

22-6048

No. _____

FILED

OCT 31 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ST EX REL

MARTIN ROBINSON — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

WARDEN, TOM SCHWEITZER — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MOTION FOR HEARING/TRIAL; APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL; ORAL ARGUMENT

MARTIN ROBINSON, WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED
(Your Name) ACTUALLY INNOCENT.

REDACT PER FED. CIV. R. 5.2

PO BOX 80033

(Address)

TOLEDO, OH, 43608

(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

NOV - 8 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

"PUT GOD FIRST"

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

"A MAN'S HOME IS HIS CASTLE" MOTION TO ARREST JUDGMENT R.C. 2947.02

I AM NOT AN ATTORNEY, THUS I PRESERVE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS - WHY AM I SUING THIS COURT, ITS' JUSTICES, ITS' CLERKS, THE TRIAL, AND APPEALS COURTS? FOR FAILING TO REVIEW AND HEAR LOWER COURTS' DECISIONS PER 28 USC 1254, 1257. AM I PERMANETLY DISABLED? YES. HAVE I MOTIONED FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORAL ARGUMENT DUE TO MY DISABILITIES? YES, ALSO DUE TO WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENT AND INDIGENCY, OAC 120-1-03; R.C. 120.06, 2305.43; ADA

ARE STATE OF OHIO COURTS FAILING TO FOLLOW WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS LAW SERIES 2725.01-2725.28 R.C. PROPERLY? YES. WHY? IN PART, THIS COURT FAILING TO REVIEW, EXAMPLES ROBINSON V. OHIO, 2022 U.S. LEXIS 2813; ROBINSON V. SUFFOLD, 2022 U.S. LEXIS 2472; ROBINSON V. BAILEY, 2022 U.S. LEX 912. SCOTUS, WHERE IS "EQUAL JUSTICE UNDER LAW," BECAUSE I AM NOT EXPERIENCING IT? OTHERS I TALK TO ARE NOT EXPERIENCING IT, WHY? WAS I FORCED TO WEAR A JAIL UNIFORM TO JURY TRIAL? YES! IS THIS ON ITS OWN A VIOLATION OF CASE LAW, OHIO, AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONS? DID I SUFFER TRIAL AND APPEAL INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE? CLEARLY! DID I PROVIDE CASE LAW, OH. AND U.S. CONST ARGUMENTS? YES. DID I MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PER ORC. 2945.79? YES. RE-MOTION FOR LORAIN COUNTY PROSECUTOR TO PRODUCE THE "BRADY EVIDENCE." ALMOST 2,000 PAGES OF TRANSCRIPTS, "INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE," "JURY TAMPERING, BRIBERY." WHY HASN'T S.C.D. ISSUED A STAY? WHY DO THEY DENY DISCRETIONARY REVIEW? WHY DID 12TH D. COURT OF APPEALS FAIL TO FOLLOW R.C. 2501.12 AND S.C.O.? WHY DON'T THE COURTS ALLOW US TO USE THE ELTRONIC FILING PORTAL? HOWSON V. DEL. CNTY JAIL, 2022-OH-1837? WHY ARE MY RIGHTS ENSURED BY MINOR V. HAPPERTSETT (1875) AND OTHER CASES BEING VIOLATED, REPEATEDLY? 88 U.S. 162. STATE V. PIESCIUK, 2006 OHIO MISC. LEXIS 3917; 2005-OH-5767... 2004...

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[x] All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

DOJ...

RELATED CASES

STV. BRADLEY, 2005-OH-6533; ESTELLE V. WILLIAMS, FLYNN V. HOLBROOK, KENTUCKY V. TAYLOR;
BAILEY V. ROBINSON, 2021-OH-2742, 3730; ROBINSON V. BAILEY, 2022 U.S. LEXIS 912; STV. ROBINSON,
2021-OH-2461; STEPHEN ROBINSON V. 2021-OH-2483, 2794; STEPHEN ROBINSON V. DORR, 2021 OH 1202,
1290, 1896; ROBINSON V. BARROW, 2011 USDLX 113671, 2012 USDLX 33141, 38896; MCCOY V. OHIO 2022 WMP
9434
ATACEK V. ROBINSON, 2021 USAPPEX 1293, 9197; ROBINSON V. SIMONE, 2020 USAPPEX 17661...;
ROBINSON V. BUTLER, 2021 USAL 51920...; ROBINSON V. SAFFOLD, 2022 U.S. LEXIS 2472;
STV. ROBINSON 2020 OH 4502; ROBINSON V. OHIO, 2022 U.S. LEXIS 2813, 3...;
ROBINSON V. SCHWEITZER, 2022-OH-2765...3636...

