No. 22-5433

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUN 16 2022
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Tedd Wilson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

ST. FARM Insurance — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

11Th Circuit Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Tedd Wilson
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 5248
(Address)

Decatur Alabama 35601
(City, State, Zip Code)

561-275-0176
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
AUG 23 2022
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

8-11-22

Questions Presented

The District Court Decision To grant Summary Judgement Directly Conflicts with A previous Ruling by The Supreme Court-

St. Farm had All The information About my previous claims before The issued my policy & Took my premiums

St. Farm saying I did not Answer A Question About A previous claim over 20 years ago, Does not Allow The insurance company To Deny my claim-

Long standing case law
Weider v Insurance companies
81 US 375 1872
protects The policy holder From unscrupulous insurance Companies

OPINIONS Below -

Because The DISTRICT COURT Erroneously Granted Summary Judgement, I Filed A motion To Reconsider, The motion was overnighted on The 28Th day - So IT got To The court A few days earlier Than Regular mail -

ST. Farm is Also Aware That the DISTRICT. COURT, granted me AN extension because my FATher Passed AWAY on march 27, 2021 -

There clerk Katie SAID AS Long AS its AN immediate member of Your Family I would Be granted AN extension AS Well -

Neither The DISTRICT COURT OR The 11Th CIRCUIT ever denied me AN extension -

ST. FARM is Trying To SAY my motion To reconsider was untimely when IT was not -

JURISDICTION

ON OCTOBER 25, 2021 -
DISTRICT COURT
Rehearing MARCH 18, 2022

The 11Th CIRCUIT denied my Appeal, EN BANK, SAYING AS YOU CAN SEE They Followed The LAW -

WHAT LAW? Could They be more Specific?

The 11Th CIRCUIT DIDN'T have one QUESTION TO ASK -

ST. FARM Took 16 months To deny my CLAIM - WHAT KIND OF INSURANCE TAKES THAT LONG - The whole Time Telling me They would PAY The CLAIM & would LET me Know if There were Any Problems -

BECAUSE VINTAGE BASEBALL CARDS have gone up in VALUE, I CAN No Longer replace my CARDS For The 160,000 policy Limits - in FACT The CARDS I LOST would COST over 700,000 TO replace TODAY -

This is The DAMAGE, ST. FARMS Dishonesty HAS CAUSED me -

STATEMENT OF CASE

The DISTRICT COURT SAID The CASE FAVOR myself -
The CASE IS very CLEAR -
I LEFT MY VALUABLES - in The CAB I WAS in while TRAVELING -
When The CAB CO. WAS NOTIFIED my VALUABLES were in There CAB, They "BURNED" The TAPE - or ERASED The TAPE -
There WAS A CAMERA in The CAB - This SHOWS CONSCIOUSNESS OF QUILT on The PART of The CAB company -
ST. FARMS ATTORNEY CONTINUES To omit This information when WRITING To The COURT -
ST. FARM REFUSED To PAY my claim - AND MADE me WAIT A FULL YEAR To DO AN EXAM under OATH -
ST. FARM HAD NO INTENTION OF EVEN PAYING MY CLAIM & KEPT MY PREMIUMS -

STATEMENT OF CASE

AS WE ALL KNOW ST. FARM IS AWARE OF YOUR CLAIMS HISTORY BEFORE THEY ISSUE YOUR POLICY - WITH CAR INSURANCE, AS WELL AS HOMEOWNERS THEY ALWAYS CHECK YOUR CLAIMS HISTORY BEFORE THEY ISSUE YOUR POLICY - WE HAD ALL OUR INSURANCE WITH ST. FARM - SO THEY KNEW US - IF THEY HAVE QUESTIONS THEY SHOULD & DO ASK BEFORE ISSUING YOUR POLICY & TAKING YOUR PREMIUMS -

SO SHOULD I HAVE TO PASS A MEMORY TEST WHEN THEY HAVE ALL THIS INFORMATION TO START WITH -?

