


NO. 22-20162

~~IN THE~~
22-5299

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



MICHAEL GEOFFREY PETERS - PETITIONER

VS.

ANDREW S. HANEN - RESPONDENT

ON PETITION^{MSR} FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL GEOFFREY PETERS

STRING FELLOW UNIT

1200 FM 655

ROSHARON, TEXAS 77583

(281) 595-3413

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. IS IT LEGAL AND/OR CONSTITUTIONAL TO USE THE "THREE-STRIKE" PROVISION AS A WEAPON TO SILENCE ONE'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH TO PREVENT HIM EXPOSING: ("POLITICAL", ~~JUDICIAL~~ POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING CRIMES)?
2. IS IT ETHICAL FOR A U.S. FEDERAL JUDGE TO ABUSE HIS DISCRETION TO COVER UP FOR HIS COURTHOUSE CRONIES CIVIL ACTIONS?
3. IS IT LEGAL FOR A FEDERAL JUDGE TO ENTER INTO A CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY WITH THE REPUBLICAN PARTY TO COVER UP POLITICAL COLLUSION CRIMES AND CORPORATE CRIMES?

4. IS IT THE DUTY OF THE FEDERAL COURTS TO IGNORE EVIDENCE AND WITNESS TESTIMONY PROOVING POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RICO CRIMES?
5. IS IT THE DUTY OF THE FEDERAL COURTS TO "IMPOSE AND CITE" UNCONSTITUTIONAL "STRIKES" TO COVER-UP JUDICIAL CRIMES BY DISALLOWING IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED?
6. IS IT THE DUTY OF THE RESPONDENT TO IGNORE THE FACTS, EVIDENCE AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF "CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY" TO ALLOW HIS FRIENDS TO GO WITHOUT PUNISHMENT FOR THEIR INVOLVEMENT?
7. IS IT THE DUTY OF THE FEDERAL COURTS AND THE RESPONDENT TO

TAKE AT 'FACE VALUE' THE ALLEGATIONS
PETITIONER FILED FRIVOLOUS OR MERIT-
LESS CIVIL ACTIONS, AND CITE THOSE
CASE TO SUPPORT THE DISMISSAL OF
THIS CASE WITHOUT EVER KNOWING
THOSE ALLEGATIONS WERE SUPPORTED
BY ANY FACTS?

8. IS IT THE DUTY OF THE FEDERAL
COURTS TO CITE 'PARTS' OF THE P.L.R.A.
TO IMPOSE THEIR OWN AGENDA TO
DISMISS 'ALL' CIVIL ACTIONS FILED BY
PETITIONER, BUT IGNORE THEIR REAL
PURPOSE IS TO 'SILENCE' MY FREEDOM
OF SPEECH EXPOSING 'THEIR' COLLUSION
WITH HOUSTON'S BILLION DOLLAR CORP-
ORATIONS TO COVER UP THEIR CRIMES
AND LIABILITIES FOR BRIBES AND REP-
UBLICAN PARTY FUNDINGS?

LIST OF PARTIES

A LIST OF PARTIES CAN BE FOUND IN THE CASES BELOW. THIS CASE AND ALL OTHER MENTIONED CASES HEREIN ARE "DIRECTLY" RELATED TO THE GOVERNMENTS COVER-UPS FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING, COERCION, CHILD ABDUCTION, THEFT, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, EVIDENCE FABRICATION ETC. CRIMES BEGINNING WITH MONTGOMERY COUNTY CASE NO. 12-08-09 259-CR AND THROUGH-OUT NO. 14-07-08 267-CR AND CONTINUING IN ALL MENTIONED CIVIL ACTIONS THE RESPONDENT TOOK PART IN COVERING-UP.

