

★ (*) GLEN JONES WARD (UCCS-1-308-(U&Appl.ES-1-103-))
 Full Name/Prisoner Name L100C#111351-BY DCSS-18-2901,18 USC SS-1001-
 [BY] IDAHO BOARD OF CORRECTION; AS THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; ALL &
 AT: THE IDAHO...
 IDAHO STATE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION (I.S.C.I.)
 POST-OFFICE; BOX: 0014
 BOISE, IDAHO. 83707-0014 <Z.I.P. CODE>
 Complete Mailing Address L CURRENT LOCATION

Plaintiff/Defendant
 (circle one)

AS WITH ANY AND ALL AUDIENCE(S) [AND VENUE(S)] INCLUDED/ING:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RECEIVED
 DEC 20 2022
 OFFICE OF THE CLERK
 SUPREME COURT, U.S.

INCLUDING (WITHOUT LIMITING) ALL VENUE(S) [COURT(S) MEDIA/UM(S)] NOW AND HENCE

AS WITH ALL: PARTY(S) PERSON(S) SIMILARLY SITUATED; AND
 ★ (*) GLEN JONES WARD (UCCS-1-308-(U&Appl.ES)
 Plaintiff/Petitioner, L 1-103-)
 Full name(s) L AND HARMED PARTY(S))
 vs.)
 OPPOSING PARTY(S); INCLUDING (WITHOUT LIMITING):)
 ★ (*) ALBERTO RAMIREZ (AS: I.B.O.C. TO: I.O.O.C.) BY)
 ID. CONST. ART. X, SS. 5 et seq. & I.C. SS. 15-5-101(a))
 L 20-209(1) L AND ALL named parties; etc.)
 Defendant/Respondent(s),)
 Full name(s))

★ AS WITH ALL APPLICATIONS (IN GENERAL): &
 UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DOCKET/
 CASE NO. 22-5794
 IN RESPONSE TO DISMISSAL OF: PETITION
 FOR: WRIT OF CERTIORARI FROM: (9TH) 21-35852-
 1:20-CV-00141-D.C.N.; ID. CV. 2016, 3569, PC: 46265-2018
 ★ PETITION FOR REHEARING [U.S.S.C.R. 44.2]
 AS (WARD) INSISTS HE'S ENTITLED RELIEF.
 ★ IN PART, RESPONSE TO DISMISSAL; WITHOUT
 EXPLANATION, AS OPPOSING PARTY(S) WAIVER OF
 RESPONSE; AND AS (WARD) IS COMPLIANT. AA
 YET, REMEDIES REFUSED; AS ALL LOWER COURTS-DEFAULTED.
 [MORE THAN MERELY DISMISS CASE; ON ERRONEOUS-LATE CLAIM].
 ★ FURTHER FOLLOWING MAIL-BOX-RULE; APPLICATION(S).
 ★ (WARD) CRIES PERPETUAL ASSUMPT FOR HIS REMEDYS
 ★ (WARD) NEEDS NAMES OF AFFIRMING/DESSENTING
 JUSTICES [THIS TIME-CLARITY]; FOR: PETITION (OOO).
 LEST ALL.

★ (WARD) RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PUBLISH [ALL] (FOR: REMEDY),

COMES NOW (GLEN JONES WARD) Plaintiff/Defendant (circle one) in the above
 entitled SITUATION BEFORE THESE AUDIENCE(S) [COURT(S) MEDIUM(S)] AS:

1. ORIGINAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI; AND 3 SUBSEQUENT (AND UNDERSTOOD) RULE CONGRUENT FILINGS [INCLUDING CONFIRMATION-COUNSEL FOR: RAMIREZ WAIVER]; WERE ALL TIMELY FILED (AFFORDING [ALL] JURISDICTIONS; CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS) AND [ENTITLEMENT TO]; (WARD'S) REMEDIES. (WARD) EVEN INCLUDING PRELIMINARY ARGUMENTS (QUASI-BRIEF) TO COURT.
2. (WARD) COMPLETED, AND COMPLIED WITH ALL (UNDERSTOOD) DESPITE LIMITATIONS -- APPLYING INCOMPLETE COURT RULES] U.S. SUPREME COURT FILING REQUIREMENTS, AND COURT/CLERK RESPONSES; EVEN PRESENTING; AND REPRESENTING DOCUMENTATION - TO: CONFIRM; CLARIFY; AND VALIDATE SAID PETITION.
3. WHILE SOME OF THE WORDING - IN - PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI [Example: (3) IN: REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION - INCLUDED GRAPHIC(?) / SCANDALOUS LANGUAGE(?). SUCH MATERIAL IS THE REPORTED -

★ (*) (WARD'S) READING OF COURT RULES BOOKLET; AFFORDS - DISMISSAL OF A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI, WITH AN EXPLANATION FOR DISMISSAL.
 PETITION FOR REVIEW OF PETITION [ALSO] - 1 FURTHERMORE, WHERE ZERO JUSTICE'S NAMES WERE
 AND RECONSIDER U.S. SUP. CT. RULE 44.2 MENTIONED [ALL???]; RATHER THAN: AMY CONNEY BERRETT;
 KATANJI BROWN JACKSON? [SPELLING???] (OOO) DENY PETITION
 ★ PETITION FOR REHEARING [U.S.S.C.R. 44.2] - pg. 1 of 3 FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI; BASED ON: (OOO), COMPELLING
 EXCLUDING FREE REVIEW DOCTRINE? (WARD) TO CONCLUDE COURT RULES; DISREGARDED - AGAINST-
 Revised 3/24/16 - EXAMPLE? RON. VS. WARD?? (H.M.)

[AA]: ID-CR-2013-1329-PE [PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION] • POST [FRAUDULENTLY-INDUCED]-PLEA (GUILTY) AGREEMENT.
[BA]: (...WARD...) IS INUNDATED WITH REGURGITORY [ABUSE-HISTORY] QUESTIONING, COMPELLING HIM TO DISREGARD [?] (CIVILITY) [AGAINST HIS SPRINGING AND BETTER JUDGMENT] (TO A POINT?); DURING-REQUIRED-DISCLOSURES.
[CA] SUCH AN ALARMING (AND SCANDALOUS) TERM, WAS THE PROFESSIONAL ASSESSMENTS OF R.T.'S & THEA'S MEDICAL; AND MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS; PRE-SEX ABUSE, ALLEGATIONS, NOT BY (...WARD...)