THIS IS THE DISHONEST GAME THEY PLAY - TO TRY TO DENY YOUR CLAIM -

STATEMENT OF CASE

NATIONAL IMPORTANCE -

ST. FARM TOLD ME SEVERAL TIMES THE COURTS IN ALABAMA WILL NOT PROTECT YOU - THATS THE ONLY THING THEY SAID TO ME THAT WAS TRUE -

THE CONSUMER PROTECTION LAWS ARE THE WORST IN THE COUNTRY -

ALABAMA DOES NOT HAVE A FAIR CLAIMS ACT - AS DOES ILLINOIS OR FLORIDA, THE STATES I HAVE SPENT MOST OF MY LIFE IN -

BECAUSE THE COURTS DONT PROTECT THE POLICY HOLDER - I.E. NOT 1-ONE LAWYER I SPOKE TO IN THE LAST 4 YEARS EVER GOT A BAD FAITH AWARD - NOT ONE -

SO THIS IS WHY ST. FARM HAS NO FEAR THE COURTS WILL RULE AGAINST THEM

ST. FARM IS OUT OF CONTROL - JUST LOOK AT THERE BEHAVIOR IN MY CASE -

THEY KNOW THEY CAN CHEAT THE POLICY HOLDER & WONT BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE IN ALABAMA -

Reason For Granting Petition -

ST. Farm has been cought Bribing A Judge in Illinois - & Paid A 250 million Dollar Settelment in Sept. 2018 To Avoid paying A 1 Billion Dollar Jury Verdict & Being Tried For RICO Thats racketeering - Like you charge The MAFIA -

If The Average Person was cought Bribing A Judge, They would not Just pay A Fine -

This 250 million Dollar Fine has Done nothing To change There behavior.

ST. Farm Attorney who is There go To Guy in Birmingham AL. Coerced my original Attorney To withdraw From my case -

The FBI needs To investigate This - Atty Fawal Also was Abel To prevent Any other Attorney in ALABAMA From Taking my case -

No matter how much Any Attorney wanted To represent me, After speaking with Atty FAWAL I never heard From Them Again -

Reason For Granting Petition

In my motion To reconsider I resent The case law That the Judge ignored - Plus I sent in A letter from an Attorney who I found with The Birmington AL Lawyer referral service - This Attorney was very interested in my case until He spoke To ST. Farm Attorney David Fawal - I sent The court his letter To me now saying he was To Busy & my case would Be TO expensive - Again & Again This happened when Atty Fawal spoke To Any prospective Atty who was previously considering representing myself -

The District Judge said in her denial of my Motion That I Added No New information - Nothing could Be Further From The Truth - Its inconceivable That The District Judge could ignore The Evidence I provided -

REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION

ST. FARM operATed in BAD FAITH AND TOTAL Dishonesty From The very begining of my CLAIM

They "LOST" The Photo's of my insured BAseball CARDS - AS well AS The original contrACT, when All They hAD To do WAS put This inFormATion on There computer For safe Keeping -

This WAS "Sabotage" -

It Took ST. FArm A Full yeAR To DO AN examinATion UNDER OATh - Than They Asked For A Second one, Than They Asked To Do AN exam of my girlFriend who They incorrectly SAID WAS LISTed on The conTrACT -

They cancelled AN ExAm in Sept. 2018 because They SAID DONNA, my Girl Friend hAD To Be There AT The SAme Time

This is completly False -

Because my NAME WAS The only name on The persoNAl Articles Policy - They hAD To destroy Th original ConTrACT -

Decision in conflict with
The Supreme Courts case law -
The policy holder is protected
From even answering question
About previous claims - not only
Does St. Farm have this information
before they issued my policy
but case law which I provided
To both courts - is as follows
Weides v Insurance companies
81 US 375 1872
The Supreme Court of The U.S. Ruled
That an insured must answer
only the questions which are
material to the investagation
of the loss -
Further reasoning than there
was no evidence that the insureds
refusal to answer the questions
concerning other claims was
not a breach of the policy
conditions -
The district court had to
Ignore this case law to
Grant summary Judgement -

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES -

Long STANDING CASE LAW -
Weides V Insurance companies
81 US 375 1872
The supreme court of The U.S. Ruled THAT AN insured must Answer Only Those questions which Are material To The investigation of The loss -
Further Reasoning Than There was no evidence That The insureds Refusal To Answer The Questions concerning other claims was not A breach of The policy conditions

