

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. CAN THE LOWER COURT JUDGES OVERRIDE THE HIGHER COURT JUDGES DECISION CONCERNING THE "THREE-STRIKE" PROVISION MANDATE?
2. ARE FEDERAL JUDGES SUPPOSE TO BE COVERING-UP FOR CORPORATE CRIMINALS OR RACKETEERING IN CORPORATE LIABILITIES PROTECTIONS?
3. ARE FEDERAL JUDGES SUPPOSED TO CONSPIRE TO SILENCE INNOCENT AMERICAN PRISONERS TO STOP THEM EXPOSING POLITICAL JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING CRIMES, BY SILENCING THEIR EFFORTS TO SEEK JUSTICE?
4. ARE FEDERAL JUDGES SUPPOSED TO ORDER THE "THREE-STRIKES" AGAINST PLAINTIFFS WHO BRING CIVIL ACTION LAWSUITS "WITH MERIT"?

5. DO FEDERAL JUDGES HAVE A DUTY TO WEIGH THE FACTS AND EVIDENCE OF A CASE BEFORE THEY DISMISS IT AS BEING FRIVOLOUS?
6. WHEN A JUDGE'S INTEGRITY IS BEING CHALLENGED, DOES THE PRESIDING JUDGE ON APPEAL HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO LOOK INTO THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED OR DIRECTED THAT WHERE-A-BOUTS THEREOF?

LIST OF PARTIES

ALL PARTIES APPEAR IN THE RELATED CASES. ALL OF WHOM ARE AIMED AT THE CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMINALS. SEE S.C.T CASE NO. 21-5439, DISMISSED WITHOUT CAUSE TO KEEP THE INNOCENT FROM EXPOSING THIS DECADE OLD COVER-UP FOR CORPORATIONS FUNDING PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE RICK PERRY.

RELATED CASES

SUPREME COURT:

- 21-7810 CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT
- 21-7795 CORRUPT APPT. COUNSEL
- 21-20371 JUDGE DEFACES APPEAR
- 21-40819 PROSECUTOR REFUSES TO PROSECUTE
- 21-7966 DAVID HITNER COVER-UP
- 21-5439 S.C.T COVER-UP
- 22-20059 NANCY F. ATLAS COVER-UP

22-20099 DIST. D.A. PAUL GRANT CONSPIRACY
 22-20180 HONKIS & SIMS COVER-UPS
 21-7740 TEXAS CHILDREN'S COVER-UPS
 21-20440 PRISON MAIL THEFTS
 22-20082 SIMS LAKE COVER-UP
 22-20096 COURT REPORTERS DELETIONS
 21-7797 TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD COVER-UP
 22-20135 DAVID HITCHNER COVER-UP
 22-20094 SCOTT HARRIS COVER-UP
 22-40140 PRISON MAIL THEFT
 21-8032 INNOCENT PROJECT COVER-UP
 21-40473 PRISON MAIL THEFT
 21-40560 PRISON MAIL THEFT
 20-40547 PRISON STEALS LAW BOOKS
 21-20546 PRISON MAIL THEFTS
 21-20374 ZRS REFUSE STIMULUS CHECKS
 21-20669 GREG ABBOTT CRIMES
 21-40483 PRISON REFUSE RELIGIOUS SERVICES
 21-20444 " " "
 20-8406 S.C.T. REFUSE RELIGIOUS SERVICES
 21-7747 FED. JUDGE HOYT COVER-UPS
 21-5507 PRISON MAIL THEFTS
 21-7531 ~~PRISON STEALS MAIL~~
 21- STATE STEALS HOMES
 21-40850 STATE SLANDERS ARE
 21-20608 CITY OF CONROE CORRUPTION
 21-20373 SEEKING FED. INVESTIGATION
 IV.

