

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. WHETHER OR NOT THE LOWER COURTS ARE ALLOWED TO USE THE THREE STRIKE PROVISION AS A WEAPON TO SILENCE ONE'S FREE SPEECH EXPOS-POLITICAL JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING CRIMES FOR BRIBES POLITICAL FAVOR OR FOR THEIR POLITICAL PARTIES INTEREST?
2. WHETHER OR NOT CORPORATE CRIMINALS ARE ALLOWED TO CONSPIRE AND FABRICATE PHYSICAL EVIDENCE TO DECEIVE A DISTRICT COURT JUDGE INTO TRANSFERRING CHILD CUSTODY ONCE THEIR THREE (3) PRIOR AT-THEMPTS FAILED TO DECEIVE OTHER STATE AUTHORITIES?
3. WHETHER OR NOT THE LOWER COURTS CAN OVERRULE THE HIGHER COURTS DECISION IN RE TO THE PRISON CIT-IZATION REFORM ACTS (P.C.R.A.) THREE-STRIKE PROVISION NOT APPLYING TO FIRST OFFENDMENT CLAIMS?

4. WHETHER OR NOT JUDGES ARE ALLOWED TO USE THE COURTS AS A PLATFORM TO MAKE DEALS WITH WEALTHY CORPORATIONS FOR PROFIT?
5. WHETHER OR NOT JUDICIAL CORRUPTION IS THE UNSPOKEN LAW IN AMERICA?
6. WHETHER OR NOT IT'S LEGAL FOR JUDGES TO CONSPIRE AGAINST INNOCENT AMERICAN CITIZENS TO IMPRISON THEM FOR EXPOSING CORPORATE CRIMINALS INTO FABRICATED PHYSICAL EVIDENCE TO ABDUCT HIS SON FOR BRIBES OR CONCESSIONS?

LIST OF PARTIES

The list of parties can be found in the related cases below. There are approximately seventy-five (75) related cases as this is a decade old cover-up for Political, Judicial and Corporate Racketeering crimes. The lower courts are all involved or at least the Republican Party has only been appointing members of their conspiracy. See (5th Cir. 19-20717) for complete irrefutable evidence and proof.[RICO Anti-SLAPP Crimes]. All this evidence can be seen in S.Ct Case No.21-5439 but the 5th Cir. is the best copy.

RELATED CASES

SUPREME COURT:

1.	21-7810	Cruel and Unusual Punishment
2.	21-7795	Tony Duckworth and Asso.
3.	21-7966	David Hittner
4.	21-5439	Scott Harris
5.	21-7740	Texas Children's Hospital
6.	21-7797	Texas Medical Board
7.	21-8032	Innocent Project of Texas
8.	21-7847	Prison Mail Misappropriations
9.	21-7854	David Hittner
10.	21-7531	Prison Mail Misappropriations
11.	21-5507	" "
12.	21-7747	Hoyt
13.	20-8406	Prison Mail Thefts
14.	21-21371	Judge defaces appeal
15.	21-40819	Selective Prosecutions
16.	22-20059	Nancy Frances Atlas
17.	22-20099	Phil Grant
18.	22-20180	Hanks & Sims
19.	22-20082	SimLake
20.	22-20096	Busa & Young
21.	22-20094	Scott Harris
22.	22-40140	Prison Mail Misappropriations
23.	19-20717	Racketeering Cover-up
24.	21-40473	Prison Mail Misappropriations
25.	21-40560	" "
26.	20-40547	Prison Stealing Lawbooks
27.	21-20546	I.R.S. Refuse stimulus
28.	21-20669	Gov. Greg Abbott conspiracy
29.	21-40483	Prison refuse Religious Services
30.	20-20612	" "
31.	21-20444	" "
32.	21-40850	Slander
33.	21-20608	City of Conroe
34.	21-20373	Refuse Federal Investigation
35.	21-20601	Hoyt
36.	22-20246	Hanks
37.	22-5301	Trial Judge MiChalk
38.	22-5302	Actual Innocent Clinic
49.	22-40304	Rosenthal
50.	22-5300	Hanen

51.	22-5299	Hanen
52.	22-40331	Fifth Circuit Judge Costa
53.	22-20245	Brahmbhatt
54.	22-20235	Hittner
55.	22-20132	Zoann E. Dreyer

FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT:

