

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 20-11018

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

OYEYEMI OLATUNJI OWAGBORIAYE,
a.k.a. Prince,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 1:18-cr-20361-KMW-2

Before WILSON, ROSENBAUM, Circuit Judges, and COVINGTON,*
District Judge.

PER CURIAM:

The court has considered all of Defendant-Appellant Oyeyemi Owagboriaye's specifications of error, including:

1. Whether the district court erred in denying Appellant's motion to suppress evidence?
2. Whether the district court abused its discretion when it admitted the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) but denied Appellant's request to submit corresponding documents under the Rule of Completeness?
3. Whether the district court erred in denying Appellant's proposed jury instruction on his theory of defense and aiding and abetting?
4. Whether the district court erred in denying Appellant's motion to dismiss the indictment?
5. Was the evidence sufficient to support Appellant's conviction for wire fraud?

* Honorable Virginia M. Covington, United States District Judge for the Middle District of Florida, sitting by designation.

20-11018

Opinion of the Court

3

6. Whether the government's introduction of the MOU proved a different scheme to defraud and thus materially varied from the indictment and prejudice Appellant?

After review and consideration of the briefs and the record, and having the benefit of oral argument, we find no reversible error in the district court's proceedings. Therefore, the district court's decision is affirmed.

AFFIRMED.