- AS THE KEY [HERESAY] SUBJECT MATTER; INCLUDED IN THE ORIGINAL [FRAUDULENT] CRIMINAL CASE [AA] AND FOR (...WARD...) TO EXCLUDE SUCH LANGUAGE, WOULD- IN HIS VIEW- DO A SERIOUS INSERVICE TO JUSTICE [BA] ALONG WITH ARGUMENT: "G. ENTRAPMENT (PG. V) (OO) R.T. → PSYCHOPATHS (??) [CA] 000" IN: "STATEMENT OF THE CASE."
4. WHILE SIDE NOTATIONS [Example: (PRELIMINARY ARGUMENT <#5>)] (...WARD...) COMMISSIONED [SECOND LIEUTENANT] IN (SPECIAL?) U.S. AIR-FORCE DETACHMENT 000 (PETITION-PG/PT. V) WHILE EXCESSIVE (TO SOME) WAS BELIEVED (BY: (...WARD...)) AS NECESSARY. WHERE (PER: BOTH CONSTITUTIONS AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS; ETC.): (A): NO CRIME WAS COMMITTED (...IC 18-201...); (B): NO: COUNTY, COURT, [DA] NOR JURISDICTION-EXISTED; (C) [QUESTIONING]: OFFICER [J. MARLEY]; OUT OF JURISDICTION; & WITHOUT: CAUSE; ETC.
5. PETITION AND (PRE-DISMISSAL) FILINGS HIGHLIGHTED IN CRAYON (GLAZE??); AND COLORED INK. APPARENTLY-CLARITY-[AND LESS STRENUOUS READING] IS DISALLOWED BY THE SUPREME COURT. SADLY, (...WARD...) WAS MADE AWARE OF THIS-POST-PETITION FILING (??). [EA] (did ANY JUDGE EVEN READ PETITION?) (a) LEGAL PACKET GAVE NO PRECAUTIONS: "AVOID CRAYONS"; "ABUSIVE LANGUAGE"; FOR GUIDANCE.
6. WHERE PART OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI LANGUAGE (AND THIS PETITION) INCLUDES INJUNCTIVE TERMINOLOGY? (...WARD...) NOT A LAWYER; IS CONFUSED. APPEALS; PETITIONS; ETC. ARE THEMSELVES [ALL] REQUESTS FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (SUCH RULES APPEAR-SUPERFICIALLY-CONTRADICTING); ETC.
(A). (...WARD...) -RELUCTANTLY- ENTERED; GRANTING WRIT: "EN PARO" BY-REMANDING SUCH BACK TO HABEAS; ETC. [FA]
(B). SENTENCE (U.S. CODE 18 § 2244(a)(1): [10 YEARS] (...WARD... GIVEN 18 YRS & 1000 YR REGISTRATION [ON NOSSEX] (OO))
7. [UPON RECEIPT OF: U.S. SUPREME COURT RULES-MANUAL?]. (...WARD...), IN A BIT OF DISMAY [BOTH OF SAID REHEARING PETITION [OPTION]; AND DISMISSAL (PETITION) WITHOUT CAUSE, NOR CLARITY (UNLESS COURT-UNANIMOUSLY-DISMISSSED ALL; AS INAPPROPRIATELY PRESENTED -- (...WARD...), OBLIVIOUS)] EXERCISED--NEWLY DISCOVERED--PETITION FOR REHEARING. IN EXHAUSTION (PROPER?) OF ALL (...WARD...)'S ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES [PREVIOUSLY UNKNOWN TO (...WARD...)]
(A). (...WARD...) HAS [REPEATEDLY?] CITED: "U.S. DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE [ENGLAND]"; "TRIED AT SEA FOR PRETEND OFFENCES [??]". INDICATING 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(B)(ii). WITH EVIDENCE (...WARD...) PRESENTED TABLE OF CONTENTS [CERTIORARI]; AND NOTICE OF APPEAL (9TH CIRCUIT) VALIDATING 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (e)(1). & WHERE (...WARD...) TRAINED (U.S. COAST GUARD, AUX). "ADMIRAL(?)
(B) PER: RULE: 11. 9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (DKT#21-35852) DENIED CERT OF APPEALABILITY; AND REVIEW.
(C) PARTY [I.R.C.P. 2.6(b)(2)]; IS NO LONGER A MINOR! AND REPEATED COURT RECORDS LACK CLARITY [ERRORS]!!
8. FONT SIZE TOO SMALL (??). (...WARD...) ENJOYS CLARITY ALSO; yet (!), lower case letters can become confusing. Especially when writing in tight spaces (without guidance to space); far enough apart that [Example] words blend; & letters. (CAPITAL LETTERS-THOUGH-LESS SO. [EA]
9. ACCORDING TO U.S. SUPREME COURT RULES; AND (Rule 14.1 (vi)) [??] DOCUMENTS CAN BE INTRODUCED TO A [THIS] PETITION [FOR REHEARING] TO ADD CLARITY. (...WARD...) DOES-AWAIT ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION (ASIDE FROM 6 SIGNATURE STATEMENT; 4 SIGNATURE STATEMENT; ETC. ALREADY PRESENTED IN -ORIGINAL- PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI [ASSUMING ANYONE EVEN BOTHERED TO LOOK]) TO VALIDATE (a) INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL; (b) ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY [(...WARD...)'S DEFENCE...]; (c) SCANDALOUS LETTER, WRITTEN BY THEA C. WARD [HER FAMILY'S ABUSE-DATED 2009], VALIDATING MALICE, AND LETTER OF INTENT [TO UNLAWFULLY COMMIT (...WARD...) TO A MENTAL INSTITUTION, TO PROTECT THEA'S: SELF-INTERESTS] (AGAINST: (...WARD...); (d) AND OTHER-VALID DOCUMENTATION [HELD IN (...WARD...)'S STORAGE (FAMILY HOMESTEAD); BEING SUBJECTED TO STATE (IDAHO) UNFAIR CONTROL (AS: SCOT BRUCE WARD IDOC#79600 -WHO DID? HAVE SEX WITH HIS DAUGHTER, AND GLEN'S-ORIGINAL-[GAY??] SEX [IN: YOUTH] INSTRUCTOR - ON PAROLE, IS UNDER STATE SUPERVISION; AS IS ALL OF GLEN...S (...WARD...)'S EVIDENCE [IN: COMMUNITY] & PROPERTY (SCOT IS OLDER)
★ ALL OF THIS SAID? (...WARD...) PRESENTS? DOCUMENTATION (HERE) BELIEVED TO ADD CLARITY
(e) (...WARD...) ALSO HAS DOCUMENTATION (EXCLUDING SUCH PRESENTED); VALIDATING DENIED RELIGIOUS RIGHTS! -VIOLENT... ASSAULTED-
10. CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT-- ESCALATING (AGAINST (...WARD...)) BY [BOTH] OFFENDERS →

★ PETITION FOR REHEARING [U.S.S.C.R. 44.2]-pg. 2 of 3

[GA] ALL FONT SIZES (BY HAND) BELIEVED QUALIFIED.

