

22-5531

No. 22-7075

ORIGINAL

---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

FILED  
JUL 28 2022  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Patrick Christian – PETITIONER

Vs.

Republican Party, et. al. – RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Patrick Christian  
Pro Se IFP Matter  
Displaced with no address  
[p.christian77@yahoo.com](mailto:p.christian77@yahoo.com)  
No telephone number

RECEIVED  
SUPREME COURT U.S.  
POLICE CLERK

2022 AUG 19 AM 10:54

KH 251

## QUESTIONS TO BE PRESENTED

1. When Democracy is defined as the "majority rules" does this indicate that the minority will legally be discriminated-against, excluded, unprotected, deprived, and/or conspired-against?
2. What is "frivolous and malicious" about "Protected Citizens" speaking up for himself/herself their loved ones, and any other who may be negatively impacted by the concept the "majority rules"; especially, if they are actually of the "Protected Class"?
3. Now that homosexuals have taken over many aspects of society, especially Respondents, does this expose the problem and result of non-compliance?
4. Just because the Petitioner is a heterosexual should this automatically exclude him from Redress of Grievances depriving him of not only his Constitutional Rights, but an honest, intelligent, rational, and judicious need for Litigation in order to address the damages sustained due to such?
5. What is more validating and affirming than answering the legal questions posed in law, and is failure to do so a correctable error?
6. Can Respondents abridge the Constitution during the performance of their duties, because they are homosexuals and Petitioner not?

**LIST OF PARTIES**

[v] Are Parties to the Proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

United States of America Solicitor General  
Department of Justice, Room 5616  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

R. Craig Lawrence, Esquire  
U.S. Attorney's Office  
555 4<sup>th</sup> Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
[craig.lawrence@usdoj.gov](mailto:craig.lawrence@usdoj.gov)

Jane Lyons, Appellate Attorney  
Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
[jane.lyons@usdoj.gov](mailto:jane.lyons@usdoj.gov)

Tammatha Dawkins, Esquire  
Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
[tammatha.dawkins@usdoj.gov](mailto:tammatha.dawkins@usdoj.gov)

Peter Faffenroth, Esquire  
Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
[peter.pfaffenroth@usdoj.gov](mailto:peter.pfaffenroth@usdoj.gov)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS TO BE PRESENTED .....	i
LIST OF PARTIES .....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED .....	iv-vi
JURISDICTION .....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS ...	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	4-6
CONCLUSION .....	7
PROOF OF SERVICE .....	8
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE .....	9

## INDEX OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A USDCDC Memorandum Order .....	10
APPENDIX B USDCDC Order .....	11-12
APPENDIX C USDCDC Order .....	13-14
APPENDIX D USCADC Unpublished Order .....	15
APPENDIX E USCADC Per Curiam Judgment .....	16-17
APPENDIX F USSC Petitioner Notification .....	18-19

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES PAGE

Amendment I .....	2
Amendment V .....	2
Amendment XIV .....	2

### LAWS PAGE

Aggravated Assault.....	6
Child Abasement.....	6
Child Abuse.....	6
Child Endangerment.....	6
Child Neglect.....	6
Crimes Against Nature.....	6
Malicious Wounding.....	6
28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2) Dismissals.....	1
42 U.S.C. §1985(3) Conspiracy to interfere with Civil Rights & Privileges.....	6

CASESPAGE

Firestone v. Firestone, 76 F.3d 1205, 1208 (D.C. Cir. 1996)...	5
Gwinnell-Kennedy v. U.S. Gov't Judiciary, No. 09-cv-737, 2009 WL 1089543, at *1 (D.D.C. Apr. 22, 2009).....	5
Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558 (02-102) (2003), 41 S.W.3d 349 reversed and remanded.....	5
Lewis v. High Point Regional Health Sys., 79 F.Supp.3d 588 (E.D.N.C.2015).....	5
McGuire v. U.S. Dist. Court, No. 10-cv-696, 2010 WL 1855858, at *1 (D.D.C. May 4, 2010).....	5
Menendez v. State of California, 194 Cal.Rptr. 805, (S.Ct.Cal. 1992): Menendez v. Superior Court (People), No. S017206, Aug. 27, 1992 [3 Cal. 4 <sup>th</sup> 440].....	5
Miles v. New York Univ., 979 F.Supp. 248, 249-50 (S.D.N.Y 1997).....	5
Mitchell v. Axcan Scandipharm, INC., 05-243, 2006 WL 456173, at *2 (W.D.Pa. 2006).....	5
Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989).....	5
Schroer v. Billington, 577 F.Supp.2d 243 (D.D.C. 2008).....	5

