Nov. 29, 2022

Clerk of The Court

Petition For Rehearing
my name, Tedd Wilson
Case # 22-5433

The Supreme Court has already Ruled on The main Reason The District Court Judge granted Summary Judgement -
Weides v Insurance Companies 81 US 375 1872 - This case law, plus all the other evidence, I Provided That show St. Farms objections for not paying my claim are completely meritless -
If The District Judge Does not Think This case law applies for some reason, at least give me an explanation -
The District Judge, ignored every piece of evidence, showing why Summary Judgement should not be granted -
Im asking the Supreme Court to grant a Review, so I can Finally get a Fair hearing

Every material question has been answered. What Aty Fawal does not tell the court is there was a camara in the cab I was traveling in, and when I told the cab company I left my valuables in there cab, they burned the tape, or errased the tape, showing conscious of guilt, according to Detective Byrd assigned to the case

St. Farm says my claims are almost identical, total nonsense - I was never so fortunate as to have a camara recording everything before -

St. Farm already knew the loss occured and told me this night after the first exam, Aty Lee told me he did not know the cab company errased the tape, because his boss Danny Hughes did not bother to tell him, as he was a last minute subsitute for Aty Hughes -

The only thing that was the same is what I insured, vintage baseball cards, I have collected sport cards for over 50 years and Im considered an expert on the subject - by St. Farm & many others -

Mediation -

I asked the District Judge to go to mediation - and it was St. Farm Atty Fawal who refused saying I had to many lifetime claims -

I have had 4 lifetime claims including this one in 50 years -

Not to many claims to have St. Farm issue me a policy & collect my premiums - So when Atty Fawal says I threatened to sue and held the sword of Damocles over there head, is a total lie -

St. Farm is saying they do not want to go to mediation because I have exceeded an imaginary lifetime quota

There is nothing in my personal articles policy that says if I have 4 lifetime claims, St Farm will not go to mediation -

So it was St. Farm who would not cooperate, and it was St. Farm who wanted to go through the court system -

St. Farm was well aware of my claims history before issuing my policy - We had all our insurance with St. Farm for many years -

Atty Fawal sited Baldwin v Adair
The Key word here is material -
All material questions have been
Answered - The Judge Agreed The
loss favors myself - So why is
ST. Farm still refusing to pay?
All questions about my loss have been
Answered -
ST. Farm is clearly trying to
Avoid paying -
The one question I objected to
going back over 20 years, when we
have a written Agreement sent by
ST Farm Law Firm Hand, Arendall
says to be prepared to answer
questions going back 5-10 years -
which I did - Than I answered
questions about my claims history
going back 20 years -
When I objected to going back
over 20 years, They did not even
Tell me The year of The claim
The question was about, I had to
guess - if its A claim from 1998
A Deposition was Taken, by ST. Farm
So This retorical question, Asked in
The rudest Tone has already been
Answered - if ST. Farm wants to
go over This, say so - Give me
A copy of The Deposition And
we can go over it - but be
clear - Be professional - which ST. Farm
was not -

Cell Phone Records -

Because St. Farm conceals The Fact That They will ask for cell Phone records if There is A claim I did not have Those records - Available

According To my Phone company only A court order can get Them After A short Period of Time Lapses

So if I would have known cell Phone records were necessary up front I would have been prepared, however St. Farm is always playing games & Trying To Take Advantage of The Policy holder -

If St. Farm would have been Transparent I would have gotten All my cell Phone records every month Just in case I had A claim -

St. Farm Also omitted, relevant information when They said I Did not Tell them About A suit That was Filed - That was because I was never served & The case was Dismissed - And never Filed Again -

St. Farms Atty David Fawal has intentionally concealed This information From The court -

Atty Fawal knows I was never served, but continues To omit Relevant Information From The court -

There is no valid Reason for The District Judge To grant Summary - No Factual Reason - Summary Judgement should not Be granted if There is a dispute of Fact -

All The Evidence Points heavily in my Direction - on Top of it All I included case Law Weider v Insurance companies, which Protects The policy holder From Questions About Previous claims After A claim is Filed - Weider v Insurance Co. 81 US 375 1872 - Long standing case Law, which I have Previously submitted

The Supreme court of The U.S. Ruled That an Insured must Answer only Those Questions which are material To The investagation of The loss

Further Reasoning Than There was no evidence That The insureds Refusal To Answer The Questions concerning other claims was not a breach of The policy conditions -

The District Judge never Considered The evidence I Provided, not To grant Summary Judgement

NOT ONLY HAS THE QUESTION ABOUT PREVIOUS CLAIMS BEEN ANSWERED BY THE SUPREME COURT, BUT I ALSO WANT TO BE CLEAR, THAT I ANSWERED EVERY MATERIAL QUESTION, ABOUT THE LOSS & EVERY MATERIAL QUESTION ASKED DURING 8 HOURS OF QUESTIONS, DURING 2 SEPARATE EXAMINATIONS UNDER OATH - DISPITE MY SERIOUS HEATH PROBLEMS -

