


No. 22-5573

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



ANNE P. MULLIGAN — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

STATE OF ALASKA/ — RESPONDENT(S)
ATTORNEY GENERAL

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ALASKA SUPREME COURT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ANNE P. MULLIGAN
(Your Name)

GENERAL DELIVERY
(Address)

ANCHORAGE, AK 99501
(City, State, Zip Code)

(907)277-1731
(Phone Number)

1 ANNE MULLIGAN
2 GENERAL DELIVERY
ANCHORAGE, AK 99501

3 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

4 ANNE P. MULLIGAN
5 PETITIONER

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

6 vs.

7 STATE OF ALASKA/ATTORNEY GENERAL
RESPONDENTS

8 QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 9 (1) Why did the Respondent conduct their own investigation regarding the Petitioner?
- 10 (2) How did the Respondent conclude that the Petitioner committed a crime on July 10,2018
- 11 while the Petitioner was an ER patient at Alaska Regional Hospital?
- 12 (3) Why is the Respondent, Alaska Regional Hospital, Chester Gilmore (attorney for Alaska
- 13 Regional Hospital) accusing and making false allegations and statements against the
- 14 Petitioner?
- 15 (4) Why is the Petitioner not allowed to maintain her privacy from the Respondent
- 16 regarding her medical visits and ER Visits at Alaska Regional Hospital?
- 17 (5) Does the Petitioner have a legal right to consult a social worker request from the
- 18 attending ER physician at Alaska Regional Hospital?
- 19 (6) Who is the Respondent contacting at Alaska Regional Hospital regarding the Petitioner
- 20 and her hospital visits?
- 21 (7) Did the Respondent violate HIPPA guidelines regarding the Petitioner after the
- 22 Respondent conducted their own investigation and had concluded that the Petitioner
- 23 had committed a crime on July 10,2018?
- 24 (8) Is the Respondent responsible for the Petitioner's injuries that she had suffered from
- 25 APD as APD did not conduct a thorough investigation regarding the Petitioner?
- 26

1 (9) Is the Respondent protected under sovereign immunity from violating HIPPA
2 Guidelines regarding the Petitioner and her medical visits and ER visits at Alaska
3 Regional Hospital?

4 (10) Is the Respondent protected under sovereign immunity when they conducted their own
5 investigation after APD had arrested the Petitioner on July 18, 2018 and concluded that
6 the Petitioner had committed the crime on July 10, 2018 when the Petitioner was an ER
7 patient at Alaska Regional Hospital and not on July 12, 2018?

8 (11) Alaska Regional Hospital Employee Georgianna Yonuschot submitted an affidavit and
9 4-page timeline to FBI Agent Derek Despeland approximately 20 days after the charges
10 were dismissed against the Petitioner. Georgianna Yonuschot stated that the Petitioner
11 was seen on July 10, 2018 and was released without incident. How did the Respondent
12 conclude that the Petitioner had committed the crime on July 10, 2018 after they
13 conducted their own investigation and yet Alaska Regional Hospital Employee
14 Georgianna Yonuschot stated in her 4-page timeline that the Petitioner was released
15 without incident?

16 (12) Is the Respondent protected under sovereign immunity when there is corruption
17 involved?

18 (13) Is the Respondent legally allowed to conduct their own investigation on a private citizen
19 after law enforcement (APD) arrests the private citizen?
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was June 8, 2022.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: July 19, 2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

S-18089 MULLIGAN VS. ALASKA REGIONAL HOSPITAL
3AN-19-07393CI, MULLIGAN VS ALASKA REGIONAL HOSPITAL
S-18204, MULLIGAN VS. MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE/APD
3AN-20-04586CI, MULLIGAN VS. MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE/APD
3AN-18-06534CR, STATE OF ALASKA VS. ANNE P. MULLIGAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

1 ANNE MULLIGAN
2 GENERAL DELIVERY
3 ANCHORAGE, AK 99501

4 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

5 ANNE P. MULLIGAN
6 PETITIONER

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

7 vs.

8 STATE OF ALASKA/ATTORNEY GENERAL
9 RESPONDENT(S)

10 STATEMENT OF THE CASE

11 On July 10, 2018, the Petitioner was an Emergency Room patient at Alaska Regional Hospital. The
12 petitioner had requested a consult with a social worker which is within her legal right.

