

App. 1

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**IN RE: GARTH JANKE,**  
*Appellant*

---

2022-1274

---

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trade-  
mark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No.  
16/781,695.

---

**JUDGMENT**

---

(Filed Oct. 6, 2022)

GARTH JANKE, Salem, OR, argued pro se.

KAKOLI CAPRIHAN, Office of the Solicitor, United  
States Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA,  
argued for appellee Katherine K. Vidal. Also repre-  
sented by THOMAS W. KRAUSE, AMY J. NELSON,  
MAUREEN DONOVAN QUELER, FARHEENA YASMEEN  
RASHEED.

---

THIS CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is  
ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

App. 2

PER CURIAM (REYNA, HUGHES, and CUNNINGHAM,  
*Circuit Judges*).

**AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.**

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

October 6, 2022  
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner  
Peter R. Marksteiner  
Clerk of Court

---

App. 3

UNITED STATES PATENT AND  
TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND  
APPEAL BOARD

---

*Ex parte* GARTH JANKE

---

Appeal 2021-005284  
Application 16/781,695  
Technology Center 2100

---

Before MARC S. HOFF, BETH Z. SHAW, and JOYCE  
CRAIG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CRAIG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION ON APPEAL

(Filed Nov. 18, 2021)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 134(a), Appellant<sup>1</sup> appeals from the Examiner's decision to reject claims 21–35. *See* Final Act. 1. Claims 6–20 have been canceled. *See id.* at 2. Claims 1–5 were withdrawn from consideration previously, as a result of a restriction requirement, but after a successful Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.144,

---

<sup>1</sup> We use the word “Appellant” to refer to “applicant” as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.42. Appellant identifies the named inventor, Garth Janice, as the real party in interest. Appeal Br. 2.

App. 4

were rejoined and allowed. Ans. 14. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b). We AFFIRM.

CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The claims are directed to a product and process for enabling a clog-resistant feature in a hand-held "lawn and garden" type leaf rake. *See* Spec. Title, Field of the Invention. Claim 21, reproduced below, illustrates the claimed subject matter:

21. A process for enabling a clog-resistant feature in a handheld leaf rake, comprising installing a first mathematical model on a computer, the first mathematical model describing first mathematical equations that define a leaf rake head product comprising a plurality of independent raking tines extending from a common body portion, wherein each raking tine has an entire length over which the raking tine is an elongate member first extending substantially linearly, thence turning through a distinct bent portion, and thence again extending substantially linearly to define an elongate raking portion of the raking tine terminating in a raking tip, the bent portion extending over less than 20% of said length, the product further comprising, in each of the plurality of raking tines, at least one hole extending through and enclosed by the respective raking portion.

Appeal Br. 28 (Claims App.).

## App. 5

### REJECTION

Claims 21-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to a judicial exception, without significantly more. Final Act. 3-13.

### ANALYSIS

Appellant asks the Board to affirm the §101 rejections of claims 21-35. Appeal Br. 6. Appellant agrees with the Examiner's § 101 rejections and presents no arguments that the claims are patent eligible. *Id.* at 5-6; Reply Br. 2. Arguments not made are forfeited. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(iv).

Appellant uses the remainder of the Appeal Brief to explain why Appellant disagrees with the Supreme Court's § 101 jurisprudence, specifically the Court's decisions in *Gottschalk vs. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63 (1972) and *Parker vs. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584 (1978). Appeal Br. 6-26.

### DECISION

We affirm *pro forma* the decision of the Examiner rejecting claims 21-35 as directed to patent-ineligible subject matter.

### DECISION SUMMARY

Claims Rejected	35 U.S.C. §	Reference(s)/ Basis	Affirmed	Reversed
21-35	101	Eligibility	21-35	

App. 6

TIME PERIOD FOR RESPONSE

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). *See* 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(1)(iv).

AFFIRMED

---

App. 7

(19) <b>United States</b>	(10) <b>Pub. No.: US 2021/</b>
(12) <b>Patent Application</b>	<b>0337724 A1</b>
<b>Publication</b>	(43) <b>Pub. Date:</b>
<b>Janke</b>	<b>Nov. 4, 2021</b>

\* \* \*

1. A leaf rake head product for enabling a clog-resistant feature in a hand-held leaf rake, the leaf rake head product comprising a plurality of independent raking tines extending froth a common body portion, wherein each raking tine has an entire length over which the raking tine is an elongate member first extending substantially linearly, thence turning through a distinct bent portion, and thence again extending substantially linearly to define an elongate raking portion of the raking tine terminating in a raking tip, the bent portion extending over less than 20% of said length, the product further comprising, in each of the plurality of raking tines, at least one hole extending through and enclosed by the respective raking portion.

\* \* \*

21. A process for enabling a clog-resistant feature in a hand-held leaf rake, comprising installing a first mathematical describing first mathematical equations that define a leaf rake head product comprising a plurality of independent raking tines extending from a common body portion, wherein each raking tine has an entire length over which the raking tine is an elongate member first extending substantially linearly, thence turning through a distinct bent portion, and thence again extending substantially linearly to define an

App. 8

elongate raking portion of the raking tine terminating in a raking tip, the bent portion extending over less than 20% of said length, the product further comprising, in each of the plurality of raking tines, at least one hole extending through and enclosed by the respective raking portion.

\* \* \*

**26.** The process of claim **21**, further comprising applying the first mathematical model on a commercially available 3D printer to result in transforming the first mathematical model into a real leaf rake head product as defined by the first mathematical equations.

\* \* \*

---