

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Luis Gomez — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.
United States — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Luis Gomez, do swear or declare that on this date,
August 10, 2022, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have
served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*
and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding
or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing
an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed
to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party
commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 10, 2022

Placed in inMail
Mailbox on August 11, 2022

Luis F. Gomez
(Signature)

On February 15, 2017, Hidalgo-Sanchez spoke over the phone with Esquivel-Sotelo, who told Hidalgo-Sanchez that there was a fake fifty-dollar bill among the \$600 Hidalgo-Sanchez gave him, and that Tita, Gomez's sister, had discovered it. Hidalgo-Sanchez, surprised, asked for the fake bill "so that [he could] return it to that dude."

The next day, Gomez and Hidalgo-Sanchez spoke again. This time it was Hidalgo-Sanchez who offered two types of drugs to Gomez, who agreed to send a courier to buy them. Hidalgo-Sanchez said that thirty-five kilograms were available, but Gomez only asked for "one of each." Gomez told Hidalgo-Sanchez to explain to the courier which package contained which drug.

In a March 6, 2017 call, Hidalgo-Sanchez complained to Gomez about the quality of a substance that had been delivered. The color was "bad ass," but the product{2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 13} was damp and falling apart. Twenty-five minutes later, the two spoke again. Hidalgo-Sanchez had a "receipt"-likely money or drugs-for Gomez and wondered whether he should deliver it then or "put it all together" and deliver later. They agreed to meet at Gomez's residence.

A couple days later, there was a similar quality-assurance call. Hidalgo-Sanchez complained about drugs that were "like dirt" and "falling apart." He had taken some to a "guy" who had "bought [a] quarter" kilogram from him, but "he didn't want it." Hidalgo-Sanchez requested that Gomez exchange it for product that was "more solid," and Gomez agreed. Gomez assured Hidalgo-Sanchez that the replacement was "harder," and that Hidalgo-Sanchez could "give [him the] powdery one," and he would "see what [he could] do with that."

On March 10, 2017, Gomez answered a call from Hidalgo-Sanchez, referring to himself as the "number one from Milwaukee." Hidalgo-Sanchez asked if Gomez wanted any of the two kinds of drugs he had from California. Gomez first told him to bring it over to where he was, but then asked for a picture instead.

Eleven days later, on March 21, 2017, another call between the two was intercepted. Hidalgo-Sanchez{2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 14} was at a private party at a restaurant in Milwaukee. He tried to get Gomez to bring some drugs because he had a customer who was ready and willing to buy a "quarter." Gomez said he only had the "lo[ose]" kind that Hidalgo-Sanchez had returned to him. Hidalgo-Sanchez then asked when he could expect more, and Gomez said "supposedly in a little bit." Finally, he inquired about whether Gomez could help him purchase product from a different supplier. The supplier would only sell if they took "everything at once" and paid cash at the time of sale.

On June 22, 2017, Gomez and Hidalgo-Sanchez discussed prices for kilograms of cocaine. Gomez offered to "len[d]" several kilograms to Hidalgo-Sanchez for a few days for him to sell. Hidalgo-Sanchez was interested but noncommittal.

The next month, on July 10, 2017, the two discussed drug proceeds, and Hidalgo-Sanchez agreed to temporarily provide cocaine to Gomez, despite Gomez typically supplying Hidalgo-Sanchez. Gomez asked him to "set 4 aside," and Hidalgo-Sanchez agreed.

In an August 16, 2017 call, Gomez and Esquivel-Sotelo discussed the distribution of drug proceeds. Esquivel-Sotelo explained that he gave \$2,000 to Hidalgo-Sanchez and the remainder{2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 15} to Gomez's sister.

Finally, on September 1, 2017, Gomez and Hidalgo-Sanchez spoke again by phone. Hidalgo-Sanchez asked if Gomez still had some of "that fucked up dog"-low-quality heroin. He wanted to give a customer a sample. Gomez explained that he had "already delivered it all" but that another shipment would be arriving a few days later. They also discussed the price of cocaine available through Gomez's Chicago supplier.