

No. 22-5307

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUL 20 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RONALD C. WILLIAMS
(Your Name)

— PETITIONER

WILLIAM HITCHING VS. WARDEN
SHELLY WILLIAMS, RECORD SPECIALIST
PROGRAM OFFICER ET AL — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
U.S. SUPREME COURT.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

RONALD C. WILLIAMS
(Your Name)

ELY STATE PRISON, P.O. BOX 1989
(Address)

ELY - NV - 89301
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

JUL 27 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

ALL PARTIES ARE LISTED IN THE CAPTION
OF THIS PROCEEDING.

1 WHETHER THE NINTH CIRCUIT ERRED IN DISMISSING
WHEN THEY DISMISSED THAT APPEAL AS FRIVOLOUS
BECAUSE A REASONABLE JURIST WOULD FIND IT
DEBATABLE THAT WHEN A COURT CONCLUDES THE
MERITS OF THE PETITION FILED WITH THE NINTH
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. THAT PETITIONER
RONALD C. WILLIAMS, STATUTORY RIGHTS, AS
WELL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS HAS BEEN
VIOLATED BY NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, BY NOT APPLY GOOD TIME,
WORK TIME CREDITS TO MY SENTENCES
SINCE 2005. WHICH CAUSE PETITIONER TO
SERVE EXCESSIVE PRISON TIME.
WHICH ALSO VIOLATES MY DUE PROCESS
RIGHTS TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. AMEND. 14.

ON THE DATE OF APRIL 21, 2022 U.S COURT OF APPEAL
FOR THE 9TH CIRCUIT ISSUED A ORDER TO DISMISS
THE APPEAL AS FRIVOLOUS, PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C
1915 (e) (2).

ON DATE OF MAY 13TH 2022 THE MANDATE WAS ISSUED
IN THE FINAL JUDGEMENT ENTERED APRIL 21, 2022.
CASE NO: 21-16367" D.C. NO. 2:21-CV-00123 KJD-
DTA.

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION	4
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	2
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	ORDER OF NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS DISMISSING THE APPEAL AS FRIVOLOUS. CASE #21-16367
APPENDIX B	JUDGEMENT OF THE COURT ENTERED APRIL 21, 2022 MANDATE: NO. 21-16367 U.S. DIST COURT FOR NEVADA, LAS VEGAS, D.C. NO. 21-21-EV-00123 KJD-DJA
APPENDIX C	NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ORDER, COURT LACKS JURISDICTION OVER THE APPEAL BECAUSE THE DISTRICT COURT VACATED THE APRIL 2, 2021 ORDER NO. 21-15795 "D.C. NO. 21-21-EV-00123-KJD-DJA
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

WILLIAMS V. STATE, DEPT OF CORR 133, NEV, ADV. 75, 402, P3d 1260 (OCT 5, 2017)	# 3
VONSEY LEWIS V. LEGRAND NO-66159-2015 W 1393682 NEV. JUNE 24, 2015	# 7

STATUTES AND RULES

NEV. REV. STAT 209-4465 (7)(B)	# 2
NEV. REV. STAT 209-4465 (1)(A) TO (C)	# 6

OTHER

CONSTITUTION AMENDMENTS

5TH & 14TH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS	# 1
1ST, 5TH & 14TH AMENDMENT	# 4
DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAUSE 5TH & 14TH AMEND	# 5
5TH - 8TH / 14TH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS	# 6

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was FRIVOLOUS 4-21-22.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix N/A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was FRIVOLOUS 4-21-22. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- (1) PETITIONER RONALD C WILLIAMS HAS MADE A SUBSTANTIAL SHOWING OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND DUE PROCESS RIGHTS WHICH HAS BEEN VIOLATED BY THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. IN THE 1983 PETITION, CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983. THE ISSUES COMPLAINED ABOUT ARISES OUT OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.
- I ASK THIS COURT TO GRANT THIS PETITION ON THE MERITS OF THE CASE.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

SHERY WILLIAMS, RECORD SPECIALIST PROGRAM OFFICER IV, OF OFFENDER MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT OF N.D.O.C HAS MADE A CALCULATION IN THE WRONG MANNER THAT HAS DENIED PETITIONER HIS STATUTORY RIGHT TO LIBERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW.

