22-5683

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Gregory Scott Savoy

Case no.

V.

(case no. 22-1112, USCA for the 4th Cir., final judgment issued July 25, 2022)

Peter Franchot, et al

On Petition to Make a Determination of Imperative Public Importance and to Stop Crimes Against the People

---

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

---

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

September 23, 2022

Gregory Scott Savoy
Pro Se In Forma Pauperis
DBA Greg Savoy

Contact: 703-402-8139

533 Herndon Parkway
Herndon, VA 20170

Questions Presented;

This case presents the Bill of Rights of the Constitution as first law.

By citing the Ninth Amendment under a duly filed "Notice of Constitutional Question" (Document 36 in the district court,) the following Big Repugnancy was previously presented to all parties and intervenors to the underlying case (United States of America, State of Maryland, and State of Virginia; vide Doc. 10 and Doc. 11 in the district court;)

> **"However, we observed a pronounced general shrinkage effect of approximately 20% and a highly significant variation in shrinkage across brain regions. In conclusion, chronic exposure of non-human primates to antipsychotics was associated with reduced brain volume.**
>
> --University of Pittsburgh Primate Research Facility, (National Library of Medicine, NIH; found at Table of Ninth Amendment Repugnancies, written page 41, ECF page 45 of 158, Doc. 1, root case no. 1:20-cv-00784, USDC EDVA-Alexandria Division)(emphasis added)

Ever since "the different parts of the WHOLE United States" have been complicit in the destruction of the brain organ during involuntary detentions in America, there has been a chronic violation of an unquestioned Ninth Amendment right to retain a full sized brain (vide similar effects of homicidal lobotomization, *State of Wisconsin v. Jeffrey Dahmer*, Case no, F-912542.) The breaking of these first laws of our country creates an ongoing "war against the constitution." [Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. at 17 (1958)]

Questions:

1. **Do Americans have an unenumerated right to retain a full sized brain?**
2. **When the government starts intruding into our private minds with poisons (if even for just one of us disfavored thinkers) isn't the Constitution designed to stop such tyranny from being practiced by an unrestrained and overbearing majority, especially if the majority has graced itself with full sized brains?**
3. **By causing a one-fell-swoop education of American families, does the posting of a Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts (Federal Rules of Evidence) within nine varied opinions (perhaps concurring in part, perhaps dissenting in part) incent a voluntary public correction of this repugnancy and finally start the rehabilitation of the Ninth Amendment?**

Parties to this Proceeding (w/courtesy provision*)

TRUE PARTY
Peter Franchot, Comptroller of Maryland c/o
Assistant Attorneys General (Brian Oliner)
80 Calvert Street, Rm 303
Post Office Box 519
Annapolis, MD 21404-0519

TRUE PARTY
Craig Burns, Virginia Tax Commissioner c/o
Office of the Attorney General (Flora Townes Hezel)
202 North Ninth Street
Richmond, VA 23219

FEDERAL INTERVENOR (now served at the Supreme Court of the United States)
Elizebeth Prelogar, Solicitor General of the United States
Room 5616, Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

FEDERAL INTERVENOR (predecessor served summons in the district court, Doc. 10, Doc. 11)
Merrick Garland, Attorney General of the United States
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

FEDERAL INTERVENOR (predecessor served summons in the district court, Doc. 10, Doc. 11)
Jessica D. Alber, Attorney for the United States, USAO-EDVA
Justin W. Williams United States Attorney's Building
2100 Jamieson Ave
Alexandria, VA 22314

STATE INTERVENOR (served summons in the district court, Doc. 10, Doc. 11)
Brian Frosh, Attorney General of Maryland
200 St. Paul Place
Baltimore, MD 21202

STATE INTERVENOR (predecessor served summons in the district court, Doc. 10, Doc. 11)
Jason Miyares, Attorney General of Virginia
202 North Ninth Street
Richmond, VA 23219

*CRIMINAL COMPLAINT filed with FBI Washington Field Office
*WHISTLEBLOWER COMPLAINT filed with Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Related Court Proceedings

1. **A Final Judgment in Maryland (Appendix A in the district court, Doc. 3)** Notice of Lien of Judgment, "Comptroller of Maryland v. Gregory S Savoy," case no. 006238642, In The Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County. Judgment Date is July 2, 2019

   Appendix A in the district court case is Appendix H in this petition

2. **A Final Judgment in Virginia (Appendix B in the district court, Doc. 3)** Memorandum of Lien, "Gregory S Savoy," Richmond City Circuit Court, with the Bank Lien and Demand for Payment from the solitary bank account of Gregory Scott Savoy, BB&T (now Truist.) Judgment Date is August 29, 2012

   Appendix B in the district court is Appendix I in this petition

3. **A Final Judgment of the U.S. Court of Appeals (4th Cir.) affirming a federal U.S. Tax Court decision affirming an unsolicited IRS Appeals judgment of "Currently Not Collectible" (Appendix C in the district court, Doc. 3)** Unpublished Per Curiam decision, "Gregory Scott Savoy v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue," case no. 14-1901, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. Judgment Date is January 20, 2015.

   Appendix C in the district court is Appendix J in this petition

NOTE: the unsolicited judgment of "currently not collectible," and other outrageous extreme legalities along the way, caused a timely Petition for Writ of Certiorari to be converted into a mandamus case seeking equitable relief for all "Victims and Survivors of the Schizophrenia Spectrum of Disorders in America," docketed as case no. 15-5054 here at the Supreme Court of the United States. It also caused proceedings that stepped right into the judicial conference. Excerpts of that complaint of judicial conduct at U.S. Tax Court can be found as Appendix D in the district court, also located at Doc. 3 of that initial district court filing.

Ongoing Vexation without Final State Judgment

"Gregory Scott Savoy v. Comptroller of Maryland," case no. C-02-CV-21-001340, in the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County (a state appeals court) which is an appeal of a Maryland Tax Court decision in case no. 19-IN-OO-0839 (MTC.)

Table of Contents

Index to Appendices

Jurisdiction under Faith and Social Reliance on the Constitution

Right out of the gate, right at the top, at written page 3 of Document 1, the following at the district court was rightfully invoked under Constitutional Equity;

> **"Although it is a case in equity upon a single individual, the national policy implications of this sui generis case provides this court with all the rationale needed for declaring Boni Judicis Est Ampliare Jurisdictionem."**[2]
>
> –Gregory Scott Savoy, Doc. 1 at p. 3, case no. 1:20-cv-00784-LO-IDD

TABLES OF AUTHORITIES

The Pre-law

"We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America."

--Preamble to the Constitution of the United States of America (effective the first Wednesday of March, 1789)

(Basing it upon the formative ideas that were injected into the Constitution in the months prior to ratification, the word **"Posterity"** was cited by Gregory Scott Savoy throughout Document 1 and Document 2 in the district court to support the metrological argument against using forced antipsychotics across the great expanse of measured time.)

---

independent action called "Your Gamble," easily found upon the record being sent up from the lower court for a de novo review.

[2] (internal footnote) A maxim--generally held; it is the duty of a good judge to enlarge the jurisdiction of the court and amplify remedies, as first cited here; **"The maxim of the English law is, to amplify its remedies, and, without usurping jurisdiction, apply its rules to the advancement of substantial justice." [Cain v. Chesapeake & Potomac Tel. Co., 3 App. D.C. 546 (D.C. Cir. 1894)].** --and-- **"It is the part of a good judge to enlarge (or use liberally) his remedial authority or jurisdiction."** Ch. Prec. 329; 1 Wile, 284. (Black's Law Dictionary; 2nd Edition)

Table of Constitutional Law and Constitutional Equity

"The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority;"

–Article III, Sec. 2, Constitution of the United States
(government under the Constitution began March 4, 1789)
(underlined emphasis added by Gregory Scott Savoy)

"The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people."