21-20601 HOLT S. CT. RESERV (REVIEW)
FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT:

22-20246 HANUS COVER-UP
22-20245 MITAL CORP. CRIMES
22-20214 CORRUPTION OF TRIAL JUDGE
22-20235 FED. JUDGE HITTNER CRIMES
22-20132 DR. DREYER CRIMES
22-20244 PRISON MAIL THEFTS
22-40331 SCIR. JUDGE COSTA TOOK BRIBE
22-40292 ROSENTHAL COVER-UPS
22-20185 CHILD SUPPORT MISDEMEANORS
22-40302 KALISH LAW FIRM
22-20230 ACTUAL INNOCENT CLINIC COVER-UP
22-20091 BAYLOR CORPORATION CRIMES
22-40304 ROSENTHAL
22-20182 INNOCENT PROJECT COVER-UP
22-20166 FED. JUDGE MOHEN COVER-UPS
22-20152 ROSENTHAL COVER-UP
22-20162 MOHEN COVER-UP
22-20179 TRACY D. GILBERT CRIMES

U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT:

4:22-CV-01672 ROSENTHAL
H-22-767 PRISON MAIL THEFTS
4:22-CV-0563 PRISON GRIEVANCE SUITS
4:22-CV-00668 DAVID HITTNER CRIMES
4:22-CV-01674 K. JORDAN COVER-UP

4:22-CV-1466 SCOTT MARRIS COVER-UP
4:22-CV-00769 GOV. GREG ABBOTT
4:22-CV-01751 PRISON STRIKES RETO O'ROURKE
CORRESPONDENCES
4:22-CV-00893 REPUBLICAN PARTY CRIMES
ETC. ETC.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

PAGE NO.

LEGAL SERVICE CORP. V. VELAZQUEZ 10
531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S. CT. 1043
(2001)

SMITH V. INDIANA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS 10
883 N.E. 2d 802 (IND. 2008)

FED. RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 60(b) 11

RACKETEERING INFLUENCE CRIMINAL ORG- 13
ANIZATION (RICO)

RICO ANTI-SLAPP 14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4-17
REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	18
CONCLUSION	19

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX "A" U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT
COURTS DECISION

APPENDIX "B" FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF
APPEALS DECISION

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgement below:

OPINIONS BELOW

Federal courts:

- The opinion of the United States court of U.S Southern District appears in Appendix "A"
- The opinion of the U.S. Fifth Circuit can be found in Appendix "B"

Due to political and judicial cover-ups for Corporate criminals no reports were published.

JURISDICTION

Federal courts:

Appendix "A"

- The date on which the U.S. Southern District court decided my case was March 21, 2022
- The date on which the U.S. Fifth Circuit court decided my case was June 29, 2022.

Appendix "B"

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

FIRST AMENDMENT:

THE RESPONDENT DENIED ME MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO REDRESS MY GRIEVANCES EXPOSING THEM FOR MAKING ME INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED TO SILENCE MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH EXPOSING THEIR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE ROCKETEERING CRIMES.

FIFTH AMENDMENT:

THE RESPONDENT VIOLATED MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS WHEN HE COVERED-UP THE CRIMINAL ACTS OF OTHERS.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT:

RESPONDENT DENIED EQUAL PROTECTIONS OF THE LAW TO PROVE THAT OTHERS WERE INVOLVED IN MAKING ME SILENCED FOR EXPOSING THEIR CRIMES AND MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE, WHEN HE TOO BECAME PART OF THEIR COVER-UPS ADMITTING THEM ALL AS BEING GUILTYLESS, WHEN THEY WERE NOT.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE RESPONDENTS ARE MEMBERS OF A POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CONSPIRACY TO COVER UP RACKETEERING CRIMES COMMITTED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT AND THE CITIES BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS OF BAYLOR AND TEXAS CHILDRENS.

I.

RESPONDENT SIM LAKE DISMISSES CIVIL CON-
SUITS; PETERS VS T.D.C.J. AND PETERS VS
TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL. WHILE RESP-
ONDENT MONKS DISMISSES: PETERS VS
DAVID HITNER; PETERS VS. KEN PAXTON;
PETERS VS. NATHAN CANE; PETERS VS
BAYLOR, MITAL AND DREYER; ALL KEY
LAWSUITS EXPOSING THE GOVERNMENTS
CRIMES OF: COLLUSION, RACKETEERING, FALSE
IMPRISONMENT, CHILD ABDUCTION, CONSPIR-
ACY, THEFTS, PHYSICAL EVIDENCE FABER-
ICATION, DENIAL OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS,
ETC. ETC. SEE CASE EVIDENCE; FIFTH CIRCUIT
CASE NO. 19-20717, MONTGOMERY COUNTY,
TX. CASES: NO. 12-08-09259-CR AND 14-
07-08207-CR

U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT CASE NO. 918-
CV-645 AND SUPREME COURT CASE NO.
21-5439 WHEREIN THESE COURTS ALL COU-
ERED-UP FOR; CORPORATE CRIMES AND
LIABILITIES CAUSED BY THE STATES
WITNESS, DR. ZAMMY E. DREYER AS WELL
AS MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE TO THE AL-
LEGED ALLEGATIONS OF RETALIATION
IN COUNT NO. (1) AND (3). AND THE TOTAL
DEPRIVATIONS OF ALL MY CONSTITUT-
IONAL RIGHTS TO PRESENT MY DEF-
ENSE, EVIDENCE AND WITNESSES EXP-
OSING THEM AND THEIR COVER-UPS.