WHICH HAS CAUSE PETITIONER TO DO EXCESSIVE PRISON TIME. WHICH IS A TOTAL VIOLATION OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT - U.S. CONST. AMENDMENT 14.

ACCORDING TO THE N.D.O.C POLICY THAT HAS BEEN TAKEN (6) SIX DAYS A MONTH FROM ME SINCE MY INCARCERATION OF 2005.

WHICH HAS CAUSED PLAINTIFF TO DO EXCESSIVE PRISON TIME BY THE RECORD SPECIALIST SHERY WILLIAMS OF O.M.D NOT GIVEN PETITIONER ANY OF MY GOOD TIME CREDITS, THAT CAUSE ME TO DO THE DURATION OF MY FIRST SENTENCE ATTEMPTED MURDER WITH THE USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON A MINIMUM OF (76) MONTHS AND A MAXIMUM OF (240) MONTHS, WHICH CAUSED PETITIONER TO EXPIRE THE FIRST SENTENCE AFTER 11 YEARS AND SOME MONTHS.

BY POLICY RECORD SPECIALIST, PROGRAM OFFICERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR APPLYING ALL COURT CREDITS AS WELL GOOD TIME WORK CREDITS.

WHICH N.D.O.C POLICYS SHOULD BE UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHICH CAUSE ME A LIBERTY INTEREST OF DOING EXCESSIVE TIME IN PRISON.

AFTER I WAS INCARCERATED COURT CREDITS AND GOOD TIME CREDITS SHOULD HAVE BEEN APPLIED TO MY SENTENCES.

N.D.O.C POLICY OF TAKEN (6) DAYS A MONTH WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW, A VIOLATION OF THE 5TH, 14TH AMENDMENT.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

SUCH POLICY SUBJECT PETITIONER TO A LONGER STAY IN PRISON THAN THE COURT INTENDED.

WHICH THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND RESTORE ALL THE DAYS NOT GIVEN TO ME, AS TWENTY DAYS TAKEN EACH MONTH SINCE 2005.

NO ONE SHOULD BE SUBJECT TO 20 TO 30 YEARS IN PRISON DUE TO A UNCONSTITUTIONAL POLICY MADE UP TO KEEP ME IN PRISON LONGER THAN THE SENTENCE INTENDED FOR ME TO SERVE.

SHERY WILLIAMS, RECORD SPECIALIST KNOWS THAT THIS POLICY WOULD SUBJECT PETITIONER TO A LIBERTY INTEREST BY NOT APPLYING GOOD TIME CREDITS OR RECALCULATING MY SENTENCE IN THE PROPER FASHION.

THE VERSION OF NEV. REV. STAT 209.4465 PROVIDES THAT PETITIONER RONALD WILLIAMS IS ENTITLED TO GOOD TIME / WORK TIME CREDITS, EVEN IF N. D. O. C. DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH JOBS / PROGRAMS FOR EVERY PRISONER.

NEVADA SUPREME COURT ISSUED A NEW PUBLISHED OPINION IN WILLIAMS V. STATE DEPT OF CORR, 133 NEV. ADV. OP. 75,402, P3d 1260 (OCT 5, 2017) WILLIAMS REQUIRES THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS (NDOC) TO APPLY CREDITS TO AN INMATE'S MINIMUM SENTENCE IF HE COMMITTED HIS CRIME BETWEEN 1995 AND 2007, AND IF HE WAS SENTENCED TO A MINIMUM - MAXIMUM SENTENCE UNDER NRS. 209.4465 (7)(B).

N. D. O. C. HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS CURRENT SENTENCES FALLS WITHIN THE REQUIREMENTS OF WILLIAMS. WHICH N. D. O. C. IS REQUIRED TO CALCULATE PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS SENTENCES UNDER NRS. 209.4465 (7)(B).

