

22-5543  
No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

AUG - 3 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Larry Anderson — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

New GM Motor Shareholders — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For the Second Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Larry Anderson  
(Your Name)

3006 North Priscilla Ave.  
(Address) Indianapolis, IN 46218

Indianapolis, Indiana  
(City, State, Zip Code)

317 956 9059  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Hon. Judge Jesse Furman Ordered New GM Attorneys to confer with me the Petitioner about my emotional Stress claim, and New GM Attorney's & Shareholder's never did so, none of them never honored Hon. Judge Jesse Furman Court Order.

I also wrote and filed A Letter into Hon. Judge Jesse Furman Court to be added and talked about concerning my emotional Stress claim during my telephone Settlement Conference, and the Hon. Judge made A Order for my Letter to be excepted to be involved in my telephone Settlement Conference. GM ATTORNEY'S, ATTORNEY'S and Share holder's ignored The Letter and the Hon. Judge Order still to confer with me about my emotional Stress claim. A decision was made on my emotional Stress claim, without the claim never being talked about nor discussed.

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Mary Barra

Mark Reuss

Don Ammann

Andrew Baker

Bloomer, Esq.  
Counsel

## RELATED CASES

United States District Court  
Southern District of New York

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION .....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	5
CONCLUSION .....	6

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Federal District Court - U.S.  
District Court Southern District of New York.

APPENDIX B United States Court of Appeals for  
the Second Circuit

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F



IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at 212-857-8560; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at 212-805-0134; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at NO State Court Involved; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the NO State Court Involved court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 7/20/27.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Deary held without and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix Deary being present.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 7/17. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
1. U.S. District Court - Southern District of New York Case # 121-CV-01-006 (JMF Judge) Case # 21-CV-1006	
2. U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Court Case # 22-284CV Case # 21-CV-8038	

### STATUTES AND RULES

Section 39 - of the Constitution

Section - 22 - of the Constitution

Section 36 - of the Constitution

Section 7 - of the Constitution

Section 11 - of the Constitution

Section 33 - of the Constitution

Section 32 - of the Constitution

OTHER Section 10 of the Constitution

Article 1 Section 20 of the Constitution

Clause - U.S. Constitution Article 4 - Section 2  
Classel.

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Section 39 of the Constitution

Section 22 of the Constitution

Section 36 of the Constitution

Section 7 of the Constitution

Section 11 of the Constitution

Section 33 of the Constitution

Section 32 of the Constitution

Section 10 of the Constitution

Article 1 Section 20 of the Constitution

Clause - U.S. Constitution Article

1. Section 2 Clause 1

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

Judge Furman Ordered New GM  
Attorney's twice to Confer, one way  
OR the other about my emotional  
STRESS claim, by Letter or phone OR  
in person, New GM ATTORNEY'S never obeyed  
Judge Furman ORDER's concerning  
my emotional STRESS claim Judge  
Furman ORDERED my 24 LETTER Motion  
to be involved in my telephone  
Settlement Conference, the 24 Let-  
ter motion was about my emotional  
STRESS claim, asking New GM ATTOR-  
NEY'S to be willing to talk to me about  
about my emotional STRESS claim at  
the telephone Settlement Conference  
and to respond to me about  
my emotional STRESS claim during  
the telephone Settlement Conference,  
New GM ATTORNEY'S refused  
to obey the ORDER. New GM ATTOR-  
NEY'S wrote up Settlement papers  
and put my emotional STRESS  
claim in the Settlement papers  
as dismissed by them!

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I would like for this Court to grant this petition is because Judge Furman Order's that were placed on my emotional stress claim, I was denied Constitutional protection of those Order's to be applied to my emotional stress claim.

When a Judge place a Order on a attorney, the attorney is suppose to obey the Judge Order as well as the Plaintiff, or the other party. The Judge Order, Ordered the New GM Attorneys to confer, or talk to me by letter or phone and New GM Attorneys did not. The Judge made a Order for my 24 Letter Motion to be a part or to be involved in my telephone Settlement Conference, New GM Attorneys did not speak nor address the 24 Letter Motion by themselves nor by Judge James L. Cott, by the Order of Judge Furman, my Emotional stress claim was settled without my agreement.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Larry Anderson

Date: Aug 22, 2022