The District court had To ignore This case law To grant Summary Judgement -
The District court said I did not Cooperate by not Answering A Question About A previous claim over 20 years old That was with ST. Farm & A Deposition was Taken -
So ST Farms knows The claim From 1988 was about Baseball cards -

Decision in conflict with
The Supreme Courts Case Law -
The policy holder is protected
From even Answering Question
About previous claims - not only
Does St. Farm have this information
before they issued my policy
but case law which I provided
To both courts - is as follows
Weides v Insurance companies
81 US 375 1872
The Supreme court of The U.S. Ruled
That an insured must answer
only the questions which are
material to the investagation
of the loss -
Further Reasoning Than There
was no evidence That The insureds
refusal To answer The questions
concerning other claims was
not a breach of The policy
conditions -
The district court had To
Ignore This case law To
Grant summary Judgement -

TABle of AuthoRities -

Long STANDing CAse LAW -
Weides V Insurance companies
81 US 375 1872
The supreme court of The US. Ruled THAT AN insured must Answer Only Those questions which Are MATERIAL To The investagation of The loss -
Further Reasoning Than There WAS no evidence THAT The insureds Refusal To Answer The Questions concerning other claims WAS not A breach of The policy conditions

The District court had To ignore This case LAW To grant Summary Judgement -
The District court said I did not Cooperate by not Answering A Question About A previous claim over 20 years old That was with ST. FArm & A Deposition was TAken -
So ST Farms Knows The claim From 1988 was About Baseball cards -

June 12, 2022

Clerk of The Court

Apeal # 21-11722-D
My name is Tedd Wilson
The District Count Agreed The case in Chief Favors myself
However The District Judge mistakenly Granted Summary Judgement To The defendent -
And did not Allow my due process -
Neither The District Count or The Circuit Count Applied my case law To There decision -
This Long standing case law
Weides v Insurance companies
81 US 375 1872
was Ignored by both courts -
After The First Exam under oath St. Farm Knew The loss occured - So They Asked for Another 4 hour exam -
So They could Try To Find A Technicality To Deny my claim
St. Farm had no interest in honoring The contract They sold me -

Both The District court & The 11th circuit completely ignored The case law I provided - IN Addition To The case Law I Provided A Letter sent To me by St. Farms Attorney's saying They would go back 5-10 years on my Previous claims - I have provided This Letter To The court & still The District court ignored This evidence -

St. Farm has done Business in The most Dishonest way You have ever seen & They Are About To get Away with it -

St. Farm has sabotaged evidence in my case -

Because I was denied oral Arguments by Both The District court & The 11th circuit court, I was unable To get my point accross -

And Both courts were able To sweep my case Away - By Granting summary judgement without grounds -

The Judge Agreed The loss occured - There was a camara in The cab I was in -
When I called The cab co after I left my valuables in There cab. They erased The Tape. This shows consciousness of Guilt & The District judge understood This,
However made a huge error for granting summary Judgement -
Summary Judgement should not Be granted if There is a Dispute of fact -
I have provided overwhelming evidence to support This -

ST. FARM should not Be Rewarded by The County — For cheating — Destroying Evidence canceling an Exam of myself in Florida on Sept 27th 2018 because They said Donna had To Be There in person The same day as I did — This was not only not our agreement but complet False —

ST. FARM said Donna was on my personal Articles policy which was False — Than ST. FARM "LOST" my original contract — That showed only my name was on The personal Articles policy —

So ST. FARM has been caught Lying & cheating Repeatedly —

ST. FARM even had The nerve To say There employee who sold me The personal Articles policy was not an expert on vintage Baseball cards — Really — They hired This person, not me —

The DISTRICT COURT judge MADE ANOTHER SERIOUS Error by NOT Allowing myself To speak To The opposing Attorney -
To LET Attorney DAVID FAWAL Know I WAS AWARE OF his dishonesty AND illegal TACTICS - To Try To stop him From From preventing me From having A LAWYER Represent me.
This is unethical AT The very minimum AND-its possible he would have Thought Twice if I WAS Able To Tell him This -
LAWYER After LAWYER Completely disappeared AFTER Speaking To Attorney FAWAL -
I gave This information To Both The DISTRICT COURT & The 11th CIRCUIT -
I provided proof in writing From Attorneys - Including my Previous Attorney who - WITHDREW UNDER very SUSPICIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES

ALABAMA is The Poorest State To Live in - Also really The cruelest, because There are The Fewest consumer protection Laws - Here you cant compete with corporate greed - Like you can in States Like Illinois or Florida -

The courts are here To protect us, And when They Find A Technicality That does not exist For A Corporation - To cheat A Pro Se Litigant - They Are not only betraying The community - but Are encouraging & rewarding St. Farm To Continue To Deny Claims - because The courts are not effective -

Please See my Petition For A Rehearing, That WAS ignored by The 11th circuit - This explains my Position Perfectly - Dated 12-7-21

Weides V Insurance companies
81 US 375 1872

The Supreme court of The U.S. Ruled That An insured must Answer only Those Questions which Are material To The investagation of The loss -

Further Reasoning Than There WAS no evidence That The insureds refusal To Answer The Questions concerning other claims WAS not A breach of The Policy conditions -

The District Court had To ignore This case Law To Grant Summary Judgement -

I have DONE extensive
Research on ST. FArm in The LAST
4 plus years, Since my Loss occured
AND I CAN inForm The Court
That ST. FArm has been Fined more
Than Any other insurance company
- ST. FArm has been caught
Bribing The Legal community in
The PAST -
I'm enclosing some examples -
ST. FArm Agrees To PAY 250
Million, Avoids Racketeering Trial -
ST. FArm is now willing To Do
AnyThing necessary To Cheat -
The Policy holder -
AND The Fines Do nothing To
curtail There behavior -
Its one Thing To Know The
Systeem is Rigged & Another To
Prove it - Like They Did in
Illinois -
Please See The example
That I Provided -

The only way To Stop ST. Farms criminal behavior in ALABAMA is To Bring in The FBI -
Thats how They were cought in Illinois -
I grew up in Chicago & Im grateful Chicago will not Allow ST. Farm To Bribe The Legal Community - As They did in The Past
ALABAMA is one of The Few States without A Fair claims Act -
The insurance industry was Able To Block iT here -
So when The Courts Turn There Back on The Policy holder As was Done in my CAse -
ST Farms criminal behavior will continue -
The Policy holders in ALABAMA Are defenseless AgAinst A dishonest group of People AT ST Farm, if They Know the Courts will Do nothing To Protect The People -

Because of ST. Farms illegal behavior in coercing my previous attorney To withdraw - & For illegally coercing ANY prospective Attorney's From Taking my case -
I would Like The supreme court To Appoint AN Attorney To give my Case The attention it Deserves -
I'm not sure if it was because I was pro se or not, but neither The District or Circuit court, were interested in looking AT The Evidence I Provided - which clearly proved my position - & by not allowing me oral arguments or Discovery - The Court is Putting There hand on The scale of Justice -
I PAID For This insurance - ST. Farm Accepted my money & issued my policy -
Now After I have A claim They have Questions About Previous claims That They were Aware of when They Accepted my money -

ST. FARM sold me A Fraudulent insurance policy & Stole my money -
ST FARM Knew I had A previous claim in 1998 & it was baseball cards That I Insured -
So why Ask me This Questions They Already Know This - if They want To go over A 1998 claim with ST FARM, Than be professional And give me A copy of The Deposition & we can go over it -
They Just Asked if it was About Baseball cards -
The Application I Signed when I Bought my policy Asked if I had A Loss within The last 3 years -
not 5-10 years not 20 years -
ST. FArm Just Keeps moving The goal posts -
ST. FArm Should not Be Rewarded For cheating -

After our last exam in March 2019 ST. Farm immediately closed Robbie Barnes Agency - The ST Farm Agency That sold me The Fraudulent Personal Articles Policy - This was To cover There Tracts - When I asked ST Farm Team Captain Lloyd Renfrow why This happened, He refused To answer - Lloyd Renfrow was who I coordinated my Exam in South Florida on Sept 27 2018 - That He Allowed To Be cancelled on The Day of The exam, After I Paid my Attorney & Drove 12 hours To Be There, I lost All my expenses because he DiD not honor The Agreement we agreed To -