--Amendment IX, Constitution of the United States of America (effective December 15, 1791)

Table of Cases

Table of Tributes

Ladies and gentlemen, the deep record of the universe now presents the rare members of the exo-constitutional realm who are now known to the public and who were previously known by any future investigators who have used that deep record of the actual branched events;

A case document filed in tribute to
Kurt Reinhold
Emergency Petition for a Writ of Mandamus as Extraordinary Relief,
Case no. 21-5674, Supreme Court of the United States

A case document filed in tribute to
Britney Spears
Emergency Petition for a Writ of Mandamus as Extraordinary Relief,
Case no. 21-5674, Supreme Court of the United States

A case document filed in tribute to
Attorney Jim Gottstein[3]
Supplemental Brief
Case no. 21-5674, Supreme Court of the United States

A case document filed in tribute to
Daniel Prude
"Supplemental Pleading–A Case Document on Behalf of Daniel Prude" Doc. 15
(available upon the record coming up from the lower court for a de novo review)

---

[3] Attorney Jim Gottstein is author of the "Zyprexa Papers," copyright 2020, Jim Gottstein. He has previously related his personal story on the deep record; "In 1982, when I was 29, I got into a situation where I didn't sleep for days. I tried to do too much. I went psychotic. When I heard someone coming down the hall, I thought the devil was after me and jumped out of my father's second-floor window in the wee hours in my underwear (since I knew how to do a parachute landing fall, I really didn't think I would get hurt, and I didn't). After I was captured, I was taken to Alaska Psychiatric Institute (API) in a straight-jacket, and pumped full of a whole lot of Mellaril. Prior to this, I was a practicing attorney. I had gone through college in three years at the University of Oregon by averaging 21 hours a term, rather than the normal 15 hours. After graduating from college I was admitted to Harvard Law School. Since graduating from law school, I had been practicing law in Anchorage. Before my episode I had never run into a situation where I couldn't do all the work that "needed to get done." Testimony Courtesy: Alaska Mental Health Recovery Stories-- akmhcweb.org (emphasis added by Gregory Scott Savoy)

A case document filed in tribute to
Nicholas Chavez
"Second Supplemental Pleading–A Case Document on Behalf of Nicholas Chavez" Doc. 16
(available upon the record coming up from the lower court for a de novo review)

A case Document filed in tribute to
Adam Lanza
Petition for Writ of Certiorari, vide "Table of Quashed Authorities"
Case no. 18-8407, Supreme Court of the United States

A case document filed in tribute to
Alex Fields, jr.
Last Supplemental (referencing Alex Fields jr. at Schizophrenia Boot Camp)
Case no. 18-8407, Supreme Court of the United States

A case document filed in tribute to
John Forbes Nash, jr.
"Petition for Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus and Equitable Relief for Victims and Survivors of the Schizophrenia Spectrum of Disorders in America"
Case no. 15-5054, Supreme Court of the United States

A case document filed in tribute to
Scott Panetti
Supplemental Brief
Case no. 14-1901, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

A case document filed in tribute to
Dustin Hill
Informal Reply Brief
Case no. 14-1901, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

Table of Unenumerated Rights

(this space intentionally left blank)

Table of Abrahamic Religions

Christianity **Islam** **Judaism** **Baha'i Faith**

Druze **Samaritanism** **Rastafarianism**

Fact-checking courtesy "The World Factbook, Field Listing–Religions"
(Recommended citation; *The World Factbook 2021.* Washington, DC: Central Intelligence Agency, 2021.) (https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/)

Table of Adjudicative Facts Taken from the National Library of Medicine

A most devastating conclusion;

> **"However, we observed a pronounced general shrinkage effect of approximately 20% and a highly significant variation in shrinkage across brain regions. In conclusion, chronic exposure of non-human primates to antipsychotics was associated with reduced brain volume."**
>
> –University of Pittsburgh Primate Research Laboratory-
> U.S. National Library of Medicine/NIH
> PubMed ID **#15756305,** 2005
> (underlined emphasis added by Gregory Scott Savoy)
>
> (the internet search term is the following word-cluster; "Pittsburgh, Macaque Monkeys, Antipsychotics")

As to the human trickery that ensures drug compliance:

> **"All monkeys were always given orange drink containing quinine sulfate as a taste mask to prevent the animals from knowing whether or not drug was present. During the study, animals in the haloperidol and the olanzapine groups required increasingly greater effort to maintain compliance with the dosing regimen. The animals seemed to develop an aversion to the taste and/or the subjective effects of the medication. Thus, dosing vehicles were adapted to ensure that the animals complied with drug ingestion.**
>
> –University of Pittsburgh Primate Research Laboratory-
> U.S. National Library of Medicine/NIH (continuing)

In The Supreme Court of the United States

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Petitioner in deference to God prays that a Writ of Certiorari issue from the Supreme Court of the United States to review the judgments below:

Opinions Below

The opinion for case no. 22-1112 in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit AFFIRMS the "Amended Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss," appears at Appendix B, and it is unpublished.

The opinion for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia–Alexandria Division, found as the "Amended Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss," appears at Appendix C and is unpublished.

And by inference, this court is asked to review all otherwise parallel matters raised or presented within the wholeness of the docket at the district court, matters that are pertinent to faith and social reliance on the Constitution of the United States.

Jurisdiction

Like three previous Petitions for Writ of Certiorari (that all held the same central grievance,) this case at the Supreme Court of the United States arrives from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

An unpublished per curiam decision in case no. 22-1112 at the Fourth Circuit was entered on July 25, 2022, found at Appendix B. The Mandate was issued on August 16,2022, found at Appendix A. Therefore, and presumably, the record has now been returned to the district court and is held there for retrieval.

The jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1.)

Imbrication of Jurisdiction

Within the wholeness of the case record at the district court, compound jurisdiction exists for this case and all these factors of additional jurisdiction are applicable and able to be considered under the science of equity.

The following facets of jurisdiction are the strongest ones raised in the lower court;

Diversity Jurisdiction

The party presentation at the district court was established by placing the following mastiff atop all filings in the district court, all filings without exception;

Now Presented
is a Motion for Equitable Relief
Under Rule 60(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
in which
Ninth Amendment Repugnancies Committed by "the different parts of the WHOLE United States"
Justifies the Requested Relief

Because this case involves **"the different parts of the WHOLE United States,"** it structurally qualifies for diversity jurisdiction (vide "Proof of Service.")

Equity Jurisdiction (Chancery Jurisdiction)

Because Rule 60 is a rare holdover in chancery from the Federal Equity Rules (practiced in the year 1937 and prior,) Rule 60 imbues a central tenet of equity jurisdiction upon this case, for the stark reason stated at the bottom of page 67 of Document 1 in the district court, and retold here once again;

> **"There is another authority, which has been, from time immemorial, or at least for several hundred years, exercised by the chancellor, which is said to belong to him, not in his official**

> **capacity, but as personal delegate of the crown. We allude to his jurisdiction in the cases of idiots and lunatics, as to the guardianship of their persons, and the management of their estates, and the protection of their rights."**
>
> --Associate Justice Joseph Story, "The Miscellaneous Writings of Joseph Story," found in "Chancery Jurisdiction" at page 157, (emphasis added)

Statutory Jurisdiction of Intervention (Federal Question)

In multiple places at the district court (and multiple prior proceedings) there are invitations for governments to intervene. These open invitations were intrinsically included in the posting of a "Notice of Constitutional Question," (which is Document 36 in the district court,) causing statutory jurisdiction to be invoked under both 28 U.S. Code §2403(a) and 28 U.S. Code §2403(b.) (with both raised correctly under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure)

As worded in the two conditional paragraphs of §2403, logic under procedural law suggests that only one option be selected from these two menu choices under §2403 (creating bilateral crossfire intervention.) However, under constitutional equity, these statutes expressed at (a) and (b) cannot be mutually exclusive when a litigant is facing the "different parts of the WHOLE United States." In such a scenario raised under the science of equity, both conditional paragraphs will require that they be united and then construed to be mutually active upon each other (ie., total absence of any intervention under the law of the Constitution precipitates the opening of the gates to constitutional equity, forcing a "total unified mutual intervention" under the Constitution.)[1]

[1] "Total unified mutual intervention," is raised ipse dixit by Gregory Scott Savoy, but is discussed in more detail starting at written page 45 of the section of the

PubMed ID #15756305, 2005
(underlined emphasis added by Gregory Scott Savoy)

(the internet search term is the following word-cluster; "Pittsburgh, Macaque Monkeys, Antipsychotics")

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Three final judgments (two state and one federal,) all very related and all predicated upon the same facts, were brought to a district court for equitable disentanglement under the saving clause of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, namely, Rule 60(b)(6.)

The "any other reason justifying relief" that was presented to the district court is society's wholehearted embrace of fraudulent psychiatry where lifelong brain destruction is sold as recovery, which they claim lends to improvement of the human condition though no such proof can be found; quite the opposite. Gregory Scott Savoy (along with those individuals cited in the previous Table of Tributes) was a victim of involuntary brain destruction and has now demanded that the American courts enthusiastically return to the Constitution.