RELATED CASES

SUPREME COURT:

21-7966 - FEDERAL JUDGES COVER-UPS
22-20059 "
22-20180 "
22-20082 "

22-20135- "

"

21-7747- "

"

21-20601 "

"

~~21-77~~

21-7795- CORRUPT APPOINTED DEFENSE COUNSEL

21-20371- FED. JUDGE DEFACING APPEAL

21-40819- SELECTIVE PROSECUTION

21-5439- SUPREME COURT CLERK COVER-UP

21-7810- CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT

22-20099- GOV. COACHING WITNESSES

21-7740 - TEXAS CHILDREN'S CORP. CRIMES

22-20096- COURT REPORTERS DELETING TRANSCRIPTS

~~22~~ 21-7797- TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD GOV. COVER-UP

22-20094- SCOTT HARRIS

22-20133- INNOCENT PROJECT COVER-UP

21-20440- PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS

22-40140- "

"

21-40473- "

"

21-40560- "

"

21-20546- "

"

21-5507- "

"

20-40547- STEELING CARBOOKS

21-20669- GOU. GREG ABBOTT COVER-UPS

21-20374

~~21-20346- Z.N.S. REFUSE MR STIMULUS CHECK~~

21-40483- STATE PRISON REFUSE MR RELIGIOUS

20-206/2. 1. SERVICES 7

21-26444- 5 5

26-8406- 500. STREAS CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS

21-7571- GOV. STEEL() MY HUNTER AND PROPERTY

21-40850 GOV. SCANDLER

21-20608 - CITY OF CHICAGO USES COURTS TO OBTAIN
BRIBES

21-26373- FRO. 400. REFUSE TO INVESTIGATE

FIFTH CIRCUIT:

22-20246- RAN. JUDGE CORRUPTION & COVER UPS

22-20225-

22-202 35-

22-4033/- u

22-40297- 4

22-40304-5

22-20245 - CORP. CRIMINAL; MITA K. BRAHMISHOTI

22-20214- TRAC JUDGE CONSPIRACY.

22-20132- CORP. CRIMINAL; DR. ZUANN E. DREYER

22-20244. PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS

22-20185- CHILD SUPPORT HARASSMENTS

RICO ANTI-SLAPP LAWS

11, 12, 13, 20

THREE-STRIKES PROVISION

15, 16, 19

PRISON LITIGATION REFORM ACT (P.L.R.A.)

18,

Smith v. Indiana Dep. of Corrections, 883

N.E. 2d 802 (2nd 2008)

19

Legal Service Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533

542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001)

19

Churcho Corp. v. Donziger, 974 F. Supp. 2d 362

2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28253 (S.D.N.Y.) Mar. 4, 2014 - 20

18 U.S.C.S. Section 1961 (5)

20, 22, 23

Const. Art. III

20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-6
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	7-24
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	25
CONCLUSION	26

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX <u>"A"</u>	U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT'S DECISION
APPENDIX <u>"B"</u>	U.S. FIFTH CIRCUIT'S DECISION

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays a writ of certiorari
issue to review the judgments below.

OPINIONS BELOW

- The opinion of the U.S. Southern District
Court appears in Appendix "A"
- The opinion of the U.S. Fifth Circuit Court
appears in Appendix "B"

Due to judicial corruption no reports were
published

Federal Courts

JURISDICTION

Federal courts

Appendix "A"

- The date on which the U.S. Southern District court decided my case was; March 11, 2022
- The date on which the U.S. Fifth Circuit court decided my case was; May 17, 2022

Appendix "B"

Due to judicial corruption no rehearings were sought.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

THE RESPONDENT IS A PART OF A "DECADE LONG" COVER-UP CONSPIRACY TO PROTECT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY AND ITS MEMBERS FROM THEIR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING CRIMES AND THOSE WHO FINANCE THEM, HENCE HE IS PART OF THE "INVOLVED" AND ALL THEIR COMBINED CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES.

FIRST AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT IS CONDUCTING AND PARTICIPATING IN A PATTERN OF RACKETEERING AIMED AT SILENCING ME AND MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH TO REDRESS MY GRIEVANCES PRESENTING THE FACTS, EVIDENCE AND WITNESSES PROVING THE GOVERNMENT'S POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING OPERATIONS. SEE FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20171 FOR EVIDENCE, EXHIBITS AND FACTS.

FIFTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT LIKE ALL OTHER REPUBLICAN PARTY SOUTHERN

DISTRICT COURT CONSPIRATORS SOLE PURPOSE IS TO STOP ME FROM OBTAINING JUSTICE. STOP MY PRESENTING THE EVIDENCE THEY'VE BEEN COLLECTIVELY COVERING-UP FOR THE POST DECADE. HE ACHIEVES THIS BY DISMISSING CASES 22-20152, OR 4:22-CV-00312, 4:22-CV-01674, 4:22-CV-00972, 4:22-CV-00766 AND WITHHOLDING CASE NO. 4:22-CV-00668, THUS DENYING ME "DUE PROCESS" OF THE LAW. AS WELL HE AND HIS GROUP OF REPUBLICAN PARTY LOYALIST HAVE STOLEN NAMES AND PROPERTY IN THEIR PLOT TO RETALIATE AGAINST ME IN RETRIBUTION FOR EXPOSING THEIR POLITICAL PARTIES CRIMES. SEE FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20717.

SIXTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT AND HIS CONSPIRACY MEMBERS DENIED ME COMPULSORY PROCESS FOR OBTAINING "WITNESSES" BECAUSE THOSE WITNESSES "CORROBORATED" THE EVIDENCE PROVING IRREFUTABLY THE STATES WITNESSES CRIMES THEY ARE ALL INVOLVED IN COVERING-

UP FOR TO PROTECT THE BILLY DUCK CORPORATION OF BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL INHO: (A) RAISED THEM AND (B) FUND THE REPUBLICAN PARTY. SEE CASES: 19-20717, 12-08-09259-CR AND 14-07-08207-CR. THESE CONSPIRATORS MADE SURE Z WAS ONLY APPOINTED INEFFECTIVE ASST. OF COUNSEL WORKING TO HAVE ME "INTENTIONALLY WASTEFULLY IMPRISONED"

EIGHTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT AND HIS GROUP OF ORGANIZED ROBBERS MADE SURE Z WAS NOT GRANTED BAIL TO PREVENT MY OBTAINING MY OWN DEFENSE COUNSEL.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT AND HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS DENIED ME THE "EQUAL PROTECTION" OF THE LAWS, DENIED ME DUE PROCESS AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY STOLE FROM ME MY LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY IN RETRIBUTION FOR MY EXPOSING THE CORPORATIONS CRIMES ABDUCTING MY SON BY FABRICATING PHYSICAL EVIDENCE TO DECEIVE

THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE IN MY ANNOUNCED
CHILD CUSTODY HEARINGS IN 2012: CASE NO.
12-08-09259-CR, THE SILENCED ME IN CASE
NO. 14-07-08207-CR WHEN I REFUSED TO
BE SILENT, IN VIOLATION OF MY CONSTITUTION-
AL RIGHTS.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE OVER-LAYING FACTS OF THIS CASE IS THE FACT THAT THE RESPONDENT REFUSED TO CONSIDER THE EVIDENCE, EVEN THOUGH DIRECTED TO DO SO. HE WAS NOT INTERESTED IN KNOWING ABOUT A REPUBLICAN PARTY CONSPIRACY AT THE VERY HEART OF IT. HE DISMISSED CASE NO. 4:22-CV-00668; PETERS VS. DAVID MITTNER, WHO IS A SENIOR JUDGE IN THE SAME COURTHOUSE. ALL THE JUDGE'S COVER-UP FOR EACH OTHER. IT'S A SERIOUS ETHICS PROBLEM WITH UNCHECKED, UNGOVERNED ALLOWANCES AND ~~THE~~^{MS} ~~THE~~ THUS NO REASON TO BE ETHICAL OR BECOME INTERESTED IN THE FACTS AND EVIDENCE.

I.

IT'S JUDICIALLY LAUGHABLE! SEEN IN (EXHIBIT NO. 1) THE HEADING OR CAPTION STATES;

[NOTICE AND WARNING. THIS CASE INVOLVES POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES OR FALSE IMPRISONMENT, COLLUSION, CRIMINAL

CONSPIRACY AND COVER-UPS]. IT REFERENCES
FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20717 FOR FACTS AND
EVIDENCE. ~~HAD~~^{MAJ} JUDGE DAVID HITTNER DISMISSED
THIS CASE UNDER CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645. HAD
THE RESPONDENT BOTHERED TO VIEW THE
EVIDENCE AND FACTS WE WOULD HAVE KNOWN:

- (A) I WAS ACTUALLY INNOCENCE AS THE EVID-
~~ENCE~~^{MAJ} PROVE PROVES.
- (B) THAT I HAD NO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO
- (1) CALL DEFENSE WITNESSES
 - (2) PRESENT MY REAL DEFENSE
 - (3) OBTAIN DEFENSE DISCOVERY
 - (4) FILE DEFENSE MOTIONS
 - (5) DENIED A FAIR TRIBUNAL
 - (6) HAD INEFFECTIVE ASST. OF COUNSEL
 - (7) DENIED JURY SELECTIONS
 - (8) COURT REPORTER DELETED OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPTS
- (C) THEIR COVER-UP FOR THE STATES WITNESSES
CORPORATE CRIMES EVERYONE IS COVERING-UP
FOR TO PROTECT THE CORPORATIONS FUNDING
THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

II.

Z MIGHT ADD THIS IS WHAT THE RESPONDENT IS PART OF COVERING-UP. HE JOINS ALL THE JUDGES FROM THE TRIAL COURT TO THE SUPREME COURT CLERK; SCOTT MORRIS WHO WITHHELD THE STATUS UNTIL IT WAS "TIME BARRIED" TO ADD THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S COVER-UP FOR MOVING ME (INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED) TO PROTECT GOV. RICK PERRY'S PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN IN 2012 WHEN I WAS EXPOSING HIM FOR COLLUSION (INSTEAD COVERING-UP FOR THESE SAME CORPORATIONS.

(1) BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND (2) TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL WHO'S EMPLOYEES FABRICATED MY SON'S MEDICAL RECORDS DURING ~~THE~~ THE CHILD CUSTODY HEARINGS OF MY ANNUALMENT, TO DECEIVE THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE. MONTGOMERY COUNTY CASE NO. 12-08-09259-CR.

III

WHEN Z WOULD NOT "SHUT-UP" ABOUT IT

AND STARTED PROTESTING TEXAS CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL. SEE (EXHIBIT NO. 1) FIFTH CIRCUIT
CASE NO. 19-20717, OR SUPREME COURT CASE NO.
21-5439, AND MAKING YOUTUBE VIDEOS CALLING
GOV. RICK PERRY A "CHILD MOLESTER" FOR HIRING
HIS "DISCRETIONALLY HIRED" DIRECTOR TO
THE TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD COVER-UP THE
EVIDENCE OF BAYLOR DIRECTOR; MY SON'S
DOCTOR, ZODHY E. DRIEYER (STATES WITNESSES)
FORGERYING MY SON'S MEDICAL RECORDS. SEE
TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD CASE NO. 13-1757, OR
FIFTH CIR. CASE NO. 19-20717 FOR PROOF OF
THIS COVER-UP.

IV.

THESE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS HEAD-
QUARTER IN HOUSTON, TEXAS INFLUENCE THE
U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT RECEIVES ITS
"BRIBES" FROM THEM, AS DID JUDGE DAVID
HITNER IN CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645.

V

NOW WHEN I COMPLAIN ABOUT DAVID

CONTINUED ROLL IN COVERING-UP AND "ACTUAL INNOCENCE" AS WELL AS "DENYING DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION AGAINST OTHER 'REPUBLICAN PARTY' CONSPIRATORS" SEE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT CASES NO. 4:22-CV-00253, 4:22-CV-00080 AND 4:18-CV-645.

VII.

IT'S BEEN ONE CONTINUOUS DECADE LONG CONSPIRACY TO COVER-UP FOR THE "REPUBLICAN PARTY'S POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE ORGANIZED INTER-CIRCLE RACKETEERING ENTERPRISE FOR POLITICAL FUNDINGS AND THE TAKING OF BRIBES."

VII.

THIS IS WHY THE RESPONDENT IGNORES ("EXHIBIT NO. 1"). THE EVIDENCE "IRREFUTABLY PROVES" THAT STATE AND FEDERAL "REPUBLICAN PARTY JUDGES ARE INVOLVED IN ORGANIZED CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, AGAINST THE RICO - ANTI-SLAPP LAWS."

