

22-5481

No. \_\_\_\_\_

FILED

AUG 03 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, DC 20543

HELEN T FERGUSON

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

GETTEL ACURA (GETTEL MANAGEMENT) — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS, 11TH CIRCUIT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

HELEN T FERGUSON

(Your Name)

PO BOX 48567

(Address)

SARASOTA, FL 34230

(City, State, Zip Code)

(941)284-5574

(Phone Number)

**QUESTION(S) PRESENTED**

I WON MY CIVIL RIGHTS CASE IN 2017 AND HAVE MY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO PROVE MY CASE IN MY MOTION TO PAY OUT SETTLEMENT FILED 5/25/2022 IN THE USCOA, 11TH CIRCUIT (COPY ATTACHED TO INDEX OF APPENDICES - APPENDIX D..

MY QUESTIONS IS WHY THE COURTS KEEP ON DISMISSING AND DENYING ME MY SETTLEMENT FOR MY CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AGAINST MY FORMER EMPLOYER, GETTEL ACURA (GETTEL MANAGEMENT), WHO WAS CHARGED WITH VIOLATIONS OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 FOR DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE AGAINST ME (PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT)?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

1. US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA: 8:16-CV-03460-SDM-TBM
2. US COURT OF APPEALS, 11TH CIRCUIT: 17-13868
  - a. Magistrate Judge Thomas B McCoun, III: No. 11-18-90134  
(Complaint of Judicial Misconduct & Disability, Dated 02/15/2019, USCOA, 11TH CIRCUIT)
  - b. Steven D. Merryday: No. 11-19-90099  
(Complaint of Judicial Misconduct & Disability, Filed 06/25/2020, USCOA, 11TH CIRCUIT)
  - c. Former Chief Ed Carnes: No. 11-20-90053  
(Complaint of Judicial Misconduct & Disability, Filed 10/29/2020, USCOA, 11TH CIRCUIT)
3. SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES: 17-7774
4. US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA (TAMPA):  
8:21-CV-01244-CEH-AAS
5. US COURT OF APPEALS, 11TH CIRCUIT: 21-14292G

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>OPINIONS BELOW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>JURISDICTION .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF CASE .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>6</b>

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JULY 13, 2022.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

The Constitutional Provisions involved are the First, Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

The Statutes Provisions involved are Title VII.

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

1. A COMPLAINT/AMENDED WAS FILED AGAINST DEFENDANTS (APPELLEES) GETTEL ACURA (GETTEL MANAGEMENT) IN THE US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA (TAMPA) FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES (8:21-CV-01244-CEH-AAS).
2. THE DEFENDANTS (APPELLEES) WAS CHARGED WITH VIOLATING TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 FOR DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE AGAINST APPELLANT, AS AMENDED.
3. APPELLANT WAS NEVER PAID A SETTLEMENT AND IS ENTITLED TO RECEIVE A SETTLEMENT BECAUSE SHE WON HER CASE.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

1. THE REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION IS BECAUSE THE PLAINTIFF (APPELLANT) WON HER CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AGAINST FORMER EMPLOYER, GETTEL ACURA (GETTEL MANAGEMENT) IN 2017 AND THE US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA (TAMPA) AND THE US COURT OF APPEALS, 11TH CIRCUIT BOTH HAVE LIED ON HER CASE, ON PURPOSE.
2. THE PLAINTIFF (APPELLANT) HAS WORKED ON HER CIVIL RIGHTS CASE AS A PRO SE FROM THE VERY BEGINNING IN DECEMBER, 2016. IT HAS BEEN 6+ YEARS SINCE THIS CASE WAS FILED AND APPELLANT HAS SUBMITTED ALL OF THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO THE US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA (TAMPA) AND US COURT OF APPEALS, 11TH CIRCUIT NEEDED TO PROVE HER CASE AND GRANT HER PETITION..

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Helle Ferguson

Date: AUGUST 8, 2022