

NO. 22-40304

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

22-5689

MICHAEL G. PETERS - PETITIONER

VS.

LEE H. ROSENTHAL - RESPONDENT

ON PETITIONER'S ^{motion} FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
FIFTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL G. PETERS
STRINGFELLOW UNIT
1200 RAN 655
ROSHARON, TEXAS 77583
(281) 595-3413

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

AUG 23 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. WHETHER OR NOT ITS ETHICAL FOR ONE CO-WORKER TO COVER-UP FOR ANOTHER CO-WORKER WHEN BOTH ARE INVOLVED IN THE SAME CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO COVER-UP CORPORATE AND POLITICAL CRIMES?
2. WHETHER OR NOT ITS LEGAL TO USE THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION AS A WEAPON TO SILENCE ONES FREE SPEECH TO PREVENT HIM BLOWING THE WHISTLE ON POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING CRIMES?
3. WHETHER OR NOT THE COURTS SHOULD BE USED TO IMPOSE ONES POLITICAL AGENDA AND TO OBTAIN CORPORATE BRIBES FOR CORPORATE LIABILITIES PROTECTIONS.
4. WHETHER OR NOT AMERICAN CITIZENS ARE ENTITLED TO DUE PROCESS AND THE EQUAL PROTECTIONS OF THE LAWS?

LIST OF PARTIES

THE LIST OF PARTIES CAN BE SEEN THROUGHOUT THE RELATED CASES BELOW AS WELL AS IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY CASES NO. 12-08-09259-CR AND 14-07-08207-CR. FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20717 AND SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 21-5439. ALL CASES ARE DIRECTLY RELATED BY A DECADE OLD COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING CRIMES UNDER U.S.C. 28 SECTION 1961-1968.

RELATED CASES

SUPREME COURT:

22-20096 Cathy Buse & Renae Young
22-20036 Texas Children's Hospital
21-20460 Prison Mail Misappropriations
22-20082 Corrupt Fed. Judge
21-20669 Gov. Greg Abbott
21-5439 State of Texas
22-20180 United States
22-20132 Zoann E. Dreyer

- 22-20180 Scott Harris
- 22-20058 Texas Medical Board
- 22-20135 Corrupt Fed. Judge
- 22-20190 Ken Pexton, Greg Abbott, Rick Perry
- 20-40547 Ademick, trial courthouse clerk
- 21-20373 Seeking Fed. Investigation
- 20-8394 Prison steals all Lewbooks
- 21-40560 Prison opens legal mail (media)
- 21-40473 Prison opens legal mail
- 21-20546 Prison Harassment for mailing legal mail
- 21-20374 I.R.S. Refuses stimulus checks
- 21-7531 City of Houston steals my home
- 21-40850 Slender
- 21-20612 Corrupt Fed. Judge
- 21-20444 Prison denies Religious Services
- 21-5507 Innocent Project letter opened
- 21-40483 Denied Religious Services
- 20-40180 Prison steals Criminal Complaints

21-20373 T.A.C.J
20-20612 Aleph Institute
21-20608 City of Conroe Racketeering Courts
22-20182 Innocent Network cover-ups
22-20162 Fed. Judge corruption
22-20152 " "
22-20185 Child Support Extortion
22-20166 Fed. Judge corruption
22-20059 " "
22-20055 " "
22-20099 Phil Grent conspirator
22-20179 Plaintiff conspirator
22-20156 Ryan Patrick RICO Invest. cover-up
22-20161 United States conspiracy
22-20170 Corrupt Court Clerks
22-40140 Prison mail misappropriations
22-20230 Fed. Judge corruption
21-8032 Innocent Project of Texas cover-ups

22-20230 Innocent Clinic cover-ups

22-20214 Trial Judge corruption (conspirator)

FIFTH CIRCUIT

22-20284 Appellate counsel corruption

4:22-CV-01751 Prison Labor Gain Union

22-40302 Kelish Law Officer conspirator

4:22-CV-01563 Prison Grievance corruption

22-20290 K. Jordan Corp. cover-up

4:22-CV-00668 Fed. Judge Conspirator (briber)

3:22-CV-214 Fed. Judge corruption

22-40292 " "

