


No: 22- 5220

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



PALANI KARUPAIYAN; v. JATINDER SINGH et al


**MOTION TO REQUEST THE US SUPREME COURT TO  
ACCEPT THE BELATED PETITION FOR REHEARING  
[RULE 44.2]**

- 1) US Supreme Court's order denied the Petition for writ of Certiorari reached the Petitioner on Nov 26 2022 which was denied on Oct 11 2022. Exhibit (A) thru USPS.
- 2) On Oct 25 2022 thru online and In-person, Petitioner requested USPS for forwarding address from **Po Box 291, Iselin, NJ 08820** to

**Palani Karupaiyan, c/o Pravin  
110 Caton Ave, #2m  
Brooklyn, NY 11218. Exhibit (B).**

Online change of address was effective after a week as USPS.com stated so petitioner went (in-person) to USPS Iselin, NJ for change of address request which was effective immediately.


- 3) Due to USPS's delay or error, US Supreme Court's order denying Petition for writ for Certiorari reached the plaintiff on Nov 26 2022 to the above said Petitioner's forwarding address. Exhibit (A).
- 4) Because the Order denial of Certiorari reached on Nov 26 2022 today or Dec 20 2022 the order denial of Certiorari is not final



apply final status to the denial order, additional 25 days needed. Even applying 3 days postal delivery, additional 22 days needed to apply for the final status.

- 5) Further for the finality status of the denial order which did not reach the USCA3's docket yet. (21-3163). The USCA3's docket 21-3163 did not have information about "NOTICE of U.S. Supreme Court disposition"
- 6) Due to delay in the denied order dated Oct 11 2022, reaching the Petitioner, Petitioners pray this court to accept this belated Petition for Rehearing based on Rule 44.2<sup>1</sup> of this Court.
- 7) Petitioner prays this Court for any and all benefit Petitioner entitled by this Motion.

Respectfully submitted      Date: Nov 28 2022.



Palani Karupaiyan, c/o Pravin  
110 Caton Ave. #2M  
Brooklyn, NY 11218  
212-470-2048(m)

---

<sup>1</sup> Any petition for the rehearing of an order denying a petition for a Writ of Certiorari or extraordinary Writ shall be filed within 25 days after the date of the order of denial and shall comply with all the form and filing requirements of paragraph 1 of this Rule, including the payment of the filing fee if required, but its grounds shall be limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented. The time for filing a petition for the rehearing of an order denying a petition for a Writ of Certiorari or extraordinary Writ will not be extended. The petition shall be presented together with certification of counsel (or of a party unrepresented by counsel) that it is restricted to the grounds specified in this paragraph and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay; one copy of the certificate shall bear the signature of counsel (or of a party unrepresented by counsel). The certificate shall be bound with each copy of the petition. The Clerk will not file a petition without a certificate. The petition is not subject to oral argument

Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Exhibit-A

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

October 11, 2022

Ms. Palani Karupaiyan  
P.O. Box 291  
Iselin, NJ 08830

Re: Palani Karupaiyan  
v. Jatinder Singh, et al.  
No. 22-5220

Dear Ms. Karupaiyan:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:


The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001  
OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300



076 NFE 1 822C0011/23/22  
NOTIFY SENDER OF NEW ADDRESS  
KARUPAIYAN, PALANI  
110 CATON AVE APT 2M  
BROOKLYN, NY 11218-1659  
EC: 11218165943 \*3051-01154-23-04  
0823030333 B00

Exhibit-B



★ Official ★  
Change-of-Address  
Confirmation Letter  
★

**VERIFICATION REQUIRED**

Mail will be forwarded for the  
following individual only:

PALANI KARUPAIYAN

Your mail will be forwarded to your NEW address,  
as you requested, on: NOV 1, 2022

If you want to view or cancel this  
Change-of-Address order or change the  
date to start forwarding your mail, visit  
[managemymove.usps.com](https://managemymove.usps.com) and enter your  
Confirmation Code or scan the QR code to  
get started.

CONFIRMATION CODE:  
2229 7900 0064 5620



Don't miss any mail! Extend your Mail  
Forwarding End Date now for \$19.95 for a

**YOUR OLD ADDRESS**

PALANI KARUPAIYAN  
PO BOX 291  
ISELIN NJ 08830-0291

**YOUR NEW ADDRESS**

026 B431 00001065 00125240 1 1




PALANI KARUPAIYAN  
110 CATON AVE APT 2M  
BROOKLYN NY 11218-1659

**MAIL FORWARDING EXPIRATION DATES**

No: 22-5220

ORIGINAL

-----  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
-----



PALANI KARUPAIYAN -- Petitioner

v.