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6
<i>PROOF OF SERVICE</i>	7

INDEX TO APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A ROBINSON V. SCHWEITZER, 2022-OHIO-568
CA2021-08-015 FEBRUARY 28, 2022 12 D. COURT OF APPEALS
- APPENDIX B ~~ST EX~~ REL ROBINSON V SCHWEITZER, CV#20210107 MADISON COUNTY
COMMON PLEAS SUSTAIN DISMISS JULY 21, 2021
- APPENDIX C ROBINSON V. SCHWEITZER, 2022-OHIO-1893
JUNE 07, 2022 (2022-0326)
- APPENDIX D ROBINSON V. SCHWEITZER, 2022-OHIO-2765
AUGUST 16, 2022
- APPENDIX E ROBINSON V SCHWEITZER, 2022-OHIO-3636
OCTOBER 19, 2022
- APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
QUESTIONS PRESENTED <i>M. IVOR V. HAPPEL SETT</i> , 88 U.S. 162 (1875)	=
RELATED CASES <i>STATE V. PIESCIUK</i> , 2005 OH 5767...	=
<i>HAINES V. KERNER</i> , 404 U.S. 519...	4
<i>BLADY V. MARYLAND</i> , 373 U.S. 83	4
<i>FARMER V. BRENNAN</i> , 511 U.S. 825	4
<i>MARYLAND V. BALTIMORE RADIO SHOW, INC.</i> , U.S. LEXIS 2435 (1950), 338 U.S. 912	5
<i>CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO V. SHEEHAN</i> , 2015 U.S. LEXIS 3200, 575 U.S. 600	5
<i>STRICKLAND V. WASHINGTON</i> , 466 U.S. 668...	4
<i>ST. V. BKAOLEY</i> , 2005 OH 6533	4
<i>ROBINSON V. BARROW</i> , 2012 USDCLEXIS 38896	4
<i>ST. EX REL JACKSON V. DALLMAN</i> , 1994 OH 235...; <i>McFAUL</i> , 1995 OH 228...	4
STATUTES AND RULES	3, 4
- OH. CIV. R. 4 CRIM. R. 4, 9	3, 4
- OAC 120-1-03	
- R.C. 2305.43; 120.06; 2501.12; 2725...; 2945.79; 2947.02; 2901	3, 4, 5
- 28 USC 1257, 2254, 2101, 2350	2, 3, 4, 5
OTHER	
ADA	3, 4

PRISONER RIGHTS AND POOR/DEPLORABLE CONDITIONS

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.
MOTION FOR HEARING/TRIAL; APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL; ORAL ARGUMENT

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from state courts:


The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix E, C to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at ROBINSON V. SCHWEITZER, 2022014-3636, 1893; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the 12 D. COURT OF APPEALS court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at ROBINSON V. SCHWEITZER, 2022014 568; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

1.



JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was JUNE 07, 2022.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: AUGUST 16, 2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix D.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

RETURN PETITIONER ROBINSON'S HOME AND PROPERTY.

PROSECUTOR MISCONDUCT, JUDICIAL ABUSE OF DISCRETION, JURY TAMPERING,
INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, STATE COURT FAILURE TO REVIEW AND
HOLD ON MERITS, RACIS, PREJUDICE, DISCRIMINATION, ADA / CHAIN OF CUSTODY,
ENTRAPMENT, RETALIATION.., FAILURE TO APPOINT COUNSEL AT EVERY STEP.

WOULDN'T YOU LIKE TO LET PETITIONER OUT WHO DOESN'T BELONG
IN PRISON, DIDN'T COMMIT THE OFFENSES HE'S ACCUSED OF, WRONGFULLY
CONVICTED... ASK THE COMMUNITY ABOUT HIS CHARACTER, ASK HIS FORMER
EMPLOYER, ASK HIS FORMER COLLEGE, HIS.

DUE PROCESS. MAP APPROVED 367 U.S. 643...
BUT CONST. ART 1 SECTIONS 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 10A, 11, 12,
INVASION OF PRIVACY 14, 15, 16, 19
UNLAWFUL SEARCH AND SEIZURE... ART. IV SEC. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 17, 18, 20
ART. XV SEC. 7, 10

R.C. 3.08

U.S. CONST.: ART 1 SEC 1; ART 11 SEC 4; ART. III SEC 1;

ART. VI, C 12. SUPREME LAW, C 13 OATH OF OFFICE; AMENDMENTS 1, 2, 4,
5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11...

STATUTORY: 28 USCS 1257, 2101, 2254, 2350...;

OR.C. 3.08 OR.C. 120.06, 2305.43, 2501.12, 2725...;

2945.79, 2947.02... 2901.22...

OTHER: OAC 120-1-03, 5120-9...; ADA; CRIM.R. 4, 9, CIV.R. 4

FAILURE TO INVEST BY STATE AGENCIES, OAG, ...