When Jeffrey Dahmet drilled holes into his living victims brains to effectuate similar brain destruction by pouring acid and boiling water into those holes he was prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Conversely, psychiatrists and bureaucrats were given power, influence, and profit-participation for the repugnant chemical lobotomies they fraudulently promoted as recovery.

Because Americans hold an unquestioned Ninth Amendment right to retain a full sized brain, the concealment of this criminal activity by the lower courts creates a war against the Constitution by those sworn to defend it.

THE ARGUMENT IS AMPLIFIED

Destroyed Beyond Repair

As to the human trickery that ensures drug compliance:

> **"The combination of prescription drugs that Britney Spears' mother claims were ground up in the singer's food was a risky mix that could cause hysterical outbursts, agitation, creepy hallucinations, even a stroke, medical experts told ABCNEWS.com. . . . The drugs mentioned in her deposition, Seroquel and Risperdal, are antipsychotics used to treat schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. . . . If Lynne Spears' allegations are correct, Lutfi's administration of the antipsychotics could explain recent reports of Britney Spears' slurred speech and hysterical outbursts, while the allegation that she was given Adderall also seem to be reflected in her behavior."**
>
> –By Lauren Cox and Lauren Cahoon, "Britney's Mom Alleges Manager Controlled Singer with Drugs," ABC News Medical Unit, February 9, 2009 (excerpts)

Britney Spears isn't getting her brain volume back. Gregory Scott Savoy, this petitioner, can assert that he is definitely not getting his brain volume back (now proven "a fortiori" times ten!) Sitting in that jail cell, Scott Pannetti isn't getting his brain volume back. Dead, buried, and defamed by Hollywood, John Forbes Nash, jr. definitely never got his brain volume back. Now vindicated by his mother's private notes, Adam Lanza never got his brain volume back. Daniel Prude, no volume returned. Nicholas Chavez, same. With his uniformed dispatchers still walking free, the very dead Kurt Reinhold never got his brain volume back before being wrestled to the ground and then shot at point-blank range for the invented high-crime of jaywalking.

This is the mad history of what your national "jurisprudence" did to all of us members of the exo-constitutional realm.[4]

Even when presented with reason and logic by a hard-working singer known to the whole world, the chronic misery drags on and on for years and years without end, while the awesome state power is flexed for power's very own sake. Take a listen. She said it herself forthrightly!

---

[4] Holding some tactical foreshadowing concerning the Hague (ICC,) here is footnote # 4 and footnote #5 from the independent action that was filed at the most recent district court in the Savoy Cases, case no. 1:20-cv-00784-LO-IDD, which is the case that you now review on petition for writ of certiorari; footnote #4, "As a class, we psychiatric survivors live outside the constitution and therefore we cannot ever really be considered plaintiff's, not any more than could be a citizen of a foreign country bringing a suit [the exception under the Judiciary Act of 1789 being those suits raising "torts in violation of the law of nations" to include the Alien Tort Statute-- per Kennedy, J., Opinion of the Court, Jesner v. Arab Bank, PLC 584 U.S. (2018), also, Kavanaugh, J., Opinion for the Court, Agency for International Development v. Alliance for Open Society Int'l, Inc. 591 U.S. (2020) per first footnote at 4 "Such a construction would mean that during military occupation irreconcilable enemy elements, guerilla fighters, and "werewolves" could require the American Judiciary to assure them freedoms. . . "] Moreso, it is the argumentation of this instant plaintiff that our conflicts are largely not with other U.S. individuals directly in matters raised in the course of life, but rather, being removed from life, our transcendent conflict is directly with the constitution. We are plaintiffs petitioning the government in order to secure our readmission to the country. It's that simple! (And now it's known as being that simple.)" footnote #5, "In these cases, the 1st amendment right to protest against government with placards, chants, and homemade signs would necessarily need to occur in the fleeting moments between the hostile signing of an involuntary commitment form and the first arrival of antipsychotic "medication" inside the victim's skull--and learned from direct experience--this plaintiff reports that this one window in life in which to raise a protest typically occurs in far less than one hour's time. Drugging is the first order of the lucrative business, typically fulfilled before the executing law enforcement officers depart the psych ward after delivery of that bewildered victim. Additionally, these officers can be summoned back later to wrestle that victim into a state of compliance and/or injectability so that a steady stream of destruction is maintained. No court review of that police activity occurs; it's just daily business as usual in America--learned by this plaintiff from direct experience from 1980 through 1984."

> **"Again, it makes no sense whatsoever for the state of California to sit back and literally watch me, with their own two eyes, make a living for so many people, and pay so many people, trucks and buses on tour, on the road with me, and be told I'm not good enough. But I'm great at what I do. And I allow these people to control what I do, ma'am, and it's enough. It makes no sense at all.**
>
> —Britney Spears, American singer and songwriter. Excerpted from the court transcript of telephone testimony by Britney Spears to California Family Court Judge Brenda Penny while seeking freedom from court-ordered coerced treatment and while seeking restoration of communication, reproductive, and financial rights. (Despite that highly successful public career sustained while under a court ordered "conservatorship," freedom to associate and freedom to travel unrestricted were also requested from Judge Brenda Penny; Spears rightfully sought the very minimal basics under our broken Bill of Rights.)

This petitioner, Gregory Scott Savoy, joins in the company of Britney Spears to politely express noble exasperation with that wildly swung state power for power's sake, hatchet-jobs on our brains, swung wildly from the judicial bench in absence of logic and reason, let alone faith. (isn't reliance on faith the basis of original justice?)

In the lower court, Document 1 (written by Gregory Scott Savoy) sought a judgment under federal equity upon the presentation of logic, reason, and finally, faith; all three wrapped up into one request. The U.S. district court judge had been presented with indisputable proof that Gregory Scott Savoy was at that time in 2020 a 23-year U.S. Senate credentialed freelance journalist with copious attributions found on the internet in relation to his best client, a client known worldwide, the Reuters News Agency. Judge Liam O'Grady was certainly presented with a paradox of the absurd, no different than Abraham's famous

paradox of the absurd.[5] However, instead of being a name known throughout history, the name of Judge Liam O'Grady is now associated with taking the lowest path possible, as assisted by the fourth circuit, and this member of the judiciary nourished the evil exo-constitutional realm for another two and a half years when he penned an ipse dixit sentence on behalf of a RICO-like structure. That RICO-like structure rides atop this "war against the Constitution," [Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. at 17 (1958)][6] a RICO-like structure that sits smugly there in the style of a reverse inverted Godhead. (reliance on evil was not the basis of original justice)

> **"Plaintiff suffers from untreated schizophrenia."**
>
> –U.S. District Court Judge Liam O'Grady, Order of Dismissal at "I. BACKGROUND," APPENDIX A, mandamus case no. 21-5674, Supreme Court of the United States

This defamatory statement above was issued in a public document. The defamatory statement was ultimately removed after a ridiculous eleven month woodline skirmish during this "War against the Constitution." [Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. at 17 (1958)] Gregory Scott Savoy cried "help" at two appellate courts (case no. 21-1600 at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and case no. 21-5674 at the Supreme Court of the United States.) Help was denied by both courts and the opening skirmish did not liberate the exo-constitutional realm any more than all the previous battles (I'll say it again; anybody up for a little Rule

---

[5] Because they are victims of the crime of involuntary brain destruction, the members of the exo-constitutional realm live their entire lives under a bureaucratic paradox of the absurd. We are either "legally insane" or "illegally sane" or both simultaneously, and all predicated on legal fictions in the first instance.

[6] "No state legislator or executive or judicial officer can **war against the Constitution** without violating his solemn oath to support it."