Revised 3/24/16

[EA] ...?? FINE, (...WARD...) WILL LEAVE THIS UNHIGHLIGHTED AND PRESUME THAT (CLERK [& COURT]) WILL UNDERSTAND-IT.

[DA] PRELIMINARY ARGUMENTS: 1 → 4 (PT/PG. U → V) [PETITION]. CITE NUMEROUS CASES: "Alford, McQuiggin, MASSAH" (INCLUDING; HIGHLIGHTED-RELEVANT-ELEMENTS) VIOLATED.

[FA] (...WARD...) DID PRESENT 18 U.S.C. §§ 2244(a)(1) & 3582 § 3742 (ALL) TO HABEAS CORPUS COURT (DATE: JUN. 3, 2021-PG. 12); ETC. (AGAIN: DKT#46)

OF NOTE. ALL ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE, AND WEARY SPECULATION, LABELING THE FEDERAL RESERVE BANK AS THE BEAST (BIBLICAL AND LITERATURE ARTICLES - YET VERIFIED - LABELED IT SUCH), AND WHERE (...WARD...) PLACED "[?]" IN HIS PETITION (Head note "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS...") - IN PART - TO CRY FOR DOCUMENTARY CLARITY, (...WARD...) DOESN'T EVEN HAVE THE RIGHT TO ASK A QUESTION??

AND OFFICERS [THREATS; SANCTIONS; AND HEALTH REFUSALS, BY: ALL STAFF! GRIEVANCES - USELESS!!] AND [ATTEMPTED??] STATE HABEAS CORPUS (CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT - ID - CV01-22-04829 - REFUSED!!) (...WARD... INDIGENT) REQUIRED TO PAY: \$166.00 [BEFORE ADA COUNTY COURT, WILL MUST (...WARD...) DIE?] TO TEACH IDAHO, AND THE UNITED STATES A LESSON??

(A) SUCH - ADDITIONAL - CONSTRAINTS; (...WARD...) INSTITS IN COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 20(20.4(9)) 28 U.S.C. § 2242 & § 2254(b). [EA]

11 U.C.C. [Uniform Commercial Code]. APPLIED - AFTER NAME - AND BEFORE SIGNATURE (ESPECIALLY AFTER MALICIOUS HARRASSMENT; BY: I.D.O.C. STAFF; AND FRAUDULENT [POST] COURTS - AND OFFICERS). FIRST APPLYING "Without Prejudice [loss of rights]; And later: U.D. [Under Protest]" AS (...WARD... THOUGHT??) THEY WERE ALLOWED TO PEACABLY PROTEST - [HIS MISTAKE?]; LATER CONFRONTED BY: PARALEGAL (MS. LAURA ASHFORD #513) ABOUT THE USE OF: U.C.C. [AT: I.C.I.O (OROFINO)]. DATE 04/11/2017 [ANSWERED: 4/11/2017] SUMS UP THE [MAIN] POINT; OF WHY SUCH HAS BEEN SUBSEQUENTLY APPLIED (TO (...WARD...)'S MALICIOUS [BY: ALL OTHERS] DETRIMENT) - FINALLY(?) ALL FORMATS ARE BELIEVED CONGRUENT TO LAW & COURT POLICY(S).

Respectfully submitted this 5 day of DEC. [MON.] 2022. AND ACCRUING BY BOTH U.S. CODE; & IDAHO CODE [AS: UCC § 1-308... & ALL RIGHTS RESERVED... AT RATE (\$2,000 + PER DAY) AS 2018? 2018?]

[CLAUSE - B]

Plaintiff/Defendant (circle one) THIS MARK (1 USC § 1) MARKS AGAINST: (...WARD...) WHETHER PREVIOUSLY WRITTEN OR OTHERWISE - AS RESULT OF UNRELENTING ABUSES FOR: \$18,000,000 (800 & EQUIVALENT) ALL AWARDED (AND AFFORDED; AS EQUIVALENT) TO: MARKS MARKS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

BASED AGAIN UPON STRICT RELIANCE ON: 2A NOTE 12, 7, 2022 PEARL HARBOR DAY AND BIRTHDATE OF 2 (...WARD...) ACQUAINTANCES (SEE NOTE P BOTTOM) I.D.O.C. TO HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 7 day of DEC [WED.] 2022, ONE (1) PRESENT TO I.D.O.C. (AS: I.D.O.C. - BY: ID. CONST. ART. X, §§ 5 et seq & ID. CODE §§ 15-5-101(c) - 20-2-09(d)) STAFF to mailed a true and correct copy of the *** PETITION FOR REHEARING [U.S.C. RULE 442 via IDAHO PRISON SYSTEM STAFF (HOPING TO GET ALL TIMELY FILED...)] (MS.) SANDI FREELY #E387? ETC., prison mail system for processing to the U.S. mail system to [AGAIN: BASED UPON STRICT RELIANCE UPON SA MALICIOUS SOVEREIGN [CURRENT] STATE - ASSAILING - CAPTIVE I.D.O.C. STAFF].

IDAHO ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE; OFFICE OF DEPUTY
ATTORNEY GENERAL KALE D. GANS (CRIMINAL DIVISION)
700 WEST JEFFERSON STREET
POST-OFFICE; BOX: 83720
BOISE, IDAHO. 83720-0010 (Z.I.P. CODE)

[REPEAT] ABOVE [SPECIFICATION - A]

AS ABOVE [CLAUSE - B] STATES/INDICATES.

