## In the Supreme Court of the United States

HALIMA TARIFFA CULLEY, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

STEVEN T. MARSHALL, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALABAMA, ET AL.,

Respondents.

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I hereby certify that the Reply Brief for Petitioners in *Halima Tariffa Culley, et al. v. Steven T. Marshall, et al.*, S. Ct. No. 22-585, complies with the word limitations, because it contains 5,998 words, excluding the parts of the document exempted by Rule 33.1(d).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: September 13, 2023

Shav Dvoretzky