60(d)(1) action; anybody? anybody at all?) Since those days of the lost skirmish (a defeat that caused a deepening concealment of the repugnant crime,) a newly arriving study from the National Library of Medicine now provides an estimate of how many American brains were lost daily because no one from the national judiciary assisted Gregory Scott Savoy. Face the hard truth concerning your inaction. Since this Spring, roughly 2,740 American souls were lost DAILY to this fully concealed crime;

> **"The analysis, published online today in the journal Psychiatric Services, shows that in the nearly half of U.S. states for which data was available, involuntary psychiatric detentions outpaced population growth by a rate of 3 to 1 on average in recent years."**
>
> –Gavin Crowell-Williamson, "Involuntary Psychiatric Detentions on the Rise, Raising Ethical Questions," November 3, 2020, MadinAmerica.com website (copyright 2022 Mad In America Foundation,) citing the UCLA Press Release of November 3, 2020, David Cohen, Research Leader, professor of social welfare at the Luskin School, UCLA; **additionally found at the National Library of Medicine**, PubMed ID # 33138709 *"Incidences of Involuntary Psychiatric Detentions in 25 U.S. States,"* Gi Lee, David Cohen (Emphasis added by Gregory Scott Savoy)

Much like the entire State of California that was viewing Britney Spears with "their own two eyes," did the District Judge in this most recent Savoy case not believe "his lying eyes" when he was asked to easily use the internet, as requested (and who was then later provided, as a workaround. a printed document of the same request.) It was a request to search the following three

words on the internet, "Greg Savoy Reuters."[7] Because God has provided us with a useful universe, even the cellular phone technology in the judge's pocket permits such a handy search. No need to get epistemological just yet, dear truth. The black & white printed version was provided!

So Britney Spears certainly got luckier than Gregory Scott Savoy. Thankfully for the sake of our exo-constitutional realm (not for the sake of state power on behalf of power's sake,) it is Judge Brenda Penny who will be known as first piercing the heart of the RICO-like structure sitting atop that reverse inverted Godhead, piercing it with a sharp sword swung forward during her valiant leap of faith. These center-mass skewerings have happened occasionally but the reverse inverted Godhead has always recovered and risen up magnified, illogically clutching the Constitution without any opposition by those sworn to defend it. (case no. 15-5054, case no. 18-8407, case no. 21-5674; all at SCOTUS)

Except once, though barely. It needs to be mentioned that it was the young free-thinker Justice David Souter who swung that magic sword wildly during a leap of faith here at this court in 2003. Gentle-voiced during oral argument, he took a mighty swing when he quietly pondered Dr. Sell's predicament here at this court, positing a single throwaway sentence not taken up by any other justice since;

---

[7] "Greg Savoy Reuters"-- Search results now include a story about Richard A. York, III of Delaware who lit up his car on a Sunday morning within a window view of the Supreme Court of the United States just moments before taking his own life and the lives of no others nearby. God draws near indeed! Richard's mother reported to the Guardian that he had been previously on medication. Do we even need to ask the question?

> **"If a substantive due process right is recognized, one question is, how serious is it, and maybe it ought to be regarded as so serious that the Government would have to come back."**
>
> –Justice David Souter, during oral argument for Sell v. United States, [593 US 166 (2003)]

Never came back. Justice Souter's musings on antipsychotics, along with Justice Breyer's and Justice Scalia's musings, were succinctly presented to this court on April 12 of this year (the year 2022) in a Petition for Certiorari Before Judgment. All those expensively photocopied documents were so easily mailed back to Gregory Scott Savoy instead of forwarded for discernment by the Chief Justice under a rightful application. It was just another one of the many obfuscatory court inventions (the people of America would call such activity obstruction of justice,) just like many other inventions that were employed in the past against the "certified nut job" Savoy.

In this new woodline skirmish the applications clerk refused to forward an Application to the Chief Justice. That application was proudly holding a rightful Rule 11 "Petition for Certiorari before judgment in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit." At that time, it was also proudly served on the U.S. Solicitor General (as indicated on page iv of that "lost" document.) That returned-petition sought answers to the same exact questions as presented to this court today. While every day has marched by on the calendar since April 12, the bounteous coffers of the RICO-like structure kept filling up while the American people's souls were lost to the crime at the rate of 2, 740 Americans per day. Destruction beyond repair was fraudulently sold as recovery and this court-enforced falsehood continues to be sold to American families TODAY!

If you'd like to resurrect this Rule 11 petition so that you can view Justice Breyer's and Justice Scalia's mutual crossfire exasperation with a past Solicitor General, bear in mind that the current Offices of the Solicitor General have received service of all pertinent documents in all the Savoy cases (and then some,) to include this missing and rightful Rule 11 petition. Go find it there! (the telephone number of the "Tenth Justice" is 202-514-2203.) Only human idiots and Godless lunatics would assume there's been no notification in God's universe that a crime has been sustained in plain quantum view for seven decades and that none of those human eyeballs of viewership were outside the reach of the universal quantum totality; AKA, the lead investigator; God.

> **"...the eye through which I see God is the same eye through which God sees me;"**
>
> --Meister Eckhart, Sermons of Meister Eckhart, 1260 A.D. to 1328 A.D., translated into English by Claude Field, 1909. (originally cited at written page 43, case no 18-8407, Supreme Court of the United States on February 22, 2019)

Apparently, there's nothing new here except what's been forgotten. (and then, ironically, forgotten again between that first reference in 2019, case no. 18-8407, and the instant citation above, a grand forgetting of what's been forgotten.)

With such magisterial disrespect for Eckhart that matches his original inquisition (held February of 1327– a trying trial that was on par with Abraham's mountaintop trial of the logical absurd,) shall the Supreme Court of the United States now throw Meister Eckhart away for another few hundred years of concealment? It appears Meister Eckhart and willful brain destruction will now be banned topics at the church of the bar and bench.

Why not; the courtly power to conceal the truth is so easy to wield against the people. Destroyed people can't protest. (e.g. go see the burnt and melted pavement at 2nd and East Capitol streets.)

> **"This is the most controversial intervention in mental health — you're deprived of liberty, can be traumatized and then stigmatized — yet no one could tell how often it happens in the United States," said David Cohen, a professor of social welfare at the Luskin School, in a press release. "We saw the lack of data as a social justice issue, as an accountability issue."**
>
> –Gavin Crowell-Williamson, "Involuntary Psychiatric Detentions on the Rise, Raising Ethical Questions," November 3, 2020, MadinAmerica.com website (copyright 2022 Mad In America Foundation,) citing the UCLA Press Release of November 3, 2020, David Cohen, Research Leader, professor of social welfare at the Luskin School, UCLA; **additionally found at the National Library of Medicine**, PubMed ID # 33138709 *"Incidences of Involuntary Psychiatric Detentions in 25 U.S. States,"* Gi Lee, David Cohen
>
> (for clarity of attribution, the above underlined emphasis in three spots was added by Gregory Scott Savoy)

The Mad History of Antipsychotics

> **"There may be some who need coercion, who if given free reign would riot in selfish pleasure like unbridled beasts, is no doubt true, but one should show precisely by the fact that one knows how to speak with fear and trembling that one is not of their number. "**
>
> –Soren Kierkegaard, "Fear and Trembling," at 103, Penguin Classics

Greetings Soren! Yes, for the benefit of this court, another one has been booked on a temporal steam train and then brought dieseling forward by some

industrious temporal station agent who is handy with all good things.[8] The destination for all these temporal guests was the one case in the lower court, case no. 1:20-cv-00784-LO-IDD. Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, you'll find the guests of history reside there within the case and they loudly and DIRECTLY protest the creation of the exo-constitutional realm.[9] For the benefit of a largely impenitent court, the history must be told again for the benefit of possibly the last penitent justice that is newly arrived.[10] But possibly not; because it's still really this court's Gamble in

[8] Here's how this same Savoy schtick went at the district court, quoted from the bottom of page 65 of Document 1; "To assist his timely brother Hugo Grotius, who's properly sailing the law of the seas aboard a temporal tall ship, the skilled Joseph Story has timely boarded a temporal steam locomotive with his hand-written writings in the buckled and worn satchel that swings as he rises up four metal steps, grasped by a sooty hand, stepping up into the steam engine's cab of a common freight train that's been commandeered within time by this well-liked circuit-rider of first century America. If the court would like to experience this transfer of time for itself, please don headphones and listen to Joseph Story arriving in time and across time, passing from steam locomotive to diesel locomotive, captured best by the following youtube selection; "America Undefined," by Pat Methany and the Hollywood Studio Symphony. The youtube timecode is 8:06. Buckle up."

[9] Here's what Joseph Story brought to us at written page 66 of document 1 in the district court: "We have been unexpectedly led to these remarks by a deep sense of their immediate and pressing importance, and we quit the subject with great reluctance, believing that a full development of it could not fail to be interesting to all well-wishers to our country, to statesmen, to lawyers, to pious and benevolent men, and to those who love, and those who cultivate literature, the arts, or the sciences. But this is not the time or occasion for such a discussion. At present we must go dryly on with our examination of the chancery jurisdiction."