<b><u>CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER CASES</u></b>	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
Rep. Dennis D. Hastert, Republican-IL, Sexual Harassment and Abuse, Indicted and Plead in Court for 13 months in prison 2015.....	5
Jon Hinson, (R-MS) was arrested for having homosexual oral sex in the House of Representatives bathroom with a government staffer, Hinson who was married, later received a 30-day jail sentence, and a years' probation, on condition he get counseling and treatment in 1981.....	5
Sen. Bod Packwood, Republican Oregon expelled 1995 for Sexual Misconduct and Abuse of Power.....	5
Rep. Mel Reynolds, Democrat-IL, Convicted 22 August 1995 for Criminal Sexual Assault, Aggravated Sexual Abuse, Solicitation of Child Pornography, and Obstruction of Justice, he resigned 1 Sept. 1995 and convicted again 28 Sept. 2017.....	5

<b><u>WEBSITES CITED</u></b>	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
<a href="http://www.govtrack.us">www.govtrack.us</a> .....	5
<a href="http://www.nbc.news.com">www.nbc.news.com</a> .....	6

## JURISDICTION

Pursuant to Rule 10(a) of the Supreme Court of the United States Petitioner submits, in a timely manner, this Writ of Certiorari based upon the compelling reason any favored group causes Constitutional Deprivations for another which has always been the norm considering American History, and for the U.S. District Court to Dismiss Complaint #1:22-cv-00274 alleging 42 U.S.C. §1985(3), filed on 2 Feb. 22 on 23 Feb. 22 based on 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2), and the U.S. Appeals Court Affirm both of the District of Columbia on 12 July 22, after Appealing 13 May 22 and receiving Brief #22-7075 20 May 22, filed on 31 May 22 was done so in Error. Considering En Banc Rehearing's were requested 1 Mar. & 4 Mar. 22 but Denied 11 May 22 saying they were "unintelligible". Today are Homosexuals in which PPC alleges Respondents advocate and promote regardless if determined by the "majority rules" doctrine, or the residual of the increased acceptance and approval of the behaviors which define such as expressed in society, and conveying such increases the expectations of compliance (i.e. Biden stating "the monument speaks for itself" which a phallic symbol for Caucasian homosexuality, and Obama saying "I chose Biden because he gave me the best head" {excuse expletive, but this is a direct quote}) while noncompliance renders one right-deprived, discriminated-against, not-hired, and/or facing injustice and inequality (like Petitioner); therefore, Petitioner informed the Appeals Court on 28 July 22 that he would Petition the Supreme Court for a Writ of Certiorari to Correct Error.



## **CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

United States Constitution, Amendment I - Congress shall make no law prohibiting or abridging...the right of the people..."to petition the government for a redress of grievances".

United States Constitution, Amendment V - No person shall be held to answer for a capital crime..., to be twice put in jeopardy of life...nor deprived...without due process; nor shall private property be taken....

United States Constitution, Amendment XIV, Section 1. - All persons born...in the U.S., and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the U.S. and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge..."nor deny to any person within the jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws".

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

History tells us that Systemic Racism has always left Petitioners Class of People Deprived, Excluded, and Unprotected, and if Homosexual Respondents are voted into Office Rules as the Majority, while everyone who votes for them have their best interests at heart, that is why we vote; why do Respondents advocate and promote homosexuality, and since this concept outlines overt, purposeful discrimination are the District Courts' Dismissal and Appeals Courts' Affirmation correctable Errors in the Supreme Court; which are the grounds based on Granting this Writ of Certiorari. The "Preferential Treatment" of Homosexuals in America today displays the need for attention to be brought to this matter through Litigation; and the fact had we not heard them proclaim allegiance, or observed their public behaviors we would not know.