ST. FARM ALSO DID A PHONE EXAM OF DONNA, WHICH I WOULD LIKE THE COURT TO LISTEN TO - ST. FARM REPEATEDLY THREATENED DONNA, WHO WAS NOT EVEN THERE WHEN THE LOSS OCCURED. THAT THE CLAIM WOULD BE DENIED IF SHE DIDN'T ANSWER EVERY QUESTION, NO MATTER HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE. DONNA WAS ON OXYGEN AT THIS POINT AND ATTY LEE KNEW THIS -

THEN REPEATEDLY ASKED DONNA IF SHE WENT TO SCHOOL WITH ANYONE FROM ST. FARM, DONNA HAS NOT BEEN IN SCHOOL IN ALMOST 50 YEARS. AND SHE KEPT TELLING ATTORNEY LEE, NO! STILL ATTY LEE KEPT ASKING THE SAME QUESTION OVER AND OVER - DID YOU GO TO SCHOOL WITH ANYONE FROM ST. FARM -

The reason for these senseless questions by Atty Lee to Donna was, St. Farm was worried that we knew someone who might help us prevent St. Farm from cheating us -
These questions are completely unprofessional, and really disgraceful - but shows how St. Farm was planning to cheat us from the begining - and St. Farms reasons for not paying are without any merit at all -
The district Judge clearly penalized me for not having a lawyer, but this was not my fault -
St. Farm illegally coerced my original Attorney to withdraw -
And at best, interfered with my attempts to secure a new Attorney -
St. Farm clearly made the decision to spend whatever necessary to prevail in my case - no mater what they had to do - including destroying Evidence
There is a reason every Atty from Birmingham AL. never called me back after speaking with Atty Fawal - and as I said previously the FBI should be called in to investacate Atty Fawal & his illegal behavior

I don't Think its possible To overlook All The evidence I provided
NOT only did ST. FARM destroy The photo's They Took Themselves but Also "Lost" The original contract In signed in July 2016
You would Think The District Judge would have been outraged by ST. FARMS behavior -
BUT instead of being outraged The district Judge was Looking For A way out For ST. FARM.
I Realize A Pro Se Petition is not often granted - but The evidence in my favor is overwhelming -
Also I would Like To Add, my girlfriend DONNA, who was Treated So Badly by ST. FARM ATTY Lee has STAGE 4, Liver CANCER AND is fighting for her Life - So you CANT PUT into words The DAMAGE ST. FARMS criminal behavior HAS caused, I have serious health problems myself - So This Petition was not easy for me To write AT This point in my Life -
ST. FARM never once Asked us About our health before Forcing both of us To DO These Long exams -
We are senior citizens, DONT You Think we should be Asked About our health before These exams begin -

ST. FARM WAS NOT looking FOR The TRUTH They were Trying To Find A WAY To CheAT my FAMily - I Think The evidence I provided is extreamly CLEAR -

Weides V insurance companies is Telling Insurance Companies To ASK ANY Questions About previous claims before A policy is issued AND The Premiums collected which is only FAIR -

ST. FARM WAS well AwAre OF my CLAIMS history -

The district Judge Agreed The loss FAVors myself, but somehow erroneously granted summary Judgement -

The district Judge Prevented me From conducting discovery & From Speaking on my behalf - This Prevented me From getting important information ON The Record - The District Judge DID not Allow myself The privileges of A NorMAL Attorney - AND Penalized me for being Pro se -

I clearly DID NOT get A FAIR TRIAL AND AM Asking The Supreme Court To grant my Petition - FOR A Rehearing.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR
Time & Consideration
Tedd Wilson
Tedd Wilson

Dec, 6 2022

Clerk of The Court
my name is Tedd Wilson
Case # 22-5433

I Tedd Wilson certify That The petition For Rehearing is in good Faith, and not To Delay - And That its grounds are limited To intervening circumstances of A substantial effect To other grounds not previously presented -
St. Farm Refused To make any effort To look For my lost cards with All There manpower, They could have easily checked All The pawn shops & collectible stores in The area. They had a list of All 31 baseball cards That were lost, Plus photos -
This is where somebody who Found my lost items might have Tried To sell Them As Quickly As possible
St. Farm never checked with The police, To see if They recovered Any of my lost items, or Followed up in Any way with The cab Company or The Driver of The cab.
St. Farm Refused To Cooperate in Any way -

RECEIVED
DEC 12 2022
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

There strategy was to delay and deny. St Farm had me and Donna jump through hoops for 16 months before they denied my claim-

It would be a catastrophe if the Top court in this country can not protect policy holders from dishonest insurance companies-

The state of Alabama is almost dead last in consumer protection laws. I have documented as clearly as I could St. Farms corruption, there sabotaging of evidence, and there criminal behavior-

This case shows how far an insurance company will go if there are no checks and balances-

Thank you for your time, and consideration

Tedd Wilson
Tedd Wilson

Dec, 6 2022

Proof of Service -

BuTler Snow
1819 5Th AVE N # 1000
BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203

Dec. 6, 2022
AlSO SenT NOV, 29, 2022

Todd Wilson