


22-5302
NO. 22-204302

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



MICHAEL GEOFFREY PETERS - PETITIONER
VS.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS: ACTUAL INNOCENCE CLINIC
- RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL GEOFFREY PETERS
STRIKESFELLOW UNIT
1200 FM 655
ROSHARON, TEXAS 77583
(281) 595-3413

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. IS IT LAWFUL OR CONSTITUTIONAL FOR A FEDERAL JUDGE TO USE THE "THREE-STRIKES" PROVISION AS A WEAPON TO SILENCE ONE'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH EXPOSING THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE ROCKETFEEDING ENTERPRISES, FALSE IMPRISONMENT OF INNOCENT AMERICAN WHISTLE-BLOWER VICTIMS, CHILD ABDUCTION, PROPERTY THEFTS, PHYSICAL EVIDENCE FABRICATION, COLLUSION, ETC.
2. IS IT LAWFUL FOR THE RESPONDENTS TO OPERATE AND ADVERTISE AND OTHERWISE COLLECT GOVERNMENT FUNDINGS AND DONATIONS FOR AN INNOCENT PROJECT, WHEN THEY AID THE GOVERNMENT TO COVER-UP THEIR CRIMES (ABOVE) BY IGNORING AN INNOCENT MAN'S INNOCENCE?
3. IS IT LEGAL TO FALSELY ADVERTISE TO OBTAIN FUNDING AND OR DONATIONS?
4. IS IT LEGAL TO AID AND ABET A CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO AVOID PROSECUTION?

LIST OF PARTIES

THE LIST OF PARTIES CAN BE FOUND IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS CRIM. CASE NO. 14-07-08207-CR. ANNULMENT CASE NO. 12-08-09259-CR. FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20717, SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 21-5439 AND ALL RELATED CIVIL ACTIONS BELOW. THE ABOVE RESPONDENTS ARE REPUBLICAN PARTY LOYALIST WHO'VE AIDED A NATIONAL REPUBLICAN PARTY ROCKEETING CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE TO SUBVERT THE AMERICAN JUDICIAL SYSTEM THROUGH FALSE ADVERTISING AND COVER-UP CRIMINAL OPERATIONS ACTUAL INNOCENCE OF THOSE SEEKING THEIR AID DUE TO SAID FALSE ADVERTISEMENTS.

RELATED CASES

SUPREME COURT CASES:

21-7966, CORRUPT FEDERAL JUDGES INVOLVED
22-20059, " " "
22-20189, " " "
22-20082, " " "
22-20194, " " "
21-20601, " " "
21-7747, " " "

21-20440,	PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS	
22-40140,	"	"
21-40473,	"	"
21-40560,	"	"
21-20546,	"	"
20-8406,	"	"
21-5507,	"	"
	"	"
21-7795,	CORRUPT APPOINTED TRIAL COUNSEL	
21-20371,	CORRUPT FID. JUDGE DEFENDING APPEAL	
21-203		
21-40819,	SEEK SELECTIVE PROSECUTIONS	
21-5439,	SUPREME COURT CLERK WITHHOLDING CERTIFICATES	
21-7810,	CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT	
22-20099,	ASST. D.A. CONSPIRATOR	
21-7740,	TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL'S <u>CRIMES</u>	
22-20096,	COURT REPORTER DELETING TRANSCRIPTS	
21-7797,	TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD COVER-UPS	
22-20094,	SCOTT MARRIS	
22-20133,	INNOCENT PROJECT COVER-UP	
20-40547,	PRISON STEALING LAW BOOKS	
21-20374,	Z.R.S. REFUSE TO PAY STIMULUS CHECK	
21-20669,	GREG ABBOTT CRIMES	
21-40483,	RELIGIOUS FREEDOM ABUSES	
20-20612,	"	"
21-20444,	"	"
20-8406	"	"

21-7531, STEALING MV HOMES AND PROPERTY
21-40850, SLANDER
21-20608, CITY OF COMPORE OPERATIONS CORRUPT ORGAN-
IZATION OUT OF COURTHOUSE
21-20377, FEDERAL INVESTIGATION

FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT:

22-20246, CORRUPT FEDERAL JUDGES

22-20225, "