Because The District Judge made So many mistakes - not only Granting Summary Judgement -
But not Allowing myself oral Arguments -
Once I Proved The Loss occured The one Thing I did not want was for ST Farm To Find A Technicalty - So I Repeatedly Sent in not only The letter From May 10 2018 saying ST Farm was going back on my claims history 10 years even Thought The Application I Signed only Asked my claims with insurance companies For The last 3 years -
So They made it easy To Take my money but changed The Rules when A claim was filed -
This was my complaint from The start -
In Addition, ST Farm Knew my claims history before They issued my policy -

This is why my case Law
Weides V Insurance companies
was also so important -
The supreme court of The US.
Ruled That an insured must
answer only Those Questions
which are material To The
investagation of The loss -
Further Reasoning Than There
was no evidence That The
insureds Refusal To answer
The questions concerning other
claims was not a breach
of The Policy conditions -

Its inconceivable That The
District Judge did not clearly
see The Evidence I Provided -

The DISTRICT Judge HAD KNOWN
ST. FARMS UNEThical Attorney
DAVID FAWAL - This OF Counse
MADE me very UNCOMFORTABLE -
During one OF our phone
ConverSATIONS Atty FAWAL SAID TO
The Judge "you know me" when
The Judge Asked Atty FAWAL IF
he would Agree To MEDIATION -
Atty FAWAL'S REASON NOT TO
Allow mediation WAS SO FLAWED -
FAWAL'S WAS SAYING I exceeded
AN IMAGINARY LifeTime CLAIMS TOTAL -
IM 70 YEARS old AND 4 CLAIMS
disQUALIFIES me FOR MEDIATION?
No where in The PERSONAL ARTICLES
Policy DOES IT SAY AnyThing Like
This - This IS SO UNEThical ITS
UNbelievABLE -
AND The Judge Remained
Silent -
OF Course ITS NOT enough
LifeTime CLAIMS, THAT ST FARM
would NOT TAKE my MONEY
& ISSUE The Policy -

The DISTRICT Judge should have
Told me she Does Know Atty FAWAI
but will not show any FAVORITISM -
The Judge Failed To Do This -
There is A Thin line between
incompetence & Dishonesty -
Its very hard To believe The
District judge Just missed All The
evidence I provided -
The judge Did Agree, The loss
FAVORS myself but somehow
decided To grant Summary Judgement
when All The Evidence pointed
To St. Farms BAD Faith -
It Took over 4 years To
get To This point -
CAN I get Any Fairness
From The Supreme Court -
CAN The court send my case
back To the lower court -
or if The court would rather
Appoint AN Attorney To Bring
my case To The Supreme Court

There is not any possible way
The District Judge could have missed
The Evidence I provided —
The judge Agreed The loss
occured, There was no way not
To see That - There was a camara
in The cab I was in & The cab
company erased The Tape after
being Told I left my valuables
in The cab -
However The judge refused
To allow Discovery, or oral
Arguments - would not even allow
myself To speak To The opposing
Attorney —
This is more Than incompetence -
ST. Farm has completely corrupted
The legal system in Alabama —
The FBI needs To come in
And clean This up - Just like
They did in Chicago with The
Grey Lord investigation -

Without The GreyLord investigation The State of Illinois would never have caught St. Farm Bribing A Judge - because This was Common Practice beforehand -

I grew up in Chicago in The Seventies & eighties & The Legal System was Rigged -

The evidence would point one way & The Judge Ruled The other -

This went on For decades Until The FBI Got involved -

St. Farm is The Reason The System is broken in Alabama

No insurance company has been Fined more money - no company has caused As much damage To The community -

Im enclosing The case where St. Farm Agreed To Pay 250 million To Avoid A Racketeering Trial This was Sept 2018 - 6 month After my loss occured - Thats RICO - Thats what The Legal System Charges The MAFIA -

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Tedd Wilson

Date: June 15, 2022

August 11, 2022

Conclusion -

My name - Tedd Wilson
Tedd Wilson

Wilson V ST. Farm

Proof of Service -
Attorney David Fawal
1819 5Th Ave N # 1000
Birmingham, Alabma
35203

Thank You For Your
Consideration

Tedd Wilson