

22-5633

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM 2022

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
SEP 16 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

JAMES BLESSING

Petitioner

v.

Nick Hoffman, Olivia Marr, Page publishing, INC.

Respondents

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

From the New Jersey Supreme Court, trenton, New Jersey

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner

James Blessing

103 Gales Dr., #1

New Providence, N.J. 07974

Tele: 908-635-6155

Submitted: September 16, 2022

Question Presented (# 1)

Without any due process nor hearing, should two lower level administrators be premitted to sabatoge an Appellant throughout the lower Court by banning him FOREVER FROM ALL EMAILS, FAXES, TEPEHONE CALLS, AND 95 % OF WRITING, SUCH THAT THIS SEVERE LIBEL AND SLANDER SPREAD TO THE APPELLATE JUDGES WHO THEN DISREGARDED THE WRITTEN CONTRACT, WHICH IS THE VERY BASIS FOR THIS CASE.

Should the above overly harsh punishments be allowed, when the Petitioner ONLY politely requested with evidence a hearing into possible perjury by a clerk, and left a polite PRAYER on phone voice mail to "let God decide."

All I did do was to say a politg Prayer on voice mail and request a hearing and I am banned for life from nearly all lower Court communications with MY PROPERTY TAKEN FROM ME. ALL MY CASE MANAGERS ARE TAKEN FROM ME FOREVER, THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND GUIDANCE IS PERMANENTLY TAKEN WITHOUT ANY DUE PROCESS.

Constitution

This is in violation of the 14th Amendment, Section 1 "nor shall any State deprive any person of . . . liberty or property, without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

Question Presented (# 2)

There is an industry wide adhesion monopoly Contract practice in the book publishing world. Citizens of the United States of America are forced to do these book publishing adhesion contracts compelling them to agree to no Jury trial with any and all disputes with the book company. in this particular case, the original publishing contract does not even state that the author is giving up his or her right to Jury trial for the dispute.

Should this industry wide book publishing contract adhesion practice be permitted to continue. Many citizens would like to be free of adhesion contracts.

These monopoly adhesion Contracts violate the Seventh Amendment of the Constitution of the Untied States of America in that According to the Seventh Amendment, "the right to a trial by Jury shall be preserved.

Should such industry wide (Book publishing) Contracts be limited to permit more Jury trials in Civil matters?

List of Parties

Petitioner

James Blessing
103 gales Dr., #1

New providence, N.J. 07974
Tele: 908-635-6155

Respondent

Scott Seelagy
95 Spring Brook Rd.
Morristown, N.J. 07960
Tele; 973-725-0059

Table of Contents

Question # 1	i
Question #22	ii
List of Parties	iii
Table of Contents	iv
Appendices	v
(There is no list of Authorities	
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	22-- 15
Constitutional Provisions	16
Statement of the Case	17
Prior proceedings	18
Reasons for Granting the Petition re. Question #11	19
Reasons for granting the Petition re. Question # 2	20
Location of this Issue Raised in the lower Court	
Question #1	21
Location of this Issue Raised in the lower Court	
Question # 2	22
proofs that Petitioner is falsely deprived of his	
Constitutional Rights of Free Speech, Due Process,	
Freedom of Religionm and Equal Protection	
Guaranteed by the Bill of Rights in the United	
States of America Constitution	23
Conclusion	24

Jurisdiction

The New Jersey Supreme Court denied Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration, Filed June 23, 2022. The Honorable United States Supreme Court has jurisdiction therefore in this matter.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Fourteenth Amendment	Page 1, 2
First Amendment	Page 2
Seventh Amendment	Page 7

Statement of the Case

Petitioner / Plaintiff seeks to follow the written Contract, which the lower Court Judges and Respondent do completely ignore. The written original contract clearly demands a termination of the Contract if there is no signed Renewal contract.

Due to the libel and slander against Petitioner, the lower Court Judges and the Respondent totally ignore this key portion of the original written contract.