JATINDER SINGH;

NICK JOE; individually and in his official capacity as  
employer of Jatinder Singh;

NICK DOE'S TRANSPORTATION BUSINESS;

KHALID MAHMOOD; JOHN DOE, individually and in his  
official capacity as employer of Khalid Mahmood;

JOHN DOE'S LIMO BUSINESS ----Respondents

-----  
**On PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**  
to the United States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit (USCA3)  
-----

**PETITION FOR REHEARING<sup>1</sup>**

Palani Karupaiyan.  
Pro se, Petitioner,  
C/o Pravin  
110 Caton Ave, Unit 2M  
Brooklyn, NY-11218.  
Email: [palanikay@gmail.com](mailto:palanikay@gmail.com)  
212-470-2048(m)

<sup>1</sup> Motion with good cause is attached along with this Petition for Rehearing for being late.

# **I. TABLE OF CONTENTS**

## **II. Contents**

<b>I.</b>	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>PETITION FOR REHEARING.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1)	Pro se Standards.....	4
2)	When the Petition for Writ of Certiorari is sub-judice, Petitioners address status changed from No address to New York address. ....	5
3)	Respondent Jatinder Singh Continuously endangering the Petitioner when the case was subjudiced with this Court. ....	6
4)	USCA3 issued amended final order when the case is subjudiced with US Supreme Court. ....	7
5)	Rehearing granting standard.....	7
<b>V.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>VI.</b>	<b>CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH AND OTHER NEED .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>VII.</b>	<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....</b>	<b>10</b>

## **VIII. EXHIBITS Attached**

- a) Exhibit-A – Order denial of Writ of Certiorari, docket 22-5220, Karupaiyan v. Singh et al. Dated Oct 11 2022.
- b) Exhibit-B – US Supreme Court order dated Aug 29 2022 for Supplemental Brief.

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<u><b>Boumediene v. Bush</b></u> , 551 US 1160 - Supreme Court 2007 .....	7
<i>Conner v. Simler</i> , 367 US 486. Sup.Ct(1961) .....	7
<u><b>Erickson v. Pardus</b></u> , 551 US 89 - Supreme Court 2007.....	4
<u><b>Estelle v. Gamble</b></u> , 429 U.S. 97, 106, 97 S.Ct. 285, 50 L.Ed.2d 251 (1976) .....	4
<u><b>Federal Exp. Corp. v. Holowecki</b></u> , 552 US 389 - Supreme Court 2008	4

### III. PETITION FOR REHEARING

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, Petitioner Palani Karupaiyan respectfully Petition for Rehearing of the Court's order denying Certiorari. The PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI is denied on Oct 11 2022. (Exhibit-A)

### IV. REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING

#### 1) PRO SE STANDARDS

Because of Petitioner is pro se, Petitioner prays this Court for his pleadings are to be "*liberally construed*". *Federal Exp. Corp. v. Holowecki*, 552 US 389 - Supreme Court 2008 at 1158, pro se litigants are held to a lesser pleading standard than other parties. See *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 106, 97 S.Ct. 285, 50 L.Ed.2d 251 (1976) (Pro se pleadings are to be "liberally construed")

*Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 US 89 - Supreme Court 2007 @ 2200

A **document** filed pro se is "to be liberally construed," *Estelle*, 429 U.S., at 106, 97 S.Ct. 285, and "a pro se complaint, however inartfully pleaded, must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers.



**2) WHEN THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IS SUBJUDICE, PETITIONERS ADDRESS STATUS CHANGED FROM NO ADDRESS TO NEW YORK ADDRESS.**

When the Petition for writ of Certiorari was filed, petitioner used Care of address as Palani Karupaiyan c/o Singh, 9560 114<sup>th</sup> St, S. Richmond Hill, NY 11419.

When the Petition for Writ of Certiorari was under subjudiced with this Court, Petitioner was infected by Corona Virus, so to keep the Social isolation Petitioner was using Po Box 291, Iselin, NJ 08820 which caused the denial of Writ of Certiorari because Petitioner did not have address outside of New Jersey.

Now, when this case did not reach the finality status<sup>2</sup> yet, the case is subjudiced with this Court, the Petitioner gained New York address as Palani Karupaiyan C/o Pravin, 110 Caton Ave, Brooklyn, NY 11218. On Nov 8 2022, casted the vote for election in Kings County/Brooklyn, NY.

---

<sup>2</sup> Order denial of Writ of Certiorari reached the Petitioner on Nov 26 2022 so order should get Finality status between Dec 17 2022 and Dec 20 2022.