22-20235, "

22-40331, "

22-20185, "

22-40304, "

22-20166, "

22-20152, "

22-20245, BAYLOR CORP. CRIMINAL (MITCHELL)

22-20214, TRIAL JUDGE (MICHAEL) CORRUPTION

22-20132, BAYLOR CORP. CRIMINAL (DREYER)

22-40371, PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS

22-20185, STATE CHILD SUPPORT MISAPPROPRIATION

22-40302, KALISH COW FIRM PARTICIPATION (RICO)

22-20230, ACTUAL INNOCENT CLINIC COVER-UPS

22-20091 BAYLOR CORP. CRIMES AND LIABILITIES

22-20182, INNOCENT NETWORK COVER-UPS

22-20174, ANNULMENT JUDGE'S CRIMES

U.S. SOUTHERN DIST. COURT:

4:22-CV-01672, CORRUPT FED. JUDGE

4:22-CV-00668, "

4:22-747, PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS

4:22-CV-01563, PRISON GRIEVANCE SCAM

4:22-CV-01674, K. JORDAN CORP. CRIMES COVER-UP

4:22-CV-1466, MORRISON, CORRUPT APPROPRIATE COUNSEL

4122-CV-00769. GOV. ABBOTT COVER UPS
4122-CV-01751, PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS
NEW CASES

ZACHARY DREYER
KIRBY CIRCUIT CLERK
PRISON MAIL
LABOR UNION
JEFF MOHL PROSECUTOR
REPUBLICAN PARTY
ETC. ETC.

<u>CASES</u>	<u>TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
Texas Medical Board complaint #13-1757		11
Three-Strikes		14
Meritorious Claims.		14
Fed. Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)		15
<u>Smith v. Indiana Dept of Corrections</u> , 883 NE 2d 802 (Ind. 2008).		15
<u>Legal Service Corp. v. Velazquez</u> , 531 U.S. 533 542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001)		15
Prison Litigation Reform Act P.L.R.A.		15-16
Reckless Influence & Corrupt Organization RICO 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1961-1968		16
<u>Cherron Corp. v. Donziger</u> 974 F.Supp 2d 362 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28253 (S.D.N.Y.) March 4, 2014.		16

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgments below.

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the United States Southern District court appears in Appendix "A"

The opinion of the United States Fifth Circuit court appears in Appendix "B"

Due to a conspiracy to cover-up for corporate criminals no reports were published

JURISDICTION

Federal courts:

- The date on which the United States Southern District decided my case was April 5, 2022 as seen in Appendix "A"
- The date on which the United States Fifth Circuit court decided my case was June 15, 2022 as seen in Appendix "B"

Due to Political, Judicial and Corporate Racketeering crimes involved no rehearings were sought.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

FIRST AMENDMENT: WHETHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY UNDER THE GUISE OF AN OPERATING INNOCENT PROJECT (CLINIC) THE RESPONDENTS FURTHERED THE GOVERNMENTS CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO HAVE ME SILENCED FROM EXPOSING THEIR REPUBLICAN PARTY POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING ENTERPRISE TAKING JUDICIAL BRIBES AND POLITICAL FUNDING FROM HOUSTON'S BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS. BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL IN EXCHANGE FOR CRIMINAL AND LIABILITIES PROTECTIONS. THIS INCLUDED KEEPING ME INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED TO KEEP ME SILENT. DUE TO THE RESPONDENT INACTIVITY IN PERFORMING THEIR ADVERTISED DUTY TO AID THE ACTUALLY INNOCENT, THEY'VE ALSO PREVENTED ME FROM REDRESSING MY GRIEVANCES.

FIFTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENTS FURTHER AIDED THE CONSPIRACY BY MAKING SURE MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED FOR AN EXTENDED AMOUNT OF TIME.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	6-19
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	20-21
CONCLUSION	22

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX "A" U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICTS
DECISION

APPENDIX "B" U.S. FIFTH CIRCUIT COURTS
DECISION

THEY FURTHERED THE DEPRIVATIONS OF MY LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY BEING STOLEN FROM ME BY CORRUPTED GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS.

SIXTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENTS LENGTHENED THE TIME IN WHICH I COULD OBTAIN MY CONST. RIGHT TO COMPULSORY PROCESS FOR OBTAINING WITNESSES TO COLLABORATE THE EVIDENCE PROVING MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND DENIED ME DEFENSE COUNSEL.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENTS AIDED THE GOVERNMENT IN IMPOSING CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS ON ME IN RETALIATION FOR EXPOSING THEM BY RESPONDENTS REFUSAL TO PERFORM THE DUTIES PURSUING WHICH THEY WERE PUBLICLY ADVERTISED, TRAPPING ME IN A SEA OF DISPAIR.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENTS KNEW I WAS INNOCENT BY THE LEGAL DOCUMENTATION I SENT THEM IRREFUTABLY PROVING SAID INNOCENCE. YET BECAUSE THEIR PART OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS THEY FEARED GOVERNMENT REPRISALS AND AIDED THEM IN THEIR COVER-UPS BY ^{MAKING} COUNTER

CORROBORATING WITH OTHER STATE INNOCENT PROJECTS TO BLACK-BALL MY CASE IN FURTHERANCE OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO COVER UP REPUBLICAN PARTY POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING OPERATIONS IN EXCHANGE FOR CORPORATE DONATIONS AND OR GOVERNMENT CONCESSIONS, WHILE DENYING ME THE DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTIONS OF THE LAWS.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE RESPONDENTS SOLE PURPOSE FOR WHICH THEY ADVERTISE IS TO ~~REAR~~ RENDER LEGAL REPRESENTATION TO THE ACTUAL INNOCENT. SEE (EXHIBIT NO. 1). IN DEDUCING THE EVIDENCE AND FACTS IT'S REASONABLE TO SAY THAT ON JUNE 8, 2017 Z SENT LEGAL DOCUMENTS TO THE RESPONDENTS. COSTING MR \$13.70 CENTS POSTAGE. BY THE NATURE AND REPLIED CORRESPONDENCE FOUND IN SAID EXHIBIT THE RESPONDENTS REFUSED TO PERFORM THE FUNCTION FOR WHICH THEY ADVERTISED. (EXHIBIT NO. 2) PROVES THAT THE RESPONDENTS RECEIVED THE EVIDENCE PROVING MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE.

I.

THE RESPONDENTS KEPT THIS EVIDENCE FOR FOUR (4) YEARS BEFORE SIMPLY RETURNING IT TO ME WITH THE "FORM LETTER", SEEN IN (EXHIBIT NO. 1), FOUR (4) YEARS IN WHICH Z HOPED AND PRAYED THEY WOULD DO AS THEY ADVERTISED THEY WOULD, AND PRESENT THE EVIDENCE OF MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE TO THE COURTS FOR ACQUITTAL.

YET THEY DID NOT. INSTEAD THEY FOUND OUT THAT ANV CASE INVOLVED EXPOSING THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S GOVERNMENT CRIMES THEY SOUGHT TO COVER UP. SO INSTEAD OF DOING WHAT THEY ADVERTISED THEY JOIN THE GOVERNMENTS COVER UPS FEEDING RE-PRISALS IN RETRIBUTION IF THEY DID.

II.

ALL TEXAS INNOCENT PROJECTS IN FORM PRISONERS THAT THEY ARE INNER-CONNECTED AND SHARE INFORMATION WITH ONE ANOTHER, AND ADVISE PRISONERS NOT TO WRITE EACH ONE INDIVIDUALLY. YET NOT ONE OF THE STATES INNOCENT PROJECTS HELPED DUE TO THE GOVERNMENTS INFLUENCE OVER THEM.

III.

HENCE THIS IS CORRUPTION AND ORGANIZED CRIMINAL ACT TO DENY DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION TO THE ACTUALLY INNOCENT IN THE STATE OF TEXAS TO SILENCE THE INNOCENT WHO BECAME WHISTLE-BLOWERS AGAINST THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

IV.

