

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

RAJ K. PATEL,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

UNITED STATES,
Defendant-Appellee

2022-1131

Appeal from the United States Court of Federal Claims
in No. 1:21-cv-02004-LAS, Senior Judge Loren A. Smith.

ON MOTION

PER CURIAM.

O R D E R

The United States moves to summarily affirm the United States Court of Federal Claims' judgment dismissing Raj K. Patel's complaint. Mr. Patel opposes.

Mr. Patel brought this suit seeking \$3,760,000,000 for breach of a contract with the President of the United States "about living under the stress weapon." Appx47. Mr. Patel further alleged that the government failed to protect his

right of the free exercise of religion, violated his right to privacy, violated the equal protection clause, violated the Takings Clause by taking his “word patterns,” and was part of a criminal conspiracy against him. Appx57. The Court of Federal Claims dismissed, and he now appeals.

We agree with the government that the merits of the parties’ positions as stated in the opening brief and motions papers are so clear as to warrant summary affirmance. See *Joshua v. United States*, 17 F.3d 378, 380 (Fed. Cir. 1994). The Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, limits the Court of Federal Claims’ jurisdiction to claims for money damages against the United States based on sources of substantive law that “can fairly be interpreted as mandating compensation by the Federal Government.” *United States v. Navajo Nation*, 556 U.S. 287, 290 (2009) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). The Court of Federal Claims correctly concluded that Mr. Patel’s allegations were baseless and that it lacked jurisdiction over any of his claims.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The motion is granted. The Court of Federal Claims’ judgment is summarily affirmed.
- (2) All other pending motions are denied as moot.
- (3) Each side shall bear its own costs.

FOR THE COURT

February 11, 2022
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner
Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court

ADDENDUM A

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

RAJ K. PATEL,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

UNITED STATES,
Defendant-Appellee

2022-1131

Appeal from the United States Court of Federal Claims
in No. 1:21-cv-02004-LAS, Senior Judge Loren A. Smith.

ON PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING AND REHEARING EN BANC

Before MOORE, *Chief Judge*, NEWMAN, LOURIE, DYK,
PROST, REYNA, TARANTO, CHEN, HUGHES, STOLL,
CUNNINGHAM, and STARK, *Circuit Judges*.*

PER CURIAM.

ORDER

* Circuit Judge O'Malley retired on March 11, 2022.

Raj K. Patel filed a combined petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc. The petition was referred to the panel that issued the order, and thereafter the petition for rehearing en banc was referred to the circuit judges who are in regular active service.

Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The petition for panel rehearing is denied.

The petition for rehearing en banc is denied.

The mandate of the court will issue May 26, 2022.

FOR THE COURT

May 19, 2022
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner
Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court