II.

THE EVIDENCE PROVES THE STATES WIT-
NESSES CRIME IN FABRICATING MY SON'S
MEDICAL RECORDS, THEN FORGED IT TO MY
WIFE'S LAWYER'S TO USE TO DECEIVE
JUDGE JENNIFER RUBIN. AFTER THIS
FACT THE GOVERNMENT AND THE JUDGES
ALL JUMPED ON DEBS WITH THESE
CORROBORATIONS TO LINE THEIR OWN
POCKETS, MAKING MY SON AND I THEIR
VICTIMS.

THESE FACTS AND EVIDENCE WILL NEVER
CHANGE NO MATTER HOW MUCH THESE
CORRUPT JUDGES COVER-UP.

III.

RESPONDENT SIKS DISMISSED THE CIVIL ACTION AGAINST TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL TO COVER-UP AND PROTECT THEM FROM THEIR LIABILITIES AND TOOK A BRIBE TO DO IT LIKE THE REST OF THEM. SEE (5TH CIR. 21-20440). HE ALSO COVERED-UP FOR THE STATE PRISON WHO'S BEEN STEALING ALL THE MEDIA CORRESPONDENCE TO PROTECT GOV. GREG ABBOTT AND EX-GOVERNOR: RICK PERRY WHO WERE IN COLLUSION WITH SAID CORPORATIONS IN PERRY'S 2012 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN. OBTAINING POLITICAL FUNDING FOR PROTECTION.

IV.

RESPONDENT LARK DISMISSES LAWSUITS AGAINST: BAYLOR.-NO. 22-20091; NATHAN-CAVIE. NO. 22-20170; KEN PAXTON, GREG ABBOTT AND RICK PERRY. NO. 22-20190 AND

THE CONSPIRACY AGAINST HIS SENIOR JUDGE,
DAVID HITTNER WHO ORIGINALLY STARTED
THESE COVER-UPS ON THE FEDERAL
JUDICIAL LEVEL IN THE COVER-UP IN
CASE NO. 19-20717 OR (4:18-CV-645).

V.

ALL JUDGES SWORN BY OATH TO UP-
HOLD THE CONSTITUTION, YET ALL KNEW
THAT Z WAS DENIED EVEN THE
BASIC OF THEM TO: (1) SUPREMA DEF-
ENSE WITNESSES; (2) DISCOVERY; (3) MY
DEFENSE EXPOSING THEM; (4) EFFECTIVE
ASSISTANCE OR COUNSEL; (5) JURY SELECTION;
(6) EVIDENCE SUBMITTALS; (7) PUBLIC TRIAL;
(8) FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL ETC.
WHAT ABOUT MY RIGHTS?

VI.

U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT JUDGE NANCY F.
ATLAS ORDERS SANCTIONS AGAINST ME
FOR EXPOSING THEIR CRIMINAL COVER-
UPS.

AND ALSO ORDERS THAT THREE-STRIKES
PROVISION AGAINST MR. Z TO DATE ZUE
BEEN SANCTIONED OVER \$2000.00
DOLLARS, FOR TRYING TO OBTAIN MY
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS....

SHE STATED Z WAS FILING MERITUES
AND FRIVOUS CLAIMS, YET THE EVIDENCE
PROVES SHE WAS LYING....

VII.

MED CRONIES LIKE THESE TWO (Z) RESP-
ONDENTS NEVER ONCE LOOKED AT
THE EVIDENCE WHICH SUGGEST THEY
DID NOT CARE ABOUT THE FACTS, OR
EVIDENCE BUT BLINDLY OR NOT JOINED
THIS TEN (10) YEAR COYS COVER-UP,
AND MOST LIKELY DID SO AS THESE
CORPORATIONS PAYING OUT MILLIONS
OF DOLLARS ARE JUST A FEW BLOCKS
AWAY FROM THE U.S. SOUTHERN DIST.
COURT.