IT IS EXTREMELY UNLIKELY THAT EVERY INNOCENT PROJECT IN TEXAS (FIVE (5)) WOULD ALL DO THE SAME AND IGNORE THE EVID-

ENCE OF MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE IF THEY WERE NOT INVOLVED IN THE GOVERNMENTS UNITED EFFORTS TO COVER UP THEIR REPUBLICAN PARTY JUDICIAL, POLITICAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING ENTERPRISES PROTECTING BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL FROM THEIR CRIMES AND LIABILITIES FOR FABRICATING MY SON'S MEDICAL RECORDS TO BE USED AS PHYSICAL EVIDENCE IN MY ANNULMENT'S CHILD CUSTODY HEARINGS IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY COURT CASE NO. 12-08-09259-CR. SEE ALL EVIDENCE IN FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20717 WITH APPROX (180) PAGES OF DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE. PROVING (1) MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND CORPORATE CRIMES OF THE STATES WITNESSES. ZACHARY E. DREYER AND HER CO-CONSPIRATOR: MITAL K. BRAHM-BHATT. LIABILITIES SORE INTO THE BILLIONS OF DOLLARS DUE TO THE GOVERNMENTS INVOLVEMENTS IN RISKING TRIAL NO. 14-07-08207-CR TO HOLD ME ("INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED TO SILENCE MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH.

IV.

PRISON MEDIC STAFF MISAPPROPRIATE ALL MY

MEDIA CORRESPONDENCES, WHILE THE REPUBLICAN JUDGES AND STATES INNOCENT PROJECTS PARTICIPATE IN THIS CRIMINAL RACKETEERING COVER-UPS FOR CORPORATE BRIBES AND POLITICAL FUNDINGS.

VI.

LET THE EVIDENCE THEIR COVERING-UP SPEAK FOR ITS SELF. THIS COVER-UP IS "NATIONAL" WITH FIFTH CIRCUIT JUDGE FROM HOUSTON, TEXAS GREG ACOSTA'S INVOLVEMENT AND SUPREME COURT CLERK, SCOTT MORRIS WITHHOLDING MY CERTIFICATION (6) SIX MONTH AND IT BECAME TIME-BARRED AS WAS HIS PLAN TO AID THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S COVER-UP. HE DID NOT WANT MY FILINGS FOR A REVIEW WHICH WOULD THEN BE "OUT OF HIS CONTROL" AND BEFORE SUPREME COURT JUSTICES WHO'D BE ~~BE~~ IMPARTIAL. AGAIN SIMPLY ALLOW THE EVIDENCE TO SPEAK FOR ITS SELF. HOWEVER THIS IS THESE CONSPIRATORS WORST FEAR AS IT WOULD THEN CAUSE A FEDERAL INVESTIGATION AND ALL WOULD FACE CHARGES,

VII.

THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE IS SIMPLE AND A

DECADE LONG COVER-UP HAS LEFT BEHIND AN IRREFUTABLE PAPER TRAIL PROVING ONE OF THE LARGEST COVER-UPS IN AMERICAN HISTORY BESIDES THE ~~KENNEDY~~^{KENNEDY} KENNEDY'S DEATH. THE RESPONDENTS CONCERTED EFFORTS TO IGNORE ACTUAL INNOCENCE IS SIMPLY ONE MORE VERY CONSISTANT PART.

CONSPIRACY

CONSPIRACY "A COMBINATION OF TWO OR MORE PERSONS TO COMMIT A CRIMINAL OR UNLAWFUL ACT, OR TO COMMIT A LAWFUL ACT BY CRIMINAL OR UNLAWFUL MEANS; OR A COMBINATION OF TWO OR MORE PERSONS BY CONCERTED ACTION TO ACCOMPLISH AN UNLAWFUL PURPOSE, OR SOME PURPOSE NOT IN ITSELF UNLAWFUL BY UNLAWFUL MEANS. IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT THERE BE TWO OR MORE CONSPIRATORS; CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY IS A CRIME. THESE CONSPIRATORS FOR THE PAST TEN (10) YEARS HAVE DILIGENTLY FOCUSED THEIR AIM TO ("SILENCE MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH") EXPOSING THEIR ROCKETEERING ORGANIZATION, WHETHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY INVOLVED ALL TEXAS INNOCENT PROJECTS DECIDED TO IGNORE A "CLEAR-CUT" CASE OF "ACTUAL INNOCENCE"

CONTRARY TO THEIR SOLE PURPOSE, IN
THE FURTHERANCE OF THE LARGEST CRIMINAL
CONSPIRACY IN RECENT U.S. HISTORY.