[10] It's really hard to say how the conference table gets gridded these days now that Justice David Sutor has left it. Recently Justice Sotamayor stood out in lonely support of Wesley Paul Coonce, jr. an American who assuredly would have received the damages caused by antipsychotics (though clearly compounded by other damages.) Justice Sotomayor revealed the following in her opinion dissenting from denial of certiorari for a CAPITAL CASE [(595 U.S. (2021) Sotomayor, J., dissenting at 9] "Even in the regimented environment of prison, Coonce's attorneys represent that he continues to engage in self-mutilation, has proven unable to timely take medication, and cannot complete other basic tasks." So even if Wesley only touched antipsychotics for a month (which is improbable

a universe of branched decisions, branched out as far as the human eye can see, let alone as far as the eye can see from the edge of one galaxy in the Virgo Supercluster (perhaps it's time to choose how far you aspire to go.) Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, please read the section of the Independent Action that is called "Your Gamble," a section found at written page 45 of Document 1. It concerns logic, reason, and faith.

> **"Today on Earth, there is no country where slavery is legal. Today, however, in all countries, brains are being actively shrunk with antipsychotics that are exported in deference to American government policy, fulfilling the marketing of a Globally empowered Big Pharma, endorsed by the executive branch agencies (HHS, NIMH, NIH,) and, most tragically, legally enforced and decoupled from the constitution by American court decisions that have been made in the presence of this extrinsic fraud; promotional of the Big Repugnancy actually."**

---

since his record of child psychiatric institutionalization began when he was 4 years old–which was noted in same dissent at 2, Sotomayor, J.) it would have bearing on his case most assuredly, just as antipsychotics had severe bearing on Scott Panetti's case. Coonces length of exposure is largely an irrelevant discussion about the damage inflicted. So now, buried here in this footnote, it must be pointed out that there is a syntactical error in the labeling of the Pittsburgh study–the one presented in the "Table of Adjudicative Facts Taken from the National LIbrary of Medicine." It states that reduced brain volume results from chronic exposure. But upon a closer inspection in support of reality, the study merely affirms SWIFT reduction of brain volume within as little as eighteen months of exposure. (Don't tell Britney.) All exposed monkeys were equally destroyed by both typical antipsychotics as well as the atypical antipsychotics in their three year model of exposure; both classes of drug being phenothiazine. Some monkeys in the drug groups died prematurely. Phenothiazine is a chemical substance previously sold worldwide as the top-selling insecticide by DuPont in the 1930's and it was an industrial dye in the late 1800's. Upon the deep record being sent up from the district court for a de novo review, you can learn all this from investigative author Robert Whitaker's ground-breaking treatise; "The Case Against Antipsychotic Drugs; Fifty Years of Doing More Harm Than Good." (vide "Miscellaneous studies, publications, and investigative journalism," at written page 9 and written page 26, case no. 15-5054, this court, this world, contained right here in God's universe!)

–Gregory Scott Savoy, Independent Action, page 45 of Document 1, case no. 1:20-cv-00784-LO-IDD

In actuality, the invitation to stop the Big Repugnancy has been sitting there for more than four years![11] The greatest court procedure to ever correct fraud under its own power or by sua sponte motion, Rule 60(d)(1,) STILL REMAINS OPEN for use by any penitent judge or penitent justice who heard the call and wants to commence the requested work on behalf of "the original source of all law." [12]

---

[11] One doesn't have to read the stale Pet. for Cert. of case no. 18-8407 to be reminded of the invitation that was explained to Judge Liam O'Grady in 2020, located at page 71 of Document 1 in the district court, a record that should be brought up from the lower courts for a de novo review and a record of the record retold here; "Any single justice of that "high" court could have posted an expository objection (and thereby could have starkly educated the public) under "Opinions Related to Orders," objecting to the court's illegal declaration of a "burning while sinking" citizen as not being a lifelong "in forma pauperis" soul from the exo-constitutional realm."

[12] This turn of phrase, "the original source of all law" sitting alone and unsupported by any citation, is flimsily introduced by Gregory Scott Savoy under no authority but his own. (The particularity of individual authority is equal to universality of authority, and therefore the reverse too. Otherwise we'll need to retool the basics of epistemological logic. "Is a part of the whole" equals "the whole contains the part.") "The original source of all law" does not refer to the origin of law being the federal or state constitutions, but rather, something much more original– it's the "the old one" of Einstein's and Hawking's private musings in private letters to smart friends (for proof of this, courtesy the Royal Institution of Australia, Adelaid, Australia, please see, upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, the section of the Independent Action called "Afterwords" at written page 162 of Document 2 in the district court,) or also it's "the original source of all law" that is famously known as the trial at the top of the mountain in Moriah, where, commanded by God, Abraham raised his knife to senselessly destroy the bodily encasement of a son that Abraham taught to be faithful to God. What does the record reveal of that event? A vexed murderer or a Knight of Faith? Or was he a third thing? Or the first madman? Or the first man with an involuntarily shrunken brain, an impossibility back then? Faced with today's depravity, why wouldn't God TODAY rally all available tectonic resources to stop a crime greater than a mountaintop test of one individual under authority? Perhaps Abraham's vexed test is the baseline predicate among the rallied tectonic resources available to stop this current Earth-crime? Recently, we've certainly seen a great host arrive from across the

Case no 15-5054 held the work of Robert Whitaker (author of "50 years of doing more harm than good,") the work of Dr. Thomas Zsaz (author of "The Myth of Mental Illness,") and Dr. Martin Harrow (presenting the 15 year Longitudinal Harrow Study proving medication decliners recovered better than lifelong users, and presenting the 20 year Longitudinal Harrow Study proving medication decliners CONTINUED to recover better than lifelong users.)

Case no. 18-8407 held the damnatory work of the Pittsburgh Primate Research Lab, it held the revelatory letters of Adam Lanza's mother proving an antipsychotic overdose, and it held a NIH study proving that the old evil eugenics game was still covertly active against African-Americans TODAY (antipsychotics are disproportionately given to African-Americans, wildly disproportionate numbers indeed! I now dramatically, in full literary view, spit on the graves of unrepentant brain shrinkers and those who empowered them while they were alive!)

Case no. 21-5674 brought it all forth again, yes, all once again, but now with America's leading exported singer holding worldwide music sales in the stratosphere (Britney Spears.) She was prominently positioned to tell this court to shove it. In classic Britney form, she did no such thing. This ever-young and innocent victim of a horrendous crime, with all the attendant moral injuries, instead did the honorable "about-face," turning away fully after being sprung

---

world and to arrive from across time to assist the exo-constitutional realm, why wouldn't the ultimate madman be amongst them? There he is, though, certainly looks like Abraham. Grab your binoculars again. Yeah, that's him, the newly gray bearded one walking down off the summit as the third thing. In a wry twist, though, he's holding a ham sandwich! Fools, put those binoculars down. It ain't him. Back to square one with you all.

from Baltic amber and is now living her previously repressed lifetime just as Gregory Scott Savoy told you she would all the way back in 2015;

> **"Whenever liberty is restored in America against the wishes of a dissenting population, the naysayers adapt and finally overcome their fears, grudgingly discovering the light provided by the U.S. Constitution; regardless of whether it's the fear of "slaves running wild through the streets" or the fear of "crazy nuts running wild through the streets." In actuality, the oppressed, upon release, never really do run wild through the streets. The human soul, it turns out, is wildly more forgiving than it is retributive.**
>
> **–Gregory Scott Savoy, year 2015 (bottom of page 19, Pet. for Cert., case no 15-5054, Supreme Court of the United States)**

With an open and inviting hand extended by Elton John, Britney wasted no time upon release from our repugnant exo-constitutional realm. On the Friday morning that the duet "Hold Me Closer" was released, August 26, 2022, concurrent with the writing of this section of this petition, within just several hours of the song's release, it had already slammed into the very top of the itunes charts of 20 countries, including the U.S., the U.K., Canada, Italy, Germany, and Brazil.

It appears the public everywhere clamors for corrections under equity and knows right from wrong so much better than those assigned the task of high discernment. She may not have gotten her brain volume back, but she strove privately against a covert brain injury for 13 years[13] and now has regained some dignity under the Bill of Rights, a Bill of Rights that she retook of her own courage. Not this court's courage.

---

[13] Had this crime been stopped at this court in 2015 it would have only been 6 years of destruction. Instead, concealment and obstruction of justice prevailed.

She retook her rights of her own courage. It's noteworthy. It's been said before; the exo-constitutional realm is no place to deposit anyone to rot.

