and

the jail

duct a

ased on
nd more
unusual

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- 1.) Judge, Maribeth Raffinan
- 2.) Judge, Neal Kravits
- 3.) Attorney, Kristin McGough
- 4.) Attorney Russell Hairston
- 5.) Attorney Quo Judkins
- 6.) Attorney Marnitta King

- 7.) St. Elizabeth's Hospital
- 8.) DC Jail-CDF (D.O.C)
- 9.) Susan Ellis, Attorney

RELATED CASES

United States of America v. Anthony Braxton, CCN; 2017 CF118884

United States of America v. Anthony Braxton, CCN; 2020 CF2 004254

Anthony Braxton v. Kristin McGough, CCN; 2021 CA 004929 B

Anthony Braxton v. Marnitta King, CCN; 2022 CA 001724

Anthony Braxton v. Russell Hairston, CCN; 2022 CA 000467 B

Anthony Braxton v. Quo Judkins, CCN; 2022 CA 001730 M

Anthony Braxton v. St. Elizabeth's Hospital, CCN; 2022 CA 1747 B

Anthony Braxton v. DC Jail-CDF (D.O.C), CCN; 2021 CA 004951 B

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806 (1975)	
Washington v. Texas, 388 U.S. 14, 19 (1967)	
United States of America v. Todd Engel No. 18-10293	
Glasser v. United States, 315 U.S. 60, 68-77 (1942)	
McMann v. Richardson, 397 U.S. 759 (1970)	
Snyder v. Massachusetts, 291 U.S. 97 (1934)	
Minder v. Georgia, 183 U.S. 559 (1902)	
Rock v. Arkansas, 483 U.S. 44 (1987)	

STATUTES AND RULES

CANON 1 Rule 1.3.	CANON 2 Rule 2.10	Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 8.3
CANON 2 Rule 2.2	CANON 2 Rule 2.11	Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 1.1
CANON 2 Rule 2.3	CANON 2 Rule 2.12	Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 1.7
CANON 2 Rule 2.4	CANON 2 Rule 2.13	
CANON 2 Rule 2.5	CANON 2 Rule 2.15	
CANON 2 Rule 2.6	CANON 2 Rule 2.16	
CANON 2 Rule 2.8	Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 4.1	
CANON 2 Rule 2.9	Rules of Professional Conduct Rule 3.3	

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____ N/A _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____ N/A _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____ N/A _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ N/A _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____ N/A _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Fifth Amendment

Sixth Amendment

Fourteenth Amendment

Eighth Amendment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I have been deprived of the right to present a defense by the Judges in case no. 2017 CF 18884. It began with me being admitted to St. Elizabeth's Hospital on two separate occasions in response of me asking for attorneys Kristin McGough and Russell Hairston to be removed from the case. I was abused while at St. Elizabeth's and upon being returned to the jail I was also abused here. Judge Neal Kravits and Judge Maribeth Raffinan has refused to address any of my safety issues here at the jail, When I was returned back to the jail I was allowed to represent myself by Judge Kravits, but the minute I began to challenge my previous attorneys conduct he revoked my self representation. I eventually filed civil suits against Ms. McGough and Mr. Hairston but this has only worsened the attacks. Judge Maribeth Raffinan has joined in on the attacks when she arrived on the case. I have also filed suits against attorneys Quo Jenkins, and Marnita King, I am in the process of filing one against Susan Ellis.

Judge Raffinan is continuing to force these attorneys on me despite the conflict of interest and my refusing to consult with them.

I have attempted to resolve these issues through the court of Appeals but they respond by stating that I am not providing enough evidence. However, it is impossible for me to do so when I am being denied law library services and court records.

While being abused I am also being denied Mental Health Services and at this exact moment I am suffering from being mased twice by officers on 10-21-22 before attending a hearing for a suit that I have against the jail and I was not given any medical treatment. This took place because I asked to speak to a supervisor.

I am including the Disciplinary Reports with this motion. My skin has been burned off of my Kneck as a result.

I am also including an Order I received from Judge Raffinan on 10-26-22

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Judge Raffinan is not only sabotaging the criminal case she oversees but also the civil suits that are pending. I am even forced to present this motion to you all with it's deficiencies because of her abuse towards me. No matter what I do to try to resolve the issues in my case, Judge Kravits and now Judge Raffinan only seek to make things worse.

The plaintiff in my criminal case #2017CF18884 is Nicole Clarke, she is my son's mother, she has a degree in criminal law and her sister Clarissa Clarke is a Hyattsville Md. Police officer. I have consulted with my first attorney Judith Pipe and informed her of this and of some suspicions of mine that the Plaintiff's sister has encouraged officers to frame me and cover for the plaintiff. I referred Ms. Pipe to a 2017 P.G. County case I had when the plaintiff had her sister to steal/remove evidence from a case I had there.

I was wrongfully arrested and now these parties are seeking to cover this up. I am fighting with all my strength to keep myself together emotionally and mentally but Judge Raffinan is continuing to harass me and allow these attorneys to harass me, despite the suits I have against them.

I have a right to represent myself and to be kept safe

I have a right to equal protection under the law but I am being deprived of that. There are way too many issues concerning my safety and rights being violated here at the jail to mention at this time, Judge Kravits and Judge Raffinan has ignored these issues and may even be responsible.

I am being forced by Judge Maribeth Raffin to proceed in my case in an incompetent manner and with attorneys who I share a conflict of interest with and unless you all intervene and grant this writ of certiorari I will continue to be deprived of all of my rights and wrongfully convicted. I use the term incompetent manner because I am being denied law library services, access to my court/case records and legal assistance.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony Braxton

Date: October 27, 2022