VIOLATIONS OF THE RICO LAWS CAN BE ALLEGED IN "CIVIL SUITS" OR FOR CRIMINAL CHARGES. IN THESE INSTANCES CHARGES CAN BE BROUGHT AGAINST INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS IN RETALIATION FOR SAID INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS WORKING WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT. FURTHER, CHARGES CAN ALSO BE BROUGHT AGAINST INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS WHO HAVE SUED OR FILED CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST A DEFENDANT.

VIII.

ANTI-SLAPP (STRATEGIC LAWSUIT AGAINST PUBLIC PARTICIPATION) LAWS CAN BE APPLIED IN AN ATTEMPT TO CURB ALLEGED ABUSES OF THE LEGAL SYSTEM BY INDIVIDUALS OR CORPORATIONS WHO USE THE COURTS AS A WEAPON TO RETALIATE AGAINST (WHISTLE-BLOWERS), VICTIMS OR TO "SILENCE ANOTHER'S SPEECH". RICO COULD BE ALLEGED IF IT CAN BE SHOWN THAT LAWYERS AND/OR THEIR CLIENTS CONSPIRED AND COLLABORATED TO CONDUCT FICTITIOUS LEGAL COMPLAINTS SOLELY IN RETRIBUTION AND

AFTER THREE (3) FAILED PRIOR ATTEMPTS TO DO THE SAME IS CONCLUSIVE OF CONSPIRACY.

X.

THE EVIDENCE PROVES CORPORATE EMPLOYEES; JOHNN E. DREYER AND MITAL K. BRAHMBHATT ENTERED INTO A CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO UNLAWFULLY GAIN MV WIFE CHILD CUSTODY AND WHEN I PRESENTED THE EVIDENCE OF THEIR CRIMES CORPORATE LAWYERS CONSPIRED WITH PERRY AND ABBOTT TO FUND GOV. RICK PERRY'S PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN IN 2012 AND BRIBED BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES TO COVER-UP THEIR LIABILITIES ASSOCIATED WITH SAID EMPLOYEE'S CRIMES.

XI.

EVIDENCE PROVES THAT SAID STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES THEN IGNORED THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS DEPRIVATIONS DURING SAID TRIALS AND THE EVIDENCE OF THE

JOANN E. DREYER WHO TESTIFIED IN BOTH SAID TRIALS LYING THAT SHE'D NEVER CONSPIRED OR FORGERIED SAID EVIDENCE ETC.

XII.

EVIDENCE PROVES SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT JUDGE: NANCY F. ATLAS THEN IMPOSED BOTH (1) THE THREE-STRIKE PROVISION AND (2) SANCTIONS TO PUNISH ME FOR EXPOSING SAID POLITICAL AND CORPORATE COLLUSION CRIMES. PUNISHED ME FOR PROTECTING MY ONLY SON FROM MEDICAL RECORDS FRAUD AND CHILD ABDUCTION.

XIII.

THE GOVERNMENT AND ITS JUDGES CONSPIRED TO PROTECT THESE CORPORATIONS FOR BRIDES. THEY RETALIATED AGAINST ME, CONSPIRING TO SILENCE ME BY FALSE IMPRISONMENT IMPOSED IN A TOTALLY ONE SIDED TRIAL BY PERRY SUPPORTERS.

IXV.

JUDGE ATLAS IMPOSED THE THREE-STRIKES TO GIVE JUDGE LIKE THE RESPONDENT AN "EXCUSE" TO DENY AT WILL ALL CIVIL ACTIONS AND THEY HAVE WITH OVER(100) DISMISSED. ATLAS CITES CASE LIKE:

PETERS VS. TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD, ZODNY E. DREYER, TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AS HER REASON TO ORDER THE THREE-STRIKES

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

THE SUPREME COURT STATED THAT SANCTIONS MAY NOT BE IMPOSED AGAINST A PERSON WHO BRINGS LITIGATION UNLESS THE LITIGATION IS BOTH OBJECTIVELY AND SUBJECTIVELY WITHOUT BASIS.

WHEN EVIDENCE PROVES ALL CIVIL ACTIONS WERE DIRECTLY RELATED TO EXPOSING THIS NATIONAL REPUBLICAN PARTY CONSPIRACY TO PROTECT THESE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS IN EXCHANGE FOR POLITICAL FUNDING AND JUDICIAL BRIBES.