56.	22-20244	Prison Mail Misappropriations
57.	22-40331	Costa
58.	22-40292	Rosenthal
59.	22-20185	Child Support Harassment
50.	22-40302	Kalish Law Firm
51.	22-20091	Baylor College of Medicine
52.	22-20182	Innocent Network
53.	22-20190	Ryan Patrick
54.	22-20152	Rosenthal
55.	22-20179	Tracy A. Gilbert

U.S. SOUTHERN DIST. COURT:

56.	4:22-CV-01751	Labour Union
57.	4:22-CV-02286	Hanen
58.	4:22-CV-01672	Rosenthal
59.	H-22-767	Amy Salas
60.	4:22-CV-01563	Grievances
61.	4:22-CV-00668	David Hittner
62.	4:22-CV-01674	Kimberly Jordan
63.	4:22-CV-01466	Harrison
64.	4:22-CV-00769	Greg Abbott
65.	4:22-CV-01751	Prison Mail Misappropriations
66.	4:22-CV-00893	RepublicanParty

PROCESSING:

67.	Zoann E.Dreyer	
68.	Fifth Cir.Clerk	
69.	4:22-CV-02208	Prison Mail Misappropriations
70.	Jeff Hohl	
71.	Lynn N. Hughes	
72.	J. Burton	22-40425
73.	Sanctions,	3:22-CV-00214
74.	Harrison	22-20284
75.	Republican Party	22-20390

CASESTABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITEDPAGE NO.

<u>Smith vs. Indiana Dept. of Corrections</u> , 883 N.E. 802 (2008)	6
<u>Legal Services Corp vs. Velasques</u> , 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S.Ct 1943 (2001)	6
<u>Lewis vs. Casey</u> , 518 at 353 n.3	6
<u>Neitake vs. Williams</u> , 490 U.S. 319, 325, 109 S.Ct 1827 (1989)	6
<u>Simkin vs. Bruce</u> , 406 F. 3d 1239, 1244 (10th Cir. 2005)	6

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)	6
Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA)	6
Racketeering Influecne & Corrupt Organization (RICO)	7
RICO Anti-SLAPP (strategic lawsuit against public participation)	7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4-14
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	15
CONCLUSION.....	16

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX "A" The U.S. Southern District Courts decision.

APPENDIX "B" The U.S. Fifth Circuits court's decision

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below:

OPINIONS BELOW

Federal cases:

The opinion of the U.S. Southern District court appears in Appendix "A".

The opinion of the U.S. Fifth Circuit court appears in Appendix "B".

Due to the cover-up for Political, Judicial and Corporate Racketeering crimes no reports were published.

JURISDICTION

Federal courts:

The dates on which the U.S. Southern District Court decided my case was May 2, 2022.
And can be found in Appendix "A"

The date on which the U.S. Fifth Circuit decided my case was July 5, 2022. And can
be found in Appendix "B"

The jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Sec.1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

FIRST AMENDMENT:

In this conspiracy the courts are covering-up for the corporations crimes and liabilities. In doing so they have dismissed every civil lawsuit I have filed and denied me every constitutional right to either prove my actual innocence or the corporate crimes and thus have denied me the redress of my grievances and silenced my free speech exposing them. See (5th Cir. 19-20717) for evidence.

FIFTH AMENDMENT:

In their cover-up they have stolen all my property, taken my liberty and deprived me of my life. They have constantly denied me the due process of the law as they are afraid I will expose them. I have been treated worse than a citizen of Communist China.

SIXTH AMENDMENT:

At trial they denied me compulsory process to obtain my witnesses because they all corroborated the evidence of the corporations crimes they were all involved in covering-up. They denied my effective assistance of counsel and appointed me instead one of their cronies to make sure I would not be allowed to expose them or present my evidence. They made all my defense jury selections and appointed the ones that they wanted who they knew would do their bidding in prosecuting me without any evidence.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT:

They made sure I was not allowed to hire my own counsel and refused me bail so they could control me throughout the proceedings to my inevitable conclusion.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT:

I was denied all my due process rights and equal protection of the laws. The records at my trial, NO.12-08-09259-CR and 14-07-08207-CR in Montgomery County prove that even though I asked to submit evidence and subpoena defense witnesses I was not allowed to as it exposed their cover-up for the corporate criminals.