EMOTIONAL SUPPORT ANIMALS / MEDICAL MARIJUANA

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS IS A CASE OF ABUSE OF POWER / AUTHORITY
AND ENTRAPMENT / DISCRIMINATION / ATTEMPTED MURDER / CONSPIRACY /
ABDUCTION... PUBLIC OFFICIALS CONTINUING TO COVER UP
MISFEASANCES OF JUSTICE AGAINST PETITIONER ROBINSON WHO IS
PERMANENTLY DISABLED SINCE JULY 10, 2009. ROBINSON V. BARRON, 2012 ASDL
38896; ST. EX REL ROBINSON V. ST (OBWC) 2021-04-2794. RC. 2305.43,
2501.12, 120.06, 2725..., 2945.79, 2947.02;

OAC 120-1-03; 28 USCS 1254, 1257, 2254.
COURT CHARGING FEES / BILLING FRAUDULENTLY.
I AM NOT AN ATTORNEY, HAINES V. KERNER, BRADY V. MARYLAND

FARMER V. BRENNAN. I DEMAND REVIEW, REMAND, RELEASE
PENDING INVESTIGATION, IMMEDIATELY. REFUSED TO HEAR CASES ON
MERITS. VIOLATIONS OF OR AND US. CONSTITUTIONS.

VIOLATIONS OF CIV. AND CRIM. R. 4, 9...

NO CRIM. SUMMONS WAS SERVED UPON ROBINSON PRIOR
TO WARRANT ISSUANCE AND SERVICE.

ROBINSON FORCED TO WEAR JAIL UNIFORM TO JURY TRIAL...
ST. EX REL JACKSON V. PALLMAN, 1994 OH 235...; McFAUL, 1995 OH 228... ST. BRADLEY OSBORNE
6533... JURY TAMPERING AND BRIBERY...
INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, ST. GILKINS V. WASHINGTON, 466 U.S. 668.

IMMUNITY / TRESPASSING, STEALING FAILED TO CONTACT

MY PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN PRIOR TO ARREST... RC. 2305.43
BLATANT RETALIATION... LACK OF JURISDICTION, PRIMA FACIE...

WHAT HAPPENED TO TERESA SHEEHAN? IS SHE STILL IN CUSTODY?
IS SHE GETTING THE MENTAL HEALTH HELP SHE ~~NEEDS~~ MAY NEED?

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

T.A. AL FILED CR 417.01 INTENT, PURPOSE... RC 2901.22... JUDGES OBLIGATION... JURY INSTRUCTION...
28 U.S.C. 1257 ACT JUNE 27, 1988

(((1) BY APPEAL, WHERE IS DRAWN IN QUESTION THE VALIDITY OF A TREATY OR STATUTE OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE DECISION IS AGAINST ~~THE~~ ITS VALIDITY.

(2) BY APPEAL, WHERE IS DRAWN IN QUESTION THE VALIDITY OF A STATUTE OF ANY STATE (THIS CASE OHIO) ON THE GROUND OF ITS BEING REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION, TREATIES OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, AND THE DECISION IS IN FAVOR OF ITS VALIDITY.

(3) BY WRIT OF CERTIORARI, WHERE THE VALIDITY OF A TREATY OR STATUTE OF THE UNITED STATES IS DRAWN IN QUESTION OR WHERE THE VALIDITY OF A STATE (OHIO) STATUTE IS DRAWN IN QUESTION ON THE GROUND OF ITS BEING REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION, TREATIES OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, OR WHERE ANY TITLE, RIGHT, PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY IS SPECIALLY SET UP OR CLAIMED UNDER THE CONSTITUTION, TREATIES OR STATUTES OF, OR COMMISSION HELD OR AUTHORITY EXERCISED UNDER, THE UNITED STATES. "

THIS PETITION FALLS UNDER ALL THREE, THUS IT SHOULD BE GRANTED.

28 USCS 2350 STAY OF EXECUTION, CERTIFICATION, CERTIFIED CONFLICT
§ 2101

ACCORDING TO FED. HABE. CORP. PROC. § 39.2, THERE IS AN ISSUE SELECTION THAT TAKES PLACE BY VOTING OF ITS MEMBERS. PETITIONER REQUESTS PROOF OF THIS AND EACH OF HIS PREVIOUS PETITIONS THAT THIS TOOK PLACE AND WANTS A CERTIFIED COPY OF THE OUTCOME. MARYLAND V. BALTIMORE RADIO SHOW, INC. ¹⁹⁵⁰ US 2435 (1950),
338 US 912. ADA IN THIS CASE, AS WELL AS O.R.C. 2305.143 COMPARE TO, CITY & CNTY. OF SAN FRANCISCO
V. SHEEHAN, 2015 U.S. LEVIS 3200 (2015), 575 U.S. 600. ORIGINAL TRIAL COURT LACKED JURISDICTION IN THIS CASE. YOU MUST REVIEW! 28 USCS 2254.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted, *WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED*



Date: OCTOBER 29, 2022