Plaintiff/Defendant (circle one) THIS MARK (1 USC § 1) ALSO PROTECTED: 2A PER DATE ABOVE? 10 DAYS - TO SPARE PER: RULE 44.2

6A (...WARD...) HAS STACKS OF DENIED GRIEVANCES; LEGAL ACTIONS; DOCUMENTARY OCCURRENCES AND MALICIOUS PRISON STAFF RHETORIC, PROVING PRISON POLICY - USELESS! FURTHERMORE VIOLENT < ROBBINS #8832 KILLION #10474; GLENN #67670 - (THREATENING) GUYTON #1442; GROSS #67624 [PREA?]; WILKINS #87098 ETC. AND - ALL - PRISON STAFF; HAVE < AND CONTINUE TO > TRAUMATIZE (...WARD...) THE RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT (< COURTS >) IN: PROTEST TO REDRESS GRIEVANCES (BY: (...WARD...)) HAS BEEN REPEATEDLY < AND MALICIOUSLY > DENIED < A 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT - IN ADDITION TO ALL OTHERS >. (...WARD...) WAS ASSURED BY: ALL THAT HIS PETITION FOR RELIEF FOLLOWING THE RULES OF THE SYSTEM; WOULD WORK THUS FAR? THAT HAS BEEN PROVEN FALSE, ALONG WITH HIS RELIGIOUS BELIEFS

*** PETITION FOR REHEARING [U.S.C. RULE 442] - PG. 5 of 3 *** PLEASE FORBEAR? FOR THE BELOW TRIBUTARIES (C) (...)

Revised 3/24/16

ALSO OF NOTE: (...WARD...)'S GREAT UNCLE RAYMOND BELNAP, WWII VETERAN, SERVING UNDER GENERAL GEORGE SMITH PATTON JR. (****) DIED: 2016 MAY

NOTE - P. BIRTHDATE OF GENEVIEVE PEAR NACHEN ASHBACKER < (...WARD...)'S AUNT > SON, DEC. 7, 1941 10 PM? AND ANNETTE WARD - NOELCKE - DEC. 7, 1961 < (...WARD...)'S SISTER - AND ONLY SURVIVING SISTER > (...WARD...)'S GREAT UNCLE - OWEN BELNAP WAS U.S. NAVY - STATIONED IN HAWAII - ON: SON. DEC. 07, 1941 [PEAR HARBOR]

FREE REVIEW WHICH U.S. SUP. COURT MUST
RESOLVE? BOTH RELATED!

My Family

#22-5794 - AFFIDICIARY NOTE:

COURT CLERK, AND RULES; CLAIM THAT INDECENT LANGUAGE IS UNSUITED FOR A COURT OF LAW. YET! COURTS ARE REQUIRED TO TOLERATE BEING INUNDATED WITH WORSE CONDITIONS; AND EVEN ASSAULTS! AND -REPEATEDLY- INSIST ON PUNISHMENT FOR SUCH FRIVILITY!?

WHAT'S MOST IRONIC; IS THAT THIS CASE-PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT- IS LACED WITH UNSUITABLE LANGUAGE (AND SUBJECT MATTER) THAT I FIND EQUALLY INDECENT (ALLEGATIONS FALSE; OF HAVING SEX WITH MY STEP-DAUGHTER). YET! SUCH WAS PRESENTED, AND ADJUDICATED; BY A [FICTICIOUS] COURT, ANYWAY!!

CONCLUSION? ★

THE COURT, OF LAST RESORT; REFUSES TO ACCEPT THE TRUTH!?? SUCH ACTS (AND DISMISSARY DECISIONS); ARE PURBLY PREJUDICIAL AGAINST WARD (UNDER THE GUISE OF FAIRNESS)!?

- ...AS:
1. NO PHYSICAL COERCION, OF R.T. - AND NO INTENT OF MALICE; AT ANY TIME.
 2. ALL ACTIONS - THERAPEUTIC - WERE APPROVED, PRIOR TO ACTION. WITH 90% OF PHYSICAL - DEMONSTRATIONS / INSTRUCTIONS (AND ASSISTANCE), WERE WITNESSED.
 3. NO THREATS OF VIOLENCE. ALL WERE ACTION'S AND INTENTIONS, WERE ACTUALLY INTENDED [AND CONVEYED - CLEARLY] TO AVERT THREATS TO R.T.; AND ALL ELSE.
 4. R.T.'S (AND ALL ELSE) DECISIONS, TO - ANY - PROPOSITIONS [AFTER CONFIRMATION - AND QUESTIONARY REITERATION] WERE RESPECTED CALMLY, AND CORDUALLY.
 5. I SAW R.T. FULLY CLOTHED - AT ALL TIMES; EXCEPT WEARING A SWIM SUIT. NOR AT ANY TIME DID I EVER SEE, OR TOUCH ANY TABOO LOCATIONS!! ^{Parestein}
 6. WHILE R.T. WAS PRETTY; I FELT NO OVERT EMOTIONAL, NOR SEXUAL ATTRACTION TO HER (Especially; where other OLDER WOMEN 30YRS+ EXPRESSED DESIRE TO BE INTIMATE WITH ME [CONSENT AGE ADULTS]; IF I SO CHOSE! EVEN BEFORE I MET R.T. (who was 10 YEARS OLD WHEN I FIRST MET HER.). BECIDES! SHE WAS - IN LOVE? - WITH A NICE YOUNG MAN.)
 7. NO D.N.A. WAS EVER TAKEN; NOR ANY RAPE KIT PERFORMED ON HER.
 8. THERE WERE NEVER [MASTERBATORY] CONSCIOUS FANTASIES OVER R.T. (I) ONLY - REPEATEDLY EXPERIENCED NIGHTMARES / NIGHT-TERRORS. [OF SEX WITH R.T.] ^{m?}

1. MUTATED MARFANS SYNDROME? &
2. DIABETES!
3. 8-12 PSYCHOSES!
4. ENDOMETRIOSIS!
5. 10+ WEEK MENSTRUAL CYCLES. [↓]
THUS; I.C. 818-201. ★
9. I WAS TRAINING AS A HOLISTIC HEALTH SPECIALIST; BY QUALIFIED PROFESSIONALS (EX: [LATE] DR. N. LEE RICHARDSON - N.D.) BEFORE EVER MEETING R.T.; THEN; BOTH, ETC. AND APPLIED WHAT I LEARNED, TO HELP THEM AND OTHERS! SUCH WAS ALSO WITNESSED (BY OTHERS, & WITH OTHERS). WAS ALSO STUDYING HEALTH IN COLLEGE (E.S.U.; ETC.)
10. WHILE - ORDINARILY - I WOULD HAVE WANTED TO PUNCH-OUT (OR WORSE) ANYONE GETTING INTIMATE WITH R.T. (JUST A KID; ETC.). HER TERRIBLE GENETIC HEALTH [CONFIRMED - BY ALL SPECIALISTS], WORSENING; HAD ME WORRIED, THAT I WOULD BE GUILTY OF CULPABLE NEGLIGENCE; IF I DIDNT DO SOMETHING! ^{m?}