22-20282 GOP Civil Action

~~22-20292~~ 22-20091 Baylor Corp. Crimes

22-40331 Gov. Greg Abbott Greg Acosta

22-20244 Prison Mail Theft, and Abuse

4:22-CV-01751 Prison steal/mail to "Democrats"

22-20669 Gov. Greg Abbott corruption

4:22-CV-00767 Amy Seler Mail Theft

22-20235 Criminal Fed. Judge
10001-SD Lynn N. Husher Corrupt Fed. Judge
10002-SD D. Burton, Stepping White Man mail
10003-SD Scott Harris refusing to docket
10004-SD Child Support Harassments
22-40304 Fed. Judge corruption
22-20245 Anita K. Breinholt Conspirator

CASESTABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITEDNO.

Prison Litigation Reform Act (P.L.R.A.) 12.

Smith v. Zndiene Dept. of Correction,
883 N.E. 2d 802 (Znd 2002)

Legal Service Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 US - 15
533, 542-43 121 S. Ct. 1043 (2001)

Meritorious Claims 13-14

Fed. R. Civ. Procedure Rule 60(b) 13

18 U.S.C. Sec. 1961-68 16

RICO Anti-SLAPP 17-18

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	6-21
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	22-23
CONCLUSION	24

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX <u>"A"</u>	U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURTS DECISION
APPENDIX <u>"B"</u>	U.S. FIFTH CIRCUIT COURTS DECISION

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgments below.

OPINIONS BELOW

Federal courts:

- The opinion of the U.S. Southern District Court appears in Appendix "A"
- The opinion of the U.S. Fifth Circuit Court appears in Appendix "B"

DUE TO JUDICIAL COVER-UPS ALL REPORTS
WERE UNPUBLISHED

JURISDICTION

Federal courts:

• The date on which the U.S. Southern District decided my case was May 13, 2022 seen in Appendix "A"

• The date on which the U.S. Fifth Circuit Court decided my case was June 27, 2022 seen in Appendix "B"

Due to Political, Judicial and Corporate Racketeering crimes involved no rehears were sought.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

FIRST AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT IS A MEMBER OF THE GOP'S JUDICIAL COVER-UP OPERATION TO STOP MY BLOWING THE WHISTLE ON GOV. GREG ABBOTT AND RICK PERRY'S COLLUSION WITH HOUSTON'S BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS FUNDING HIS 2012 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN. AND CRIMES OF CHILD ABDUCTION, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, PHYSICAL EVIDENCE FORGERY, CONSPIRACY, PROPERTY THEFT, ALL PERJURY, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO PERVERT THE COURSE OF JUSTICE ETC. ETC. THE RESPONDENT IGNORED HIS JUDICIAL DUTIES TO SEARCH OUT THE TRUTH FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO JOIN HIS GOP CRONIES IN THIS NATIONAL COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL AND JUDICIAL RACKETEERING. RESPONDENT WAS SILENCED MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND DENIED ME THE REDRESSIBILITY OF MY GRIEVANCES.

FIFTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT HAS DISMISSED SIX (6) CIVIL ACTIONS AS BEING MERITLESS WHICH WERE NOT MERITLESS TO PREVENT MY OBTAINING JUSTICE FROM MY FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND BLOWING THE WHISTLE ON GOP CRIMES. RESPONDENT DENIES ME DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW IN THE FURTHERANCE OF THE GOP'S COVER-UP CONSPIRACY.

