# 22-5408

No.

FILED
AUG 0 1 2022
SUFFICE OF THE CLERK

ORIGINAL

# In the Supreme Court of the United States

LADY DONNA DUTCHESS,

PETITIONER,

VS.

JASON DUTCH,

RESPONDENT

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
ALASKA SUPREME COURT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

LADY DONNA DUTCHESS, PRO SE
6430 EAST NORTHERN LIGHTS, 8A
ANCHORAGE, AK 99504
LADYDONNA@SHADOWLIGHTMONASTERY.ORG
(760) 913-7773

## **QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

- 1. Regarding the American court's absolute faith in human-made vaccine concoctions, which is an important national and public issue, is it truly this Court's intention to firmly believe and have unwavering faith in the religion of medical scientology, a self-styled religion of sorcery, "New King James Bible, Revelation 18:23", thereby, establishing a belief system that all Americans must abide by, enforced by American courts?
- 2. Does this belief and faith in medical scientology, pass or fail the Lemon test that protects the Establishment Clause as it pertains to 1) sponsorship; 2) financial support; and 3) active involvement in the belief & faith system?
  Lemon vs. Kurtzman, 403 U.S. 602 (1971)

#### LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

### **RELATED CASES**

- Brown v. Stone, No. 51553, Supreme Court of Mississippi. Judgment entered on December 19, 1979.
- MLB v. SLJ, No. 95-853, United States Supreme Court. Judgment entered on December 16, 1996.
- Odessa v. Odessa, No. 11-10-00160-CV, Court of Appeals of Texas, Eastland. Judgment entered on May 10, 2012.
- Prince v. Massachusetts, No. 98, Supreme Court of United States. Judgment entered on January 31, 1944.
- Rachel O. v. State of Alaska, S-17192, Supreme Court of Alaska. Judgment entered on July 24, 2020.
- Roberts v. Roberts, No. 1230-02-2, Court of Appeals of Virginia. Judgment entered on September 16, 2003.
- Shea v. Metcalf, No. 97-015, Supreme Court of Vermont. Judgment entered on April 3, 1998.
- Shepp v. Shepp, No. 588 Pa. 691, Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, Judgment entered on September 27, 2006
- Wright v. DeWitt Sch. Dist. No. 1 of Ark. Cnty., No. 5-3408, Supreme Court of Arkansas. Judgment entered on January 11, 1965.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Questions Presented	ii
List of Parties	
Related Cases	iii
Table of Contents	
Index to Appendices	
Table of Authorities	
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	
Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved	3
Statement of the Case	
Reasons for Granting the Petition	
Conclusion	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix A	Decision of Alaska Supreme Court
Appendix B	Decision of Anchorage Superior Court
Appendix C	Alaska Supreme Court, Petitioners Opening Brief
Appendix D	Alaska Supreme Court, Petitioners Partial Excerpt of Record
Appendix E	New King James Bible, Revelation 18
Appendix F	Strong's Exhausted Concordance

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

# Cases

Lemon vs. Kurtzman,
403 U.S. 602 (1971)ii, 9
Constitutions, Statutes, and Rules
United States Constitution
Amendment 1
Alaska Constitution
Article 1, Section 4
Alaska Statute
4 AAC 06.055(3)
Other Authorities
New King James Bible – American English
Revelation 18: 23ii, 7, 8, 9, 10
Strong's Exhaustive Concordance

# OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the Alaska Supreme Court appears in Appendix A to the petition and is unpublished.

## **JURISDICTION**

The date on which the state's highest court, the Alaska Supreme Court, decided my case was March 9th, 2022. A copy of that decision appears in Appendix A.

On May 13th, 2022, an extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including August 6th, 2022, by Justice Elena Kagan, in Application No. 21A715.

The Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C § 1257(a).

### CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

## U. S. Constitution, 1st Amendment, Establishment Clause

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof;"

### Alaska Constitution, Article 1, Section 4

"No law shall be made respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof.

## Alaska Statute 4 AAC 06.055(3) - Immunizations Required

"has an affidavit signed by their parent or guardian affirming that immunization conflicts with the tenets and practices of the church or religious denomination of which the applicant is a member."