11. SCOT WARD #79600 HAD SEX WITH HIS DAUGHTER; NOT ME! QUIT CONFUSING US!

DOCUMENTS & EXHIBITS

★ IT SHOULD BE NOTED. THAT AMONG THE LAST PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THAT COPIES OF COURT [FICTITIOUS JURISDICTION] TRANSCRIPTS [HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW] < (CRAYON)? INDICATED - TWICE - THAT SEX NEVER HAPPENED!! Hearing held WED, 05, 01, 2013 AND ALL CONTINUE TO PROJECT NEGATIVITY ON TO ME, FOR MY EFFORTS

The Penguin WEBSTER Handy College Dictionary (2003) ISBN# 0 14 20.0314X [Pg. 365]
BA "Intervene (in'tar-ven) v.i. 1, happen between things, persons, or events, 2, intercede;
interfere. - in'ter-ven'tion (-ven'shon) n. - End?

A★★ PLEASE ALSO READ AFFIDICARY NOTE < BACK OF FRONT PAGE >

(3) MY INTENT HERE - CANNOT BE TO DELAY (??) ISN'T A PETITION FOR REHEARING

A REPRIEVE/DELAY? I'M LOST NOW - TOTAL DISMAY! (??)]?

FRONT PAGE → AA BA YES!!! (??) (??) I WAS TAUGHT THAT THE

U.S. SUPREME COURT, COULD TAKE ACTION; WHERE NO ONE ELSE COULD!! THEN I READ

28 U.S.C. §§ 2254 (b) (1) (B) (i) "Circumstances exist that render such process ineffective."

- THAT - MY PATRIOTIC FAMILY
Such VIRTUALLY CARVED WHAT TEACHERS (AND FAMILY'S) TAUGHT ME? IN MARBLE!! (??)

AS VIRTUALLY EVERY COURT, UP TO THIS POINT, HAS CONVERTED MY TESTIMONY [& WITNESSES] TO LIES? PAPER? (CA)

(5) UNSURE ABOUT [MEANING] OF: SUBSTANTIAL, OR CONTROLLING EFFECT; OR SUBSTANTIAL GROUNDS

NOT PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED, I HAVE - IN STORAGE (IF UNDESTROYED) - DOCUMENTATION [HELD
AT FAMILY HOMESTEAD - OF MALICE -

SCOT BRUCE WARD #79600 ON PAROLE (SOLE RESIDENT NOW?)
< HOSTAGE? BY: IDAHO & I.D.O.C. >? WHERE I - DO - HAVE; WHICH COULD ADD CLARITY. YET! FAMILY
- ASSUMING I.D.O.C. DOESN'T CONFISCATE IT,
HAVE BEEN THREATENED [.. PRISON..] IF EVEN 1 SHEET OF MY DOCUMENTS; GETS SENT TO ME?

(6) CERTIFICATE??? WHAT'S THAT [AN AFFIDAVIT??]? WELL?.. HERE IT IS! (??)

Further your affiant sayeth naught. FOR - THIS LAST TIME? FOR WHAT ITS WORTH??

DATED This 26 day of Dec. , 2022. AND ACCRUING?

Glen Jones Ward
Signature

CERTIFICATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I certify under penalty of perjury pursuant to the law of the State of Idaho that the foregoing is true and correct. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1746 (Also),

Date (MON): 12, 20, 2022

(...) GLEN JONES WARD (...)

Typed/Printed

Glen Jones Ward
Signature

BA AGAIN CONFUSED! ISN'T INTERVENING SYNONIMOUS, WITH INJUNCTIVE???

AFFIDAVIT OF GOOD FAITH (??) GLEN JONES WARD (??) - pg. 2 of 3

Revised 3/24/16

CA - WHILE - ORIGINALLY / ORDINARILY - I WOULD HAVE BEEN HAPPILY FLABBERGAST (ED) [Pg. 268] TO: MEET;
SHAKE WARM HANDS - WITH; AND ASK AUTOGRAPHS OF EACH MEMBER OF THE SUPREME COURT! (??)
[MAYBE EVEN CRY FOR JOY - YES! I'M EMOTIONAL (CANCER SIGN?)]. I - SADLY - WONDER; WHETHER
I SHOULD JUST GIVE UP HOPE (25% OF ME)?

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 28 day of DEC. [WED.] 2022, I
mailed a true and correct copy of the AFFIDAVIT OF GOOD FAITH?? (...) GLEN... WARD(...) via
prison mail system for processing to the U.S. mail system to: (8 ACCRUING?)

IDAHO ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE; OFFICE OF DEPUTY
↳ ATTORNEY GENERAL : KALE D. GANS <CRIMINAL DIVISION>
700 WEST JEFFERSON STREET
POST OFFICE; BOX: 83720
BOISE, IDAHO. 83720-0010 <Z.I.P. CODE>


Glen Ward
Signature

State of Idaho
County of Ada

Glen Ward

Glen Ward appeared before me this 28th
day of Dec 2022.

Sandra Freilly



AT THE RISK OF GETTING PUNCHED
ARRIVED SAT, DEC. 10, 2022
SHOWN TO, C.O. M. BOHRN #F183 12:10, 2022
ISCI, 16, A, #1: 8:30-AM-78:35AM

ISCI Resource Center Response

IDAHO JUST GOT FREE TOILET PAPER?
PLEASE READ BOTTOM ↓ *in* **UA-34B**

Date: 12/9/2022
IDOC: 111351 Last Name WARD First Name GLEN Unit: 16

The following items or materials were sent to offender via institutional mail:

In your unit you gave me a Motion to file in the Supreme Court Case No. 22-5794.
The original was sent to the Supreme Court, 1 copy was sent to the Deputy Attorney General, and 1 copy is attached. Addresses below. TL

Idaho Supreme Court
451 W. State Street
Boise, ID 83702

Deputy Attorney General
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0010

You will find the requested form(s)/packet(s) attached. If you have questions after you have read the material or need to make an appointment for a notary and copies, you may do so by submitting an Access to Courts Request. Black pens are available through the commissary. If you are indigent you may get a black pen through the Resource Center.

Si usted no puede leer ingles y no hay alguien quien puede leer para usted, se puede pedir que el paralegal le ayuda con entender este paquete. Para pedir asistencia usa la forma "Access to Courts Request."