these Corporations were protected. Not only were Perry & Abbott worried but the Republican Party as well since they stood to lose their investment in Perry when I was forced into making YouTube video's to expose him during his campaign, calling him a child molester on public media. This was before he was actually caught with the under aged prostitute in his car. So to silence me Greg Abbott as Attorney General called the Montgomery County District Attorney and told him to silence me. That is why I was not allowed to subpoena defense witnesses or even have my defense exposing them. I was not allowed to submit the evidence of their crimes even though Dr. Dreyer became the State's witness. It was a total communistic trial which is easily proven by the defense seen in the Fifth Circuit's brief. All these judges were hand selected to ignore the evidence and many were Republicans. They made sure all seventy-five (75) related lawsuits were all dismissed as well. Not once did any judge make a determination into their claims that the lawsuits were frivolous or without merit, they just said so and that was that as the trial judge ignored the evidence of my innocence. For the past decade (10) years I have done nothing but tried to expose them and they have done nothing but cover-up, most likely being bribed and funded by said Corporations which have billions of dollars.

III.

First the sanctions were ordered by U.S. Southern District Judge, Nancy F. Atlas, who also sanctions me (\$800.00) dollars in retribution for exposing their cover-ups. I won't shut-up about my only son being abducted by Corporate criminals no matter who their employer is or whether or not they are criminally liable. These Corporations spent over a Million dollars at my Annulment alone, hiring a Private Investigator to stalk me at my home and paying for my ex-wife's brain surgery and even sending her Surgeon to testify to my wife's recovery, which she has not a decade later.

IV.

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

The Supreme Court has said that sanctions may not be imposed against a prisoner who brings litigation unless that litigation is both objectively and subjective without basis. The right to petition the court is protected by the First Amendment.

Smith vs. Indiana Dept of Corrections, 883 N.E. 802 (2008)(three-strikes is unconstitutional) Open Courts Clause. Legal Services Corp. vs. Velasquez, 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S.Ct 1043 (2001)(holding legal representation is speech).

Applied to the three-strikes is the breathing space principle which would mean that prisoners could only be sanctioned for lawsuits that were not only objectively without merit but were also known to the plaintiff to be meritless. See: Fed. Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b).

V.

Lewis vs. Casey, 518 at 353 n.3; "A frivolous claim is defined as one that "lack" an arguable basis either in law or fact. Neitake vs. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325, 109 S.Ct 1827 (1989); Simkin vs. Bruce, 406 F.3d 1239, 1244 (10th Cir. 2005).

See: (Exhibit No.1 and Appendix "A") You will see that the judges all dismissed this civil action as being frivolous and without merit. They state that I am a Frivolous Litigant but never once has that been proven...It was never proven because they too were part of this decade old criminal conspiracy to cover-up political crimes of collusion, child abduction, criminal conspiracy, medical records fraud, aggravated perjury, physical evidence fabrications, false imprisonment and many more RICO crimes. They continue to quote the Prison Litigation Reform Act or (PLRA) as their go to source to cover-up all lawsuits connected to uncovering the cover-up they were paid to cover-up.

VI.

The Ninth Court of Appeals Ruled that the (PLRA) did not apply to First Amendment claims, regardless of the relief sought as a prisoner is entitled to relief of his First Amendment claims.

RACKETEERING INFLUENCE AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION RICO

Violations of the RICO laws can be alleged in civil lawsuit cases or for criminal charges. In these instances charges can be brought against individuals or corporations in retaliation for said individuals or corporations working with law enforcement. Further charges can also be brought against individuals or corporations who have sued or filed criminal charges against a defendant.

Anti-SLAPP (strategic lawsuit against public participation) laws can be applied to curb alleged abuses of the legal system by individuals or corporations who use the courts as a weapon to retaliate against whistle blowers, victims or to silence another speech. RICO could be alleged if it can be shown that lawyer's and/or their clients conspired to concoct fictitious legal complaints solely in retribution and retaliation for themselves having been brought before the courts.

[This is precisely the case] As the corporations have joined with the government to silence my speech exposing them for deceiving the District Court judge in my Annulment. They all know that these Corporations will suffer: (a) Huge monetary damages; (b) The Corporations credibility would be ruined; (c) And they'd face criminal charges for conspiracy, physical evidence fabricated, aggravated perjury, child abduction and false imprisonment etc. etc. Hence their willing to pay out bribes until I die in prison and unable to sue them.

VII.