AND THAT ALL BROKE THE RICO LAWS WHEN
THEY DECIDED TO UNIFY IN THEIR COVER-UPS
TO GAIN CORPORATE MONEY.

XV.

EVIDENCE IN CASE NO. 19-20717 PROVES THAT
SAID CORPORATIONS SENT THEIR ATTORNEYS
TO ALL APPOINTMENT HEARINGS IN CASE NO.
12-08-09259-CR FOR OVER ONE (1) YEAR
AND SPENT IN EXCESS OF "ONE MILLION"
DOLLARS PAYING TO HAVE A PRIVATE INVEST-
IGATOR STALK ME AT MY HOME, PAYING
FOR MY WIFE'S BRIDAL SURGERY, SENDING THEIR
SERGEANT TO APPEAR AS A WITNESS TO CITE
TO MY EX-WIFE'S FULL RECOVERY, SENDING
THEIR COURIER TO MY HOME TO DELIVER
TO ME A NO TRESPASS NOTICE WHEN
THEY BECAME AWARE I WAS GOING TO
PROTEST THEM ETC. ALL COSTING MONEY.

XVI.

THE RIGHT TO PETITION THE COURT IS
PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT.
ADVOCACY IN LITIGATION IS SPEECH.

XVII.

PRISON CITIZENSHIP REFORM ACT (P.C.R.A.)

SECTION 14.6.1 THE NINTH CIRCUIT HELD THAT RESTRICTIONS OF THE P.C.R.A. DO NOT APPLY TO FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS. THAT THE "THREE-STRIKE PROVISION" DOES NOT APPLY TO VIOLATIONS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, REGARDLESS OF THE RELIEF SOUGHT, AS DEPRIVATIONS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT ENTITLES A "PRISONER" TO RELIEF WHOLLY ASIDE FROM ANY PHYSICAL, MENTAL OR EMOTIONAL INJURY INCURRED.

SEEN IN APPENDIX "A" THE RESPONDENT DISREGARDS ANY FIRST AMENDMENT RELATIONSHIP INWHATEVER HE CAN IMPOSE HIS OWN AGENDA LIKE HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS HAVE ALL DONE HE IGNORES (EXHIBIT NO. 1) AND ALL THE RELATED EVIDENCE ASSOCIATED TO SAID RACKETEERING CRIMES; TO FURTHER THIS COVER-UP.

THERE WAS NO "HONEST MISTAKE" MADE BY THIS RESPONDENT. HE KNEW WHAT HE WAS DOING AS ALL THE REST OVER THE PAST TEN (10) YEARS WHO JOINED THIS UNIFIED COVER-UP CONSPIRACY.

XVIII.

Smith v. Indiana Dept. of Corrections, 883 N.E. 2d 802 (Ind. 2008) (Three-strikes UNCONSL. OPEN COURTS CLAUSE).

Legal Service Corp. vs. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001) (holding representation is speech. ADVOCACY IN LITIGATION IS SPEECH. THE BODY OF LAW REQUIRES THAT RESTRICTIONS ON EXPRESSION BE NARROWLY TAILORED TO THE PROBLEM THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO SOLVE). THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY COVER-UPS.

IX.

APPLIED TO THE "THREE-STRIKES" PROVISION IS THE BREATHING SPACE PRINCIPLE THAT STATES "PRISONERS" COULD ~~NOT~~^{NOT} ONLY BE SANCTIONED FOR LAWSUITS THAT WERE NOT ONLY OBJECTIVELY WITHOUT MERIT, BUT WERE ALSO KNOWN BY THE PLAINTIFF TO BE MERITLESS.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 60(b).

SINCE THE EVIDENCE PROVES THE CRIMES AND THESE JUDGES COVER-UPS FOR THESE CRIMES, IT'S NEVER BEEN KNOWN BY THE

IN THIS CASE FOR THE PAST TEN (10) YEARS
THE GOVERNMENT AND ITS COURTS HAVE CON-
TINUED TO COVER-UP FOR:

- (A). THE CORPORATIONS LIABILITIES
- (B). THE STATES WITNESSED CRIMES
- (C) MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE
- (D) MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS DEPRIVATIONS
- (E) POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKET-
EERING CRIMES.