SHOWN TO: PT. (MS?) WYETT COOK #F304 SAT. DEC. 10, 2022, 12:20-PM-ISC1, 16, A, 34

I'M CLOSE TO REQUESTING PROTECTIVE CUSTODY FROM IDOC
ALL DUE RESPECT?? IT WAS FOR THE U.S. SUPREME COURT.
MS, TIA LEEFANG #E91B?

Glen Jones Ward, I.D.O.C. #11-1
Care of BONNEVILLE COUNTY JAIL (Inmate #2017-00004087).
605 NORTH CAPITAL AVENUE.
IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, 83402 (2.I.P. CODE)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO; IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF BONNEVILLE

GLEN JONES WARD
I.C. 528-1-308; U.C.C. 57-603.

Vs.

STATE OF IDAHO
I.C. 528-7-603; U.C.C. 57-603.

Case No: ID-CV-2016-3569-PC.

IN REFERENCE TO IDAHO CASE NO:

ID-CR-2013-1329-FE.

★ AFFIDAVIT OF: GLEN JONES WARD (P.A.-U.S.)

Under penalty of perjury, I-Glen Jones Ward (Date of birth: 07/13/1972) Assert the following to be true and correct [28 U.S.C.A. §1746]. [IN REFERENCE TO PENDING ACTION: 3:17-cv-00320-REB.]

On Wednesday, August 9, 2017 [At approximately 8 PM - After hearing was held; and court order was decided (Between STATE OF IDAHO (Deputy Prosecutor (Bonneville County-IDAHO SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT) TANNER CROWTHER), Court (Honorable: Darrin B. Simpson, IDAHO SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT-ADMINISTRATIVE (COURT) JUDGE; AND ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE OVER MY POST-CONVICTION APPEAL/PETITION-ACTION (Asserted as a Prejudicial Conflict of Interest - He was My Judgment of Conviction, Sentencing Judge - and has repeatedly denied All motions (Regardless of Applicability) and Reconsiderations)]; and Conflict Auxiliary Counsel (Kelly D. Mallard (Bonneville County-IDAHO SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT-PUBLIC DEFENDERS' OFFICE; OFFICE OF CONFLICT AUXILIARY COUNSEL.))]; that I should - Despite my concerns (Health, Emotional Well-being; Current Pending legal Actions (Health-Care; I.D.O.C.)); then be transported back to Bonneville County Jail. To converse with my Counsel (Which - For the record [Dispite my several attempts (Repeatedly failed) 09/05/2017 at 03:15 PM (MST); 04:13 PM (MST). 09/06/2017 at 10:06 AM (MST). 09/11/2017 at 09:57 AM (MST), 09/19/2017 at 09/19/2017 at 08:15 AM (MST); 04:22 PM (MST).] has never occurred); and to appear - IN PERSON - TO THE COURT? - That I had recieved a reply, from the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO; Granting me a special audience with the court, and approving me to Give the U.S. DISTRICT COURT, JURISDICTION OVER MY CASE. On Monday, August 14, 2017; My reply (Granting the United States District Court Jurisdiction (Over my case) ID-CV-2016-3569-PC [IN REFERENCE TO ID-CR-2013-1329-FE] WAS SENT - AND I - Rightly alerted My Conflict Counselor (Kelly D. Mallard) of said decision. Evidently; Mallard's Office has failed to alert the court of such Action. After being at the County Jail I have seen additional evidence [Presumed submitted to the - Never the U.S. District Court] to establish Malicious Prejudice Against Prosecution (Daniel R. Clark; and The STATE OF IDAHO); COUNSEL [Jordan S. Crane (Name specifically spoken about)] and the Public Defenders Office; along with Court (Darrin B. Simpson; Dane H. Watkins Jr. etc) and Judicial Conspiracy. Also purportedly filed with/to the Court [Acting under the sole assumption that said evidence was not fraudulently intercepted (and/or) destroyed by County, Court; and other officers of the seventh Judicial district - to avoid accountability. (18 U.S.C.S. 5371) Where My Conflict Auxiliary Counselor - Kelly D. Mallard - has evidently failed (to both alert this Honorable Court - NO SURPRISE THEN [HIS OFFICE HAS YET TO PROVIDE ME WITH PROMISED COPIES OF MY OWN LEGAL DOCUMENTS - THAT I HAVE A RIGHT TO HAVE] AND MYSELF, OF ANYTHING) REGARDING SAID PENDING ISSUES.

Such repeated failure MERELY ILLUSTRATES, AND VALIDATES, the EVIDENT Aversion and Prejudice which is presently occurring (Against Me); and the need to 'Step forward. And alert the STATE, COURT, AND CONFLICT Counsel, of the Current Pending action. - Case No: 3:17-cv-00320-REB. (See also: INFORMATION AND NOTICE OF PENDING ACTION (IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT.)) Through Interpleader (I.C. 528-7-603) [Compare 28 U.S.C.A. §1335] and lack of subject Matter (Presented to me - Confirming Such [Submitted to U.S. District Court Also I.C. 518-1701]; 28 U.S.C.A. §1369(c)(3)(A)(B); and I.C. 528-3-102 [By Application of I.C. 55-218; §18-709 (08/22/2012 → 04/04/2013? [Judge issuing warrant liable [Also] Harkness v. Hyde, 31 Idaho (1918)]]; Compare 18 U.S.C.A. §§ 242; 1512; 1001; 371 [And - Yet not limiting I.C. 55-28-1-309 by 28-12-501 (I.C. 514-515 [Lost Wages]; I.C. 55-18-2901; 18-4801 [false Imprisonment; Libel]; and 18 U.S.C.A. §287 (Which I'm now convinced That. The State of Idaho, et al., IS GUILTY OF (FALSE; FICTICIOUS, & FRAUDULENT CLAIMS)).

Under penalty of perjury, I-Glen Jones Ward (D.O.B. 07/13/1972) I Assert the above to be true and correct. So help me God! (Hand written) Legal Access dd/mm/yyyy

Glen Jones Ward
28 U.S.C.A. §1746.

AFFIDAVIT OF: GLEN JONES WARD (P.A.-U.S.)

