

NO. 22-20235

IN THE
SUPREME COURT 22-5688 UNITED

~~STATE~~
Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

AUG 23 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

MICHAEL GEOFFREY PETERS - ~~PETITIONER~~
AND DALTON L. PETERS, A MINOR

VS.

STATE OF TEXAS AND DAVID HITNER
- RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL L. PETERS
STRAYSFELD UNIT
1200 FM 655
ROSHRON, TEXAS 77583
(281) 595-3413

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. WHETHER OR NOT A LOWER COURT CAN OVERRIDE THE HIGHER COURTS DECISION CONCERNING WHETHER THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION PERTAINS TO FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS?
2. WHETHER OR NOT IT'S ETHICAL FOR ONE FED. JUDGE TO PRESIDE OVER HIS CO-WORKERS CIVIL ACTION AND MAKE SURE ALL ARE DISMISSED?
3. WHETHER OR NOT IT'S LEGAL TO USE THE FEDERAL COURTS TO OPERATE A CORPORATE CRIMES AND LIABILITY PROTECTION RING?
4. WHETHER OR NOT IT'S LEGAL TO IGNORE A PERSON'S ACTUAL INNOCENCE TO ENSURE HER READING IN PRISON AND SILENCED FOR EXPOSING POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE ROCK-EETING OPERATIONS FOR BRIBES AND POLITICAL FUNDINGS.

LIST OF PARTIES

ALL PARTIES APPEAR IN THE FOLLOWING RELATED CASES AS THIS IS A DECADE OLD COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES.

RELATED CASES

SUPREME COURT:

21-7810 COUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT
21-7795 ANTHONY DUCKWORTH - COUNSEL
21-7966 DAVID HITTNER - 2254 JUDGE
21-5439 SCOTT HARRIS - S. CT CLERK COVER-UP
21-7740 TEXAS CHILDRENS - CORP. CRIMINALS
21-7797 TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD COVER-UP
21-8032 INNOCENT PROJECT OF TEXAS
21-7847 PRISON STEALING MAIL
21-7854 DAVID HITTNER
21-7531 PRISON STEALING MAIL
21-5507 PRISON OPENING LEGAL MAIL
21-7747 FED. JUDGE COVER-UP
20-8406 PRISON STEALING CERT. MAIL
21-21371 JUDGE DEFADING APPEAL
21-40819 SELECTIVE PROSECUTION
22-20059 FED. JUDGE - SANCTION COVER-UP
22-20099 PHIL GRANT
22-20180 FED. JUDGES COVER-UP EACH OTHER

22-20082 SIM LAKKE
22-20096 COURT REPORTERS DELETE TRANSCRIPTS
22-20094 SCOTT NORRIS SUBVERSION
22-40140 PRISON STEALS MAIL
21-40473 PRISON STEALS MAIL
21-40560 PRISON STEALS MAIL
20-40547 PRISON STEALS COPYBOOKS
21-20544 PRISON STEALS MAIL
21-20374 I.R.S. REFUSE STIMULUS
21-20669 GREGS ABBOTT COVER-UP
21-40483 DENIED RELIGIOUS SERVICES
20-20612 DENIED RELIGIOUS FREEDOM
21-20444 DENIED RELIGIOUS SERVICES
21-40850 SLANDER
21-20608 CITY OF CONROE RACKETEERING
21-20373 FED. INVESTIGATION DENIED
21-20601 FED. JUDGE COVER-UP
22-20246 FED. JUDGE COVER-UP
22-5301 TRIAL JUDGE CONSPIRATOR
22-5302 ACTUAL INNOCENT CRIMIC
22-40304 ROSENTHAL FED. JUDGE COVER-UP
22-5300 HANNEN - FED. JUDGE COVER-UP
22-5299 HANNEN - FED. JUDGE COVER-UP
22-40331 COSTA - FED. JUDGE CONSPIRATOR
22-20245 MITAL - CORP. CRIMINAL
22-20235 DAVID HITTNER BRIBES
22-20132 ZOBRYN DREXLER - CORP. CRIMINAL

FIFTH CIRCUIT:

22-20244 PRISON MAIL THEFTS
22-40331 COSTA - FED. JUDGE BRIBED
22-40292 ROSENTHAL COVER-UPS
22-20185 CHILD SUPPORT HARASSMENT
22-40302 KOCISIA LAW FIRM
22-20091 BAYLOR COLLEGE - CORP. CRIMINALS
22-20182 INNOCENT NETWORK COVER-UPS
22-20190 RYAN PATRICK - RICO INV. COVER-UP
22-20152 ROSENTHAL COVER-UP
22-20179 TRACY A. GILBERT CONSPIRACY

U.S. SOUTHERN DIST. COURT:

4:22-CV-01751 LABOUR UNION
4:22-CV-02286 HONEY RETALIATION
4:22-CV-01672 ROSENTHAL COVER-UP
11-22-767 SALSAS MAIL HARASSMENT
4:22-CV-01563 GRIEVANCE
4:22-CV-00668 DAVID HITNER CORP. COVER-UPS
4:22-CV-01674 K. JORDAN CORP. COVER-UP
4:22-CV-01466 HARRISON
4:22-CV-00769 GREG ABBOTT
4:22-CV-01751 PRISON STEALING MAIL - GROUKE
4:22-CV-00893 REPUBLICAN PARTY
4:22-CV-02208 STEALING MAIL
3:22-CV-00214 SANCTIONS - GOOD TIME.

<u>Lewis v. Casey</u> , 518 U.S. at 353 n.3	11
<u>Neitake v. Williams</u> , 490 U.S. 319, 325, 109 S.Ct. 1827 (1989)	11
<u>Simkin v. Bruce</u> , 406 F. 3d 1239, 1244 (10th Cir. 2005)	11
<u>Smith v. Indiana Dept. of Corrections</u> , 883 N.E. 2d 803 (Ind. 2008)	12
<u>Legal Service Corp. v. Velazques</u> , 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S.Ct 1043 (2001)	12
Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA)	13
Fed. Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)	12
Racketeering Influence and Crrupt Organizations (RICO)	12.
RICO Anti-SLAPP (strategic lawsuit against public participation	8, 12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	6-14
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRT . . .	15
CONCLUSION	16

INDEX TO APPENDICES

| APPENDIX 'A' | U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURTS DECISION |
| APPENDIX 'B' | U.S. FIFTH CIRCUIT COURTS DECISION |

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

Federal courts:

- The opinion of the United States court for the Southern District of Texas appears at Appendix "A" to the petition.
- The opinion of the United States Fifth Circuit Court appears at Appendix "B" to the petition.

Due to Political, Judicial and Corporate Rockefeler crimer cover-ups all is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

Federal courts:

The date on which the U.S. Southern District court decided my case was February 24, 2022 and appears in Appendix "A"

The date on which the U.S. Fifth Circuit court decided my case was June 28, 2022 and appears in Appendix "B"

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1254(1)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY
PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. FIRST AMENDMENT:

THE RESPONDENT IS PART OF A REPUBLICAN PARTY COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERS. SEE CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645. HE DENIES ME THE RIGHT TO REDRESS MY GRIEVANCES EXPOSING THEIR CRIMES AND COVERS-UP FOR OTHERS INVOLVED IN THIS SANE COVER-UP USING HIS POSITION AS A FEDERAL JUDGE.

2. FIFTH AMENDMENT:

THE RESPONDENT WAS DISMISSED THREE (3) CIVIL LAWSUITS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE PARTIES CRIMINAL RACKETEERING AND COLLUSION. HE MAKES SURE ALL MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS ARE VIOLATED AND COVERS-UP THE THEFT OR DELI MY PROPERTY IN RETALIATION AND RETRIBUTION.

3. SIXTH AMENDMENT:

THE RESPONDENT IGNORED THE FACT THAT THE TRIAL COURT REFUSED ME MY DEFENSE, REFUSED ME ALL WITNESS SUBPOENAS, DENIED ME ALL EVIDENCE SUBMITTEDS, DISCOVERY, JURY SELECTION, A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL BEFORE A FAIR JUDGE WITH NO INTEREST IN THE OUT COME, AND INFFECTIVE ASST. OF COUNSEL ALL TO SILENCE MY EXPOSING CORPORATE CRIMINALS IN COLLUSION WITH GOV. RICK PERRY. SEE (CASE NO. 19-20717 5TH CR. 2018). DENNINS ME THE COMPULSORY PROCESS FOR OBTAINING WITNESSES IN MY FAVOR.

4. EIGHTH AMENDMENT:

RESPONDENT IGNORED MY "ACTUAL INNOCENCE" AND THE FACT THAT I WAS REFUSED BAIL.

5. FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT:

THE RESPONDENT DEPRIVED ME OF

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Respondent is a corrupt Federal Judge working out of the U.S. Southern District court. [See Case No. 4:18-CV-645] for evidence and (5th Cir. Case No. 19-20717). This political cover-up started back in 2012 when Gov. Rick Perry was running for president of the United States and Greg Abbott was his Attorney General. I had filed for an annulment of my marriage at this same time. My son who has lukemia is a patient at the Texas Children's Hospital. His doctor is Zoann Eckert Dreyer. She is employed and insured at Baylor College of Medicine and practices at the Texas Children's Hospital (TCH). During my Annulment, Case No. 12-08-09259-CR in Montgomery County, City of Conroe, Texas the presiding judge was a Baylor College of Law graduate; Tracy Allen Gilbert.

I.

Dr. Dreyer was an advocate for wemens rights and worked with my wifes lawyer's to obtain child custody for my wife. She and another Baylor employee; Mital K. Brahmbhatt conspired together to make false allegation against me of medical neglect and spousal abuse making false statements to Child Protections Services in Montgomery County, Case No. 13-1757. Their three (3) attempts all failed them. See (5th Cir. 19-20717) for evidence.

II.

One October 24, 2012 they decided to fabercate the Texas Children's Hospital's medical records and then fax this fabercated record to my wife's lawyer's they had been in communications with to use as the physical evidence and decieve Judge Gilbert's Associate judge; Jennifer Robin, who after reading this single peice of fabercated evidence ordered that my son be removed from me and that I pay Child Support and also pay for special visits to see my son in the presence of a police officer.

III.

I tried to expose their crimes and gave Judge Gilbert a copy of the proof

but he refused to allow me to admit this evidence or it's corroborating witnesses and their statements when he had unethical meetings with these Corporations attorney's who after my protests attened all my Annulment Hearing. The Texas Medical Board was also notifed but covered-up as well. I thought this strange that all were protecting them and found out that Gov. Rick Perry had hired at his ("discretion") the Director of the Texas Medical Board; Irvin Zethler. He was being funded by these billion dollar Corporations in his bid to become president of the United States.

IV.

I had little money at this time and the only way I could try and get help and my story out was to make ("YouTube video's") about what they all did. I was calling out Gov. Rick Perry calling his a("Child Molester") on YouTube and attaching my video's to his political campaigns. The Republican Party supporting Perry became enraged and had Attorney General Greg Abbott, now Texas govenor call the Montgomery County Courthouse and have them trump-up false allegations of (Retaliations) against me and rigged my trial where once again I was not allowed to have my defense exposing them, or subpoena defense witnesses corobborationsg their cover-ups.I was refused all evidence submittals and Dr. Zoann Dreyer who Judge Gilbert had covered-up for was now his (State Witness) aiding him quid pro quo.

V.

This trial was totally rigged. I was charged with three (3) Counts of Retaliation as follows:

1. Count No.(1) Phone Harassment
2. Count No.(2) Assault by Phone Message [ACQUITTED]
3. Count No. (3) Assault by YouTube video's

VI.

In Count No.(1) They withheld the phone records that proved the calls Judge Gilbert's wife was lying about did not exsist to fool the jury.

Count No. (3) They added (103) YouTube video's I made to expose everyone else to the (4) of Judge Gilbert to confound the jurors and when they asked which YouTubes they were supposed to deliberate the trial judge refused to tell them keeping them in the dark. They refused to even inform the jury of the elements of the offense charged. When they asked what the definition of an (Assault) was the judge also refused to tell them preferring to keep them dumbfounded so they would make a false verdict, which they did without any evidence at all or my being allowed to present my own defense. This is totally unconstitutional and no less a communist attempt to subvert the legal system and cover-up their collusion crimes with these billion dollar Corporations funding them in exchange for protection from their criminal liabilities and crimes.

VI.

These Racketeering Influence and Corrupt Organization (RICO) crimes can best be explained as follows:

Violations of RICO laws can be alleged in civil lawsuit cases or for criminal charges. In these instances charges can be brought against individuals or corporations in retaliation for said individuals or corporations working with law enforcement. Further, charges can be brought against individuals or corporations who have sued or filed criminal charges against a defendant.