The Party Presentation Prevails within the Case Construction

> **"... as the property of Mrs. Marshall was liable to be taken in execution on all the judgments; as the judgments were held in the same right; and as their validity depended upon the same facts, she was entitled, in order to avoid a multiplicity of actions, and to protect herself against the vexation and cost that would come from numerous executions and levies, to bring one suit for a decree finally determining the matter in dispute in all the cases."**
>
> –Justice Harlan, Opinion of the Court at 595, Marshall v. Holmes, 141 U.S. 589 (1891)

The name of Document 1 and Document 2 in the district court was:

Motion for Equitable Relief
for an American with a Significant Disability

Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, this court will once again be reading about a "war against the Constitution." [Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. at 17 (1958)] After all, cajoling all of the American courts to enforce the destruction of American brains is extrinsic fraud on the courts and is warfare upon the people when those sworn to defend the Constitution choose to conceal these ongoing crimes from the public.

This "war against the Constitution" was previously described "a fortiori" for this court in the Petition for Certiorari for case no. 15-5054.[14] This "war against the Constitution" was previously described "a fortiori" for this court in the Petition for Certiorari for case no. 18-8407.[15] This "war against the Constitution" was previously described "a fortiori" for this court in the Petition for Certiorari for case no. 21-5674.[16]

---

[14] Pet. for Cert., case no. 15-5054, bottom of page 18– "However, those clearly codified and simply written rights to participate can never really be utilized by us victims and survivors, not ever, not in court, not on the streets; not as long as we live in a larger society polluted by false doctrine, especially if the larger society is perpetually bedazzled by governmental prestidigitation, a prestidigitation in which a fancy collection of legal maneuvers are showcased daily in America, facilitating a false "medical" doctrine, jacking up the profits for Big Pharma, and causing the spellbinding restructuring of liberty (namely, involuntary commitment and forced/coerced drugging) for a segregated group of persons who are, incidentally, neither duly convicted of any crime nor the recipients of any trial ever. Poof! There goes the liberty."

[15] Pet. for Cert., case no. 18-8407, SCOTUS, top of page 23– "The "silenced population" comprise expertly hidden proof of a full defiling of the founder's constitutional intents, all hidden away in professionally locked ICU chambers with the press forever banned. That tactic of involuntary commitment is the fastest route to picking a target, freezing it, personalizing it, polarizing it, and leaving it on a pathway to everlasting stigmatization and a cerebrum reduced in size by one fifth; total annihilation of both those who hold "disfavored" thoughts and those who cannot hold thoughts, swept up together in a profitable and sustainable scheme centered on poison."

[16] Pet. for Cert., case no. 21-5674, SCOTUS, page 4– "Victims and survivors of schizophrenia, to include survivors of involuntary psychiatric servitude such as the nationally-known Britney Spears, have all been proven to statistically hold shorter lifetimes because of their exposure to these POISONOUS substances that are destructive to many organs of the human body—especially the brain. Petitioner's tenuous lifetime spent on the knife-edge of economic failure establishes him as a per diem contractor who holds these brain destruction liabilities in perpetuity. In other words, like Britney, nobody is giving back his 20 percent brain volume taken from him by force. Adjusted for the shortened life statistic, petitioner is presently in his early 80's compared to someone without a destroyed brain." (internal footnotes omitted, Gregory Scott Savoy is presently 61 years old)

Because of the deep ontological layers of these unrequited cases involving a single grievance brought against "the different parts of the WHOLE United States,"[17] would any newly sworn member of the Supreme Court of the United States therefore be at a disadvantage in understanding this war just because they only just now showed up?

Not one bit, because, take notice! Here is a fact! Every single petition at this final court, listed on the previous two pages, was intentionally attached to the district court case in preparation for this predictable day of inevitable discernment in God's unstoppable universe. It was intentionally the "party presentation" to draw out and stun the "war against the Constitution" by hitting it with God's immutable first light (starlight,) causing immobility (Government in the Sunshine Act, public law no. 94-409.) At least that was the intention of the case construction before the lower courts fingered it and etymologically

[17] Ginsburg, J., dissenting, Gamble v. United States, 587 U.S. at 12 (2019,) "Different parts of the "WHOLE" United States should not be positioned to prosecute a defendant for a second time for the same offense." NOTE: For clarity and aesthetics in the repetitive employment of this phrase as a motif throughout this entire document, the internal quotes have been removed from the portion attributed to Alexander Hamilton in J. Ginsburg's final construction found at 12 (above,) specifically, the quotes are removed from the word "WHOLE" in her reference from her first instance of the use of "ONE WHOLE," at 3 of same dissent, cited here; "The United States and its constituent States, unlike foreign nations, are "kindred systems," "parts of ONE WHOLE." The Federalist no. 82, p. 493 (C. Rossiter ed. 1961) (A. Hamilton)." --All being within the Ginsburg, J., dissenting opinion for Gamble.

molested the rightful party presentation.[18] They kept the facts dark and concealed from the healing sunlight. Brain shrinkers!

As to that party presentation, did the district court judge and appellate judges not see the words written across every document filed? Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, this court will have an opportunity to view the litigative mastiff that was written on the front page of EVERY document ever filed in this independent action, even the proof of service pages;

Now Presented
is a Motion for Equitable Relief
Under Rule 60(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
in which
Ninth Amendment Repugnancies Committed by "the different parts of the WHOLE United States"
Justifies the Requested Relief

So alas, it appears truth has "fallen in the streets," and even rule 60(d)(1) or any other honorable gambit was left unemployed by any American judge or any American justice in these last three transits of the entire judiciary, bottom to top. In this final investigation today, this is the fourth transit from bottom to top! So therefore, any new happenstance justice to this court, upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, will be on equal footing to grasp

[18] Ginsburg, J., Opinion for an unanimous Court, United States v. Sineneng, 590 U.S. (2020,) at I., ["In our adversarial system of adjudication, we follow the principle of party presentation. As this court stated in Greenlaw v. United States, 554 U.S. 237 (2008), "in both civil and criminal cases, in the first instance and on appeal..., we rely on the parties to frame the issues for decision and assign to courts the role of neutral arbiter of matters the parties present."]

this Big Repugnancy–with EVERYTHING prior being found within one single solitary case record.[19]

Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, this court will find a classic "independent action" exactly like Mrs. Marshall's turn-of-the-century case. [Marshall v. Holmes, 141 U.S. 589 (1891)] The instant independent action of Gregory Scott Savoy seeks post-judgment relief in a district court for three judgments previously won by the United States, the State of Virginia, and the State of Maryland. Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, these three judgments are found as APPENDIX A, APPENDIX B, and APPENDIX C to the filing of Document 1 and Document 2 in the district court on July 13, 2020 (hence, you'll find them in Doc. 3.) Here in this filing they are Appendix H, Appendix I, and Appendix J.

However, located within the internal structure of this Rule 60(b)(6) equity proceeding for only one, now-old, individual abruptly snatched as a young teenager from the American whole, there were two surprise bunker-busting weapons used on behalf of the American people, used especially for those Americans presumptively well known to God who are still trapped down in the exo-constitutional realm (millions!)

[19] Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts, this present court will find the Pet. for Cert. of case no. 15-5054 conveniently attached as Document 44-1 and the Pet. for Cert. of case no 18-8407 conveniently attached as Document 44-2. and the Pet. for Cert. of case no. 21-5674 is standalone Document 51; all being within case no 1:20-cv-00784-LO-IDD in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia–Alexandria Division (Doc. 44-1, Doc. 44-2, and Doc. 51–BAM!)

First, there was a Notice of Constitutional Question (Document 36 in the district court,) duly filed under all statutory and civil procedure set up for such grievances.

Second, there was a request for a Judicial Notice of Adjudicate Facts found at page 174, being the very last page of the independent action, and with the very last footnote (footnote #229) holding an implicit description of that request.[20] Gotcha!

Independent actions are merely post-judgment motions that are not necessarily brought in the courts that issued them. Have we all forgotten this basic feature of one of the only procedural holdovers of federal equity from way back in the days of Justice Lorton's covert investigative trip to London shortly before he passed away? Hmm? The lower courts certainly have forgotten!