***Notice of Disclaimer:** [Redacted] it, due to I.D.O.C. Policy, Pro... in... social Procurement of
Publication of Black's LAW DICTIONARY - 0... OF ITS PAGES (Warden R. Bl... 5-L... concern form Date 02/10/2017, and
Warden T. Carlin - Concern form Date 03/23/2017 [See Also: I.D.O.C. Grievance # IO 170000031]. That I - Glen
Jones Ward - Gw - Without prejudice - Reservation of Rights - Words sufficient (I.C. §28-1-308; U.C.C. §1-308 (Under
Protest - per I.C. §74-102 [TRANSPARENT AND ETHICAL GOVERNMENT; PUBLIC RECORDS - RIGHT TO EXAMINE (AND COPY)],
WHILE UNLAWFULLY DETAINED BY: THE STATE OF IDAHO; at I.D.O.C. (current location as of SATURDAY, MAY 13, 2017 - I.C.I.O.
Inmate #111351) - AM, THEREFORE COMPELLED (DUE ALSO TO SPORADIC AVAILABILITY OF SAID TEXTS BY I.D.O.C. RESOURCE
CENTERS; KINESTHETIC LEARNING PRACTICE [WRITING] FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES (INSTRUCTIONAL TEXT - 17 U.S.C. §101)
AND CLEAR VIOLATION OF BOTH STATE [I.C. §74-102 to §74-126] AND FEDERAL [5 U.S.C. §552] FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
ACTS; AND, THE FURTHER NEED OF PERSONAL LEGAL REFERENCE (See: B.L.D. [8th ed.] pg. 1306 to 1307; SENSE 2 to 4)) TO COPY
BY - HAND, WORD FOR A WORD - EACH (USEFUL) DEFINITION FOR MY PERSONS ENLIGHTENMENT AND MEMORY
BENEFIT - REFER [IN PART] IDAHO RULES OF EVIDENCE (I.R.E.) RULE 612 [Writing or object used to refresh memory],
AND ASSURED AVAILABILITY (REFERENCE); EXCLUDING YET NOT LIMITED TO IDAHO CODE & I.D.O.C. Policy. My person further reserves the rights
and privileges to copy; Term(s); Opinion(s); and Constructions, of such (to improve enlightenment and understanding - as a person).
+ Note (AND FURTHER NOTICE): Date(s) of Person(s) a Discovery/ing Disc. (or Rediscovery) and (&) Recording/ed (Rec.) are with
DEFINITIONS. As of this day: Sunday, May 14, 2017. [Redacted] Ward without prejudice - reservation of rights U.C.C. §1-308
+ Note 2 (AND CONTINUED NOTICE), CONCERN FORMS; AND GRIEVANCE [EVIDENCE - IN SUPPORT OF ABOVE NOTICE; ATTACHED.
+ Note 3 (AND APPLICABILITY NOTICE). Evidence of Territorial APPLICABILITY (I.C. §28-1-308) Also ATTACHED - THIS IS ATTACHMENT B pta
***Deceptive** (B.L.D. [9th ed.] pg. 466) (Discovered and Recorded [See: Notice of Disclaimer; Above] On Sunday, May 14, 2017), (1939)
As defined by the Federal Trade Commission and most state statutes, conduct that is likely to deceive a customer -
consumer acting reasonably under similar circumstances. - Also termed *deceptive practice*; *deceptive sales practice*.
(Cases: Consumer Protection §4; Antitrust and Trade Regulation §136.)
***Undue Influence** (B.L.D. [9th ed.] pg. 1666) (Rediscovered & Rec. [Originally disc. & Rec. 10/18/2016]; [Please See: Notice of Disclaimer;
Top of this page] On 05/14/2017), (18c) 1. The improper use of power or trust in a way that deprives a person of free will and
substitutes another's objective. • Consent to a contract, transaction, or relationship or to conduct is voidable if the consent is obtained
by through undue influence. - Also termed *implied coercion*; *moral coercion*. (Cases: Contracts §96.)
"Undue influence is unfair persuasion of a party who is under the domination of the person exercising the persuasion or
who by virtue of the relation between them is justified in assuming that the person will not act in a manner inconsistent
with his welfare." Restatement (Second) of Contracts §177(1) (1979).
"When at the turn of the twentieth century, the common law doctrine of duress was expanded to provide relief for
coercion irrespective of the means of coercion, much of the work of undue influence became unnecessary. The doctrine has
a much more specialized role today, although often enough the precedents decided when the more general doctrine
prevailed are cited and quoted to the general confusion of the profession. Today the gist of the doctrine is unfair
persuasion rather than coercion. Euphoria rather than fear is often, but certainly not always, the state of mind
of the party unduly influenced." John D. Calamari & Joseph M. Perillo, *The Law of Contracts* §9-9, at 351-52
(3d ed. 1987).
2. *Wills & estates*. Coercion that destroys a testator's free will and substitutes another's objectives in its place. • When a
beneficiary actively procures the execution of a will, a presumption of undue influence may be raised, based on the
confidence-confidential relationship between the influencer and the person influenced. - Also termed *improper influence*;
(formerly, in both senses) *suggestion*. See COERCION; DURESS. (Cases: Wills §154.)
***Express** (B.L.D. [9th ed.] pg. 661) (See Also B.L.D. [8th ed.] pg. 620) (Discovery [initial Date: Uncertain 2015]; Re-disc. & Rec. Here [
See: Notice of Disclaimer; Top of this page] On Sun. May 14, 2017), adj. (14c) Clearly and unmistakably communicated;
directly stated. Cf. IMPLIED, - expressly, adv.
***Oppression** (B.L.D. [9th ed.] pg. 1203) (Disc. & Rec. [Please See: Notice of Disclaimer; top of this page] On 05/14/2017), (14c) 1.
The act or an instance of unjustly exercising authority or power. 2. An offense consisting in the abuse of
discretionary authority by a public officer who has an improper motive, as a result of which a person is injured. •
This does not include extortion, which is typically a more serious crime. (Cases: Officers and Public Employees §121.)
3. *Contracts*. Coercion to enter into an illegal contract. See DURESS; UNCONSCIONABILITY. (Cases: Contracts §138(3), 139.)
4. *Corporations*. Unfair treatment of minority shareholders (esp. in a close corporation) by the directors or those in
control of the corporation. - Also termed (in sense 4) *shareholder oppression*. See FREEZ-OUT (Cases:
Corporations §182, 3, 597.) - oppress, vb. - oppressive, adj.
***Equitable remedy** (B.L.D. [9th ed.] pg. 1400) (Rediscovered (from Sun. Apr. 30, 2017) And Recorded [See; please: Notice of
Disclaimer; top of this page] On 05/14/2017), (18c) A remedy, usu. a nonmonetary one such as an injunction or
specific performance, obtained when available legal remedies, usu. monetary damages, cannot adequately redress the
injury. • Historically, an equitable remedy was available only from a court of equity. - Also termed *equitable relief*.
See IRREPARABLE-INJURY RULE. (Cases: Injunction §15, 138, 9; Specific Performance §1.)
***Deliberate elicitation** (B.L.D. [9th ed.] pg. 492) (Rediscovered [Date originally Discovered (Approx. 10/03/2016)] and Recorded [See
Also: Notice of Discovery; top of this page] On Monday, May 15, 2017), (1966) Criminal procedure. The purposeful yet covert
drawing forth of an incriminating response (usu. not during a formal interrogation) from a suspect whose Sixth
Amendment right to counsel has attached but who has not waived that right. • Deliberate elicitation may occur,
for example, when a police officer engages an arrested suspect in conversation on the way to the police
station. Deliberate elicitation violates the Sixth Amendment. (Continued ATTACHMENT B pta) Warden 17