1 RELEVANT LAW.

NEVADA LAW ALLOWS THOSE UNLAWFULLY COMMITTED TO CHALLENGE SUCH UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT OR RESTRAINT. NRS 34.360

IN THE CASE OF RONALD C. WILLIAMS THIS PETITION CHALLENGES THE COMPUTATION OF TIME SERVED ON MY SENTENCES AS WELL THE LEGALITY OF MY CONFINEMENT.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS SENTENCES MUST BE RECALCULATED IN LIGHT OF THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT RECENT DECISION IN WILLIAMS V. STATE DEPT OF CORR. 133 NEV. ADV. 75, 402 P.3D 1260 (OCT 5 2017)

WHICH N.D.O.C HAS NOT DONE AT ALL, WHICH CAUSE PETITIONER A LIBERTY INTEREST OF UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT.

RESPONDENT SHERILY WILLIAMS HAS BEEN EMPLOYED BY THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS RECORDS SPECIALIST / PROGRAM OFFICER IV FOR NDOC IN

THE OFFENDER MANAGEMENT DIVISION IN CARSON CITY NEVADA SINCE MARCH, 1983 TO NOW.

HER POSITION IS FAMILIAR WITH THE RECORDS OF INMATES INCLUDING CREDIT HISTORIES AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE ON NDOC'S OFFENDER TRACKING SYSTEMS.

THE BEST REMEDIE TO SOLVE THIS ISSUE IS TO GIVE PETITIONER A TRANSFER TO A PRISON IN CALIFORNIA AND RECALCULATE MY SENTENCE.

I HAVE EXHAUSTED ALL MY REMEDIES THROUGH THE PRISON GRIEVANCE SYSTEM. I HAVE BEEN SUBJECT TO CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT BY N.D.O.C WHICH I HAVE LOST MOST OF MY PRISON TIME LOCKED UP IN THE STATE MAX PRISON ELY. STATE PRISON DUE TO MY UNLAWFUL SENTENCE STRUCTURE.

UNDER THESE CONDITIONS OF ALMOST NO HUMAN CONTACT 23 TO 24 HOURS BEING OPPRESSED TO A CELL, NO PROGRAMS OR NOTHING.

UNDER THESE CRUEL CONDITIONS NO PROGRAMS OR NOTHING THERE'S NO WAY I COULD EARN GOOD TIME / WORK CREDITS. BEING OPPRESSED UNDER N.D.O.C POLICYS WHICH CAUSE PLAINTIFF RONALD C. WILLIAMS A LIBERTY INTEREST.

BEING THAT THE LEGISLATURE DOES NOT ADHERE TO THIS POLICY N.D.O.C HAS FORCED UPON PRISONERS THAT CAUSE PETITIONER TO BE EXCESSIVELY CONFINED IN N.D.O.C FOR A LONGER PERIOD OF TIME. WHEN 80% OF PRISONERS IN N.D.O.C GET DISCHARGED OUT OF PRISON ON EXPIRATION AND NOT PAROLE UNLESS WE ARE CLOSE TO EXPIRATION.

N.D.O.C POLICYS ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL TO UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND CRUEL AN UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.

THE RELIEF I SEEK IS COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AND A OUT OF STATE TRANSFER. WHICH PETITIONER HAS BEEN ALREADY TARGET BY THE PRISON ADMINISTRATION EVERY PRISON UNDER N.D.O.C I GET SENT TO, I END UP BACK IN THE STATE MAX LOCK DOWN PRISON E.S.P.

SINCE NEVADA PRISONERS HAS A LIBERTY INTEREST OF BEING PAROLED THE POLICY OF N.D.O.C SHOULD BE RENDER UNCONSTITUTIONAL. JUST LIKE PEOPLE OUT IN THE FREE WORLD, PRISONERS HAS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT UNDER THE CONSTITUTION TO ADDRESS THE COURT SYSTEM. THIS RIGHT IS BASED ON THE FIRST, FIFTH AND THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

FIRST AMENDMENT I HAVE A RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCE, UNDER THE FIFTH, FOURTEENTH I HAVE A RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW ON THE MERITS OF MY 1983 COMPLAINT. WHICH IS NOT FRIVOLOUS OR MALICIOUS. WHICH ALSO

STATES A CLAIM FOR THE RELIEF I SEEK WITHOUT BEING DISMISSED.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS MAKES IT VERY CLEAR THAT I SEEK THE RELIEF WHICH COULD BE GRANTED MONETARY RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDENTS AS WELL A OUT OF STATE TRANSFER.