Anti-SLAPP (strategic lawsuit against public participation) laws can be applied in an attempt to curb alleged abuses of the legal system by individuals or corporations who use the courts as a weapon to retaliate against whistle blowers, victims or to silence another's speech. RICO could be alleged if it can be shown that lawyers and/or their clients conspired and concocted fictitious legal complaints solely in retribution and retaliation for themselves having been brought before the courts.

VII.

They all knew that I was making the YouTube video's and protesting the Texas Children's Hospital because I planned to expose them for covering-up Baylor and Texas Children's crimes of; (a) Physical Evidence Fabrication (b) Aggravated Perjury, (3) Criminal Conspiracy, (4) Medical Records

Fraud, (5) Fraud when they lied to Child Protection Service (CPS) officials during their investigation, Case No. 42469078. These Corporations hired a Private Investigator to stalk me at my home until I took photo's of him and posted them on YouTube. They had a Courier drive to my home and hand deliver to me a (No Tresspass Notice) in an attempt to stop me from protesting them after the Tomball Print Shop Owner notified them of her making my picket signs.

VIII.

They sent their attorney's to have unethical meeting with Judge Gilbert and took photo's of me protesting them and gave them to Judge Gilbert to coerce into covering-up for Dr. Zoann Dreyer's crimes. This was an Annulment of my marriage and hardly the forum for presenting photo's of my protesting. It had nothing to do with my divorce from my wife but everything to do with Judge Gilbert covering-up their crimes and liabilities and that was why when I was exposing him, he and his courtboult friends trumped-up their false allegations. It was Asst. District Attorney; Phil Grant who:

1. Was at the Gilbert's home the night before the trial to coach Mary Gilbert his wife to lie about the (Additional Phone Calls) they all withheld those records repeatedly. The Respondent ordered the State to answer the petition concerning those missing records that the State testified they did not have, effectively acquitting me of that offense, but the Respondent taking a bribe also covered-up. As those records were blank...
2. It was Phil Grant who in the indictment refused to inform the jury of the allegations to keep them in the dark.
3. It was Phil Grant who added his own comments in that indictment stating ("Judge Gilbert has three children") to inform the jury: (a) He was a judge and (b) a family man, to put him in better light with the jurors.
4. It was Phil Grant knowing that the evidence was insufficient put all the sentences Judge Gilbert fabricated into the official indictment to cover-up for Gov. Rick Perry's collusion with the Corporations I was exposing.

IX.

Yet The Respondent simply dismisses the civil action against Phil Grant citing that I was making frivolous complaints that had no merit... This criminal conspiracy to cover-up for these Corporations liabilities and Gov. Rick Perry's collusion with them offering them protections in exchange for political funding are RICO crimes, that the Respondent is connected with.

3.

A frivolous claim is defined as one that lacks an augurable basis either in law of fact. Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. at 353 n.3. Also see: Neitake v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325, 109 S. Ct. 1827 (1989). Simkin v. Bruce, 406 F. 3d 1239, 1244 (10th Cir. 2005).

The problem with these judge's claims is their all wrong and I am sure that not one of them could tell you why other than the bogus three-strikes given by one of the Conspirtors; Judge Nancy F. Atlas. Even she refused to comment on the factual basis of her findings into said frivolous claims and nobody will as they all know the facts and evidence can easy be seen in (5th Cir. Case No.19-20717) or U.S. Southern District Case No. 4:18-CV-645. They would never comment on these cases either as this has been one long cover-up from the start as the evidence they all ignore proves. We could argue all day long with out these facts and evidence but in truth we just need to review them and stop basing the fact on fiction. I challenge any judge to do so.

4.

It's gotten to such a point now that all these judges are afraid of the federal investigators and prison sentences as that is what will happen when the media takes this case to trial as it appears that no judge in America will until they are forced to by the American people. Everyone is just bidding their time until that day but I will make sure that everyone who was involved gets their credit and this court and all the rest. It is a sad day in our history that the people are sold out by the judiciary when like buzzards they wait the court dockets to find a case that will give them the largest bribe in exchange for them covering-up their crimes and liabilities. The Republican Party can ill afford to be scandalized again so soon after Donald Trump and that is why I have been made a Political Prisoner.

11

X.