BRIBES COULD NOT BE ANY EASIER TO OBTAIN ONCE JUDGE DAVID HITTNER OPENED THE DOOR AND TOOK THE FIRST FEDERAL BRIBE.

VIII.

THESE FRIENDLY JUDGES SIMPLY GO BACK AND FORTH COVERING-UP FOR EACH OTHERS COVER-UPS AND DISMISSED OVER SEVENTY-EIGHT (78) CIVIL ACTIONS, ALL ALLEGED TO BE WITHOUT MERIT!

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

THE SUPREME COURT HAS SAID THAT SANCTIONS "MAY NOT BE" IMPOSED AGAINST A PERSON WHO BRINGS LITIGATION UNLESS THE LITIGATION IS BOTH OBJECTIVELY AND SUBJECTIVELY WITHOUT BASIS. [THE EVIDENCE CLEARLY PROVES OTHERWISE].

IXV.

THE RIGHT TO PETITION THE COURT IS PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND THE OPEN COURTS CLAUSE. ADVOCACY IN LITIGATION IS SPEECH.

SEE: LEGAL SERVICE CORP. VS. VELAZQUEZ, 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S. CT. 1043 (2001) (HOLDING LEGAL REPRESENTATION IS SPEECH).

SMITH VS. INDIANA DEPT. OF CORRECTION, 883 N.E. 2d 802 (IND. 2008) (THREE-STRIKES IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL). OPEN COURTS CLAUSE.

THE BODY OF LAW REQUIRES THAT RESTRICTIONS ON EXPRESSION BE NARROWLY TAILORED.

XVI.

APPLIED TO THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION, IS THE BREATHING SPACE PRINCIPLE WHICH MEANS THAT PRISONERS COULD

ONLY BE SANCTIONED FOR CAUSITS
THAT WERE NOT ONLY OBJECTIVELY WITH
OUT MERIT BUT WERE ALSO KNOWN BY
THE PLAINTIFF TO BE MERITLESS.
FED. R. C. PROCEDURE RULE 60(b).

XVII.

CONSIDERING THAT THE EVIDENCE IR-
REFUTABLY PROVES DR. DREYER'S CORP-
ORATE CRIMES WITH CO-WORKER;
MITAL K. BODHIBHATT, BOTH RESPO-
NDETS BY DISTINGUISHING ANY CIVIL ACTION
CAUSITS, COVERED UP THEIR CRIMES
JUST LIKE EVERYONE ELSE DID IN
THE GOVERNMENT.

XVIII.

THE RESPONDENTS OVER AND OVER,
REPEATEDLY CITED THE PRISON LIT-
IGATION REFORM ACT AS THEIR PLAT-
FORM TO DISMISS ALL SAID CAUS-
SITS.

YET THE NINTH COURT OF APPEALS

RULED THAT THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION DID NOT APPLY TO FIRST OFFENDMENT CLAIMS. WOULD ASIDE FROM ANY RELIEF REQUESTED, AS WELL THE EVIDENCE PROVES THAT SINCE SAID ANNULMENT IN 2012, A TEN(10) YEAR LONG CONSPIRACY TO COVER-DR. BRENER AND MITCHELL'S CRIMES AND HAVING ME INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED BY DUBBING AN UNBORN JURY TO SILENCE MY EXPOSING THEIR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE MISFEASINGS OPERATIONS IN TEXAS AND LOUISIANA, BY THE G.O.P MEMBERS.

XIV.

IN THESE CIVIL ACTIONS I CONTESTED MANY TIMES THE LEGALITY OF THE THREE-STRIKES TO SILENCE MY FREE SPEECH BLOCKING THE JUSTICE OF

THEIR CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO COVER-
THESE CRIMES FOR BRIBES AND POLITICAL
FUNDING. I AM ACTUALLY INNOCENT
OF THE ALLEGED RETALIATIONS
AS THE EVIDENCE PROVES. YET THEIR
CONSPIRACY TO COVER-UP THE TRUTH
CONTINUES THROUG-OUT SEVENTY-
EIGHT (78) LAWSUITS.

XXVI.