SIXTH AMENDMENT: RESPONDENT COVERS-UP MY "ACTUAL INNOCENCE" TO TRUMPED-UP CONSPIRATORIAL ALLEGATIONS, COVERS-UP THE DENIAL OF COMPULSORY PROCESS OF WITNESSES, AND PUBLIC TRIAL. IMPARTIAL JURY AND FAIR TRIBUNAL TO PROTECT THE GOP FROM A FEDERAL INVESTIGATION IN TO RACKETEERING, CHILD ABDUCTION, FALSE IMPRISONMENT OF WHISTLE-BLOWERS ETC. SEE FIFTH CIR. CASE NO. 19-20717.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT RESPONDENT AIDS THE COVER-UP CONSPIRACY FOR G.O.P. CRIMES BY IGNORING ALL REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES AND CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, INFLICTING UPON THE CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS BY UNSANITARY CONDITIONS, HEAT EXHAUSTION AND DEATH. HAD RESPONDENT ADDRESSED ANY CIVIL ACTIONS, ALL WERE DIRECTLY RELATED TO THE G.O.P.'S CRIMES.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: RESPONDENT HAS CONSPIRED TO DENY THE LIFE, LIBERTY AND JUSTICE BY THE DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS THEREOF.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE RESPONDENT IS A CORRUPTED FEDERAL JUDGE WHO IS PART OF A POLITICAL COVER-UP TO PROTECT THE STATES GOVERNOR AND THE REPUBLICAN PARTY. SEE CASE NO: 4:18-CV-645 AND FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20717 FOR COVER-UP EVIDENCE. ALL RELATED CASES ARE RELATED TO THE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURTS CONTINUED COVER-UPS TO (1) PREVENT ME FROM PROVING MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE; (2) PROTECT HOUSTON BASED CORPORATIONS FUNDING THE REPUBLICAN PARTY; (3) PROVING THEY HAD ORGANIZED MEMBERS OF THEIR PARTY TO RIS MY TRIAL, DEPRIVE ME OF ALL MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO KEEP ME SILENT FROM EXPOSING THEIR ILLEGAL RICO OPERATIONS.

I.

THE RESPONDENT HAS DISMISSED SEVEN (7) CIVIL CASES RELATED TO EXPOSING HIS POLITICAL PARTY: THE GOV. LRES ABBOTT; TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD ETC. SEE THE FOLLOWING CASES:

1. LONE STAR CASE NO. 110, H-22-1467
 2. GEORGE C. HARKES, 4:22-CV-00762
 3. JEFF MOHL, H-22-1672
 4. GOV. LRES ABBOTT, H-21-3731
 5. TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD, 4:22-CV-0059
 6. U.S. REPUBLICAN PARTY, 4:22-CV-00893
- ETC.

II.

SEE (EXHIBIT NO. 1); THEIR STRATEGY HAS BEEN TO USE ONE (1) OF THEIR MEMBERS, (NANCY F. ATLAS) TO MAKE FALSE ~~ALSO~~

ALLEGATIONS OF MY FILINGS PREVIOUS PRI-
VOLOUS LAWSUITS SO THE REST OF MY
FILINGS SUING THEM FOR THEIR COVER-
UPS WILL APPEAR LIKE GENUINE
COURT BUSINESS UNDER WHICH ALL
CAN GET AWAY WITH THEIR COVER-
ER-UPS FOR HOUSTON'S BILLION DOL-
LAR CORPORATIONS OF: BAYLOR AND
TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL SUPPORT
THEIR PART AND GIVING THEM BRI-
BES.

III.

NEVER ONCE OUT OF SEVENTY-FOUR
(74) CIVIL ACTIONS HAD ANY OF
THEIR JUDGES ANSWERED TO THE
CHARGE ALLEGATIONS, PERTAINING TO
SAID COVER-UPS. THEN ALL KNOW THAT
THE EVIDENCE CLEARLY SPEAKS FOR
ITS SELF AND CANNOT REFUTE IT.

SO THEY SIMPLY REMAIN SILENT AND
TAKE THEIR BRIBE MONEY.

IV.

THE FACTS ARE WHETHER CIVIL OR THE
TRUMPED-UP CRIMINAL CHARGES TO
SILENCE ME, THIS CONSPIRACY TO COV-
ER-UP THEIR RICO, ROCKETEERING
INFLUENCE ~~AND~~ AND CORRUPT ORGAN-
IZATION IS NOW A DECADE OLD....
IT'S VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR THIS
COVER-UP TO CONTINUE THAT LONG
WITHOUT CONCERTED ACTION ON THE PART
OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY JUDICIARY.

V.

ANY JUDGE HAS A DUTY TO ASCERTAIN
THE TRUTH AND FACTS OF A CASE BE-
FORE THEN SIMPLY DISMISS A CASE.
IT'S NOT THE FIRST TIME IN AMERI-
CAN HISTORY THAT A CORRUPT JUDGE HAS

PROSECUTED. HENCE THE REASON WHY
IT'S IMPORTANT THAT ANY JUDGE DO
MORE TO ASCERTAIN THE TRUTH, THAN
BLINDLY BELIEVE WHAT HIS CO-WORKER
SAYS. JUDGES ARE NOT JUST SHEEPS
FOLLOWING HIS LEADER OFF A CLIFF.
THEY'VE SWORN TO UPHOLD JUSTICE
AND IN DOING SO MUST ASCERTAIN
THE FACTS, VIEW EVIDENCE TO EN-
SURE NO MISCONDUCT EXISTS.