THE FEDERAL JUDGES GIVING THEMSELVES IM-
MUNITIES THEY DON'T DESERVE OR ARE EN-
TITLED TO IS SIMPLY PART OF THEIR OWN
BRAND OF JUSTICE WHICH ACTS ABOVE ALL
AMERICAN LAWS AND CONSTITUTIONS TO UN-
ETHICALLY PROTECT EACH OTHERS CRIMINAL
ACTS. ITS THE SAME AS DONALD TRUMPS
REPUBLICAN PARTY INTER. CIRC. CIRCLE SEEK-
ING PRESIDENTIAL PARDONS TO OVER THROW
LEGITIMATE ELECTIONS.

IX.

THESE APPEAR TO BE REPUBLICAN PARTY TRICKS.
IN THIS CASE MANY CASES ARE FOR

is authorized to grant equitable relief to a private plaintiff who has proven injury to himself.

INJURY IS EASY TO PROVE THROUGH-OUT ALL CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES MENTIONED, YET DUE TO THE LOWER COURTS APPOINTING ONLY ITS REPUBLICAN PARTY MEMBERS TO ALL SAID CASES OR THOSE TAKING BRIEFS THIS JUDICIAL AND POLITICAL DOCKETERING COVER-UP CONTINUES.

RELIEF

RELIEF IN THIS CASE SHOULD BE GRANTED AS FOLLOWS

- (A.) A FEDERAL INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE ORDERED TO ASCERTAIN THE FACTS AND EVIDENCE AND COLLECT DISCOVERY DENIED.
- (B.) IF PROVEN GUILTY THOSE IMPLICATED MUST BE PROSECUTED, INCLUDING PROSECUTOR: KIM UGG. AND ALL FEDERAL, STATE JUDGES AND GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AND POLITICIANS.
- (C.) DAMAGES IN THE SUM OF \$300 MILLION DOLLARS IT MYSELF FOR FAMILY DAMAGES.

OR THE GOVERNMENT, CORPORATIONS AND STATE
CAN PAY \$300 MILLION DOLLARS EACH.

- (D) ALL PARTICIPANTS SHOULD PAY (7) SEVEN
TIMES THEIR ANNUAL INCOME TIMES (7)
SEVEN OVERSEEN YEARS.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Markus L. F. F. F.

JUNE 28, 2022

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


1. CONSPIRACY AND RACKETEERING ARE CRIMES. FEDERAL JUDGES DO NOT HAVE IMMUNITY FROM FEDERAL RICO CRIMES OR ANY OTHER.
2. TWO FEDERAL JUDGES HAVE COME TO DIFFERENT CONCLUSIONS ON THE SAME POINT OF LAW: WHETHER OR NOT THE "THREE-STRIKES PROVISION" APPLIES TO FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS?
3. THE RESPONDENT HAS GRANTED PETITIONER FORMA PAUPERIS ON FEBRUARY 18, 2022 IN CASE NO. H-22-00312 AND SINCE THAT TIME MY STATUS HAS NOT CHANGED.
4. THE RESPONDENT IS A CORRUPT FEDERAL JUDGE AS THE EVIDENCE CLEARLY PROVES AND MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE BENCH AND BAR BEFORE HE HARMS OTHER INNOCENT AMERICAN CITIZENS.

CONCLUSION

IN CONCLUSION THE PETITIONER IS INNOCENT AS THE EVIDENCE PROVES IN CASE NO. P-20717 AND NO CIVIL ACTIONS WERE FRIVOLOUS OR MERITLESS. MENIE ALL SHOULD ACCORDING TO THE LAW AND DUE PROCESS OF IT BE ADJUDICATED ON THEIR MERITS. ORGANIZED PROTEST-ING OPERATIONS WITHIN THE AMERICAN COURTS BY REPUBLICAN PARTY STONER COYD-LIST IS ILLEGAL AND PUNISHABLE BY IMPRISONMENT. CONSPIRACY TO SILENCE AN AMERICAN'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH THROUGH A CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY DENYING HIM HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO PRESENT THE EVIDENCE, WITNESSES PROVING IT IS ILLEGAL CONSPIRACY AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL.

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted

Respectfully submitted



JUNE 28, 2022 26.