DA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION
Offender Concern Form

IDOC Number: 111351

Date: 6/23/2017

Offender Name: Glen Jones Ward

Institution, Housing Unit, & Cell: I.C.I.O., C-2[A7], McKelway

To: Warden T. Carlin (I.D.O.C.; I.C.I.O.)

(Address to appropriate staff: Person most directly responsible for this issue or concern)

Issue/Concern: Hardbound book Policy. My person has heard (from other inmates) that hardbound book, for example: Lacks' Law Dictionary (or any dictionary) were prohibited, except for inmates serving life sentences (F.Y.I. Still Appeal, Criminal Conviction - Sentence). Where My person has had to use the Resource Centers (at all facilities - None offer photocopies for legal reference); my person has been compelled to copy [By hand] word for word needed legal terms, for personal education; and reference in absence of said resource. At some facilities obtaining a hardbound copy of dictionary is permitted - and frankly less time consuming; Yet, My person was uncertain about I.C.I.O. Policy. Please clarify. Please do not confront my person on this concern; respond on this form. Thank you. Without prejudice or retaliation.

(Description of the issue must be written only on the lines provided above.)

Offender signature: Glen Jones Ward

Staff Section

Cpl Jones 7274 13-23-17

Collected/Received:

(Date collected or received)

Signature of Staff Member Acknowledging Receipt / Associate ID #

Reply: Hardbound books prior to purchase must be approved by the facility head, education and religious books not published in 50 states are the only book authorized. Not legal books.

Responding Staff Signature: Carlin

Associate ID #: 3716

Date: 3/24/17

Pink copy to offender (after receiving staff's signature),
Original and Yellow copy to responding staff (after completing the reply, yellow copy returned to offender)

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION
Offender Concern Form

IDOC Number: 111351

Date: 04/11/2017

Offender Name: Glen Jones Ward

Institution, Housing Unit, & Cell: I.C.I.O., B-2; C-12

To: Laura Ashford (I.D.O.C.; I.C.I.O.) Resource Center Manager; Notary public.

(Address to appropriate staff: Person most directly responsible for this issue or concern)

Issue/Concern: "Only a court can state 'Without prejudice.'" ?? When my person was in your office getting Affidavits notarized; you questioned "Why did you put 'without prejudice' (B.L.D. 4th ed. pg. 1740; O.C.C. 51-308) in all of your Affidavits?" "I do it to avoid a conflict; resulting from mistakes of law and fact; due to any Original statutory jurisdiction - I wish to remain silent, any further to said question." Beyond that you said that statements like such, the court questions; then dismisses said documents. Is there a reason (for clarity) of making such a comment. Is there a court procedure which you wish to advise my person of ?? Please, do not approach my person on this issue/concern; Reply on this form. (Without prejudice (O.C.C. 51-308))

(Description of the issue must be written only on the lines provided above.)

Offender signature: Glen Jones Ward

Staff Section

CO Dubs 1 618

Collected/Received:

(Date collected or received)

(Signature of Staff Member Acknowledging Receipt / Associate ID #)

Reply: I am a Notary Public appointed by the State it is my job to question any person when providing a Notary - especially about unusual Notations on a Document; when they don't make sense to me. I also advised you to speak with your attorney about language used place on all Documents - including this concern.

Associate ID #: 9513

Date: 4-13-17

Responding Staff Signature: Laura Ashford

Pink copy to offender (after receiving staff's signature),
Original and Yellow copy to responding staff (after completing the reply, yellow copy returned to offender)

FRI. NOV. 25, 2022
ARRIVED LATE ~ 12, 21, 2022 WAS DUE

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

November 21, 2022

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

Mr. Glen Jones Ward
Prisoner ID 111351
P.O. Box 0014
Boise, ID 83707-0014


Re: Glen Jones Ward
v. Alberto Ramirez, Warden
No. 22-5794

Dear Mr. Ward:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

W A I V E R

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 22-5794

Glen Jones Ward

(Petitioner)

Alberto Ramirez, Warden

V.

(Respondent)

I DO NOT INTEND TO FILE A RESPONSE to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless one is requested by the Court.

Please check the appropriate box:

- ☒ I am filing this waiver on behalf of all respondents.
- ☐ I only represent some respondents. I am filing this waiver on behalf of the following respondent(s):

Please check the appropriate box:

- ☒ I am a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of the United States. (Filing Instructions: File a signed Waiver in the Supreme Court Electronic Filing System. The system will prompt you to enter your appearance first.)
- ☐ I am not presently a member of the Bar of this Court. Should a response be requested, the response will be filed by a Bar member. (Filing Instructions: Mail the original signed form to: Supreme Court, Attn: Clerk's Office, 1 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20543).

Signature:

Kale D. Gans

Date:

10/28/22

(Type or print) Name

Kale D. Gans

☒ Mr.

☐ Ms.

☐ Mrs.

☐ Miss

Firm

Office of Idaho Attorney General

Address

P.O. Box 83720

City & State

Boise, ID

Zip

83720

Phone

208-334-2400

Email

kale.gans@ag.idaho.gov

A copy of this form must be sent to petitioner's counsel or to petitioner if *pro se*. Please indicate below the name(s) of the recipient(s) of a copy of this form. No additional certificate of service or cover letter is required.

Glen Jones Ward, #111351
Idaho State Correctional Institution, Unit 16
P.O. Box 14
Boise, ID 83707

RECEIVED

JAN 24 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.