V.

HOWEVER THIS IS NOT WHAT THIS RESPO-
NDENT HAS DONE. HE'S BLINDLY JOINED
HIS CONSPIRATORIAL CRONIE FRIENDS
IN OBTAINING ILLEGAL BRIBES FROM
THESE LOCAL BILLION DOLLAR CORP-
ORATIONS AS ALL OTHERS BEFORE
HIM HAVE. SEE (EXHIBIT NO. 1 AND 2).

VI.

JUDGE. NANCY F. ATLOS FIRST IMPOSED
HER "THREE-STRIKES" AND SANCTIONS
IN RETALIATION FOR MY EXPOSING HER
FRIEND DR. ZACHARY E. BREXER AT
BAYOR WHO'D FALSIFIED THE TEXAS
CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL'S MEDICAL RECORDS
TO DECEIVE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
JENNIFER ROBIN IN MY CHILD CUSTODY
MATTERS TO GAIN MY EX-WIFE CUS-
TODY. SHE WANTED TO SAVE HER
FRIEND AND POLITICAL PARTY, PLUS
OBTAIN A FAT BRIBE FOR ^{DOING} ~~DOING~~ SO.

VII.

AS STATED ALL THIS IS BEING DONE TO
SILENCE MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH
UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT EX-

POSING THEM AND THEIR CONSPIRACY.
THE NINTH COURT OF APPEALS RULED
THAT RESTRICTIONS UNDER THE PRI-
SON CITIZENSHIP REFORM ACT DID NOT
APPLY TO FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS.
[THIS IS SUCH A CLAIM]. IT STATED
THAT THE "THREE-STRIKE" PROVISION
DID NOT APPLY TO THE (P.L.R.A.)
REGARDLESS OF THE FORM OF RELIEF
SOUGHT. ALL U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT
LOWER COURT JUDGES IGNORED THIS
EXEMPTION AND BLINDLY SOUGHT TO
COVER-UP SHYING, PROOVING THEIR
CORRUPTION.

VIII.

ALSO: APPLIED TO THE "THREE-STRIKE"
PROVISION, IS THE "BREATHING SPACE"
PRINCIPLE, WHICH WOULD MEAN

THAT PRISONERS COULD ONLY BE SANCTIONED FOR CONVICTIONS THAT WERE NOT ONLY OBJECTIVELY WITHOUT MERIT BUT WERE ALSO KNOWN BY THE PLAINTIFF TO BE MERITLESS. SEE: RULE 60(b) Federal Rule of Civil Procedure. THIS RULE WAS VIOLATED ALL (78) TIMES AS NEVER ONCE DID ANY OF THESE CORRUPT JUDGES LITIGATE THE MERITS OF MY CLAIMS... THEY SIMPLY OBEYED FULLY THE ORDER TO STOP MY EXPOSING THEIR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING ENTERPRISES, PROTECTING THE CORPORATION WILLING TO FUND THEIR SILENCE.

IX.

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

THE SUPREME COURT HAS SAID THAT

SANCTIONS MAY NOT BE IMPOSED AGAINST A PERSON WHO BRINGS LITIGATION, UNLESS THE LITIGATION IS BOTH OBJECTIVELY AND SUBJECTIVELY WITHOUT BASIS.

THIS HAS NEVER BEEN ESTABLISHED BY THIS SOUTHERN DISTRICT GROUP OF JUDGES AS THEY ALL REFUSED TO LITIGATE ANY FACT INSTEAD ONLY IMPOSING THEIR AGENDA TO COVER-UP FOR THEIR CORPORATE CRIMINAL COUNTED PARTS.

IX.

THE RIGHT TO PETITION THE COURT IS PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT.

See. Smith v. Indiana Dept. of Correction,
883 N.E. 2d 802 (Ind. 2008) (The strike
is unconstitutional under the open court
clause.

XI.

ADVOCACY IN LITIGATION IS SPEECH.

Legal Service Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S.
533, 542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001)

(Holding legal representation is speech)

XII.