13 On July 12, 2018, Alaska Regional Hospital had stated that the Petitioner had called in a bomb
14 threat.

15 On July 18, 2018, the Petitioner was arrested by APD. The Petitioner who is a victim of a pre-
16 meditated hate that involves white APD officers in which the Petitioner 's upper body with her left side being
17 the target of injury was injured. The Petitioner was denied medical treatment when she requested it from
18 APD. The Petitioner's injuries were again re injured from APD. APD failed to an investigation to the
19 allegations that were made from Alaska Regional Hospital. (S-18204, MULLIGAN VS MUNI OF
20 ANCHORAGE/APD, #3AN-19-07393CI, MULLIGAN VS MUNICIPLAITY OF ANCHORAGE/APD)

21 On September 28, 2018, the Respondent filed an amended motion with the Anchorage Superior
22 Court in case #3AN-18-06534CR in which the Respondent had conducted their own investigation regarding
23 the Petitioner in which they had concluded that the Petitioner had committed the crime of calling in a bomb
24 threat at Alaska Regional Hospital on July 10, 2018, in which the Petitioner was an ER Patient at Alaska
25 Regional Hospital.

26
27 PAGE 1

28 MULLIGAN VS, STATE OF ALASKA/ATTORNEY GENERAL
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1 On March 27, 2019, in case #3AN-18-06534CR, State of Alaska vs Anne Mulligan was dismissed
2 against the Petitioner.

3 On or about April 19, 2019 (approximately 20 days after the charges were dismissed against the
4 Petitioner) Alaska Regional Hospital employee Georgianna Yonuschut had submitted an affidavit and a 4-
5 page timeline to FBI Agent Derek Despeland (approximately 20 days after the charges were dismissed against
6 the Petitioner) stating that the Petitioner was seen on July 10, 2018 and was discharged without incident.

7 On December 1, 2021, in Alaska Supreme Court case #S-18089, (Anchorage Superior Court #3AN-
8 19-07393CI) Mulligan vs. Galen Hospital Aka: Alaska Regional Hospital filed their Appellee's Brief in which
9 Alaska Regional Hospital and their Attorney Chester Gilmore made a false statement/allegation against the
10 Petitioner on page 4 of their brief stating that the Petitioner had made a bomb threat on July 25, 2018.
11 Alaska Regional Hospital and Attorney Chester Gilmore has not provided proof of the Petitioner making a
12 BOMB THREAT on July 10, 2018, and July 25, 2018.

13 The Petitioner did not make any bomb threats on July 10, 2018 and July 25, 2018. The FBI has not
14 contacted the Petitioner making any bomb threat(s). The Petitioner is being framed from false
15 accusations/allegations by the corruption of the State of Alaska Attorney General's office, Chester Gilmore of
16 Cashion, Gilmore and Lindemuth (attorney for Alaska Regional Hospital) Alaska Regional Hospital, and the
17 Municipality of Anchorage/APD (Anchorage Police Department) Officer's.

1 ANNE MULLIGAN
2 GENERAL DELIVERY
ANCHORAGE, AK 99501

3
4 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

5 ANNE P. MULLIGAN
6 PETITIONER

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

7 vs.

8 STATE OF ALASKA/ATTORNEY GENERAL
9 RESPONDENTS

10 REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

11
12 Anne Mulligan, Petitioner is petitioning the Supreme Court of the United States to grant the
13 Petitioner Anne Mulligan her petition based on the following reasons:

14 Anne Mulligan was an ER patient on July 10, 2018, and the Respondent did not prove what
15 crime that the Petitioner had committed on July 10, 2018.

16 The Respondent did not explain as to why they conducted their own investigation and
17 violated HIPPA guidelines regarding the Petitioner and concluded that she committed a crime on July 10,
18 2018, and yet Alaska Regional Hospital Employee Georgianna Yonschust had stated in her 4-page timeline
19 and affidavit that she had submitted to FBI agent Derek Despeland that the Petitioner was treated and
20 released without incident on July 10, 2018.

21 The Respondent, APD, Alaska Regional Hospital and Chester Gilmore (attorney for Alaska
22 Regional Hospital are intentionally framing the Petitioner with harmful accusations and allegations of
23 making a bomb threat that she has not committed. Alaska Regional Hospital and their Attorney Chester
24 Gilmore provided proof of their false allegations on page 4 of Alaska Regional Hospital's Appellee Brief that
25 was submitted to the Alaska Supreme Court on December 1, 2021, by their Attorney Chester Gilmore.

26
27 PAGE 1

28 MULLIGAN VS. STATE OF ALASKA/ATTORNEY GENERAL
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE MOTION

1 The Petitioner did not make any bomb threats on July 10,2018 and July 25, 2018. The FBI has not
2 contacted the Petitioner making any bomb threat(s). The Petitioner is being framed from false
3 accusations/allegations by the corruption of the State of Alaska Attorney General's office, Chester Gilmore of
4 Cashion, Gilmore and Lindemuth (attorney for Alaska Regional Hospital) Alaska Regional Hospital, and the
5 Municipality of Anchorage/APD (Anchorage Police Department) Officer's.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Anne P. Mulligan

Date: 9/7/2022