The Respondent was the instigator and go between between the Texas Childrens Hospital (TCH) and my wife's lawyer's: Siomara Pitre and Valdamire Rasic. She had my wife an illegal immigrant sign a waiver so she could talk to my wife's lawyer Pitre, both hispanic women who had a common goal in aiding my with gain child custody and therefore also child support payments. Our son having leukemia due to my wife allowing ex-rays by her clinical doctor she used to go to while pregnant. Looking at (Exhibit No. 1) you can see that I am protesting the (TCH) in (Exhibit No. 4-5) the Corporation is taking photo's of me to pass to Judge Gilbert a Baylor Law Graduate who presided over my Annulment. He refused to allow

me to admit the defense evidence I gave him proving the Corporations crimes and liabilities. The Corporate Lawyer's were at every Annulment hearing for over a year and as stated did the brain surgery on my ex-wife an illegal immergrant for free to keep her loyal to them during this preceedings. Dr. Dreyer was also present at the Annulment and only aided Judge Gilbert at the trial for aggeded retaliations once he agreed to cover-up for her crimes at said Annulment. See (Exhibit No.6) The (TCH) sent a Courier to my home to hand delivered this Criminal Tresspass Warning to try and prevent me from protesting them. (Exhibit No. 6,7,8, 9 & 9A) Show motions the Corporate attorney's filed to quash all my defense witnesses who would corbborate the irrefutable evidence of their crimes. They even solicited the aid of the Montgomery County Attorney to help them, all no doubt doing so for a bribe.

VIII.

Looking at (xhibit No.11) You'll see a photo of Pitre my wife's Immergration Lawyer. My ex-wife had lied on her Immergration application and was unable to get a green card so she made a deal for aid in exchange for selling my assets to pay her lawyer to help her get a green card. (Exhibit No.11) She trying to get Emergency Assistance.(Exhibit No.13) Show that in her custody our son was bitten by a stray cat and hospitalized for five (5) days which was the reason I removed him from her custody until after the hearing. I paid for a home tutor to help my sonwith his schooling as I knew my wife would simply take him back into her custody. She came to the home three (3) times to try and physically remove him and I was forced to call 911 each time to record that no violance had accureed. I did not trust what was I saw going on at the hospital or around my home with the Corporations hiring of a Private Investigator stalking me.

IX.

My wife I knew would not give me our son's medications so when I removed him from his school I signed him out to go to the (TCH) where I planned to purchase more for him. My wife must had called Dr. Dreyer and told her that she had our sons medications. I heard she told her I'd kidnapp-ed him. Dr. Dreyer who has a history of siding with mother's in gaining the child custody. See (Exhibit No.10) Another Dad who also had a run in with both Dreyer and Mital during his child custody battle. Dreyer

Dreyer who had my phone number for emergencies did not bother to call me but rather just called Child Protection Services in Montgomery County telling them that I was medically neglecting my son as I did not have his medications. There was a network where she could have easily looked up on a National Pharmaceutical Website whether or not I had made any purchases of my son's medications by wanted to aid my wife in gaining custody back so she called (CPS) instead. See (Exhibit No.21). In (Exhibit No.14-15) you can see that I had to go to the (TCH) on (CPS) instructions to have them verify that I had all my son's medications. Both Baylor RN, Robin Haidacher and Socialworker Jacquelyn Okeke did verify that I had them. This was their (First) attempt to remove child custody from me. I had noticed that my son's (5 Mp) medicine was labeled only as ("Give as directed") so I had to call the (TCH) and ask them how it was to be administered. See (Exhibit No.18) Where I was now being accused that I was ("Extremely Confused") on how to administer my son's medications due to that call and (CPS) was once again notified by Dreyer and I had to once again return to see both Haidacher and Okeke wherein both once again verified how to administer my son's medications. See (Exhibit No.17,18 & 25).

X.

Looking at (Exhibit No.27,28 & 29) You see the Green Card Application for victims! This means if I assaulted my wife she could apply for it. Her lawyer Pitre told me in court one day that she was either going to make me out to be an alcoholic or an abusive husband. She opted for abusive as it was my wife's best chance of getting that Green Card. So what they did was have my wife put a bruise on her leg and take photo's of it to give to Mital at (TCH). See Exhibit No.37) Mital immediately starts on a campaign at the (TCH) having meetings and talking about my psychosocial and aggressive behavior and also having meetings with others. See (Exhibit No.37 & 24(a)). The Respondent talks about: (a) Father's aggressive and concerning behavior, (b) Her extreme concerns, (c) Patients welfare while in father possession, (d) Expediting appropriate interventions for the sake of the patient, (e) Filing another report with (CPS), (f) On going psychosocial issues with patients father, (g) About mother obtaining a lawyer, (h) Mother asked her for assistance in helping her lawyer to gain her full custody of our son.