THE BODY OF LAW REQUIRES THAT RESTRICTIONS ON EXPRESSION BE narrowly TAILORED TO THE PROBLEM IT'S SUPPOSED TO SOLVE.

XIII.

THE GOVERNMENT IS DOING EVERYTHING IN ITS POWER TO SILENCE ANY FREEDOM OF SPEECH EXPOSING THEIR PROTECTION OF CORPORATE CRIMINALS AND THEIR LIABILITIES IN EXCHANGE FOR BRIBES AND POLITICAL FUNDING TO THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

THE RECORDS PROVE THIS DECADE WAS COVER-UP.

IX.

ROCKETEERING INFLUENCE AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS (RICO) LAWS.

18 U.S.C. SEC. 1961-68

USUALLY RICO PROTECTS AGAINST WHISTLE BLOWERS AND VICTIMS BEING BLACKMAILED AND EXTORTED, WHICH FEDERLE COURT SANCTIONS HAVE DONE. HOWEVER VIOLATIONS OF RICO LAWS CAN BE ALLEGED IN CIVIL LAWSUITS CASES OR FOR CRIMINAL CHARGES. [THE CORPORATION KNEW I WAS PROTESTING AND MAKING YOUTUBE VIDEOS AGAINST THEM BECAUSE I PLANNED TO SUIT THEM AND WAS SEEKING A LAWYER TO DO IT.] IN THESE INSTANCES CHARGES CAN BE BROUGHT

AGAINST INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS IN RETALIATION FOR SAID INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS WORKING WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT. (JUDGES). FURTHER CHARGES CAN ALSO BE BROUGHT AGAINST INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS WHO HAVE BEEN SUED OR FILED CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST A DEFENDANT.

XV.

ANTI-SLAPP (STRATEGIC LAWSUIT AGAINST PUBLIC PARTICIPATION) LAWS CAN BE APPLIED IN AN ATTEMPT TO CURB ALLEGED ABUSES OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM BY INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS WHO USE THE "COURTS" AS A WEAPON TO RETALIATE AGAINST WHISTLE-BLOWERS "VICTIMS" OR TO SILENCE ANOTHER'S SPEECH. [THIS IS WHAT IS HAPPENING NOW].

XVI.

RICO COULD BE ALLEGED IF IT CAN BE SHOWN THAT LAWYERS AND/OR THEIR CLIENTS CONSPIRED AND COLLABORATED TO CONDUCT FICTITIOUS LEGAL COMPLAINTS SOLELY IN RETRIBUTION AND RETALIATION FOR THEMSELVES HAVING BEEN BROUGHT BEFORE THE COURTS.

XVII.

IN THIS SCENARIO THE HOUSTON BASED FEDERAL REPUBLICAN JUDGES JOINED FORCES TO COVER-UP TO PROTECT THEIR POLITICAL GOVERNOR'S RICK PERRY AND LIES ABBOTT. THEN STOP TO GAIN BOTH POLITICAL FAVOR AND CORPORATE BRIBE MONEY, A WIN, WIN SITUATION FOR THESE CORRUPTED INDIVIDUALS.

XVIII.

THIS DECADRE LONG COVER-UP STARTS IN
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AT MY ANNUL-
MENT OF MARRIAGE WHEN DR. BRE-
VER PRESENT HER FORGERIED EVID-
ENCE: CASE NO. 12-08-09259-CR THEN
AS I TRIED TO EXPOSE IT I WAS
SILENCED IN TRUMPED UP CHARGES
EASILY TO PROVE MY INNOCENCE OF
IN CASE NO. 14-07-08207-CR.

THE TEXAS COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
AND NINTH CIRCUIT BOTH COVER-UP.

THAT THE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT,
CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645, FIFTH CIR-
CUIT NO. 19-20717 AND FINALLY
REPUBLICAN SUPREME COURT CLERK
SCOTT LORRIS DISMISSES IN CASE
NO. 21-5439. NEVER NOT ONCE

ANY OF THEM BRING UP THE GROUNDS
OF APPEAL I SUBMITTED. NOT ONCE
DID ANY OF THEM LOOK INTO THE
FACTS OR EVIDENCE BECAUSE THEY
ALL KNEW THE TRUTH WAS THEIR
AND THATS WHAT THEY ALL FEAR.
LIKE ANY CRIMINAL THEIR HIDING
THE TRUTH, AFRAID OF CRIMINAL
PROSECUTIONS, THEIR CRIMES CONTIN-
UE TO VICTIMIZE MY SON AND WIFE
AND ME.