VIII.

(EXHIBIT NO. 3) SHOWS THAT THE FIFTH
CIRCUIT WHO'S BEEN PART OF THIS CRIMINAL
COVER-UP CONSPIRACY FOR YEARS, SEE CASE
NO. 19-26717, FOR EVIDENCE. THEY CITE THAT
ZLH BEEN GIVEN "3-STRIKES," BUT HAVE
CONSISTENTLY IGNORED ALL THE EVIDENCE
PROVING THEIR OWN INVOLVEMENT IN IT.

IX.

NOT UNLIKE THE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT
WHO IN APPENDIX "A" CITE DETERS VS
TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD, 606, RICK PERRY APPOINT-
ED AT HIS OWN DISCRETION DR. IRVIN ZETHE-
LER AS DIRECTOR TO THE TEXAS MEDICAL
BOARD BECAUSE HE WANTED TO "PROTECT
SAID CORPORATIONS FUNDING HIS POLITICAL
PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN. THE EVIDENCE
PROVES THAT DR. ZETHELER DID COVER-UP
FOR THE "STATES WITNESSES" CRIMES, DR.
JOANN E. BREYER, TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD
CASE NO. 13-1757.

WHICH PROVED SAID FABRICATED THE
TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL'S "MEDICAL
RECORDS" TO BE USED AS THE PHYSICAL
EVIDENCE TO DECEIVE ANNUALMENT JUDGE
JENNIFER RUBIN AT THE CHILD CUSTODY
HEARING, NOVEMBER 15, 2012 IN MONTGOM-
ERY ~~CO~~ COUNTY CASE NO. 12-08-09259-CR.
AND THAT DR. DRYER AND HER CO-CONSP-
IRATOR DID SO AFTER THREE (3) PRIOR
FAILED ATTEMPTS TO ABDUCT MY SON, AND
FAXED SAID FABRICATED MEDICAL RECORDS
TO MY EX-WIFE'S ATTORNEY'S WHO THEN
~~WERE~~^{WAS} WERE IN PRIOR COMMUNICATIONS
TO GAIN MY WIFE CHILD CUSTODY BY
WHATEVER MEANS.

X.

CORPORATE LIABILITIES FOR DR. DRYER'S AND
HER CO-CONSPIRATOR, MITAL BOTH "BAYCOR"
EMPLOYEE'S "INSURED" BY BAYCOR WHO'S
BEEN FUNDING THIS COVER-UP FINANCIAL-
LY, ARE BOTH LIABLE FOR "CRIMINAL
CHARGES" AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN EXCESS
OF \$300 MILLION DOLLARS A TOTAL OF

3.3 BILLION DOLLARS DUE TO GOVERNMENT INVOLVEMENT TO PROTECT THEM BY HAVING AN INNOCENT INHISTUE-BLOWN ("INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED") TO SILENCE MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH EXPOSING THEM.

XI.

THE RESPONDENTS PARTICIPATION IN COVERING UP FOR MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE WAS NOT SIMPLY A COINCIDENT THAT FIVE(S) STATE LINKED INNOCENT PROJECTS ALL COULD NOT SEE MY IRREFUTABLE ACTUAL INNOCENCE. EVIDENCE PROVES THEY ALL KNEW AND THAT THEIR ACTIONS JOINED THE ACTIONS OF THEIR CO-CONSPIRATORS, JUDGES AND POLITICIANS DUE IN "UNISOME" AND INTENT AND PURPOSE DID THEIR PART WHICH WAS ~~CAUTION~~ CONTRADICTORY TO THEIR SOLE PURPOSE TO "KEEP ME SILENT" AND WITHOUT. HIDE OR VOICE TO PROTECT ME.

XII.