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

From January 2015 through August 2019, the children were enrolled in public school and notarized Religious Exemption forms detailing A.S. 4 AAC 06.055(3), that the public school district provided, were filed with the Anchorage School District. "App. D." In 2019, Mr. Dutch changed his belief regarding vaccines and emailed me that he intended to vaccinate the children. "Id". Mr. Dutch also emailed to inform me that during the timeframe the children were under Religious Exemptions for vaccines, he had been having the children vaccinated when he took them to the doctors. "Id". As a result, I pulled the children's Immunization Records and discovered that Mr. Dutch had not been having the children vaccinated.

A hearing on vaccinations was held on November 5th, 2020. "App. D." The court acknowledged at the hearing that I have a constitutional right to practice my religion and I have a history of filing religious exemptions on vaccinations. During the hearing, the court asked Mr. Dutch why he did not have the children vaccinated. Mr. Dutch responded that he had no contact with the children and that Mr. Dutch did not even know where the children were going to school. "Id" I explained to the court that I had a witness to refute Mr. Dutch's testimony. Furthermore, I queried with the court the logic of Mr. Dutch's testimony, as he stated to the court he did not know where the children were, as the court gave him

shared physical & legal custody during this timeframe, and the court said, "Yeah, I know". "Id". During direct examination, Ms. Carmen Munoz-Jackson testified via teleconference as a witness to refute Mr. Dutch's testimony. Ms. Munoz-Jackson testified that she recollected that Mr. Dutch seemed to be on the same page about vaccinations and he did not think the kids needed vaccinations either. Ms. Munoz-Jackson further testified that Mr. Dutch agreed with our belief that kids are being over-medicated, and over-vaccinated for everything, and believed that there are other alternatives besides vaccinations for health. "Id"

On April 14th, 2021, Kaela Watson, GAL, assigned to this case, motioned for a hearing about vaccines as it was discovered on April 9th, 2021, Mr. Dutch had taken the children to be vaccinated. A hearing regarding Mr. Dutch vaccinating the children before a court order was held on April 28th, 2021. "App. D." The court took notice of Mr. Dutch getting the vaccinations without having the authority to do so. The court took notice of Mr. Dutch acting without a court order. The court took notice of Mr. Dutch getting the vaccinations without notifying me. The court stated that it was going to think about what should happen since Mr. Dutch vaccinating the girls was clearly in violation of my wishes, as well as no court order authorizing Mr. Dutch to do so. "Id"

On June 7th, 2021, the Superior court issued its order allowing Mr. Dutch to make vaccination decisions. "App. B." The Superior Court held that this Court has stated,

"the right to religious freedom does not include the right to expose children or the community to communicable diseases." "Id."

On June 16th, 2021, I filed an appeal to the Alaska Supreme Court. "App. C." The Alaska Supreme Court affirmed the Superior Court ruling on March 9th, 2022. "App. A." The Alaska Supreme Court's opinion held and cited many related case laws that reveal the American courts' faith and belief in human-made vaccines. "Id."

#### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

It is revealed through the Alaska Supreme Court vaccine opinion "App. A.", the Anchorage Superior Court vaccine order "App. B.", and the various Alaskan attorneys I have spoken to, based on multiple related case laws in America, that this Court has established unwavering belief and absolute faith in human-made vaccine concoctions. It is of national importance for this Court to grant my petition, to clarify for American citizens, if it truly was this Court's intention to enable and establish the belief and religion of medical scientology, a self-styled religion of sorcery, "New King James Bible, Revelation 18:23", especially as it pertains to concoctions taken into the body. It is important to clarify for the nation if the American courts' unwavering faith and belief in human-made vaccine concoctions have established religion for all Americans through sponsorship, financial support, and belief-based marketing activity.

In the USA, there is a wide variety of beliefs that Americans can exercise their religious freedoms practicing, from Agnostic, Atheism, Baha'i, Buddhism, Cao Dai, Christianity, Druids, Humanism, Islam, Judaism, Lemniscate, to Luciferian, Pagans, Scientology, Shamanism, Sorcery, and more. American citizens have the right to choose and practice their faith without government interference telling citizens what they must believe. The aforementioned world religions certainly have

text where their Highest Authority or Supreme Being dictates what one may or may not take into the body.