XI.

Now in (Exhibit No. 137-38) You can see that I have uploaded the evidence of Dr. Dreyer's crimes to the enternet and I also attached my YouTube video's to the (TCH) YouTubes as well so they were very much aware of my wishes to expose them. In (Exhibit No.135) You can see that I was calling out Gov. Rick Perry and calling him a ("Child Molester") on public media during his presidential campaign. With Mital the Respondent and Dr. Dreyer both lying to (CPS) officials and the (TCH) hiring of a private investigator, See (Exhibit No.136), taking care of my son and protesting them all at the same time, I stayed busy and was watching as much as I could about my surroundings to make sure they were not pulling something else on me.

XII.

You can see in (Exhibit No. 19) That Child Protection Services did not believe their lies and in their official report ("Ruled-out") their medical neglect allegations. Looking at the Sheriff Departments reports in (Exhibit No. 30-36) you can see that they stated there was no abuse and as I said before the photo's were totally unverified by any law enforcement officials, so I suspect when the legal department at (TCH) found out what they were doing, they were told not to do it. Next I saw was the Respondent's sworn affidavit stating she was given the photo's by my ex-wife but had no knowledge of their authenticity, which is a far cry from their having meetings about my psychosocial behavior and reasons for the Respondents aiding my wife's lawyer's in gaining them full child custody etc..

VIII.

That was a total of three (3) failed attempts to unlawfully gain my wife child custody. It was Baylor who did her brain surgery and knew she was not fit. My son ended up with her family members instead on me due to their lies. Seen in Dr. Dreyer's medical report of 9/26/2012 (Exhibit No.43-43) She stated my son was taking his medications and he and I both told her that. That's because they had another plan cooking a fourth attempt to take my son from me this time their plan is to say

that I ran out of my sons (SXT) antibiotic. See (Xhibit No. 39-41) Showing the times that I purchase that medicine. My son was in my custody from Spetpember 17, 2012 thru November 15, 2012. These pharmacy reciepts prove that I never ran out of that medication, but here's what they did. First see (Exhibit No. 20) Where both the Baylor RN and Socialworker agree thatthis is not a (CPS) issue and that they will not call (CPS) probably like they were asked to by the Respondent and Dreyer.

XIV.

At the trial for alleged Retaliations for exposing Judge Gilbert for his cover-up of Baylor and his alumni friends, Dr.Dreyer is asked by the Prosecutor who knew what was really going on he asked: ("So when patients have appointments do they get a record of that appointment? And Dr. Dreyer states: "We always print out blood counts to give to the families so we can go through them and make notes for the families").

XV.

Well on October 24, 2012 my son had his next appointment. It was the very first appointment as I had called a head of time and said we needed to be the first as the Sunshine Kids Organization had given my son tickets to a Houston Astro Baseball event for kids with cancer, See (Exhibit No. 46-47) where Dr.Dreyer denied either fabercating my son's medical records or conspiriring with the Respondent to remove child custody from me. The impr-
tant thing to remember is that our reciept was the Blood Count she made notes on. See (Exhibit No.49) Thats the Blood Count for the week before note the circles and white blood cell count. This is typical, but on the day Dr. Dreyer and the Respondent conspired to fabercated the (TCH) medical records (See Exhibit No. 50) Dr. Dreyer writes all over half the page telling me to pick-up medications I already had. It is part of their new plan as on that day was when the Respondent brought the photo's of the bruised leg to Dr. Dreyer and after their other attempts had failed. See (Exhibit No.24) bottomlineand paragraph. The Respondent is saying that she's already seen Dr. Dreyer and told her about those faked photo's my wife anf her lawyer concocted. See (Exhibit No.45) Dr.Dreyer after viewing them fabercated my son's medical records saying now that I ran out

or his (SXT) and had not been giving it to him for four (4) weeks. This is really odd since (CPS) and RN Haidacher and Socialworker, Jacquelyn Okeke all stated that I had them...

XVI.