THE EVIDENCE PROVES THAT FIFTH CIRCUIT JUDGE: GREG AUSTIN AND U.S. SOUTHERN DIS-

TRICK JUDGE! DAVID HITNER BOTH FROM HOUSTON, TEXAS TOOK BIRDS FROM THESE HOUSTON, TEXAS BASED CORPORATIONS, WHO'VE BEEN FINANCING THEIR COVER-UPS SINCE 2012. THE "THREE-STRIKES" WAS ORDERED BY JUDGE NANCY F. ATLAS, WHO ALSO ALONG WITH OTHER U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT JUDGES IMPOSED SANCTIONS AND FINES IN EXCESS OF \$1000.00 DOLLARS AND TOOK "GOOD-TIME" IN RETRIBUTION FOR NOT EXPOSING THEIR CRIMINAL COVER-UP OPERATIONS.

XXIII.

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

THE SUPREME COURT HAS SAID THAT SANCTIONS "MAY NOT" BE IMPOSED AGAINST A PERSON WHO BRINGS LITIGATION UNLESS THE LITIGATION IS BOTH OBJECTIVELY AND SUBJECTIVELY WITHOUT BASIS.

THE RIGHT TO PETITION THE COURT IS PROTECTED UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT. ADVOCACY IN LITIGATION IS SPEECH.

APPLIED TO THE "THREE-STRIKES PROVISION", IS THE BREATHING SPACE PRINCIPLE THAT

WOULD MEAN THAT PRISONERS COULD ONLY BE SANCTIONED FOR LAWSUITS THAT WERE NOT ONLY OBJECTIVELY WITHOUT MERIT BUT WERE ALSO KNOWN BY THE PLAINTIFF TO BE MERITLESS. RULE 60(b) FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

XXV.

THE BODY OF LAW REQUIRES THAT RESTRICTIONS OF EXPRESSION BE NARROWLY TAILORED, NOT DONE ONLY WITH.

See: Smith v. Indiana Dept. of Corrections, 883 N.E. 2d 802 (Ind. 2008) (Three-strikes unconstitutional).

Leary Service Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533 542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001) (holding that representation is speech).

XXVI.

PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT (P.L.R.A.)
SECTION 19.6.1

The Ninth Circuit held that restrictions of the (P.L.R.A.) do not apply to First Amendment claims, regardless of the form of relief sought as deprivations of the First

15.

of the First Amendment entitled a prisoner to relief wholly aside from any physical, mental or emotional injury incurred.

XXVII.

ROCKETEERING INFLUENCE AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT. 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1961-1968.

RESPONDENTS ACTIONS NOT TO TAKE ANY ACTIONS ON BEHALF OF AN INNOCENT PETITIONER FELL BELOW ANY REASONABLE DUTY OTHER THAN THAT OF THE FURTHERANCE OF THIS TRICK CONSPIRACY BY THE REPUBLICAN GOVERNMENT TO COVER UP THEIR POLITICAL CRIMES.

See: Chewon Corp v. Donziger, 974 F. Supp 2d 362, 2014 U.S. District LEXIS 28253 (S.D.N.Y.) Mar. 4, 2014. (obtained by fraud, coercion or bribery. ^{not} Obtained has standing under the U.S. Const. Article III redressability, for habeas corpus.

XXVIII.

RICO ANTI-SLAPP (Strategic lawsuit against public participation) laws can be applied in an attempt to curb alleged abuses of the legal system by individuals or corporations

who use the courts as a weapon to retaliate against whistle-blowers, victims or to silence another's free speech. RICO could be alleged if it can be shown that lawyers and/or their clients conspired and collaborated to concoct fictitious legal complaints solely in retribution and retaliation for them selves having been brought before the courts.

XXIV.

THE SINGULAR DIFFERENCE BEING THAT PHYSICALLY PROTESTING TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AND POSTING YOUTUBE VIDEOS ONLINE TO EXPOSE:

1. GOV. RICK PERRY
2. TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL
3. BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE
4. TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD
5. DR. ZOHAN E. DREYER
6. JUDGE TRACY DEAN GILBERT

THE EVIDENCE IRREFUTABLY PROVES THE FOLLOWING:

- A. THE CONSPIRATORS RISKED THE TRIAL PENALTY

THE ALL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO PRESENT THE EVIDENCE OF THEIR CRIMES AND REFUSED THE ALL DEFENSE WITNESSES CORROBORATING THE EVIDENCE.