So do you understand the structure? Get it? See? Understand? All three PRE-EXISTING judgments were brought together "**against the vexation and cost that would come from numerous executions and levies**" and to cause their disentanglement by one court in the presence of a cause of action that cogently described involuntary brain destruction, which is implicitly, by the way, a **"War against the Constitution."** [Marshall v. Holmes, 141 U.S. 589 (1891)] [Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. at 17 (1958)]

---

[20] Footnote #229 verbatim– "For the requests contained at the 2nd to last paragraph of the sample order (the public NOTICE about the repugnancy,) please also see the authorities found at Rule 201 (c)(2) and Rule 201(d,) both from the Federal Rules of Evidence and both describing "the how" and "the when" of the Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts. The National Library of Medicine citations of fact are found at page 41 of this complaint." (vide APPENDIX G here in this instant petition)

Test question. For this specific case, what's the "any other reason" justifying relief under Rule 60(b)(6)?

The answer is; – a "war against the Constitution" (and specifically against the Ninth Amendment) in which involuntary brain destruction created the exo-constitutional realm and an everlasting entrapment throughout multi-generational American lifetimes for those unfortunate souls involuntarily detained–especially Eagle Scouts who got double-dosed with antipsychotics after a desperate wild-eyed recitation of the Constitution during a public involuntary disrobing commanded by "professionals" in that very first hour of a lifetime of subsequent hours holding illegal coercions committed under the extreme legality that this judiciary praises daily as merely "deference." [Youngberg v. Romeo, 457 U.S. 307 (1982)] Go experience it for yourself!

Mull that over. That's the stark illegality justifying the relief that is assured by the savings clause. But if you're still having trouble with all of this, please reference (when the record is sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review) the chapter of the Independent Action that is called "The Eighteen Monkeys and the Twelve Tables." It is located at written-page 104 of Document 1. It's not the name of a silly Hollywood movie. "The Eighteen Monkeys and the Twelve Tables" is a detailed indictment helmed by the noble guests arriving temporally from across Earth's history on behalf of everything good that ever happened here.

> **"He spoke humbly, seeing it is his heart's desire; he spoke briefly, as is fitting; but he will never forget that you needed a hundred years to get the son of your old age, against every expectation, that you had to draw the knife before keeping Isaac; he**

> **will never forget that in one hundred and thirty years you got no further than faith."**
>
> –Soren Kierkegaard, "Fear and Trembling," supra

Lying to America Causes the Standard of Review

Written lies told by both the district court and the court of appeals causes fundamental law errors whereupon the party presentation and the case construction are characterized as entirely different procedures and entirely different facts (french psychiatrists would call these "délires équité.") They are imaginary fanciful assertions by those charged with defending the Constitution;

> **"Gregory Scoot Savoy appeals the district court's amended order denying relief on his civil action in which he challenges actions by Maryland and Virginia taxing authorities to assess and collect income tax from him. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error."**
>
> –U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (at Appendix B, this case)

This is a simple lie directed at the American public. All 174 pages of the independent action lead to the real truthful relief that was actually requested by Gregory Scott Savoy, found at the last footnote of the Proposed Order (see final footnote found at Appendix G of this case.) The requested relief is predicated on the fulfillment of a dire public education. HERE FOLLOWS THE MOST IMPORTANT SENTENCE OF THIS CURRENT DOCUMENT; an education of the public provides the likelihood that Gregory Scott Savoy will <u>never again</u> have antipsychotics coerced/forced upon him upon entry to a state-run home for the elderly (which is life's current fait accompli for freelancer Gregory Scott Savoy

upon the day that he can no longer bodily support a heavy television camera as a per diem contractor.)

> **At least one-fifth of the nation's 1-plus million nursing home residents are being treated with antipsychotic drugs—with some doctors giving out bogus diagnosis to justify the sedatives. That's according to an investigation by *The New York Times*, which found that one in nine nursing home residents has been labeled schizophrenic, even though the condition affects only one in 150 people in the general population. ... "People don't just wake up with schizophrenia when they are elderly," Dr. Michael Wasserman told the *Times.***
>
> --Republished/linked at Daily Beast on September 12, 2021: *Originally by Katie Thomas, Robert Gebeloff and Jessica Silver-Greenberg, The New York TImes*, first published September 11, 2021

During eleven years, in no instance did the lower courts state the real actual party presentation. The proposed order found at page 173 clearly spells out that there is no contesting of the assessed taxes.[21] In fact, the two named states (and probably the State of West Virginia too) will all get their taxes paid in full payment under the proposed solution under equity; all uncontested taxes owed will be paid, let alone contested taxes, ALL PAID, no less! The federal executive has squandered over 4 Billion dollars in civil and CRIMINAL fines collected from the RICO-like structure. Make this instigator, the federal government, pay those pesky state bills that are being wielded as a weapon against a dissenter.

---

[21] Vide Appendix G- PROPOSED FINAL ORDER BY GREGORY SCOTT SAVOY WITH REQUEST FOR A JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ADJUDICATIVE FACTS (last intrinsic footnote, footnote #229)

The State of Delaware might also jump into the fray, but there's that dicey matter of their posting of a red flag against Gregory Scott Savoy all the way back during Christmas of 1983 (a Delaware Gun Law Notice, restricting 2nd Amendment rights in perpetuity,)[22] so equity will not look favorably upon any demand from Delaware as it chases down a pauper it created in 1983 by hoisting RED flags of their own vaporous legal invention, a legal fiction that was tragically shared with "the different parts of the WHOLE United States."

The lower courts declined to read any further than the obfuscation written by the State of Maryland and then they rubber stamped Maryland's scheme to evade culpability.[23] Maryland is at the geophysical center of the RICO-like structure.

With such stupendous law errors present (which escalated the ongoing "War against the Constitution,") this court MUST review the independent action, all 174 pages, with an eye towards championing non-delegation and the Bill of Rights. It can be done upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review.

And just to make sure the magic words are understood; **petitioner is requesting a de novo review of the Independent Action that was filed in the district court; specifically case no. 1:20-cv-00784-LO-IDD.**

---

[22] These documents are found at EXHIBIT B within Document 30-1 upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review

[23] Vide Appendix F- DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM in support of Motion to Dismiss

Standing (in Defense of God)

God said to Abraham, go kill me a son.
Abe said, you must be puttin' me on.

–Bob Dylan, Highway 61, (cited by Alastair Hannay in the introduction to the work he translated to English and published in 1985, "Fear and Trembling" written in 1843 by Soren Kierkegaard, published by Penguin Classics)

The members of the exo-constitutional realm have no government agencies to thank for our recoveries. We recovered despite government agencies.

We have standing in perpetuity that matches our faith in God in perpetuity. Gregory Scott Savoy implicitly knows that it is not God that red flagged us, it is the governments of "the different parts of the WHOLE United States" that red flagged us.

It is not God that claims we can never recover; it's the NIMH that heralds that falsehood. It's not God who says it's futile to find that spot in the "soul where you were never damaged."[24] It is the government and their private-sector collaborators that created these faithless and false logical absurdities where there was never recovery, but instead, there was the causation of never-ending brain destruction under the official maniacal NIMH mantra of "stay on your meds!" And Hollywood and the Press eagerly cooperated and fanned the flames of destruction.

Gregory Scott Savoy now has a unique public standing to disprove and prove, both simultaneously, these arguments of the absurd simultaneously.[25]

---

[24] At your leisure, explore the Writings of Meister Eckhart, at least the ones that weren't burnt by the Church Crowd.
[25] Legally Insane or Illegally Sane.

In other words, Abraham's knife remains raised against Isaac as a single moment unresolved; two Kierkigaardian characters trapped in Baltic amber.

But wait, isn't that the same transfixed ontological moment experienced by all of us inter-phased souls trapped in the exo-constitutional realm? We are Issac and the courts have become Abraham. Congratulations, you're HIM! Those of us in the exo-constitutional realm are NOT HIM. Being Isaac, WE are IN or WE are OUT; but NOT both simultaneously IN and OUT as currently configured by your legal fictions and extreme legalities at the mountaintop. You created the exo-constitutional realm at God's agreed mountaintop location, positioned right there atop the Constitution, but then you went further than faith after spotting and ignoring the incessant burnt sacrifices presented (visit 2nd & East Capitol;) needless death and destruction. "Drop that bloody knife! Hands where we can see them!" (stated arguendo)

Presented again here at this court is this timeless act; peering into that frozen frame of amber the knife is raised against Isaac. As to what happens next, both possible outcomes are absurd, especially without your faith that a ram will be seen with its horns trapped in that mountaintop thicket. See it? Go look. God gave you this provision in Abraham's thought experiment so that you don't have to go experience it for yourself. The ram must be there and it will be there only because you have faith that it's going to be there. How wonderful that the ram is there under those upright Godhead situational provisions that creates your own salvation, should you choose it as such; so go no further than faith! Test. Repeat.