Now view (Exhibit No.51-52) After I found out Dr. Dreyer had fabricated my son's medical records I made a formal complaint. You can see that the Compliance Director; Kimberly Jordan is covering-up by saying simply ("It was me who told her and another Health care provider (Mital) that I had ran out of his (SXT) during the appointment of October 24, 2012.


Now if it is true that during the appointment as Dr. Dreyer stated in court on the witness stand that she makes notes for the families and did so for me on October 24, 2012 as seen in (EXhibit No.50) Then look at the time the Lab completed the blood count. (upper lefthand corner) the time was [9:12 a.m.]. Now look at when Dr. Dreyer made her fabrication in the medical records in (Exhibit No.45) It was [8:45 a.m.]. This simply means that Dr. Dreyer fabricated the (TCH) medical records after viewing the bruised leg photo's the respondent showed her that morning before she made her report at [10:44 a.m.]. I could not have told her anything as the evidence proves that she lied before ever seeing my son and I. And that is evidence enough that they were both conspiring together after two (2) prior failed attempts had already been ruined. Along with the faked photo's of the bruised thigh this was their fourth attempt to gain my ex-wife child custody.

XVII.

Now looking at (Exhibits 24 and 45) and many others you will see that on November 15, 2012 they were faxed (upper lefthand corner) from (TCH) to my wife's Lawyer Pitre at approx. [6:41 a.m.] the morning of the Child Custody Hearing. And that after prior communications between the Respondent and my wife's lawyer's to help aid them in obtaining my wife full child custody their fabricated evidence in the form of these Corporations medical records was used to deceive Judge Gilbert's Associate judge; Jennifer Robin, who after viewing it ordered that I give-up child custody to my wife who was later unfit to have custody of him herself due to her brain surgery.

I pray as I have for the past decade for mercy from those wanting to do us harm and cover-up my actual innocent and constitutional rights violations. My son will be (18) next month September 30, 2022 and I want to set the record straight for him as he has had to suffer just as much or more than I have been made to suffer. God help us'

Respectfully submitted

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael G. Peters', written over a horizontal line.

Michael G. Peters
DATED: August 22, 2022

Michael G. Peters #2019190
Stringfellow Unit
1200 FM 655
Rosharon, Texas 77583
(281) 595-3413

cc: Respondent
File

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


1. I have been denied all my constitutional rights for the past decade wherein these conspirator's can cover-up their Political, Judicial and Corporate Racketeering crimes and activities.
2. The federal judges imposed the three-strike provision against me to silence my freedom of speech exposing their cover-ups for corporate criminals and taking bribes. They used it as a weapon to silence me as I was exposing the Republican Parties presidential candidate; Rick Perry's involvement in collusion with these same corporations.
3. Two (2) federal judges have decided oposite one another on the same point of law in re to whether or not the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA) applies to Frist Amendment claims. Wherein they silenced my free speech because I was exposing them and their presidential candidate and the corporations who they were in collusion with being funded by them in exchange for corporate crimes and liabilities protections.
4. The Respondent in this case is one of the Corporate criminals they are protecting and conspired with her co-conspirator; Zoann E. Dreyer to fabercate physical evidence after their prior three (3) attempts to remove child custody from me had failed them. They faxed their fabercated evidence to my wife's lawyer's after being in communications with them to take my son.
5. These conspirators had me intentionally wrongfully imprisoned to keep me silent in a rigged one-sided trial wherein I was denied all my constitutional rights. They covered-up my Actual Innocence.
6. I am ACTUALLY INNOCENT.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion I am an innocent man who was conspired against and imprisoned to protect Gov. Rick Perry and his Attorney General; Greg Abbott who were in collusion with these Corporations for political funding for Perry's Political Campaign to become United States president in 2012. Because I was exposing them and the judge's covering-up for their collusion when these Corporate criminals fabricated my son's medical records to deceive a District Court Judge in my Annulment Child Custody Hearing they all worked together in a RICO Anti-SLAPP conspiracy to have me silenced by intentional wrongful imprisonment. I am an innocent man and my innocence is very easy to prove. These conspirator's need to be exposed and imprisoned. My son, wife and grand children are all victims. I have been wrongfully imprisoned for the past eight (8) years and have had to fight to expose them since 2012 a decade ago.

Justice must be forth coming and I await this Court's decision for relief.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael G. Peters", written over a horizontal line.

Michael G. Peters

DATED: August 22, 2022

cc: File

MitalK. Brahmbhatt

U.S. Fifth Circuit Court