With this key portion of the original publishing Agreement (A 35, Paragraph #11, Lines 1 - 4 and 6 - 7) there is no mandatory Arbitration and this case proceeds to Jury Trial in Union County, New Jersey with the new Contract made between Olivia Marr and Page Publishing, (A 5 - A 7, Para. # 11 - 44)

Prior Proceedings

As a good Samaritan, I saved my sister's life when I was 10 years old; my mother's life in later years; the students in Paterson, New Jersey in the year 2001; and 2 children in Bedminster, New Jersey. The Don Difrancesco lawyers, a few of them have banned me and threatened me, sporadically ever since. Please see (A 2) of Emergent Motion for Reconsideration in today's value, Don DIFrqncesto and Senator Chris Bareman did pay to me \$25,000 for banning me and corruption. (Appendix E)

These fake bans continue presently with 2 administrators falsifying. Without any hearing, I am banned from 95 % of normal communications with the Appellate and New Jersey Supreme Courts. All case managers FOREVER are taken from me.

I only requested a hearing with evidences.

(A4-A7 of Emergent Motion for Reconsderation (Appendix E).

Reasons For Granting The Petition re. Question # 1

Citizens of the United States of America should not be so extremely and harshly punished with FOREVER removal of all case manager in the Appellate Court and in the Supreme Court of New Jersey and with near/ALL Court communications BANNED FOREVER for saying a polite Prayer on voice mail and politely requesting with evidence a hearing into possible perjury.

We, the people, need the Supreme Court to cease and correct the above Injustices.

Constitution

This is in violation of the 14th Amendment, Section 1 "Nor shall any State deprive any person of . . . liberty or property, without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

Petitioner's case managers and communications are forever taken from him for requesting a hearing with evidences into possible perjury and for saying a PRAYER. These violate the "freedom of speech" or prohibiting the free exercise of religion." of the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America.

Re. Question # 2

Reasons for granting the Petition

Many citizens would like to be free of industry wide adhesion contracts which force them to give up their right to trial by Jury. In this case, Petitioner is also deprived of his right to Discovery and his right to seek punitive damages.

Please see Letter Brief For Appellant James Blessing
Pages 1 - 7, Appendix D.

Re. Question # 1

Location of this Issue in the Lower Court

This Issue is addressed in the Lower Court in the "Letter brief For Appellant James Blessing" Pages 9 - 20. The lower Court Judges never addressed this Issue. Their omission of this key Constitutional violation does not eliminate this from a Petition for writ of Certioari being granted. (Appendix D)

This issue is also addressed in lower Court in the "Emergency Motion For Reconsideration" On the Cover and page 1 - 4. (Appendix E)

The fact that lower Court Judges refused to address this issue does not preclude the United States Supreme Court from Granting this Petition for Writ of Certioari.

Location Of this Issue in the Lower Court

re. Question #2

This issue is addressed in the Lower Court in the "letter Brief for Appellant James Blessing: Pages 1 - 8.

The lower Court Judges never addressed this Issue.

Their omission of this key Constitutional violation (No Discovery) does not eliminate this from a Petition for Writ of certioari being granted.

The fact that lower Court Judges refused to address this issue does not preclude the United States Supreme Court from granting this Petition for Writ of Certioari.

Proofs that Petitioner is falsely removed from his Constitutional rights of free Speech, Due Process, and Equal Protection in the Constitution of the United States of America.

Please see A 7 of the "Emergency Motion for Reconsideration.

petitioner is FOREVER BANNED from 95 % of communications with the lower Courts for "INTERACTIONS," There is no hearing nor due process nor any evidence of any wrongdoing. This is likely a political persecution because Petitioner prevailed against the acting Governor Don DiFrancesco and Senator Chris bateman. Please see Exhibits A 2 and A 3. For no wrongdoing and for ONLY INTERACTIONS Petitioner is banned FOREVER from All emails, faxes, phone, and 95 % of writing and my case managers are permanently removed for ONLY INTERACTIONS.

Please see "interactions" only causation of false bans. (A 7 of the "Emergency Motion for Reconsideration")

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Appellant is FOREVER being denied his Constitutional rights of Free speech, Due Process, Equal Protection under the Law, and Freedom of Religion, which likely are libeling and slandering the Petitioner in the lower Courts, who are totally ignoring the original Publishing Contract, which totally terminates the Agreement if there is no Renewal Contract, which there is none (A 1 - A 2).

Without the renewal Agreement, Petitioner is/should be Free to not be limited to Arbitration and commence to Jury trial with discovery, remanded to Union County, New Jersey, Superior Court. (Appendix D, pp. 8 - 9)(App. E, pp. 1-4)

For the above reasons, this Petition for Writ of certiorari should be granted.

Dated; Sept. 12, 2022

James Blessing

James Blessing

103 Gales Dr., #1

New Providence, N.J. 07974

Tele; 908-635-6155