RELIEF

RELIEF IS SOUGHT IN THE SUM OF
1.3 BILLION DOLLARS AND A FEDERAL
INVESTIGATION INTO THEIR RICO
CRIMES. MY FAMILY AND I WOULD
SEEK TO BE ON THE WITNESS PRO-

PROGRAM.

RESPECTFULLY IN CONCLUSION WE
PRAY FOR IMMEDIATE INJUNCTIVE
PROTECTION FROM THESE STATE
AND FEDERAL OFFICIALS WHO USE OUR
COURTS FOR THEIR PERSONAL GAIN;
AND DICTATORSHIP WAYS.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Michael L. Pitzer

POLITICAL PRISONER

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. TWO (2) FEDERAL JUDGES HAVE DISAGREED ON THE SAME POINT OF LAW AS TO WHETHER THE "THREE-STRIKES PROVISION" APPLIES THE SILENCING ONES FREEDOM OF SPEECH, OR FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS.
2. THE RESPONDENT HAS A DUTY TO ASCERTAIN THE TRUTH AND FACTS OF A CASE TO INSURE JUSTICE, YET IGNORED THE EVIDENCE TO FURTHER THE GOP'S COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL, AND CORPORATE CRIMES.
3. THE RESPONDENT IS A CORRUPT FEDERAL JUDGE COVERING-UP FOR POLITICAL AND CORPORATE CRIMINALS FOR BRIBES AND POLITICAL FAVORS AND DISMISSED SIX (6) CIVIL ACTIONS ALL WITH MERIT AS BEING MERIT LESS.

4. THE RESPONDENT IS A MEMBER OF THE G.O.P.'S POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING INFLUENCE AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION (RICO) ENTERPRISES AND JOINS OTHER GOV JUSTICES SUPPORTING THIS CRIMINAL COVER-UPS TO AVOID LIABILITIES, FEDERAL PRISON SENTENCES, POLITICAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS AND EXPOSURE.

CONCLUSION

IN CONCLUSION THE JUDICIARY HAS A DUTY
TO UPHOLD THE LAWS NOT SKIRT THEM
TO COVER UP G.O.P. CRIMES AND PROTECT
CORPORATE ~~CRIMES~~^{CRIMINALS} CRIMINALS FOR BRIBES
AND G.O.P. FUNDINGS. THERE ARE NO FRI-
VOLIOUS OR MERITLESS CIVIL ACTION FILINGS
AST⁷¹ THE EVIDENCE THEIR COVER-UPS
PROVE⁷¹. JUST BECAUSE THE RESPONDENT
WANTS TO THROW HIS CAREER AWAY TO
PROTECT THE G.O.P.'S ROCKETEERING
ENTERPRISES COVERING-UP FOR CORPORATE
CRIMINALS FABRICATING MIVSON'S MEDICAL
RECORDS TO DECEIVE A U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JUDGE IN MY ANNULMENT IS NO EXCUSE
FOR COVERING-UP HIS CRIMES TOO AND
DECEIVING THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. THE
AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE A CONSTITUTION-
AL RIGHT TO REDRESS THEIR GRIEVANCES

ESPECIALLY IN THE PROTECTION OF
THEIR "CHILDREN" AND THEIR ABDUCTION
BY CORPORATE CRIMINALS. THE RESPONDENT
IS NOT STUPID. HAD THE FACTS AND EVIDENCE
AND CHOOSE TO IGNORE IT TO PROTECT
G.O.P. CRIMINALS FOR BRIBES AND
REBUDS. THE AMERICAN COURTS ARE NOT THE
ARENA FOR "SELF MOTIVATED" JUDGES TO
USE AND WIDEN CORPORATE CRIMES
COVER-UPS SO THEY CAN OBTAIN BRIBES,
OR POLITICAL REBUD FROM THE G.O.P.
THE COURTS HAVE VICTIMIZED MY FAMILY
FOR SELF PROFITS.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should
be granted to protect the citizens.

Respectfully submitted

Michael C. Petrus

July 14, 2022