N.D.O.C and RECORD SPECIALIST SHERRY WILLIAMS, AND A LONG PERIOD OF 17 YEARS TO CORRECT THE VIOLATIONS OF THE LIBERTY INTEREST N.D.O.C HAS CAUSED THE 14TH AMEND BY DENYING ME GOOD TIME CREDITS WHICH IS THE CAUSE OF THE LIBERTY INTEREST.

N.D.O.C MUST PROVIDE PETITIONER WITH THE SAME AMOUNT OF PROTECTION OF A NOTICE OR HEARING BEFORE THEY DO ANYTHING THAT HARMS LIFE OR LIBERTY. THAT THESE VIOLATIONS CAUSED PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS A TYPICAL HARDSHIP OF EXCESSIVE CONFINEMENT FOR ANY ONE IS UNCOMFORTABLE AS WELL ANNOYING.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS, HAS BEEN INCARCERATED IN N.D.O.C SINCE 8.29.05 FOR TWO COUNTS OF ATTEMPTED MURDER WITH THE USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON.

COUNT 1 MINIMUM OF (76) MONTHS AND A MAXIMUM OF (240) MONTHS, PLUS A EQUAL AND CONSECUTIVE MINIMUM OF (76) MONTHS AND A MAXIMUM OF (240) MONTHS,

COUNT #3. ATTEMPTED MURDER WITH THE USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, MINIMUM OF (76) MONTHS AND A MAXIMUM OF (240) MONTHS, PLUS A EQUAL AND CONSECUTIVE MINIMUM OF (76) MONTHS AND A MAXIMUM OF (240) MONTHS.

COUNT V. MAYHEM WITH THE USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON. MINIMUM OF (36) MONTHS, AND A MAXIMUM OF (96) MONTHS, PLUS A EQUAL AND CONSECUTIVE.

ALL CHARGES FROM A SINGLE INCIDENT WHICH N.D.O.C HAS NOT BEEN APPLYING THE PROPER CREDITS TO MY SENTENCES.

ALL THE REDUNDANT CHARGES ALSO VIOLATES THE DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAUSE, WHICH ALSO CAUSES PETITIONER A LIBERTY INTEREST, THAT ALSO VIOLATES MY 5TH, 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

6
PETITIONER HAS ATTACHED DOCUMENTS, AND EXHIBITS TO THIS ENTIRE COMPLAINT SHOWING THAT I'M ENTITLED TO THE RELIEF. THAT THE RECORD SPECIALIST SHERI WILLIAMS PROGRAM OFFICER IV. HAS NOT APPLIED THE PROPER CREDITS TO MY SENTENCES. CURRENT AND PAST SENTENCES.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS HAS PROVED ALL THE SET OF FACTS IN THIS COMPLAINT, AS WELL EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO SUPPORT ALL CLAIMS THATS NOT FRIVOLOUS.

PURSUANT TO THE ADJUSTMENT OF MY SENTENCES STRUCTURE MY PAROLE DATE WAS 12-12-28, AS OF MARCH 27, 2018, THE LONGER I'M HELD UNDER THESE CONDITIONS OF N.D.O.C MY P.E.D CONTINUES TO MOVE TO THE DURATION OF MY EXPIRATION DATES. WHICH CAUSE ME AND ALL OTHERS IN N.D.O.C A LIBERTY INTEREST.

THE RECORD SPECIALIST SHERI WILLIAMS OF O.M.D ACTIONS IN THIS SITUATION WERE ILLEGAL AS WELL A VIOLATION OF MY 5TH, 8TH, 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. WHICH CAUSED ME TO DO EXCESSIVE TIME AND LIBERTY INTEREST.

PETITIONER HAS THE BURDEN TO CHALLENGE SUCH UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT AND RESTRAINT.

DEFENDANTS ARE NOT FOLLOWING THE SPIRIT OF THE LEGISLATURE OR EVEN CLOSE. ACCORDING TO NRS. 209.4465. (1)(A) TO (C).

PETITIONER IS ENTITLED TO GOOD TIME / STATUTORY CREDITS. NO FAULT OF PETITIONER BEING PENALIZED BY N.D.O.C WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW OR ANY NOTICE AT ALL IN VIOLATION OF MY RIGHTS, 5TH, 8TH, 14TH AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONST.