(B). THE COVERED-UP THE STATES WITNESSES CRIMES TO PROTECT THE CORPORATIONS FROM THEIR LIABILITIES FOR POLITICAL FUNDING IN GUN RICK PERRY'S 2012 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN AND BRIBED ALL THE JUDGES AND RESPONDENTS TO IGNORE MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE.

(C) IGNORED THE EVIDENCE PROVING MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE.

RESPONDENTS PARTICIPATION RESULTED IN ADDITIONAL PAIN AND SUFFERING, PROLONGED INCARCERATION, AND IRREPAIRABLE DAMAGES TO THE HUSBAND/WIFE RELATIONSHIP AND THE FATHER/SON RELATIONSHIP.

RELIEF

RELIEF IS SOUGHT IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES OF \$30 MILLION DOLLARS AND A FEDERAL INVESTIGATION IS REQUESTED WHEREBY ALL PARTIES CAN BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE. PETITIONER ASK THAT THIS CASE BE REVERSED AND REMOVED BACK TO THE FIFTH CIRCUIT OR TRIAL COURT FOR A TRIAL. R.

DISCOVERY IN THIS CASE NEEDS TO BE ORDERED
SINCE THE CONSPIRATORS ALL MADE SURE IT
WAS HIDDEN.

PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY PRAYS FOR RELIEF.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Michael J. Peters

JUNE 28, 2022

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. THE LOWER COURTS GIVE NO REASON FOR DISMISSING THIS CIVIL ACTION. PRECLUSION TO SILENCE ONE'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL. CITING PETERS VS. TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD, 14-22-0059 MEANS NOTHING. THIS CASE AND ITS EVIDENCE PROVE THE GOVERNMENT'S CRIMINAL COVER-UPS FOR CORPORATE CRIMES AND LIABILITIES AND COLLUSION.
2. TWO (2) FEDERAL JUDGES HAVE MADE TWO (2) DIFFERENT DECISIONS ON THE SAME POINT OF LAW REGARDING THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION PERTAINING TO FIRST AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS.
3. THE RESPONDENTS HAVE JOINED A DECADRE OLD POLITICAL COVER-UP TO WRONGFULLY IMPRISON INNOCENT AMERICAN CITIZENS EXPOSING REPUBLICAN PARTY POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATION RACKETEERING OPERATIONS.
4. I AM ACTUALLY INNOCENT AND THE RESPONDENT IS FASLEY ADVERTISING AND

UNLAWFULLY OBTAINING DONATIONS AND GOVERNMENT FUNDINGS WHILE IGNORING AN INNOCENT PRISONERS ACTUAL INNOCENCE TO AID THE GOVERNMENTS POLITICAL COVER-UPS. THE RESPONDENTS WERE DULY PRESENTED WITH EVIDENCE PROVING ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND DID NOTHING.

5. THE RESPONDENTS ARE POLITICALLY AFFILIATED AND BIAS.
6. THE RESPONDENTS HAVE CAUSED HARM AND DAMAGES TO MY FAMILY AND MYSELF.

CONCLUSION

IN CONCLUSION THE RESPONDENTS HAVE BY FALSE PRETENSE AND ADVERTISING CAUSED CONSIDERABLE MORN AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES TO MY FAMILY AND SELF. THEIR FALSE ADVERTISING HAS CAUSED A "VOID" IN THE MARKET WHEREIN LEGITIMATE INNOCENT PROJECTS COULD HAVE OPERATED AND PROTECTED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND SAVED ME FROM YEARS OF "INTENTIONAL" WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENT BY CORRUPT ORGANIZED REPUBLICAN PARTY CRIMINALS THEY AIDED TO COVER UP POLITICAL CRIMES, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, CHILD ABDUCTION, CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS DEPRIVATIONS, THEFTS, CONSPIRACY, POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING ETC. HOWEVER THE RESPONDENTS CHOSE TO JOIN THIS CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE OF ORGANIZED CORRUPT INDIVIDUALS IN THE FURTHERANCE OF THEIR CONSPIRACY.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted
Mark L. Peters 6/28/2022
22.