RETALIATING INFLUENCE AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION (RICO)

VIOLATIONS OF THE RICO LAWS CAN BE
ALLEGED IN CIVIL LAWSUIT CASES OR FOR
CRIMINAL CHARGES. IN THESE INSTANCES
CHARGES CAN BE BROUGHT AGAINST INDIV-
IDUALS OR CORPORATIONS IN RETALIATION
FOR SAID INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS
WORKING WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT. FUR-
THEER, CHARGES CAN ALSO BE BROUGHT
AGAINST INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS

WHO HAVE SUED OR FILED CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST A DEFENDANT.

~~XVIII.~~

ANTI-SLAPP (STRATEGIC LAWSUIT AGAINST PUBLIC PARTICIPATION) LAWS CAN BE APPLIED IN AN ATTEMPT TO CURB ALLEGED ABUSES OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM BY INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS WHO USE THE COURTS AS A WEAPON TO RETALIATE AGAINST "WHISTLE BLOWERS", VICTIMS OR TO "SILENCE" ANOTHERS SPEECH...

RICO COULD BE ALLEGED IF IT CAN BE SHOWN THAT LAWYERS AND/OR THEIR CLIENTS CONSPIRED AND COLLABORATED TO CONDUCT FICTITIOUS LEGAL COMPLAINTS SOLELY IN RETRIBUTION AND RETALIATION FOR THEMSELVES HAVING BEEN BROUGHT BEFORE THE COURTS.

XVIX.

THE RESPONDENTS KNEW THAT THESE CORPORATIONS WOULD PAY THEM GOOD MONEY IF THEY COVERED-UP THEIR CRIMES AND LIABILITIES. THEY HAD NO INTEGRITY AND ONLY SOUGHT TO GET WHAT THEY COULD FOR THEMSELVES. BEING A JUDGE MEANT NOTHING MORE THAN AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLOIT THE SYSTEM FOR PROFITS. THE EVIDENCE IN THESE CASES IS ABUNDANTLY CLEAR AND IRREFUTABLE. THEY CONSPIRED TO DENY ME MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO REDRESS MY GRIEVANCE AND DENIED ME DUE PROCESS AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS TO PUSH THEIR OWN AGENDAS TO TAKE A BRIBE, AND COVER-UP FOR THE LOCAL BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS LIKE THEIR CRIMES HAVE BEFORE THEM.

~~XXX~~

THEY INTENTIONALLY MADE VICTIMS OUT OF MY SON: DALTON H. PETERS AND MY WIFE: AILEEN GONG PETERS, WHO DUE TO THEIR COVER-UPS AND CONSTANT DENIALS AND DISMISSALS PREVENTED MY EXPOSING THIS CORRUPTION AND MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE, LEAVING ME A PRISONER AND KNOWING ALL THE TIME I WAS INNOCENT. THEIR CRIMES IN COVERING-UP VICTIMIZED AND DESTROYED MY FAMILY, FOR TEN (10) YEARS AND COUNTING.


RELIEF

RELIEF FOR THE IRREPAIRABLE DAMAGES THESE RESPONDENTS CAUSED IS SOUGHT IN THE SUM OF 3.3. BILLION DOLLARS COMPOUNDED BY THEIR ONGOING CRIME TO COVER-UP AND STOP

MY EXPOSING THEM AND THE TEXAS
GOVERNMENT, THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
AND SOID CORPORATIONS WHO ABDUCT-
MY SON.

I SEEK A FEDERAL INVESTIGATION INTO
THE CONSPIRACY.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED



MICHAEL G. PETERS / POLITICAL
PRISONER.

DATE: AUGUST 27. 2022

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. TWO (2) FEDERAL JUDGES HAVE RULED OPPOSITE ONE ANOTHER ON THE SAME POINT OF LAW IN RE TO THE THREE-STRIKE PROVISION APPLYING TO FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH.
2. THE RESPONDENT IS A CONSPIRATOR ~~FURTHERMORE~~ FURTHERMORE A TEND(10) LONG COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMINALS.
3. THE PETITIONER IS BEING PREVENTED FROM PRESENTING HIS ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND THE PLOT TO HAVE ME INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED BY DISMISSING ALL RELATED CIVIL ACTION LAWSUITS EXPOSING THE CONSPIRATORS.
4. ZIM ACTUALLY INNOCENT AND WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED DUE TO THESE CORPORATE COVER-UPS.