THE POLICY OF N.D.O.C, TAKEN (6) DAYS A MONTH, IS CLEARLY CAUSING A LIBERTY INTEREST TOO ME AS WELL ALL OTHER PRISONERS. TO DO EXCESSIVE PRISON TIME WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS, WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE DEDUCTION OF (20) DAYS FOR EACH MONTH I SERVED IN THE CUSTODY OF N.D.C.C.

EQUAL PROTECTION.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS EQUAL PROTECTION RIGHTS AS WELL MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS HAS BEEN VIOLATED.

THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE 14TH AMEND IS ESSENTIALLY A DIRECTION THAT AN SIMILARLY SITUATED PERSON BE TREATED EQUALLY UNDER THE LAW.

PETITIONER ALLEGES FACTS DEMONSTRATING THAT DEFENDANTS AGED WITH INHERENT AN PURPOSE TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS BASED UPON MEMBERSHIP IN A PROTECTED CLASS, AN THAT THE RESPONDENTS PURPOSEFULLY TREATED ME DIFFERENTLY THAN SIMILARLY SITUATED INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT ANY RATIONAL BASIS FOR THE DISPARATE TREATMENT.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS ASK THIS COURT TO FIND THAT I STATES A COLORABLE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAIM BASED ON THE ALLEGATIONS, PETITIONER IS IN A CLASS OF ONE BECAUSE THE RESPONDENTS KNEW THAT PETITIONER SENTENCE STATUE PERMITTED GOOD TIME CREDITS TO BE DEDUCTED FROM MY MINIMUM AN MAXIMUM SENTENCE IN LIGHT OF VONSEY LEWITZ.

IN THE CASE OF: VONSEY LEWITZ V. LEGRAND, NO. 66159, 2015, W1393682 (NEV. JUNE 24, 2015) UNPUBLISHED, THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT HELD THAT GOOD TIME CREDITS SHOULD BE APPLIED TO VONSEY LEWITZ MINIMUM SENTENCE BECAUSE THE SENTENCE STATUE DID NOT STATE THAT VONSEY LEWITZ HAD TO SERVE A SPECIFIED MINIMUM SENTENCE BEFORE BECOMING ELIGIBLE FOR PAROLE.

PETITIONER RONALD C. WILLIAMS BARES THE BURDEN TO SHOW THAT MY CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS HAS BEEN VIOLATED AS WELL SUBJECTED ME TO A LONGER CONFINEMENT THAN THE COURT INTENDED.

SEE ALL EXHIBITS ATTACHED.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF.

- (1) IMMEDIATE TRANSFER TO A PRISON IN CALIFORNIA.
- (2) COMPENSATORY DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000 PER DEFENDANT.
- (3) GENERAL DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000
- (4) PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000
- (5) SEPARATE DISTRICT DAMAGES FOR UNLAWFUL INTENTIONS, DELIBERATE DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000
- (6) ANY AND ALL COURT FEE'S / ATTORNEY COST TO BE PAID BY DEFENDANTS OR THE STATE OF NEVADA.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PETITIONER HAS PRESENTED WITH THE VIOLATIONS
OF MY STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTION RIGHTS
WHICH HAS BEEN VIOLATED.

WHICH I HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO
BE FREE FROM THIS TYPE OF DISCRIMINATION.

TO GRANT THIS WRIT OF CERTIORARI DUE TO ME
PRESENTING ISSUES OF IMPORTANCE BEYOND
THE PARTICULAR FACTS OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

THERE'S NO ERRONEOUS FACTS IN THIS COMPLAINT
TO BE RENDERED FRIVOLOUS WHICH I SHOULD
BE GRANTED TO PROCEED ON THE CONSTITUTIONAL
MERITS OF THIS CASE.

THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT **CONCLUSION**
NINTH CIRCUIT, AND REMAND THE CASE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS
OR ORDER THE RELIEF I ASKED FOR TO BE GRANTED

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald C. Williams PRO SE
#87832

Date: _____

ELY STATE PRISON
P.O. BOX 1989
ELY, NV. 89301