The Respondent is a senior judge at the U.S. Southern District Court and his co-worker; Judge Nancy Frances Atlas was instructed by him to impose the three-strike provision to prevent my trying to expose their connection in covering-up these political crimes for the benefit of the Republican Party and excepting bribes given them by these local billion dollar Corporations who did not want to be sued and did not want the bad publicity and their credibility defamed, so they all thought it would be better just to keep me imprisoned for the benefit of the Republican Party.

XI.

The Respondent is responsible for the cover-up for my Actual Innocence, the cover-up for Judge Nancy F. Atlas who imposed the three-strikes for them that they all may dismiss all my civil actions without even bothering to look into the evidence or facts which is of course what they all wanted. See Case No. 22-20059 5th Cir).

The Respondent also covered-up the case against Phil Grant. See Case No. 22-20099 5th Cir.

But most importantly he covered-up my actual innocence and took a big bribe from the local billion dollar Corporations. See Case No. 19-20717 5th Cir.

These are criminal acts under the RICO Anti-SLAPP laws. He is the law enforcement and I and my son are the victims. I was that whistle blower who's been for the past ten (10) years straight been writing the courts trying to find one honest judge in the United States and to day that is near impossible. We have become a Communist Country and don't even know it. Are rights are gone and the Constitution is a thing of the past. We are in extreme danger!

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

The Supreme Court has said that sanctions may not be imposed against a prisoner who brings litigation unless that litigation is both objectively and subjectively without basis.

The right to petition the court is protected by the First Amendment. See: Smith vs. Indiana Dept. of Corrections, 883 NE 2d 802 (Ind. 2008) (The three-strikes is unconstitutional. Open Courts Clause).

Advocacy in litigation is speech. See: Legal Service Corp. vs. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001) (holding legal representation is speech).

The body of law requires that restrictions on expression be narrowly tailored to the problem there to solve.

XII.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure [60 (b)], Applied to the three-strike

lawsuits the same or for another reason. One only need look into their cover-up that have extended throughout all the listed court below:

1. Montgomery County Courthouse in Conroe, Texas
2. The Ninth Court of Appeals on direct appeal
3. The Texas Court of Criminal Appeals
4. The U.S. Southern District Court (Houston)
5. The U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals (New Orleans)
6. The U.S. Supreme Court Clerk's Office who dismissed it so the Republican's in the Supreme Court would not have to dirty their hands dirty. Even though I have personally written everyone one of them and they have all ignored me repeatedly. This cover-up appears to be bigger than Watergate but just has not been exposed yet. I am fighting for my life and freedom in here and suffering every day.

RELIEF

Relief is sought in the sum of Three Hundred Million (\$300,000,000.00) dollars for the combined efforts and length of this criminal conspiracy from these conspirators. For the damages they have done to my son and for the irreparable damages they have caused my marriage to Aileen O-ong Peters. For the loss of life, liberty and property and wages for the past ten (10) years due to their criminal conspiracy and subsequent cover-ups. For refusing me my constitutional rights and plotting against me. And for denying me Religious Services for eight (8) years. For slandering me in the worldwide enternet and up loading photo's of me wearing (Black and White) Prison stripes to damage and harm me for the rest of my life and causing the world to mistrust me. For the pain and suffering, mental anquishment, duress and charter assination they planed to decieve the world with. For making me a Political Prisoner and forcing me to eat non-kosher meals for years. For distroying my life and that of my family.

PRAYER

I pray that justice will finally be done and that this plot to subvert the judicial system be wealthy corporations blantly bribbing all our elected officials and judges into corruption and damnation. I pray for the America I once thought I knew.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. TWO (2) FEDERAL JUDGES HAVE MADE TWO (2) OPPOSITE DECISIONS ON THE SAME POINT OR LAW, IN RE TO THE APPLICATION OF THE THREE-STRIKE PROVISION.
2. THE RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN A CRIMINAL COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RICO CRIMES. SEE CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645 AND BEST CASE NO. 19-20717 5TH CIR. 2018.
3. THE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT'S JUDGES ARE INVOLVED IN THE TAKINGS OF BRIBES FROM THE LOCAL BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS AND INVOLVED IN THE FALSE IMPRISONMENT OF H-NUCED AMERICAN CITIZENS EXPOSING THEM AND THE REPUBLICAN PARTIES INVOLVEMENT.

BY FIRST CLASS MAIL.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND
CORRECT.

EXECUTED ON AUGUST 22, 2022

SWORN BY

Michael L. Peters
MICHAEL L. PETERS