In the American New King James Bible, there is a prophecy in Revelation 18:23, that states:

"The light of a lamp shall not shine in you anymore, and the voice of bridegroom and bride shall not be heard in you anymore. For your merchants were the great men of the earth, for by your sorcery all the nations were deceived."

This Revelation prophecy speaks of sorcery, which is a recognized world religion. When one investigates further into the word 'sorcery' using the *Strong Exhaustive Concordance* of the American English *New King James Bible*, which cross-references to lexicons of the original languages of the Bible, sorcery is defined in Greek as:

"5331. φαρμακεία pharmakeia far-mak-i'-ah: from 5332; medication ("pharmacy"), i.e. (by extens.) magic (lit. or fig.) – sorcery (2x), witchcraft. Primarily Pharmakeia signified the use of medicine, drugs, spells; then, poisoning; then witchcraft. 5332. φαρμακεύς pharmakeus far-mak-yoos' from pharmakon (a drug, i.e. spell-giving potion); a druggist ("pharmacist") or poisoner, i.e. (by extension) a magician:-sorcerer."

Based on my experience within the Alaska Judicial system, regardless of what the U. S. Constitution, 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment; Alaska Constitution, Article 1, Section 4; and A.S. 4 AAC 06.055(3) instruct by law, when it comes to a religious stance regarding vaccine potions, the American judicial branches' unwavering faith and belief in the

religion of medical scientology, a self-styled religion of sorcery, "New King James Bible, Revelation 18:23", overrides all other religious beliefs in America. It is a clear legal precedent that the American courts take their direction from this Court. Many American courts have revealed by numerous related case laws their unwavering faith and belief that vaccine potions made by the human hand, which are recommended by medical individuals who are fully indoctrinated into the medical science belief, supported by their faithfully written peer-reviewed medical scientology text; have established faith and belief that all Americans, including myself and my Alaskan children, apparently must abide by.

Faith is the avenue available in our American society to express unwavering belief. For hundreds of years, people have come to the USA from countries across the world, to share as citizens the religious freedom our United States constitution promises without fear of coercion, penalty, mandate, danger, shunning, ridicule, and tyranny. Regardless of where each individual places their faith, we are citizens of America, with an equal share of our government, and the First Amendment mandates governmental neutrality between institutions built upon faith and belief. The Lemon test protects the Establishment Clause against violations by asking three solid legal questions regarding 1) sponsorship, 2) financial support, and 3) actively participating in a belief-based institution. I contend that this Court has enabled this established faith and belief in the human-based religion of medical science, that Americans must abide by, especially when it comes to vaccine

concoctions. Therefore, this established belief system in human-based medical scientology religion, appears to violate the *Establishment Clause* of the *U.S. Constitution* and *the Alaska Constitution*, *Article 1*, *Section 4*.

As a result of the various religious information revealed to me by my Most High God, it is important for this Court to clarify if the establishment of the religion of medical scientology, a self-styled religion of sorcery, "New King James Bible, Revelation 18:23", with an absolute unwavering belief in medicine, drugs, and potions, that is sponsored, financially funded, with active belief-based marketing campaigns, supported by court enforcement, is truly the chosen religion all Americans must abide, believe, and have faith in. Eventually, all family disputes end up in America's family courts. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that all American children, regardless of their parent's religious affiliation regarding what may or may not be taken into the body, will eventually be vaccinated through a court order, as that is the established belief and legal precedent set in place currently, revealed by related case law.

I believe that everything that has occurred from the Anchorage Superior Court to the Alaska Supreme Court, has been designed by my Most High God Supreme Being. I believe that my Most High God persuaded me to bring this very important matter before the highest court in our nation, in order for me to respectfully request this Court to grant my petition, so that this Court may clarify for All.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted as it presents questions of national importance.

Respectfully submitted,

Lady Donna Dutchess, Pro Se

Date: July 318+, 2022