**3) RESPONDENT JATINDER SINGH CONTINUOUSLY  
ENDANGERING THE PETITIONER WHEN THE CASE WAS  
SUBJUDICED WITH THIS COURT.**

In the Dist Court complaint 21-cv-15996-ES-ESK, ECF-1 @34, Plaintiff clearly claimed Respondent Jatinder Singh caused skin injury, bleeding to the plaintiff. Exhibited in App-13a, Exhibit-F of Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

In the complaint @35 with Dist Court prayed for Restraining order against Jatinder.

When the case was subjudiced with this Court, when the Petitioner went to pick the Laser Printer USB cable at friend place, Respondent Jatinder Singh was drunk and birthday Party there. When Jatinder saw the Petitioner jump to hold the Petitioner's Neck with his drunk situation and said racial discriminative words and life endangerment words. When the petitioner was suffering/recovering from Corona illness, Jatinder holding neck was deadly to the Petitioner.

At the same Jatinder told that he should continue endanger the petitioner. Now the Petitioner's freedom and liberty were continuously violated by Respondent Singh.

4) **USCA3 ISSUED AMENDED FINAL ORDER WHEN THE CASE IS SUBJUDICED WITH US SUPREME COURT.**

When this case is subjudiced with US Supreme Court, USCA3 issued Amended final order. 21-3163 Dkt#32.

For this USCA3's Amended order, Petitioner requested the US Supreme Court for amending the filed Petition for Writ of Certiorari. US Supreme Court replied that No rule allowed to amend the Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed and gave option to the Petitioner to file supplemental brief. Exhibit-B. Due to Serious Corona infection, Petitioner was not able to file the Supplement the brief. Now the petitioner wanted to file Supplemental brief.

5) **REHEARING GRANTING STANDARD**



In Conner v. Simler, 367 US 486. Sup. Ct (1961), Certiorari was originally denied, 365 US 844 (1961), in which on rehearing, that order was vacated and Certiorari granted; the case was then decided on the merits. Same in Boumediene v. Bush, 551 US 1160 - Supreme Court 2007.

## V. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth in this Petition, Palani Karupaiyan respectfully prays this Honorable Court grant rehearing and his Petition for a Writ of Certiorari. Vacate the denial order Oct 11 2022

Respectfully submitted.

Nov 29 2022.



Palani Karupaiyan C/o Pravin, pro se.  
110 Caton Ave, Unit 2M  
Brooklyn, NY-11218  
212-470-2048(m), [Palanikay@gmail.com](mailto:Palanikay@gmail.com)

No: 22-5220

---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

Palani Karupaiyan  
v.  
Jatinder Singh et al


**VI. CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH AND OTHER NEED**

The Petitioner hereby certify that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

The Petitioners, we believe this petition for rehearing to be meritorious and hereby certify that this petition is presented in good faith and not for purpose of delay.

The Petition for rehearing was prepared with Word 2013, Century schoolbook font 14<sup>3</sup> and contains words count 1400 approx. and 4 pages.

Respectfully Submitted



Palani Karupaiyan.

---

<sup>3</sup> Due to Petitioner's diabetic, eye blurring disability petitioner used Font 14 instead of 12 which may add additional pages, Word counts is not violated.

Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Exhibit-A

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

October 11, 2022

Ms. Palani Karupaiyan  
P.O. Box 291  
Iselin, NJ 08830


Re: Palani Karupaiyan  
v. Jatinder Singh, et al.  
No. 22-5220

Dear Ms. Karupaiyan:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300



NEAREST

FIRST-CLASS MAIL

10/11/2022

US POSTAGE \$000.57<sup>9</sup>



US OFFICIAL MAIL  
\$200 PER YEAR  
FOR FINANCIAL USE  
ZIP 20543  
041M111205C

076 NFE 1 822C0011/23/22  
NOTIFY SENDER OF NEW ADDRESS  
KARUPAIYAN, PALANI  
110 CATON AVE APT 2M  
BROOKLYN NY 11212-1659

FIVE BC: 11218165943 \*3051-01154-23-04  
0883300599 BCC

**Exhibit-B**

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

August 29, 2022

Palani Karupaiyan  
9560 114th St.  
South Richmond Hill, NY 11419

RE: Amendment  
No: 22-5220

Dear Ms. Karupaiyan:


The enclosed papers for the petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-entitled case were received August 22, 2022. The papers are returned for the following reason:

The Rules of this Court make no provision for the amending of a petition for a writ of certiorari.

If you wish to file a supplemental brief calling attention to new cases, legislation, or other intervening matters not available at the time of the initial filing, you must do so in compliance with Rule 15.8

Sincerely,  
Scott S. Harris, Clerk

By:

  
Lisa Nesbitt  
(202) 479-3038

Enclosures