## **REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

THIS MATTER IS DULY BROUGHT BEFORE WITH Respect the Great United States Supreme Court of America Justices HERE in the District of Columbia; Petitioner Patrick Christian who BELIEVES the Respondents DO IN FACT promote and advocate Homosexuality. Is this a "REFLECTION OF THE MAJORITY RULES" or an "inadvertent residual of their having more Rights than their Victims"? They cross the line with children despite many Offenses and the Laws clearly written, beyond Child Abuse and Child Abasement (adults have literature in Public Libraries [and all over the internet] and in Schools-Why?) and usually go unreported especially in Caucasian Communities, and only a small percentage actually are held accountable, not only in the Catholic Church, but Public and Non-Public Schools, and many of these abused children are put onto Psychotropic Medications like Prozac and Ritalin, and they act out through adulthood; furthermore, if you are the subordinate of one and do not cater to them you will get fired, and if you go to an interview and not comply you will not get hired, AND IF YOU GO IN FRONT of a Judge like this he will not be lenient, understanding, compassionate, or just regardless of the facts. In a constantly evolving society this becomes DEPRIVING, DISCRIMINATORY, WRONG, UNJUST; therefore, must be addressed, Petitioner Patrick Christian would like to Request this Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to be Granted on these bases and many others, in order to Correct the District Courts' Dismissal and Appeals Court Affirmation


which are Errs, since this is an accepted and open way of life in America, not to be ignored or diminished. Not only are the Respondents responsible for advocating and promoting this way of life, but many rich people who donate to their campaigns, many Appointees who will follow suit, many educated people, many Leaders, grandparents, parents, Coaches, way more Caucasians than other races, and most victims remain so. Why would it be frivolous and malicious for PPC to be Conspiratorially Deprived for non-compliance which is the Cause of Action? Also, to review the cited cases to consider the validity of Cause of Action. These include: Firestone v. Firestone, Gwinnell-Kennedy v. U.S. Gov't Judiciary, McGuire v. U.S. Dist. Court, and Neitzke v. Williams each were cited by the District Court in only comparing Pro Se IFP Plaintiff's and Appellants in order to justify dismissals and Affirmations; while Lawrence v. Texas, Lewis v. High Point Regional Health Sys., Menendez v. State of California, Menendez v. Superior Court (People), Miles v. New York Univ., Mitchell v. Axcen Scandipharm, INC., and Schroer v. Billington were cited by Petitioner to show the Court that District Court Errors can be Corrected and that he is not the only Plaintiff who feels that he is being victimized in this manner, and that the same scrutiny and consideration should be applied here. Additionally, the following Constitutional Officers were held accountable for their wrongdoing and misconduct: Rep. Dennis D. Hastert, Jon Hinson, Sen. Bob Packwood, and Rep. Mel Reynolds, [www.govtrack.us](http://www.govtrack.us), and again the same standard and consideration should apply here.

According to [www.nbc.news.com](http://www.nbc.news.com) there are approximately 843 officials in the United States who identify with Homosexuals, but Petitioner believes it is probably more because many people are embarrassed to openly confess for personal reason, and we would not know if they either let everyone know, or we have not observed there behaviors. They do not protect children but again, promote, advocate, and perpetuate homosexuality. They do not believe in prevention, intervention, or justice since they allow and watch adults, as mentioned, sodomize and rape children, consent to having children castrated, raising boys as girls despite this falling under the following crimes: Aggravated Assault, Child Abasement, Child Abuse, Child Endangerment, Child Neglect, Crimes Against Nature, and Malicious Wounding, as well as, conspiring and accessory to each especially the Doctors, and Hospital Staff and Administrators. Lastly, Defendants will insist on maintaining this way of life over their Oath, the Law, Constitutions (State and Federal), Flags, and Office which is a definite violation, misconduct, unethical, and unlawful. Additionally, we have Obama when he was President kissing men in the mouth in front of the world. Both Al Gore and Barak Obama, as well as, many other Defendants support homosexual marriage and passed Laws supporting such.

## CONCLUSION

The UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT has always been the main advocate in meeting the fundamental rights of all citizens as society evolved and is evolving by correcting lower Court Errors. This is another one of those times. Honestly answering the questions posed and considering the information provided will determine whether or not this petition for a WRIT OF CERTIORARI is granted or not.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Date: 17 August 2022