Test. Repeat. But never test and then go quickly further than faith to become a shrinker of American brains![26]

BE ADVISED: For the chronically disputatious objectors (gutter draggers) or for those enamored of the bounteous RICO-like structure (the fake fountainhead of corruption,) as you defend this evil crime you will have a tough time overcoming the "bullet-proof" works of journalism and the "bullet-proof" authorship of Gregory Scott Savoy found within the record that is sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review. Additionally, I have endless public and government witnesses I could call to testify during a trial of the absurd.

BE ADVISED: For the chronically disputatious objectors (gutter draggers) or for those enamored of the bounteous RICO-like structure (the fake fountainhead of corruption,) as you defend this evil crime you will have a tough time overcoming the "bullet-proof" ADA disability certifications and predictable assessment of Gregory Scott Savoy under U.S. statutes with his "a fortiori" testimony within the "bullet-proof" record sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review. Additionally, I have just a few tactically hidden never-revealed witnesses I could easily call to testify during a trial of the absurd.

Yes, even the lowly and damaged victim Gregory Scott Savoy, always dragging along in the gutter with his paper and pen, reluctantly admits that

---

[26] Upon the record coming up from the lower courts for a de novo review, please note the forshadowing contained at footnote #195, QUOTE: "After all, it's an ongoing investigation by the universe itself and this court has now been brought into the confidence of this investigation into ongoing criminal activity. Tip off no one until the decision is released. The moment it's released, the universe sees it and protects the soul of the decision issuer for all epochs and times. Yes, this case itself is a test of faith."

clearly God has wielded a Godhead record of events in the universe, wielding it upon this court in celestial concordance that causes a final termination of this ugly Earth-crime (remember Judge Stapleton under case no. 15-5054? It was Scott Panetti, Judge Stapleton, Minister Malcolm McQueen, all summoned to Gregory Scott Savoy's childhood church, Second Baptist Church; it was all described on page 4 of Document 15 of case no. 14-1901, the Supplemental Brief on Behalf of Scott Panetti.) This court should **"sit back and literally watch me, with their own two eyes . . ."** (just like Britney Spears court testimony, supra)[27] Upon the record being sent up from the lower courts for a de novo review, you'll know exactly what to do about the exo-constitutional realm by the time you reach the end of page 174 (including the "last footnote;" footnote #229.)

Our perpetual standing is of your own invention–until it's not. But even though we're further afflicted by your invented choice that you chose for us under extreme legality (yes, our destruction beyond repair,) it is often OUR prevailing choice instead to keep our faith as our pure standing that we have as our own original standing before God, for always and forever. The trial of the absurd begins as it ends. Trapped in amber or living freely, knife forward or knife withdrawn; the ever-branching decisions of evil have an end-point in God's universe– and then go no further.

Branched decisions; this court's doubt has existed for this wobbling period of time (since 2015) and it has destroyed the wonderment in the children you

[27] It's now a couple days later in the writing of this petition, and the new hit single "Hold Me Closer." the duet of Elton John and Britney Spears, is now top streaming sales in over 40 countries. Doubt God now? Britney is on the written-record as thanking God–yet I see nothing anywhere written so far at this court by its members. Overdue!

masked. They were joylessly masked in full contravention of that Ninth Amendment right to breathe Earthly oxygen; first law of God– a natural right to fight for existence. Already stigmatized by an American history with no Ninth Amendment present to save them (consult your fellow justices to grasp the Big Contradiction that led to the Civil War or ask yourself why everyone started yelling in the summer of 2020 a last battle-cry in God's world "I can't breath!") our precious African-American children have difficult prospects as it is in life and now you've forced them to endlessly rebreath their own carbon dioxide (making them hypoxic and disoriented and bodily ill) as you lead them down the corrals of a stigmatized life toward their late teens where they could be disproportionately locked away in the psychiatric slaughterhouse and then disproportionately subjected to a forced or coerced shrinking of their singular brain organ.[28]

It's all madness! Your madness!

Conclusion

"Truth is something so noble that if God could turn aside from it, I could keep the truth and let God go."

–Meister Eckhart, Catholic Theologian; quote from the compiled "*Sermons, Meister Eckhart.*" Eckhart lived from 1260 AD to 1328 AD [or perhaps he lived longer or was "disappeared," especially if his death was staged or negotiated by Rome to prevent the spread of mystical heresy–vide the inconsistencies found in "Bull, In agro

[28] Upon the record being sent up from the lower court for a de novo review, please see and grasp study #3 from the National Library of Medicine found at the Table of Ninth Amendment Repugnancies, written page 41, Document 1, case no. 1:20-cv-00784-LO-IDD, USDC EDVA

dominico" issued by Pope John XXII (a pope first known on the street as simply Jacques Duèze)

These weapons of brain destruction are not God's weapons. These weapons are exterior acts upon the interior soul.[29] I pray that God forgives all of you on Earth for your ongoing concealment of this primordial crime.

"Beware of the teachers of the law. They like to walk around in flowing robes and love to be greeted with respect

[29] Of the 26 articles that were declared heresies in the "Bull, In Agro Dominico," the three "heresies" of Meister Eckhart presented below merely support this court's impending decision for this case. Aside from the record of the universe itself, the scrolls from Qumran are the oldest preserved record of Christ and place the Essene at the original center point of Christ's event or untold years earlier–especially considering their possession of the Moses scroll fragments. Eckhart's association with and defense of the Beguine philanthropic communities of women (being sisters of the Essene in practice, in such fashion as the attending "women of Galilee," being the first attendants and the first witnesses for the Godhead) suggest that Eckhart too saw the illogic of the patriarchal textual lock-out of the Divine Mother Earth. If one considers that the Essene were the ultimate heretics (who brought us the lost coequal theology found in the wholeness of the dead sea scrolls, to include the scrolls describing community practices and prayers) in which they placed the Divine Mother Earth alongside the Divine Father in a coequal sense, then it is both the Mother and Father who "abides in us and makes and produces" this interior act now being requested at this court on behalf of American families, namely, the activation of your faith in first law. ". . . the testimony to the Mosaic tradition found in *4Q374* demonstrates that the emphasis on the internal character of the healing was already made at Qumran, where Moses' luminosity was depicted to be potent to heal the human heart." (NOTE: 4Q374 is the scroll-fragment index number at the depository of the Dead Sea Scrolls, The Shrine of the Book at the Israel Museum) (underlined emphasis added)

Meister Eckhart;
The sixteenth article; "God does not properly command an exterior act."

The seventeenth article; "The exterior act is not properly good or divine, and God does not produce it or give birth to it in the proper sense."

The eighteenth article; "Let us bring forth the fruit not of exterior acts, which do not make us good, but of interior acts, which the Father who abides in us makes and produces."

in the marketplaces and have the most important seats in the synagogues and the places of honor at banquets. They devour widows' houses and for a show make lengthy prayers. These men will be punished most severely."

– Bible, Luke 20:46
(Consider the following; "4Q512, another purification liturgy, contains a series of blessings spoken by a male thanking God for purification after various types of uncleanness. However, frg. 41, 2–inserts above the line [text of a Hebrew phrase,] thus implying that women as well as men participated in these rituals."[30] So writing theoretically now, perhaps God has already forgiven women for man's sin of excluding Mother Earth from man's record of events – hence, it does not say that "women and men will be punished most severely." In such a reading, women, all women, spanning from the "women of Galilee" to the medieval Beguine to the mothers of today here at this court and then the mothers spread all across America, including the mother Britney Spears, all women everywhere can still be the final previously undescribed barrier against all that was never properly good and it does not take much effort but a written half-page for a mother to object to the denial of a Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and then to present a short Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Fact for use by American mothers on the dire day that their emergency need arises. Don't again burn Her truth!)

Truthfully submitted without counsel by a man under penalty of perjury on September 23, 2022,



Greg Savoy

[30] "Not According to Rule: Women, the Dead Sea Scrolls and Qumran" by Sidnie White Crawford, Research Associate in the Women's Studies in Religion Program at the Harvard Divinity School. Published in "Emanuel: Studies in Hebrew Bible Septuagint and Dead Sea Scrolls in Honor of Emanuel" Copyright © 2003 Koninklijke Brill nv, Leiden, The Netherlands.