

22-5320

No. 22-30102 USDC

ORIGINAL

No. 5:21-CV-4029

IN THE

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

JUL 18 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

District of Columbia

RONNY THOMAS — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Officer Lt. TONY R. MOORE — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

RONNY THOMAS  
(Your Name)

532 Comax Street  
(Address)

Shreveport, Louisiana 71104  
(City, State, Zip Code)

318-344-1942  
(Phone Number)

**QUESTION(S) PRESENTED**

*A Citizen Right, AND HUMAN Right,*

## **LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

*Officer Lt: TONY R MOORE*

## **RELATED CASES**

*There is a conspiracy of misconduct happening in Caddo Parish AMONG thee department.*

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES Rule 23. STAYS

PAGE NUMBER 28

A stay MAY be granted by a Justice as permitted by law.

### STATUTES AND RULES

A party to a judgment sought to be reviewed MAY present to a Justice an application to stay the enforcement of that judgment. See 28 U.S.C. 2101 'f'.

### OTHER

The form and content of an application for a stay are governed by Rules 22 and 33.2.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at Rule 23; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at 28 U.S.C. 2101(f); or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 11-2022.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: February 17-2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including July 22-2022 (date) on July 25-2022 (date) in Application No. 21 A 173.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

*A Citizen Right, Human Right, and Double Jeopardy*

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The accused has the option of avoiding indictment and proceeding directly to a plea bargain. The prosecutor drafts an accusation that recites the charges against the accused. The defendant generally proceeds by way of accusation rather than indictment, and the prosecution makes a favorable plea offer. This offer is typically in the defendant's best interest to accept, to avoid harsher charges.

It is possible to have an indictment dismissed. There are five possible reasons as to how this could happen. These include: Insufficient evidence, Fourth Amendment violations, Procedural issues, Lack of resources, Willingness to cooperate.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This issue is in the regard of A HUMAN right, A citizen right, the law was unstable 1988, AND AGREEMENT IN A PLEA BARGAIN. These is ARE ground's to over look A ERROR.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

RONNY THOMAS

Date: July 28-2022